

Calculation of Residual Stress on the Surface Layer of Workpiece When Surface Grinding the Aisi 1018 Steel

Do DucTrung, Nhu-Tung Nguyen and Hoang Tien Dung Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Hanoi University of Industry, Vietnam

Key words: Calculation of residual stress when grinding, Johnson-Cook's material model, AISI 1018 steel, surface layer, grinding, steel

Corresponding Author:

Do DucTrung Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Hanoi University of Industry, Vietnam

Page No.: 2229-2233 Volume: 15, Issue 10, 2020 ISSN: 1816-949x Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences Copy Right: Medwell Publications

INTRODUCTION

Grinding is a popular processing method used in mechanical engineering. When researching on the grinding process, parameters are often chosen as criteria to evaluate the efficiency of the machining process such as surface roughness, cutting force, residual stres on surface layer. The residual stress on the surface layer of machine part has a great influence on the ability of the part to work through the effect of the residual stress on the fatigue strength of the product. The study of residual stress on surface layer of parts when grinding has been carried out by a number of scientists. Zhang et al.^[1] conducted experiments to determine the influence of the cutting speed, the feed-rate and the cutting depth to residual stress on surface layer when using WA60L6V grinding wheel to grind 42CrMo steel. Nie et al.^[2] conducted a simulation of prediction of

Abstract: This study presented a study on calculation of residual stress on the surface layer of workpiece when grinding AISI 1018 steel. Johnson-Cook's material model has been applied to build a relationship between residual stress on the surface layer and the parameters of the machining process. This relationship was used to calculate the values of residual stress when grinding. The calculated results of residual stress were compared with the experimental results. The results showed that the residual stress values when calculating were quite close to the values from experimental works. The average deviation between the calculated results and the experimental results is only about 15.96%. The results of this study offer a promising method for calculation residual stresses on surface layer when grinding AISI1018 steel.

residual stress on surface layer using a coupled thermomechanical modeling method based on FEM analysis of the cutting process of a abrasive grain, then they conducted experiments to evaluate the simulation results when grinding 2Cr12Ni4Mo3VNbN steel with WA400×30×27A80L5V35 grinding wheel. Shen et al.^[3] investigated the residual stress on surface layer when grinding 3J33 Maraging steel with CBN grinding wheel. Xiao *et al.*^[4] studied to build a model of residual stress on surface layer and then conducted experiments when using CBN grinding wheel to grind camshaft of nodular cast iron material. Chen et al.^[5] simulated the residual stress on surface layer when grinding by assuming heat source infuses the surface of parts processed in triangular and rectangular form and then they conducted the experiments of grinding En9 steel with 19A60L7V grinding wheel to verify the simulation results. Huang et al.^[6] investigated residual stress on surface layer

when using SA80KV grinding wheel to grind GH4169 material. Tonissen et al.^[7] Mahdi and Zhang^[8] analyzed the effect of grinding heat on residual stress on surface layer when grinding based on analysis of phase transformation of materials, then they conducted the experiment of grinding EN23 steel to evaluate the accuracy of the calculated results compared with the experimental results. Nguyen Van Cuong calculated the residual stress of surface layer when grinding AISI 1045 by Norton 38A120-KVBE grinding wheel. Xu et al.^[9] investigated residual stress on surface layer when using diamond grinding wheel with four different grain sizes of 80, 150, 320 and 600 to grind two materials: Polycrystal Xirconia (Y-TZP) and a Zirconia-Toughened Alumina (ZTA). Da Silva et al.^[10] conducted experiments to determine residual stress on surface layer when grinding AISI 4340 steel with FE38A60KV grinding wheel. Sallem et al.[11] studied the determination of residual stress on the surface layer when grinding High-Speed Steel (HSS) outside with CBN grinding wheel. Brosse et al. used SYSWELD software to determine the residual stresses on surface layer using finite element analysis. LeMaster et al.[12] experimented to determine the effect of the grinding depth on the change of stress on the gear surface when using vitrified alumina grinding wheel for grinding gears made of hard material 58-62 HRC. Gunwant et al.[13] used ANSYS software to simulate the residual stress on surface layer grinding AISI 52100 steel. Li et al.[14] when experimented to investigate the effect of some machining conditions on residual stress on surface layer when using CBN grinding wheel to grind Ti-6Al-4V alloys (TC4 alloys). The residual stress was investigated when using B126N11VD47ST140 grinding wheel to grind 1.4108 (DIN-code) steel with hardness 62 HRC. Hamdi et al.^[15] investigated the residual stress on surface layer when using two different types of grinding wheel, 2A60I6V and 2A80J7V to grind AISI 52100 steel. Grum and Zerovmk.^[16] used RAPOLD 8A60-H7B14 grinding wheel to investigate the residual stress when grinding 80WCrV8 steel, etc. In this study, a model was built to predict residual stress on surface layer when grinding ASIS 1018 steel. The predicted residual stress values were compared to the experimental results.

