

## Several Norm Inequalities for Matrices Partitioned into a Small Number of Blocks

Tala H. Sasa and Tasneem I. Al-Ayed  
 Applied Sciences Private University, Amman, Jordan

**Abstract:** Positive matrices of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} A & X \\ X & B \end{pmatrix}$  have a property that  $\left\| \begin{pmatrix} A & X \\ X & B \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq \|A+B\|$ . In this study, we will give proof of the inequality  $\left\| \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq \|A+B\|$  which proved before by Bourin in (2005) and use it to give the generalizations due to Ando and Zhanin (1999).

**Key words:** Symmetric norms, operator norm, normal matrices, unitarily congruent, matrix may, partitioned

### INTRODUCTION

Capital letters A, B, ..., Z mean n-by-n complex matrices or operators on an n-dimensional Hilbert space H. If A is positive semidefinite, resp. positive definite, we write  $A \geq 0$ , resp.  $A > 0$ . Let  $\|A\|$  denote a symmetric norm (or unitarily invariant norm) which satisfies  $\|UAU\| = \|A\|$  for all A and for all unitaries U, V. Ando and Zhan (1999), Horn and Johnson (1991, 1985), Bhatia (1997), Bourin (2005, 2006a, b), Bhatia and Kittaneh (1990) and Bhatia and Kittaneh (2008). Throughout this study the symbol  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  means the operator norm and for  $A, B \geq 0$ , we can write  $A \prec_w B$  to mean that  $\|A\| \leq \|B\|$  (Ando, 1994).

### MATERIALS AND METHDOS

#### Preliminaries

**Definition 3.1:** For any matrix A, we define the absolute value  $|A|$  of A to be the positive semidefinite matrix square root of  $A^*A$ . Then the singular values of A,  $s_1(A), \dots, s_n(A)$  are defined to be the eigenvalues of  $|A|$  which ordered from largest to smallest  $s_1(A) \geq s_2(A) \geq \dots \geq s_n(A)$ . In this study, we will apply Ky Fan's maximum principle to the Hermitian matrix A, we get for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$  that:

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j(A) = \max \sum_{j=1}^k \langle Ax_j, y_j \rangle$$

where, the maximum is taken over all choices of orthonormal k-tuples  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_k$ . Given a natural number k such that  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , the Ky Fan k-norm of a matrix A, denoted by  $\|A\|_{(k)}$  is defined to be the sum of k largest singular values of A. That is:

$$\|A\|_{(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^k s_j(A)$$

Also, Fan dominance theorem saying that for A, B, then:  $\|A\|_{(k)} \leq \|B\|_{(k)}$  for all symmetric norms if and only if  $\|A\|_{(k)} \leq \|B\|_{(k)}$  for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

### RESTULS AND DISCUSSION

**Several inequalities for block-matrices and the main result**

**Lemma 4.1:** Let C, D, S, T  $\geq 0$  such that  $C \prec_w S$  and  $D \prec_w T$ . Then:

$$\begin{pmatrix} C & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \prec_w \begin{pmatrix} S & 0 \\ 0 & T \end{pmatrix}$$

**Proof :** We have:

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j(C \oplus D) = \max_{r+n=k} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j(C) + \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j(D) \right\}$$

Also:

$$\sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j(C) + \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j(D) \leq \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j(S) + \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j(T) \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j(S \oplus T)$$

Ends the proof.

**Lemma 4.2:** Let C, D  $\geq 0$ . Then:

$$\begin{pmatrix} C & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \prec_w \begin{pmatrix} C+D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Proof:** We can write:

$$\begin{pmatrix} C+D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C^{\frac{1}{2}} & D^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ D^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Also:

$$\begin{pmatrix} C+D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \square \begin{pmatrix} C & C^{\frac{1}{2}}D^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ D^{\frac{1}{2}}C^{\frac{1}{2}} & D \end{pmatrix} \square \begin{pmatrix} C & -C^{\frac{1}{2}}D^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ -D^{\frac{1}{2}}C^{\frac{1}{2}} & D \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\square$  means unitarily congruent. Also:

$$\begin{pmatrix} C & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} C & C^{\frac{1}{2}}D^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ D^{\frac{1}{2}}C^{\frac{1}{2}} & D \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} C & -C^{\frac{1}{2}}D^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ -D^{\frac{1}{2}}C^{\frac{1}{2}} & D \end{pmatrix}$$

