

# Wireless Data Collection for Animal Monitoring System using UAV

<sup>1</sup>Agus Sukoco, <sup>2</sup>Aciek Ida Wuryandari, <sup>2</sup>Ary Setijadi Prihatmanto, <sup>3</sup>Rifki Wijaya and <sup>4</sup>Silvana Rasio Henim <sup>1</sup>Department of Informatics, Faculty of Computer Science, Universitas Bandar Lampung, Lampung, Indonesia <sup>2</sup>Electrical Engineering, School of Electrical Engineering, and Informatic, Institute Telepologi Pandung,

<sup>2</sup>Electrical Engineering, School of Electrical Engineering and Informatic, Institute Teknologi Bandung, Bandung, Indonesia

<sup>3</sup>Department of Computer Engineering, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Telkom University, Bandung, Indonesia

<sup>4</sup>Department of Informatics Engineering Program, Politeknik Caltex Riau

**Key words:** Conservation area, delay tolerant network, challenging network, UAV, raspberry pi, IBR-DTN

### **Corresponding Author:**

Agus Sukoco Department of Informatics, Faculty of Computer Science, Universitas Bandar Lampung, Lampung, Indonesia

Page No.: 126-129 Volume: 16, Issue 3, 2021 ISSN: 1816-949x Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences Copy Right: Medwell Publications

## INTRODUCTION

Conservation as an effort to prevent the extinction of rare animals such as rhinoceros. In rhino conservation, it is difficult to detect the presence of rhinoceros in the conservation area. One way to detect the presence of a rhino is to use a camera trap. Camera will capture image and video if there is an object that crosses camera trap system.

One of the problems in the conservation area is to send the image and video files obtained from the camera trap to the data center. This is due to the absence of network infrastructure in the conservation area. Data retrieval on existing camera trap systems in the rhino Abstract: One of the problems in the conservation area is the absence of network infrastructure to send files obtained from the camera trap to the data center. To build a network infrastructure in conservation area requires a large investment. One of the solution of this problems is using Delay Tolerant Network (DTN). DTN architectures can solve a problem on a challenging network that does not have a routing path. Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) is used as data carrier in order to fix this issues. In this work DTN implemented using raspberry pi with IBR-DTN framework. IBR-DTN framework is an IBR-DTN is an implementation of rfc5050 and designed for embedded Linux system. The simulation result shows that file transfer system can send file from source to destination and work properly with the average data transfer rate is 3.6 Mbps.

conservation area is done manually. One of the solution for data transmission problem is to use the Delay Tolerant Network architecture.

Delay Tolerant Network (DTN) architecture is proposed by Fall<sup>[1]</sup>. DTN architecture aimed to unstable network that has high latency, long delay and routing path may not exist (a challenging network) such as terrestrial mobile networks, exotic media networks, military ad-hoc networks and sensor/actuator networks<sup>[1]</sup>.

DTN architecture use store-carry and forward concept. It means if there is no connection available from source to destination, then source will store and carry the message until the connection available. DTN architecture has a new protocol layer called bundle layer to accommodate this concept<sup>[2]</sup>. Bundle layer lay between application layer and transport layer. Protocol layer structure on DTN can be seen on Fig. 1.

Bundle layer using persistent storage to solve problem on the network. This layer has a responsibility for reliable delivery. The messages transformed into one or more protocol data unit called "bundle" by bundle layer before they are forwarded to other nodes. Endpoint Identifiers (EIDs) are used to identify source and destination of a bundle []. DTN has custody transfer mechanism to increase delivery reliability. Custody transfer provide a retransmission mechanism if bundle fails to transmit<sup>[3]</sup>.

**Literature review:** This section describes previous research related to delay tolerant networks for tracking wildlife.

Wildsense was proposed by Ahn *et al.*<sup>[4]</sup>. Wildsense intended to monitor spread of diseases among deer by record their movement pattern, location and interaction behavior using a collar that equipped several sensors. Wildsense use DTN to relay information between radio-based collar nodes<sup>[4]</sup>.

Tovar *et al.*<sup>[5]</sup> apply DTN in wireless sensor network to monitor the current status of White Tail Deer in Ontario, Canada. The method has simulate in PlanetLab environment to evaluate it<sup>[5]</sup>.




Fig. 1: DTN layers<sup>[2]</sup>

ZebraNet is designed by Juang *et al.*<sup>[6]</sup> to tracking wildlife in Kenya by tracking collars. DTN used to store and forward zebra's mobility pattern and receives information update in mobile base station.