A model to determine residual stress on surface layer when grinding asis 1018 steel: AISI 1018 carbon steel is a free machining grade that is the most commonly available grade around the world. Although its mechanical properties are not very unique, it still can be easily formed, machined, welded and fabricated. Equivalent symbols of ASIS 1018 steel of some countries are presented in Table 1. In Table 2 and 3

Table 1: Equaivalent of ASIS 1018 steel^[18]

USA	Germany	Japan	England
ASTM/AISI/UNS/SAE	DIN, WNr	JIS	BS
1018	CK15	S15	1.1141

Table 2:	Chemical c	composition A	SIS 1018 steel ¹¹	[9]	
Element	С	Mn	p-values	S	Fe
[%]	0.15-0.20	0.60-0.90	< 0.04	< 0.05	Balance

respectively, the chemical composition and some characteristics of ASIS 1018 steel. The Johnson-Cook stress model is shown as follows ^[17, 18, 19]:

$$\sigma = \left(A + B\epsilon^{n}\right) \left(1 + C \ln \ln \left(\frac{\dot{\epsilon}}{\dot{\epsilon}_{0}}\right)\right) \left(1 - \left(\frac{T - T_{r}}{T_{m} - T_{r}}\right)^{m}\right)$$
(1)

Where:

- σ : Residual stress
- A : Initial yield strength
- B : Strain hardening coefficient
- ε : Equivalent plastic strain
- έ : Equivalent plastic strain rate
- $\dot{\epsilon}_0$: Reference plastic strain rate
- n : Strain hardening exponen
- C : Strain rate coefficient
- T : Current Temperature
- T. : Reference Temperature
- T_m: Melting Temperature
- m : Thermal softening exponent

For AISI 1018 steel, the value of some parameters in Eq. 1 is valid as shown in Table 4^[17, 18, 19]. About the values of equivalent plastic strain (ϵ) and equivalent plastic strain rate ($\dot{\epsilon}$) are quantities that are difficult to determine. Thus, in this study, the value of equivalent plastic strain and equivalent plastic strain rate will be selected according to the research by Buchelya *et al.*^[20], Shao *et al.*^[21] and Davim *et al.*^[22], $\epsilon = 2.36$ and $\dot{\epsilon} = 6.3 \times 10^6$. Since, then, the Johnson-Cook stress model of AISI 1018 steel is written as follows:

$$\sigma = \left(520 + 269 \times 2.36^{0.282}\right) \left(1 + 0.0476 * \ln\left(\frac{6.3 \times 10^6}{1.0}\right)\right)$$

$$\left(1 - \left(\frac{T - 25}{1470 - 25}\right)^{0.553}\right)$$
(2)

Or:

$$\sigma = 1505.608 \times \left(1 - \left(\frac{T - 25}{1445}\right)^{0.553}\right)$$
(3)

Thus, in order to determine the residual stress, it is necessary to determine the value of the heat component

