

Existence and Uniqueness of Weak Solution for Quasilinear Problems with a $p(x)$ -Biharmonic Operator

Nabil Chems Eddine and Ali Alami Idrissi
 Center of Mathematical Research and Applications of Rabat (CeReMAR),
 Laboratory of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Department of Mathematics,
 Faculty of Sciences, Mohammed V University, P.O. Box 1014 Rabat, Morocco

Abstract: In this study, we show the existence and uniqueness of weak solution of a problem which involves the $p(x)$ -biharmonic operator with some different bound-ary conditions. The proof of the result is made by Browder theorem and the theory of variable exponent Sobolev spaces.

Key words: Weak solution, $p(x)$ -biharmonic operator, variable exponent spaces, variational methods, browder theorem, uniqueness

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, increasing attention has been paid to the study of differential equations and variational problems involving variable exponent. The interest in studying such problems was stimulated by their various physical applications. Indeed, there are many applications concerning nonlinear elasticity theory and in modelling electrorheological uids (Acerbi and Mingione, 2005; Diening, 2002; Halsey and Martin, 1993; Ruzicka, 2000) and from the study of elastic mechanics (Zhikov, 1987) and raise many difficult mathematical problems. After this pioneering models, many other applications of differential operators with variable exponents have appeared in a large range of fields such as image restoration (Chen *et al.*, 2006) and mathematical Biology (Fragnelli, 2010).

Fourth order elliptic equations arise in many applications such as micro electro mechanical systems, thin film theory, thin plate theory, surface diffusion on solids, interface dynamics, flow in Hele-Shaw cells and phase field models of multiphase systems (Danet, 2014; Ferrero and Warnault, 2009; Myers, 1998) and the references therein. There is also another important class of physical problems leading to higher order partial differential equations. An example of this is Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation which models 1 pattern formation in different physical contexts such as chemical reaction-diffusion systems and a cellular gas flame in the presence of external stabilizing factors (Wang and

Canessa, 1993). Numerous researchers investigated the existence and multiplicity of solutions for the problems involving biharmonic, p -biharmonic and $p(x)$ -biharmonic operators.

We refer the readers to Afrouzi and Shokoh (2015), Heidarkhani *et al.* (2017), Heidarkhani (2012), Bisci *et al.* (2014), Yin and Liu (2013), Yucedag (2015) and the references there in. In this research, we consider the following problems involving $p(x)$ -biharmonic.

Navier problem:

$$\Delta_{p(x)}^2 u + e_p(x)|u|^{p(x)-2} u = f(x, u(x)) \quad (1)$$

$$\text{for } x \in \Omega \quad u = \Delta u = 0 \text{ for } x \in \partial\Omega$$

Neumann problem:

$$\Delta_{p(x)}^2 u + e_p(x)|u|^{p(x)-2} u = f(x, u(x)) \text{ for } x \in \Omega \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} (|\Delta u|^{p(x)-2} \Delta u) = 0 \text{ for } x \in \partial\Omega$$

No flux problem:

$$\Delta_{p(x)}^2 u + e_p(x)|u|^{p(x)-2} u = f(x, u(x)) \text{ for } x \in \Omega$$

$$u = \text{constant} \quad \Delta u = 0 \text{ for } x \in \partial\Omega \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} (|\Delta u|^{p(x)-2} \Delta u) \quad (3)$$

$$ds = 0 \text{ for } x \in \partial\Omega$$

Corresponding Author: Nabil Chems Eddine, Center of Mathematical Research and Applications of Rabat (CeReMAR), Laboratory of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Mohammed V University, P.O. Box 1014 Rabat, Morocco

Steklov problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\Delta_{p(x)}^2 u &= e_p(x)|u|^{p(x)-2} u \text{ for } x \in \partial\Omega \\
 (|\Delta u|)^{p(x)-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} &= g(x, u(x)) \text{ for } x \in \partial\Omega
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4}$$