In this work DTN implemented on raspberry pi and installed it on vehicle like Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) as data carrier to data center.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Design and implementation:** The image delivery system proposed in this work is illustrated on Fig. 2. There are three DTN nodes:

- Raspberry Pi as bundle sender (raspberry pi conservation area)
- Raspberry Pi installed on UAV as intermediate node (raspberry pi UAV)
- A computer on Data Centre as bundle receiver

For simulation system scenario, devices that use in Table 1. File transfer mechanism in this system as follows:

- Raspberry pi in conservation area receives image and video files from camera trap
- While UAV node detected, image and video files are transmitted to raspberry pi installed on UAV
- While UAV passed data centre, UAV transmit files to data centre

IBR-DTN is an implementation of rfc5050 and designed for embedded Linux system. IBR-DTN framework is used to implement this scenario because it suitable for embedded environment. IBR-DTN use IP Neighbor Discovery (IPND) to discover neighbor node. System will transmit bundles (if exist) to neighbor that discover by IPND agent<sup>[7]</sup>. IBR-DTN provides five DTN routing algorithm, i.e., direct delivery routing, static routing, flooding routing, epidemic routing and prophet




Fig. 2: DTN system scenario

Table 1: Device specification for simulation

| Device                          | QTY | Interface |
|---------------------------------|-----|-----------|
| Laptop with Ubuntu OS as server | 1   | WiFi      |
| on data center                  |     |           |
| Raspberry pi 2 with USB WiFi    | 1   | WiFi      |
| Raspberry pi 1 with USB WiFi    | 1   | WiFi      |
| Wireless Access Point           | 2   |           |

| Parameters         | Values                              | Notes  |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| local_uri          | 1.dtn://raspberry pi                | EID of DTN:  |
|                    | 2.dtn://raspberry 1.dtn             | 1. TN node in forest   |
|                    | 3.dtn://pc1.dtn                     | 2. AV  |
|                    |                                     | 3. PC/Laptop in data center  |
| logfile            | /var/log/ibrdtn/prophet_routing.log | The path of log file   |
| limit_blocksize    | 1.3 G                               | Limit the block size of all bundles,   |
|                    |                                     | default 1.3G (1G = 1.000.00 0.000 bytes)   |
| api_port           | 4550                                | The port that daemon api to bind on  |
| fragmentation      | Yes                                 | Yes, to enable bundle fragmentation  |
| limit_payload      | 1000K                               | Size of bundle fragment if fragmentation enabled   |
|                    |                                     | 1000 K (Kilobyte) = 1 Megabyte   |
| blob_path          | /home/pi/ibrdtn/blob                | Define a folder for temporary storage of bundles   |
| storage_path       | /home/pi/ibrdtn/bundles             | Define a folder for persistent storage of bundles in transit   |
| storage            | Simple                              | Defines the storage module to use, "simple" is using memory or                                       |
|                    |                                     | disk (depending on storage_path)   |
| limit_storage      | 350 M                               | Limit the size of the storage. $(M = 1,000.000 \text{ bytes})$                                       |
| net_interfaces     | Wlan0                               | The interface to be used by DTN protocol layer   |
| net_lan0_type      | Тср                                 | Use TCP as protocol listen on interface wlan0 with port 4556 (default)                               |
| net_lan0_inter     | Wlan0                               |  |
| facenet_lan0_port  | 4556                                |  |
| routing            | Prophet                             | Routing algorithm  |
| routing_forwarding | Yes                                 | Yes, enable routing forwarding to other nodes  |
| time_synchronize   | Yes                                 | Yes, enable synchronize with neighbors   |
| dht_enabled        | Yes                                 | Yes, enable the distributed Hash Table (DHT), a lookup table access for knowledge of other DTN nodes |

routing. For a good delivery ratio, we use prophet routing algorithm because it has good delivery ratio compare to epidemic routing<sup>[8]</sup>. The IBR-DTN configuration setting as shown in Table 2. DTN tools that provide by IBR-DTN is used to transfer file from raspberry pi in conservation area to data center. Application using python were develop to implement this tools.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The system implementation is tested by sending 100 file with size 3-6 Mega Bytes (MB). IBR-DTN API will divide file into several fragment according to the size already defined in IBR-DTN configuration file. The system will detect every new file received from the camera trap and then make the delivery process. The transmission time from raspberry pi in conservation area to UAV and UAV to data center as shown in Table 3 and 4.

Calculation of data rate is done using the data contained in Table 3. The calculation results can be seen in the Table 5 and 6.