	J. 1	Eng. A	pplied	Sci.,	15	(10):	2229	-2233,	2020
--	------	--------	--------	-------	----	-------	------	--------	------

Table 3 Characteristic properties of ASIS 1018 steel^[20]

Properties	Metric	Imperial
Tensile strength	440 Mpa	63800 psi
Yield strength	370 Mpa	53700 psi
Modulus of elasticity	205 Gpa	29700 ksi
Shear modulus (typical for steel)	80 Gpa	11600 ksi
Poisson's ratio	0.29	0.29
Elongation at break (in 50 mm)	15%	15%
Hardness, Brinell	126	126
Hardness, Knoop (converted from Brinell hardness)	145	145
Hardness, Rockwell B (converted from Brinell hardness)	71	71
Hardness, Vickers (converted from Brinell hardness)	131	131
Machinability (based on AISI 1212 steelas 100 machin ability)	70	70

able 4. I arameters of AISI 1018 steel in Johnson-Cook model							
Parameters	А	В	n	С	$\mathbf{\epsilon}_0$	T_{m}	m
Units	Мра	Mpa	-	-	s ⁻¹	⁰ C	-
Values	520	269	0.282	0.0476	1	1470	0.553

Table 5: Parameters for calculation residual stress

Parameters	Symbols	Values		
Type of grinding wheel	Norton 38A120-KVBE			
The diameter of the grinding wheel	d _g	150 (mm)		
The equivalent diameter of grinding wheel (surface grinding)	$d_g^* d_w/d_g + d_w$	150 (mm)		
The mesh number used in the grading sieve of the grinding wheel	Ň	120		
The thermal diffusivity of the part material	α	5.8		
The thermal conductivity of the material	k	$16.7 (W m K^{-1})$		
The ratio of the volume of the grinding grain cut to the surface of the part	f	0.5		
The chip width to thickness ratio	r	10		
The positive coefficient	n ₁	1		
The percentage of the volume of the grinding grain compared	S	0.2		
to the total volume of the grinding wheel				
The ratio of heat transferred to the workpiece compared to the total heat source	t	0.75		
generated during the grinding process				
The speed of the grinding wheel	Vg	23.94 (m/sec)		
The depth of cut	t	15.24 (µm)		
The speed of the workpiece	V _w	1.524 (m/min)		

acting on the part surface during machining T. The relationship between cutting heat and grinding parameters is determined by the following equation:

$$T = 1.13.\tau.\alpha^{(1/2)}.M^{(n_1)}\frac{1}{k}\frac{1}{12,5n_1}\frac{1}{\frac{n_1}{f_2}}\frac{1}{n_1}t$$

$$\frac{3-n_1}{4}V_g^{n/4}V_w\frac{2-n_1}{4}\left(\frac{d_g.d_w}{d_g+d_w}\right)\frac{1+n_1}{7}\left(\frac{3s}{4\pi}\right)^{\frac{n_1}{3}}$$
(4)

Where ε is the ratio of heat transferred to the workpiece compared to the total heat source generated during the grinding process. When grinding Al₂O₃ wheel, the value of ε ranges from 60-90%^[23] when grinding CBN wheel, this value is about 84%; α -is the thermal diffusivity of the part material, α can be found in^[24]; M-is the mesh number used in the grading sieve of the grinding wheel n₁-is the positive coefficient, ranging from 0.8-1^[25]; k-is the thermal conductivity of the material, k can be found in f-is the ratio of the volume of the grinding grain cut to the surface of the part, f = 0.5^[26]; r-is the chip width to thickness ratio, "r" ranges from 10-20^[27]; t -is the depth of cut v_g -is the speed of the grinding wheel v_w -is the workpiece speed; d_s -is the diameter of the grinding wheel; d_w is the workpiece diameter when surface grinding, $d_w = \infty$ so, it can be considered $dg \times Dw/(d_g + d_w) = d_g$; s is the percentage of the volume of the grinding grain compared to the total volume of the grinding wheels value ranges from 12.5-37.5%^[23].