Robin problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_{p(x)}^2 u &= f(x, u, (x)) \text{ for } x \in \partial\Omega \quad (|\Delta u|)^{p(x)-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + \\
 m(x)|u|^{p(x)-2} u &= 0 \text{ for } x \in \partial\Omega
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{5}$$

where, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a nonempty bounded domain with a sufficient smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and ν is the outward unit normal to $\partial\Omega$. $\Delta_{p(x)}^2 u := \Delta (|\Delta u|^{p(x)-2} \Delta u)$ is the, so called $p(x)$ -biharmonic operator of fourth order, $p \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ with $1 < p^- := \inf_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x) \leq p(x) \leq p^+ := \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x)$, $f \in C(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$, $g \in C(\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$, $e_p: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ is a real function with $e_p^- := \inf_{x \in \Omega} e_p(x) > 0$: and $m: \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \in L^\infty$ is a real function with $m^- := \inf_{x \in \partial\Omega} m(x) > 0$.

Precise that elliptic equations involving the $p(x)$ -biharmonic equations are not trivial generalizations of similar problems studied in the constant case, since, the $p(x)$ -biharmonic operator is not homogeneous and thus, some techniques which can be applied in the case of the p -biharmonic operators will fail in that new situation such as the Lagrange Multiplier Theorem.

To our best of knowledge, there seems few results about uniqueness of solutions to $p(x)$ -biharmonic equations. Although, a natural extension of the theory, the problem addressed, here is a natural continuation of recent papers. By Allaoui *et al.* (2015) for the $p(x)$ -Laplacian problems, researchers have obtained existence and uniqueness of weak solution which generalizes the corresponding result by Abdelkader and Ourraouiz (2013), Afrouzi *et al.* (2009) and Khafagy (2011) for the case when p is constant.

Motivated by the above papers and the ideas introduced by Afrouzi *et al.* (2009), the purpose of this research is to extend the results by Allaoui *et al.* (2015) to the case of $p(x)$ -biharmonic operator with some different boundary conditions. Our technical approach is based on Browder Theorem and the theory of variable exponent Sobolev spaces. More precisely, we assume $f(x, u)$ and $g(x, u)$ satisfies the following hypothesis:

- (H_1) f and g are carathodory functions which are decreasing with respect to the second variable
- (H_2) there exist positive constants b_i, c_i ($i = 1, 2$) and $q \in C(\Omega)$, $r \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ such that:

$$|f(x, t)| \leq b_1 + b_2 |t|^{q(x)}, \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega, t \in \mathbb{R}$$

And:

$$|g(x, t)| \leq c_1 + c_2 |t|^{r(x)}, \text{ a.e. } x \in \partial\Omega, t \in \mathbb{R}$$

Where:

$$1 \leq q(x) \leq \sup_{x \in \Omega} q(x) = q^+ < p^-$$

And:

$$1 \leq r(x) \leq \sup_{x \in \Omega} r(x) = r^+ < p^-$$

$$(H_3) f(x, 0) \neq 0, g(x, 0) \neq 0$$

The goal of this study is to prove the following result

Theorem 1.1: Suppose that f and g satisfies the Hypothesis (H_1) - (H_3) . Then the problems (1.1)-(1.5) have a unique weak solution.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preliminaries: In this study, we introduce some notation that will clarify what follows. Thus, when we refer to a Banach space X , we denote by X^* its dual and by (\cdot, \cdot) the duality pairing between X^* and X . By $|\cdot|$, we denote the absolute value of a number or the Euclidean norm when it is defined on $\mathbb{R}^N (N \geq 2)$.