| Table 3: Transmission | time     | test' | result | from | Raspberry | Pi in |
|-----------------------|----------|-------|--------|------|-----------|-------|
| conservation a        | rea to I | JAV   |        |      |           |       |

|                |              | Total file | Transmission |
|----------------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| File size (MB) | No. of files | size (MB)  | time (sec)   |
| 3.1            | 11           | 34.1       | 118          |
| 4              | 10           | 40         | 127          |
| 4.1            | 8            | 32.8       | 84           |
| 4.3            | 6            | 25.8       | 76           |
| 4.4            | 12           | 52.8       | 132          |
| 4.5            | 8            | 36         | 83           |
| 4.7            | 10           | 47         | 129          |
| 5              | 11           | 55         | 123          |
| 6.1            | 12           | 73.2       | 136          |
| 6.2            | 7            | 43.4       | 73           |
| 6.3            | 8            | 50.4       | 95           |

| Table 4: Transmission time test' | result from Raspberry Pi on UAV to |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| PC in data centre                | * •                                |

|                | No. of     | Total file | Transmission |
|----------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| File size (MB) | files sent | size (MB)  | time (sec)   |
| 3.10           | 11         | 34         | 46           |
| 4.00           | 10         | 40         | 102          |
| 4.10           | 8          | 33         | 78           |
| 4.30           | 6          | 26         | 62           |
| 4.40           | 12         | 53         | 125          |
| 4.50           | 8          | 36         | 82           |
| 4.70           | 10         | 47         | 104          |
| 5.00           | 11         | 55         | 117          |
| 6.10           | 12         | 73         | 137          |
| 6.20           | 7          | 43         | 153          |
| 6.30           | 8          | 50         | 89           |

Table 5: Data transfer rate result from Raspberry Pi in conservation area to UAV

|                | No. of files | Total file | Data transfer |
|----------------|--------------|------------|---------------|
| File size (MB) | sent         | size (MB)  | rate (Mbps)   |
| 3.1            | 11           | 34.1       | 2.312         |
| 4              | 10           | 40         | 2.520         |
| 4.1            | 8            | 32.8       | 3.124         |
| 4.3            | 6            | 25.8       | 2.716         |
| 4.4            | 12           | 52.8       | 3.200         |
| 4.5            | 8            | 36         | 3.470         |
| 4.7            | 10           | 47         | 2.915         |
| 5              | 11           | 55         | 3.577         |
| 6.1            | 12           | 73.2       | 4.306         |
| 6.2            | 7            | 43.4       | 4.756         |
| 6.3            | 8            | 50.4       | 4.244         |

Table 6: Data transfer rate result from Raspberry Pi on UAV to PC in data centre

|                | No. of files | Total file | Data transfer |
|----------------|--------------|------------|---------------|
| File size (MB) | sent         | size (MB)  | rate (Mbps)   |
| 3.10           | 11           | 34         | 5.9304        |
| 4.00           | 10           | 40         | 3.1373        |
| 4.10           | 8            | 33         | 3.3641        |
| 4.30           | 6            | 26         | 3.3290        |
| 4.40           | 12           | 53         | 3.3792        |
| 4.50           | 8            | 36         | 3.5122        |
| 4.70           | 10           | 47         | 3.6154        |
| 5.00           | 11           | 55         | 3.7607        |
| 6.10           | 12           | 73         | 4.2745        |
| 6.20           | 7            | 43         | 2.2693        |
| 6.30           | 8            | 50         | 4.5303        |

# CONCLUSION

Based on simulation test, system can send file from conservation area to data center. System can detect UAV node and send file to it if there is new files detected in the system. System in data center can receive file successfully with average data transfer rate is 3.6 Mbps.

### REFERENCES

- 01. Fall, K., 2003. A delay-tolerant network architecture for challenged internets. Proceedings of the Conference on Applications, Technologies, Architectures and Protocols for Computer Communications, August 25-29, 2003, Karlsruhe, Germany, pp: 27-34.
- 02. Rodrigues, J.J.P.C., 2015. Advances in Delay-Tolerant Networks: Architecture and Enhanced Performance. Woodhead Publishing, Sawston, England,.
- 03. Spyropoulos, T., 2012. Delay Tolerant Networks Protocols and Applications. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida,.
- 04. Ahn, J., A. Mysore, K. Zybko, C. Krumm and S. Thokala *et al.*, 2016. Wildsense: Monitoring interactions among wild deer in harsh outdoor environments using a delay-tolerant WSN. J. Sens., Vol. 2016, 10.1155/2016/1693460
- 05. Tovar, A., T. Friesen, K. Ferens and B. McLeod, 2010. DTN wireless sensor network for wildlife habitat monitoring. Proceedings of the Conference CCECE 2010, May 2-5, 2010, IEEE, Calgary, Canada, pp: 1-5.
- 06. Juang, P., H. Oki, Y. Wang, M. Martonosi, L.S. Peh and D. Rubenstein, 2002. Energy-efficient computing for wildlife tracking: Design tradeoffs and early experiences with ZebraNet. ACM SIGPLAN Notices, 37: 96-107.
- 07. Schildt, S., J. Morgenroth, W.B. Pottner and L. Wolf, 2011. IBR-DTN: A lightweight, modular and highly portable bundle protocol implementation. Electr. Commun. EASST., Vol. 37,
- Abdelkader, T., K. Naik, A. Nayak, N. Goel and V. Srivastava, 2016. A performance comparison of delay-tolerant network routing protocols. IEEE Network, 30: 46-53.