Combining Eq. 3 and 4 will be the relationship between residual stresses and parameters of the machining process when grinding AISI 1018 steel. This relationship allows predicting the value of residual stress in each grinding condition of AISI 1018 steel in each specific case.

Comparison of residual stresses when predicted and when tested: Experimental research data when examining the effect of cutting condition to residual stress on surface layer when grinding AISI 1018 steel with vitrified-bond aluminum oxide wheel (Norton 38A120-KVBE) by Shao^[21] was selected for comparison with the residual stress value when calculating in this study. Some parameters determined from the experimental conditions by Shao^[21] were presented in Table 4 and 5.

	J. Eng. Applied Sci.,	15	(10):	2229-	-2233.	2020
--	-----------------------	----	-------	-------	--------	------

Та	ble	6:	V	alue	of	re	esidual	stress	when	calcula	ating	and	exp	perin	nent
P	• 1		ı .		0		>								

Residual stress (Mpa)			
Current temperature by Eq. (4), T (°C)	Calculated	Measured [26]	Deviation of residual stress (%)
905.69	360.64	311	15.96

Use the data in Table 4 and 5 to calculate the value of residual stress according to two Eq. 3 and 4 in two different cases of the value of the workpiece velocity. Calculation results and experimental results are presented in Table 6. From the results in Table 6, the residual stress values are quite consistent compared to the experiments with an average deviation of only about 15.96%.

CONCLUSION

This study applied Johnson-Cook's material model to build the relationship between the residual stress on surface layer and parameters of machining process when grinding AISI 1018 steel. The predicted results have been compared to the experimental results. The residual stress values that were predicted were quite consistent with the values of experimental. This shows that the results of this study can be used to predict residual stress on surface layeres when grinding AISI 1018 steel in each specific case of grinding method, type of grinding wheel, parameters of technology. This significantly reduces machine adjustment cost, test machining cost, contributes to improving the economic and technical efficiency of the AISI 1018 steel grinding process.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The researchers would like to express their gratitude with the help of Ha Noi University of industry (http://haui.edu.vn/) during the implementation of this study.

REFERENCES

- Zhang, J., G.C. Wang, H.J. Pei and G.C. Wang, 2017. Effects of grinding parameters on residual stress of 42CrMo steel surface layer in Grind-hardening. Adv. Eng. Res., 134: 42-45.
- 02. Nie, Z., G. Wang, L. Wang and Y.K. Rong, 2019. A coupled thermomechanical modeling method for predicting grinding residual stress based on randomly distributed abrasive grains. J. Manufacturing Sci. Eng., Vo. 141, No. 8.
- 03. Shen, S., B. Li and W. Guo, 2019. Residual stresses distributions in grinding of 3J33 Maraging steel with miniature electroplated CBN wheel. MATEC Web Conf., Vol. 256,