For the reader's convenience, we recall some background facts concerning the Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces with variable exponent and introduce some notation. For more details, we refer the reader to Radulescu and Repovs (2015), Radulescu (2015) set:

$$C^+(\Omega) := \{h : h \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \text{ and } h(x) > 1, \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}\}$$

For $p(x) \in C^+(\Omega)$, define the variable exponent Lebesgue space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ by:

$$L^{p(x)}(\Omega) := \left\{ u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable and } \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx < \infty \right\}$$

We define a norm, the so-called Luxemburg norm on this space by equation:

$$|u|_{p(x)} = \inf \left\{ \beta > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|}{\beta} |p(x)| dx \leq 1 \right\}$$

And $(L^{p(x)}(\Omega), |u|_{p(x)})$ becomes a Banach space and we call it variable exponent Lebesgue space. Define the variable exponent Sobolev space $W^{m, p(x)}(\Omega)$ by:

$$W^{m,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) = \{u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \mid D^\alpha u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega), |\alpha| \leq m\}$$

Where:

$$D^\alpha u = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_N^{\alpha_N}} u$$

With $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N)$ is a multi-index and $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i$. The space $W^{m,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, equipped with the norm:

$$\|u\|_{m,p(\cdot)} := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|D^\alpha u\|_{p(\cdot)}$$

Becomes a separable, reflexive and uniformly convex Banach space. When $e_p(x)$ satisfies $e_p \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $e_p = \text{ess inf } x \in \mathbb{R}^N e_p(x) > 0$, we defined the weighted variable exponent Lebesgue space $L_{e_p}^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ by:

$$L_{e_p}^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) = \left\{ u : \begin{array}{l} u \text{ is a measurable real-valued function,} \\ \int_{\Omega} e_p(x) |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx < \infty \end{array} \right\}$$

With the norm:

$$\|u\|_{p(\cdot), e_p} := \|u\|_{L_{e_p}^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)} = \inf \left\{ \beta > 0 : \int_{\Omega} e_p(x) \left| \frac{u(x)}{\beta} \right|^{p(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\}$$

Then obviously $L_{e_p}^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space (Cruz-Uribe *et al.*, 2011). Now, we denote:

$$X := W^{2,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$$

where, $W_0^{m,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ denote the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in $W^{m,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$: For $u \in X$, we define:

$$\|u\|_{\text{ep}} = \inf \left\{ \beta > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \left(\left| \frac{\Delta u(x)}{\beta} \right|^{p(x)} + e_p(x) \left| \frac{u(x)}{\beta} \right|^{p(x)} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\}$$

Clearly, we observe that X endowed with the above norm is a separable and reflexive Banach space.

Remark 2.1: From Zang and Fu (2008) the norm $\|u\|_{2,p(\cdot)}$ is equivalent to the norm $\|\Delta u\|_{p(\cdot)}$ in the space X . Consequently, the norms $\|u\|_{2,p(\cdot)}$, $\|u\|_{e_p}$ and $\|\Delta u\|_{p(\cdot)}$ are equivalent. For the rest of this study, we use $\|u\|_{e_p}$ instead of $\|u\|_{2,p(\cdot)}$ on X .

In order to discuss problem (1.3), we need to choose a variable exponent space that is more appropriate for our study than the ones presented in the previous part.

Therefore, we introduce the following subspace of $W^{2,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$:

$$X = \{u \in W^{2,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) : u|_{\partial\Omega} = \text{constant}\}$$

Notice that X can be viewed also as:

$$X = \{u + c : u \in W^{2,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega), c \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

and the $(X, \|\cdot\|_{W^{2,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)})$ is separable and reflexive Banach space ([6, Theorem 4]).

Proposition 2.2; Repovs (2015): The conjugate space of $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is $L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ where $q(\cdot)$ is the conjugate function of $p(\cdot)$, i.e:

$$\frac{1}{p(x)} + \frac{1}{q(x)} = 1$$

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} u(x)v(x) dx \right| \leq \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \right) \|u\|_{p(x)} \|v\|_{q(x)} \leq 2 \|u\|_{p(x)} \|v\|_{q(x)}$$