Ends the proof. Now, Lemma 4.2 gives the proof of the inequality:

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq \|A+B\|$$

**Theorem 4.1:** For all block-matrices whose entries are normal matrices of same size and for all symmetric norms:

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ W & Z \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq \| |X| + |Y| + |W| + |Z| \|$$

**Theorem 4.2:** For all block-matrices whose entries are normal matrices of same size:

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ W & Z \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\infty} \leq \max \left\{ \| |X| + |Y| \|_{\infty}, \| |W| + |Z| \|_{\infty} \right\}$$

**Proof:** Let  $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2$  be positive and be contractions. We get:

$$A_1C_1B_1 + A_2C_2B_2 = (A_1 \ A_2) \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & 0 \\ 0 & C_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now we will use the Cauchy-Shwarz inequality  $\|AB\| \leq \|A^*A\|^{1/2} \|BB^*\|^{1/2}$  and  $\|ST\| \leq \|S\| \|T\|$  to get  $\|A_1C_1B_1 + A_2C_2B_2\| \leq \|A_1^2 + A_2^2\|^{1/2} \|B_1^2 + B_2^2\|^{1/2}$ . But the polar decompositions  $X = |X|^{1/2}U|X|^{1/2}$  and  $Y = |Y^*|^{1/2}V|Y|^{1/2}$  show that:

$$\| |X+Y| \| \leq \| |X| + |Y| \|^{1/2} \| |X^*| + |Y| \|^{1/2} \quad (1)$$

For all  $X, Y$ . We will replace  $X$  and  $Y$  in Eq. 1 by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & Z \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Y \\ W & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

And using normality for  $X, Y, W, Z$  we get:

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ W & Z \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} |X|+|W| & 0 \\ 0 & |Y|+|Z| \end{pmatrix} \right\|^{1/2} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} |X|+|Y| & 0 \\ 0 & |W|+|Z| \end{pmatrix} \right\|^{1/2}$$

This proves theorem 4.2. Also, we can prove theorem 4.1 by using the inequality:

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq \|A+B\|$$

for all  $A, B \geq 0$ :

### CONCLUSION

This study will give the generalizations due to Ando and Zhan in (1999).

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The researchers acknowledge Applied Science Private University, Amman, Jordan, for the fully financial support granted of this research article.

### REFERENCES

- Ando, T. and X. Zhan, 1999. Norm inequalities related to operator monotone functions. *Math. Ann.*, 315: 771-780.
- Ando, T., 1994. Majorizations and inequalities in matrix theory. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 199: 17-67.
- Bhatia, R. and F. Kittaneh, 1990. Norm inequalities for partitioned operators and an application. *Math. Ann.*, 287: 719-726.
- Bhatia, R., 1997. *Matrix Analysis*. Springer, Berlin, Germany, ISBN:9783540948469, Pages: 347.
- Bhatia, R. and F. Kittaneh, 2008. The matrix arithmetic-geometric mean inequality revisited. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 428: 2177-2191.
- Bourin, J.C., 2005. Hermitian operators and convex functions. *J. Inequalities Pure Appl. Math.*, 6: 1-13.
- Bourin, J.C., 2006a. A concavity inequality for symmetric norms. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 413: 212-217.
- Bourin, J.C., 2006b. Convexity or concavity inequalities for Hermitian operators. *Math. Inequalities Appl.*, 7: 607-620.
- Horn, R.A. and C.R. Johnson, 1985. *Matrix Analysis*. 1st Edn., Cambridge University Press, London.
- Horn, R.A. and C.R. Johnson, 1991. *Topics in Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.