- Xiao, G., R. Stevenson, I.M. Hanna and S.A. Hucker, 2002. Modeling of residual stress in grinding of nodular cast iron. J. Manuf. Sci. Eng., 124: 833-839.
- Chen, X., W.B. Rowe and D.F. McCormack, 2000. Analysis of the transitional temperature for tensile residual stress in grinding. J. Mater. Proc. Technol., 107: 216-221.
- 06. Huang, X.C., D.H. Zhang, S.H.I. Kai-Ning and R.E.N. Jing-Xin, 2016. Research on the mechanism of residual stress and its influence on fatigue life in grinding superalloy. DEStech Trans. Eng. Technol. Res., Vol. 1.
- 07. Tonissen, S., F. Klocke, B. Feldhaus, S. Buchholz and M. Weib, 2012. Residual stress prediction in quick point grinding. Prod. Eng., 6: 243-249.
- Mahdi, M. and L. Zhang, 1998. Applied mechanics in grinding-VI. Residual stresses and surface hardening by coupled thermo-plasticity and phase transformation. Int. J. Mach. Tools Manuf., 38: 1289-1304.
- 09. Xu, H.H., S. Jahanmir and L.K. Ives, 1997. Effect of grinding on strength of tetragonal zirconia and zirconia-toughened Alumina. Mach. Sci. Technol., 1: 49-66.
- Da Silva, L.R., D.A. da Silva, F.V. dos Santos and F.J. Duarte, 2019. Study of 3D parameters and residual stress in grinding of AISI 4340 steel hardened using different cutting fluids. Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol., 100: 895-905.
- Sallem, H. and H. Hamdi, 2015. Analysis of measured and predicted residual stresses induced by finish cylindrical grinding of high speed steel with CBN wheel. Procedia Cirp, 31: 381-386.
- LeMaster, R., B. Boggs, J. Bunn, C. Hubbard and T. Watkins, 2007. Grinding induced changes in residual stresses of carburized gears. Lateral, 4: 42-47.
- 13. Gunwant, D.S., K.C. Harshal, R.R. Deshmukh and S.D. Deshmukh, 2012. Modeling and analysis of surface grinding for residual stresses in workpiece. Int. J. Mech. Eng. Technol., 3: 344-349.
- Li, J., Y.K. Jia, N.Y. Shen, Z. Yu and W. Zhang, 2015. Effect of grinding conditions of a TC4 titanium alloy on its residual surface stresses. Strength Mater., 47: 2-11.

- Hamdi, H., H. Zahouani and J.M. Bergheau, 2004. Residual stresses computation in a grinding process. J. Mater. Proc. Technol., 147: 277-285.
- Grum, J. and P. Zerovmk, 1997. Residual stresses in steels after heat treatments and grinding. WIT. Trans. Eng. Sci., 17: 33-44.
- Jaspers, S.P.F.C. and J.H. Dautzenberg, 2002. Material behaviour in conditions similar to metal cutting: Flow stress in the primary shear zone. J. Mater. Process. Technol., 122: 322-330.
- Johnson, G.R. and W.H. Cook, 1985. Fracture characteristics of three metals subjected to various strains, strain rates, temperatures and pressures. Eng. Fract. Mech., 21: 31-48.
- List, G., G. Sutter, X.F. Bi, A. Molinari and A. Bouthiche, 2013. Strain, strain rate and velocity fields determination at very high cutting speed. J. Mater. Process. Technol., 213: 693-699.
- Buchely, M.F., X. Wang, D.C. Van Aken, R.J. O'Malley, S. Lekakh and K. Chandrashekhara, 2019. The use of genetic algorithms to calibrate Johnson-Cook strength and failure parameters of AISI/SAE 1018 steel. J. Eng. Mater. Technol., Vol. 141, No. 2.

- Shao, Y., 2015. Predictive modeling of residual stress in MQL grinding and surface characteristics in grinding of ceramics. Ph.D. Thesis, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia.
- Davim, J.P. and C. Maranhao, 2009. A study of plastic strain and plastic strain rate in machining of steel AISI 1045 using FEM analysis. Mater. Des., 30: 160-165
- Malkin, S. and R.B. Anderson, 1974. Thermal aspects of grinding: Part 1-energy partition. J. Eng. Ind., 96: 1177-1183.
- 24. Singleton, R., 2012. Utilisation of chip thickness models in grinding. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, England.
- Shaw, M.C., 1996. Principles of Abrasive Processing. Oxford University Press, England, UK., ISBN: 9780198590217, Pages: 574.
- 26. Mayer Jr., J.E., G.P. Fang and R.L. Kegg, 1994. Effect of grit depth of cut on strength of ground ceramics. CIRP Anal., 43: 309-312.
- 27. Zhang, P. and M.H. Miller, 2012. Grinding wheel condition prediction and improvement. Michigan Technological University, Houghton, Michigan.