Proposition 2.3; Repovs (2015): Let $\rho(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx$. For $u, u_n \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, we have:

$$\|u\|_{p(x)} < (=, >); 1 \Leftrightarrow \rho(u) < (=, >) 1$$

$$\|u\|_{p(x)} > 1 \Rightarrow \|u\|_{p(x)}^{p^-} \leq \rho(u) \leq \|u\|_{p(x)}^{p^+}$$

$$\|u\|_{p(x)} < 1 \Rightarrow \|u\|_{p(x)}^{p^+} \leq \rho(u) \leq \|u\|_{p(x)}^{p^-}$$

$$\|u_n\|_{p(x)} \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow \rho(u_n) \rightarrow 0$$

$$\|u_n\|_{p(x)} \rightarrow \infty \Leftrightarrow \rho(u_n) \rightarrow \infty$$

From proposition 2.3 for $u \in W^{2,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ the following inequalities hold:

$$\|u\|_{e_p}^{p^-} \leq \int_{\Omega} (|\Delta u|^{p(x)} + e_p(x) |u|^{p(x)}) dx \leq \|u\|_{e_p}^{p^+}, \text{ if } \|u\|_{e_p} \geq 1$$

$$\|u\|_{e_p}^{p^+} \leq \int_{\Omega} (|\Delta u|^{p(x)} + e_p(x) |u|^{p(x)}) dx \leq \|u\|_{e_p}^{p^-}, \text{ if } \|u\|_{e_p} \leq 1$$

For all $x \in \Omega$ and $k \geq 1$ denote by:

$$p_k^*(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{Np(x)}{N-kp(x)} & \text{for } kp(x) < N \\ +\infty & \text{for } kp(x) \geq N \end{cases}$$

Proposition 2.4; Repovs (2015): For $p \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $r(x) \leq p^*(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ there is a continuous and compact embedding:

$$W^{k, p(x)}(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow L^{r(x)}(\bar{\Omega})$$

Lemma 2.5; Fan and Zhao (2001): If $f: \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a caratheodory function and:

$$|f(x, s)| \leq a(x) + b|s|^{\frac{p_1(x)}{p_2(x)}}, \forall (x, s) \in \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$$

where, $p_1(x) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$, $a(x) \in L^{p_2(x)}(\Omega)$, $p_2(x) > 1$, $a(x) \geq 0$ and $b \geq 0$ is a constant then the Nemytskii operator from $L^{p_1(x)}(\Omega)$ defined nby $Nf(u)(x) = f(x, u(x))$ is a continuous and bounded operator.

Definition 2.6 : For simplicity, let $X = W^{2, p(x)}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$, $W^{2, p(x)}(\Omega)$ or $(W^{2, p(x)}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)) \oplus \mathbb{R}$.

We say that a function $u \in X$ is a weak solution of (1.1-1.3) if:

$$\int_{\Omega} |\Delta u(x)|^{p(x)-2} \Delta u(x) \Delta v(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} e_p(x) |u(x)|^{p(x)-2} u(x) v(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x)) v(x) dx$$

We say that a function $u \in X$ is a weak solution of Steklov problem (1.4) if:

$$\int_{\Omega} |\Delta u(x)|^{p(x)-2} \Delta u(x) \Delta v(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} e_p(x) |u(x)|^{p(x)-2} u(x) v(x) dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} g(x, u(x)) v(x) dx$$

We say that a function $u \in X$ is a weak solution of Robin problem (1.5) if:

$$\int_{\Omega} |\Delta u(x)|^{p(x)-2} \Delta u(x) \Delta v(x) dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} m(x) |u(x)|^{p(x)-2} u(x) v(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x)) v(x) dx$$

hold for all $v \in X$.

Define the operators I, J, K and L: $X \rightarrow X^*$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I(u), v \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u(x)|^{p(x)-2} \Delta u(x) \Delta v(x) dx \\ \langle J(u), v \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} e_p(x) |u(x)|^{p(x)-2} u(x) v(x) dx \\ \langle K(u), v \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x)) v(x) dx \\ \langle L(u), v \rangle &= \int_{\partial\Omega} g(x, u(x)) v(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

