

Comparative Evaluation of the Nutritive and Physico-Chemical Characteristics of the Leaves and Leaf Protein Concentrates from Two Edible Vegetables

O. Aletor, A.A. Oshodi and K.O. Ipinmoroti

Department of Chemistry, Federal University of Technology, P.M.B. 704, Akure, Nigeria

Abstract: The leaves and Leaf Protein Concentrates (LPCs) from two edible vegetable species; *Amaranthus hybridus* and *Manihot esculenta* were analysed for their chemical and physico-chemical characteristics. The LPCs were produced from these species using village-level, low-cost fractionation techniques. The leaves and their corresponding LPCs were subsequently characterized with respect to their proximate composition, mineral constituents, polyphenolic content and functional properties. On the average, the leaves contained 29.5 g 100 g⁻¹ DM crude protein (range 28.0-30.9 g 100 g⁻¹ DM) and 7.6 g 100 g⁻¹ DM crude fibre (range, 6.4-9.0 g 100 g⁻¹ DM). Gross energy averaged 459.0±22.6 kcal 100 g⁻¹. The leaf protein extract on the average contained 38.5 g 100 g⁻¹ DM crude protein (range, 35.2-41.7g 100 g⁻¹ DM); 1.0±0.6 g 100 g⁻¹ DM crude fibre; 7.7±2.0 g 100 g⁻¹ DM fat; 6.9±1.8 g 100 g⁻¹ DM ash and 554.5±113.8 kcal 100 g⁻¹ gross energy. Ca, Mg, Na, K were most abundant minerals in the leaves and leaf protein concentrate while Cu and Zn were the least abundant. The polyphenols, as tannic equivalent, were reduced in the protein extracts. The Fat Absorption Capacity (FAC) varied from 16.7±1.2% in *A. hybridus* to 19.3±1.2% in *M. esculenta* while the Water Absorption Capacity (WAC) varied from 158.0±1.2% in *M. esculenta* to 226.7±% in *A. hybridus* with a CV of 25%. The emulsion capacity and stability were similar between the two species as indicated by the low Coefficients of Variation (CV) of 15.4 and 14.2%, respectively. The foaming capacity and stability did not also vary widely between the LPCs as indicated by low CVs. All the samples had varying solubilities with multiple maxima and minima with changes in pH. Based on the analytical data, the nutritional potentials of these underutilized protein resources were discussed and the need for their incorporation into low-Nitrogen foods was recommended.

Key words: Comparative evaluation, nutritive, physico-chemical, leaf protein

INTRODUCTION

The rapid population growth in most African countries (Nigeria inclusive) has led to serious food crises, especially among the vulnerable groups such as the weanling, pre-school children, pregnant or nursing mothers, etc. This class of people are particularly prone to dietary protein, mineral and vitamin inadequacies (Aletor and Fasuyi, 1997; Coffman and Garcia, 1977). The dietary inadequacies which arise mainly from the high cost of animal proteins (milk, egg and meat) have, in some developing countries resulted in kwashiorkor, marasmus, infant blindness, mortality and morbidity Tee (1992). Cheap protein sources from edible leaf protein concentrates of *Amaranth hybridus* and *Manihot esculenta* Agbede and Aletor (2004) have been identified as having the potentials to mitigate the endemic incidence of protein undernutrition especially among the resource-poor persons in Sub-saharan Africa.

Cassava leaves (with an all-year-round availability in this region), although very rich in protein Aletor and

Adeogun (1995) have remained under researched and consequently under utilized. Similarly, large tonnages of cassava leaves are currently discarded as wastes after harvesting the roots. Although small quantities of these leaves may be consumed either as condiments in human diets or, as supplements to non-ruminant diets, the consumption of enough quantities of the leaves to meet protein needs especially by humans is impracticable due to high fibre and water (bulkiness) of the leaves.

It is therefore the objectives of this study, to characterize the leaves and the corresponding LPCs from these edible vegetables with respect to their proximate and mineral content, gross energy and nutritionally valuable mineral content. The functional properties of the LPCs were also determined.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The 2 edible vegetable samples-*Amaranthus hybridus* and *Manihot esculenta*-were purchased in early March from the open market in fresh conditions. The

vegetable stalks were removed and the leaves rinsed with distilled water before division into two portions. One portion was sun-dried while the other half was used for LPC production.

Leaf Protein Concentrate (LPC) production: Leaves of *A. hybridus* and *M. esculenta* were harvested fresh between October and November (at the beginning of dry season), from the campus of the Federal University of Technology, Akure. They were weighed and washed prior to pulping with a pulping machine. The flow-chart or fractionation scheme adapted from Fellow (1987) (Fig. 1) involved the pulping and separation of the leaf juice followed by heating to 80-90°C for 10 min to coagulate and pasteurize the leaf protein. Siphoning the hot whey using a rubber hose facilitated the rapid cooling of the LPC. The protein coagulum was thereafter filtered with a muslin bag and pressed with a screw press as described by Aletor (1993). The LPCs from *A. hybridus* and *M. esculenta* were greenish in colour but the green colour was deeper in LPCs from *M. esculenta* than *A. hybridus*. The LPCs were then pulverized, sun-dried and milled using a laboratory hammer mill (Dietz, Dettingen-Teck, West Germany). They were then kept in airtight containers and deep-frozen prior to chemical and physico-chemical analyses.