for all $u, v \in X$ and we define the operator $T: X \rightarrow X^*$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T(u), v \rangle &= \langle I(u), v \rangle + a \langle J(u), v \rangle - b \langle K(u), v \rangle - c \langle L(u), v \rangle \\ &\quad - d \int_{\partial\Omega} m(x) |u(x)|^{p(x)-2} u(x) v(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.7; Leray and Lions (1965): Let X be re exive real Banach space. Moreover, let $T: X \rightarrow X^*$ be an operator which is: bounded, demicontinuous, coercive and monotone on the space X . Then the equation $T(u) = f$ has at least one solution $u \in X$ for each $f \in X^*$. If moreover, T is strictly monotone operator then for every $f \in X^*$ the equation $T(u) = f$ has precisely one solution $u \in X$.

Definition 2.8: Let X be re exive real Banach space. An operator $A: X \rightarrow X^*$ verifies:

$$\langle A(u) - A(v), u - v \rangle \geq 0$$

for any $u, v \in X$ is called a monotone operator. An operator A is called strictly monotone if for $u \neq v$ the strict inequality holds in (2.3). An operator A is called strongly monotone if there exists $C > 0$ such that:

$$\langle A(u) - A(v), u - v \rangle \geq C \|u - v\|_X^2$$

for any $u, v \in X$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study, we prove our main result by using Browder theorem. We see that, $u \in X$ is a weak solution of (Eq. 1-5) if and only if $T(u) = 0$ in X^* with a-d checking some conditions in each equation. to prove the result we show that T satisfies the assertions of the theorem (2.7). Next, we split the proof in several steps.

Step 1: We prove that T is bounded in fact, let $\|u\|_{ep} = M$. Since and J are the Frechet derivative of the functional $\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} |\Delta u(x)|^{p(x)}$ and $\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} e_p(x) |u(x)|^{p(x)}$ dx, respectively and then I with J are bounded. We have some deduction for $\int_{\partial\Omega} m(x) |u(x)|^{p(x)-2} u(x) v(x) dx$. Moreover, form proposition (2.2) and lemma (2.5) there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that:

$$\|K(u)\|_{X^*} = \sup_{\|v\|=1} | \langle K(u), v \rangle |$$

$$\leq \sup_{\|v\|=1} 2 |f|_{p^*(x)} |v|_{p(x)}$$

$$\leq C_1 |f|_{p^*(x)}$$

Similarly, in view of lemma (2.5) there exists $C_2 > 0$ such that:

$$\|L(u)\|_{X^*} \leq C_2 \|g\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega)}$$

So, L is a bounded operator.

Step 2: We prove that T is continuous. We have I and J are continuous operators because that are the Frechet derivative of the functional $\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} |\Delta u(x)|^{p(x)} dx$ and $\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} e^{(x)|u(x)|^{p(x)}} dx$ respectively therefore I and J are continuous. On the other hand, let $(u_n) \subset X$ be a sequence such that $u_n \rightarrow u$. Using the compact embedding of X into $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ there exists a subsequence, noted also (u_n) , such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$. According to the Krasnoselki's theorem, the Nemytskii operator:

$$N_f = L^{q(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{\frac{q(x)}{q(x)-1}}(\Omega) u \mapsto f(\cdot, u)$$

is continuous. Hence, $N_f(u_n) \rightarrow N_f(u)$ in $L^{\frac{q(x)}{q(x)-1}}(\Omega)$. Also, in view of the Holder's inequality and the continuous embedding of X into $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle L(u_n) \rangle - \langle L(u), v \rangle| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} (f(x, u_n) - f(x, u)) v(x) dx \right| \\ &\leq 2 \|N_f(u_n) - N_f(u)\| \frac{q(x)}{q(x)-1} \|v(x)\|_{q(x)} \leq \\ &C \|N_f(u_n) - N_f(u)\| \frac{q(x)}{q(x)-1} \|v\|_{e_p} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $K(u_n) \rightarrow K(u)$ in X^* .

Further, it is known that the Nemytskii operator $N_g: u \mapsto g(x, u)$ is a continuous bounded operator from $L^{r(x)}(\partial\Omega)$ into $L^{\frac{r(x)}{r(x)-1}}(\partial\Omega)$ and analogously, L is completely continuous. Step 3: we prove that T is strongly monotone. We recall the elementary inequality for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^N$:

$$\begin{cases} |\alpha - \beta|^\gamma \leq 2\gamma (|\alpha|)^{\gamma-2} \alpha \cdot |\beta| (\gamma - 2\beta) \cdot (\alpha - \beta) & \text{if } \gamma \geq 2 \\ |\alpha - \beta|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\gamma-1} (|\alpha| + |\beta|)^{2-\gamma} (|\alpha|^{\gamma-2} \alpha - |\beta|^{\gamma-2} \beta) \cdot (\alpha - \beta) & \text{if } 1 < \gamma < 2 \end{cases}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the standard inner product in \mathbb{R}^N . Let us define the sets of Ω dependent on p :

$$U_p := \{x \in \Omega : p(x) \geq 2\}$$

$$V_p := \{x \in \Omega : 1 < p(x) < 2\}$$

Now, we show that $I+J$ is strongly monotone. Indeed:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (I+J)(u) - (I+J)(v), u - v \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} (|\Delta u|^{p(x)-2} \Delta u - |\Delta v|^{p(x)-2} \Delta v) \\ &\quad (\Delta u - \Delta v) dx + \int_{\Omega} (|u|^{p(x)} - |v|^{p(x)})(u - v) dx \end{aligned}$$

By help of the elementary inequality (3.1), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (I+J)(u) - (I+J)(v), u - v \rangle &\geq \int_{U_p} \frac{1}{2^{p(x)}} (|\Delta(u-v)|^{p(x)} + |u-v|^{p(x)}) dx + \\ &\quad (p(x)-1) \int_{V_p} |\Delta(u-v)|^{p(x)} \left(\frac{|\Delta u - \Delta v|}{|\Delta u| + |\Delta v|} \right)^{2-p(x)} dx \end{aligned}$$

Since, the fact that:

$$\leq \left(\frac{|\Delta u - \Delta v|}{|\Delta u| + |\Delta v|} \right)^{2-p(x)} \leq 1$$

and:

$$\leq \left(\frac{|u-v|}{|u| + |v|} \right)^{2-p(x)} \leq 1$$

It then comes:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (I+J)(u) - (I+J)(v), u - v \rangle &\geq \frac{1}{2^{p^+}} \int_{U_p} (|\Delta(u-v)|^{p(x)} + |u-v|^{p(x)}) + \\ &\quad (p^- - 1) \int_{V_p} (|\Delta(u-v)|^{p(x)} + |u-v|^{p(x)}) dx \end{aligned}$$

From proposition 2.3, taking $c_0 = \min \{1/2^{p^+}, p^- - 1\}$. Hence, $I+J$ is strongly monotone (Zeidler, 2013). Since, f is decreasing with respect to the second variable, then:

$$\langle K(u) - K(v), u - v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} (f(x, u) - f(x, v))(u - v) dx \leq 0$$

Also:

$$\langle L(u) \rangle - \langle L(v), u - v \rangle = \int_{\partial\Omega} (g(x, u) - g(x, v))(u - v) dx \leq 0$$

Consequently, T is strongly monotone. Step 4 we prove that T is coercive for all $u \in X$, we have wether $a = b = 1 =$, $c = d = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\|u\|} \langle Tu, u \rangle &= \frac{1}{\|u\|} \int_{\Omega} (|\Delta u|^{p(x)} + m(x)|u|^{p(x)}) dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) u dx \geq \\ &\frac{1}{\|u\|} \min \{ \|u\|_{e_p}^{p^-} \|u\|_{p^+}^+ \} - 2 \|f\|_{p(x)} \|u\|_{p(x)} \geq \\ &\frac{1}{\|u\|} \left(\min \{ \|u\|_{p^+}^-, \|u\|_{p^+}^+ \} - C_1 \|u\|_{e_p} \right) \end{aligned}$$

If $a = c = 1$, $b = d = 0$, we have:

$$\frac{1}{\|u\|} \langle Tu, u \rangle = \frac{1}{\|u\|} \int_{\Omega} (|\Delta u|^{p(x)} + e_p(x)|u|^{p(x)}) dx - \int_{\Omega} g(x, u) u dx \geq \frac{1}{\|u\|} \min \{ \|u\|_{e_p} p^-, \|u\|_{p_{e_p}^+} \} - 2 \|g\|_{L^1(\partial\Omega)} |u|_{p(x)} \frac{1}{\|u\|} \left(\min \{ \|u\|_{p_{e_p}^-}, \|u\|_{p_{e_p}^+} \} - C_2 \|u\|_{e_p} \right)$$

For $a = c = 0, b = d = 1$:

$$\frac{1}{\|u\|_m} \langle Tu, u \rangle = \frac{1}{\|u\|_m} \int_{\Omega} (|\Delta u|^{p(x)} + m(x)|u|^{p(x)}) dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) u dx \geq \frac{1}{\|u\|} \min \{ \|u\|_{p_m^-}, \|u\|_{p_m^+} \} - 2 \|f\|_{q(x)} |u|_{q(x)} \frac{1}{\|u\|_m} \left(\min \{ \|u\|_{p_m^-}, \|u\|_{p_m^+} \} - C_1 \|u\|_m \right)$$

With $\|u\|_m = |\Delta u|_{p(x)} + m(x)|u|_{L^{p(x)}(\partial\Omega)}$ is equivalent to $\|u\|_{e_p}$. It means that the coercivity of T holds. The previous steps guarantee the existence of solution of the problems. For the uniqueness of weak solution for problems studied, suppose that u and v that $u \neq v$. By the strong monotonicity of T , it follows that:

$$0 = \langle Tu - Tv, u - v \rangle \geq C_p \|u - v\|^p \geq 0$$

Then $u = v$ and the proof now is completed. This solution cannot be trivial provided that we suppose $f(x, 0) \neq 0$ and $g(x, 0) \neq 0$ because in this case $T(0) \neq 0$.

CONCLUSION

The proof of the results is made by Browder theorem and the theory of variable exponent Sobolev space.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The researchers would like to thank the anonymous referee for the valuable comments.

REFERENCES

Abdelkader, M.V. and A. Ourraoui, 2013. Existence and uniqueness of weak solution for p-laplacian problem in RN. Appl. Math. E. Notes, 13: 228-233.
 Acerbi, E. and G. Mingione, 2005. Gradient estimates for the p(x)-laplace system. J. Reine. Angew. Math., 2005: 117-148.

Afrouzi, G.A. and S. Shokooh, 2015. Existence of infinitely many solutions for quasilinear problems with a p(x)-biharmonic operator. Electron. J. Differ. Equ., 2015: 1-14.
 Afrouzi, G.A., S. Mahdavi and Z. Naghizadeh, 2009. Existence and uniqueness of solution for p-laplacian dirichlet problem. Intl. J. Nonlinear Sci., 8: 274-278.
 Allaoui, M., A. El Amrouss and A. Ourraoui, 2015. Existence and uniqueness of solution for p(x)-laplacian problems. Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat., 33: 225-232.
 Bisci, G.M. and D. Repovs, 2014. Higher nonlocal problems with bounded potential. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 420: 167-176.
 Bisci, G.M., V.D. Radulescu and R. Servadei, 2016. Variational Methods for Nonlocal Fractional Problems. Vol. 162, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, ISBN:978-1-107-11194-3, Pages: 381.
 Boureanu, M., V.R. Adulescu and D. Repov, 2016. p(x)-biharmonic problem with no-flux boundary condition. Comput. Math. Appl., 72: 2505-2515.
 Chen, Y., S. Levine and R. Rao, 2006. Variable exponent, linear growth functionals in image processing. SIAM. J. Appl. Math., 66: 1383-1406.
 Cruz-Urbe, D., L. Diening and P. Hasto, 2011. The maximal operator on weighted variable lebesgue spaces. Fractional Calculus Appl. Anal., 14: 361-374.
 Danet, C.P., 2014. Two maximum principles for a nonlinear fourth order equation from thin plate theory. Electron. J. Qual. Theor. Differ. Equations, 2014: 1-9.
 Diening, L., 2002. Theoretical and numerical results for electrorheological fluids. Ph.D Thesis, University of Freiburg, Freiburg im Breisgau, Germany.
 Fan, X.L. and D. Zhao, 2001. On the spaces $L_p(x)(O)$ and $W_{m,p(x)}(O)$. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 263: 424-446.
 Ferrero, A. and G. Wamault, 2009. On solutions of second and fourth order elliptic equations with power-type nonlinearities. Nonlinear Anal. Theor. Methods Appl., 70: 2889-2902.
 Fragnelli, G., 2010. Positive periodic solutions for a system of anisotropic parabolic equations. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 367: 204-228.
 Halsey, T.C. and J.E. Martin, 1993. Electrorheological fluids. Sci. Am., 269: 58-64.
 Heidarkhani, S., 2012. Three solutions for a class of (p_1, \dots, p_n) -biharmonic systems via variational methods. Thai. J. Math., 10: 497-515.
 Heidarkhani, S., G.A. Afrouzi, S. Moradi and G. Caristi, 2017. A variational approach for solving p(x)-biharmonic equations with Navier boundary conditions. Electron J. Differ. Equ., 25: 1-15.

- Khafagy, S., 2011. Existence and uniqueness of weak solution for weighted p -laplacian dirichlet problem. *J. Adv. Res. Dyn. Control Syst.*, 3: 41-49.
- Leray, J. and J.L. Lions, 1965. Quelques resultats de Visik sur les problemes elliptiques non lineaires par les methodes de Minty-Browder. *Bull. Soc. Math. Fr.*, 93: 97-107.
- Myers, T.G., 1998. Thin films with high surface tension. *SIAM. Rev.*, 40: 441-462.
- Radulescu, V.D. and D.D. Repovs, 2015. *Partial Differential Equations with Variable Exponents: Variational Methods and Qualitative Analysis*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, USA., ISBN:9781498703444, Pages: 323.
- Radulescu, V.D., 2015. Nonlinear elliptic equations with variable exponent: Old and new. *Nonlinear Anal. Theor. Methods Appl.*, 121: 336-369.
- Rajagopal, K.R. and M. Ruzicka, 2001. Mathematical modeling of electrorheological materials. *Continuum Mech. Thermodyn.*, 13: 59-78.
- Repovs, D., 2015. Stationary waves of schrodinger-type equations with variable exponent. *Anal. Appl.*, 13: 645-661.
- Ricceri, B., 2000. A general variational principle and some of its applications. *J. Comput. Applied Math.*, 113: 401-410.
- Ruzicka, M., 2000. *Electrorheological Fluids: Modeling and Mathematical Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, Germany, ISBN:9783540413851, Pages: 178.
- Wang, W. and E. Canessa, 1993. Biharmonic pattern selection. *Phys. Rev.*, 47: 1243-1248.
- Yin, H. and Y. Liu, 2013. Existence of three solutions for a Navier boundary value problem involving the $p(x)$ -biharmonic. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 50: 1817-1826.
- Yucedag, Z., 2015. Solutions of nonlinear problems involving $p(x)$ -Laplacian operator. *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.*, 4: 285-293.
- Zang, A. and Y. Fu, 2008. Interpolation inequalities for derivatives in variable exponent lebesgue-sobolev spaces. *Nonlinear Anal. Theor. Methods Appl.*, 69: 3629-3636.
- Zeidler, E., 2013. *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications: III: Variational Methods and Optimization*. Springer, Berlin, Germany, ISBN:978-1-4612-9529-7, Pages: 651.
- Zhikov, V.V.E., 1987. Averaging of functionals of the calculus of variations and elasticity theory. *Math. USSR. Izv.*, 29: 33-66.