Chemical analysis: The proximate composition of these materials were determined as described by Pearson (1976) while N was by micro-kjeldahl method of AOAC (1990). The minerals were analysed after first dry-ashing at 550°C in a Muffle furnace and dissolved in deionised water to standard volume. Na and K were determined by flame photometry and P by vanadomolybdate method of AOAC (1990). Mg, Ca, Zn, Mn, Fe and Cu were determined using an atomic absorption spectrophotometer, AAS. The gross energy was computed from the proximate constituents as described by Ng and Wee (1989).

Quantification of tannin and phytin: Finely milled leaves and their corresponding LPCs (200 mg in 10 cm³ of 70% aqueous acetone) were extracted for 2 h at 30°C in a water-bath using a Gallenkamp orbital shaker (Electro Ltd., Avon, UK) at 120 r.p.m. Pigments and fat were first removed from the samples by extracting with diethyl ether containing 1% acetic acid. Thereafter the total polyphenols (as tannic equivalent) were determined in 0.05 cm³ aliquots in test tubes by the addition of distilled water to make it to 1.0 cm³, followed by the addition of 0.5 cm³ Folin Ciocalteu reagent (Sigma, St Louis, Mo, USA) and then 2.5 cm³ sodium carbonate solution. The tubes were vortexed and the absorbance recorded at




Fig. 1: Flow-chart of Leaf Protein Concentrate (LPC) production (Fellow, 1987)

725 nm after 40 min as described by Makkar and Goodchild (1996). The amount of total polyphenols (as tannic equivalent) was thereafter calculated from the standard curve.

For the quantification of phytin, 8 g each of finely ground leaf meal and LPC was soaked in 200 cm³ of 2% hydrochloric acid and allowed to stand for 3 h. The extract was thereafter filtered through two layers of hardened filter paper. Filtrate of 50 cm³ was pipetted in triplicate into 400 cm³ capacity beakers before the addition of 10 cm³ 0.3% ammonium thiocyanate solution as an indicator and 107 cm³ distilled water to obtain the proper acidity (pH 4.5). The solution was then titrated with a standard iron chloride (FeCl₃) solution containing 0.00195 g Fe L⁻¹ until a brownish yellow colour persisted for 5 min. Phytin-phosphorus was determined and phytin content was calculated by multiplying the value of phytin-phosphorus by 3.55 Young and Greaves (1940). Each milligram of iron is equivalent to 1.19 mg of phytin-phosphorus.

Determination of the functional properties of the LPCs: The Protein Solubilities (PS) of the LPC were determined as described by Oshodi and Aletor (1993), Adeyeye *et al.*, (1994) while the Water Absorption

Capacity (WAC) and fat emulsion stability were determined by the procedure of Beuchat (1977). The Fat Absorption Capacity (FAC) was determined as described by Sosulki (1962). Similarly, the Lowest Gelation Concentration (LGC), Foaming Capacity (FC) and foaming stability of the LPCs were determined using the techniques of Coffman and Garcia (1977).

Data analysis: All data used were means of triplicate (n = 3) determinations. The Coefficients of Variation (CV) between the edible vegetable species were determined Steel and Torrie (1980).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The crude protein of the leaf (Table 1) ranged from 28.0 to 30.9 g 100 g⁻¹ DM; crude fat from 6.4 to 9.0 g 100 g⁻¹ and fibre from 7.4 to 7.6 g 100 g⁻¹ DM in *A. hybridus* to *M. esculenta*, respectively. The LPCs on the other hand, contained on average 38.5±4.6; 7.7±2.0; 1.0±0.6; 6.9±1.8 g 100 g⁻¹ crude protein, crude fat, crude fibre and ash, respectively. Crude protein was higher in *M. esculenta* than in *A. hybridus*. The mean gross energy

values were 459.0±22.6 and 554.5±113.8 kcal 100 g⁻¹ for the leaf meals and LPCs, respectively. The values for the protein extracts from these vegetables surpass those reported by Agbede and Aletor (2004) for differently processed *Canavalia ensiformis* and *Mucuna pururiens* seeds flours. Subject to a high level of intake and amino acid supplementation, it is conceivable that quite a large proportion of animal protein requirement could be met by feeding these vegetable proteins.

The phytin and polyphenols (Table 2) were generally low in all samples. The low levels of anti-nutrients point to their potentials as food sources. High phytate diets are discouraged for monogastric animals, including man, due to lack of endogenous phytase-an enzyme which breaks down phytin to release phosphorus for metabolism. Of the major minerals (Table 3), Ca, Mg, Na and K were the most abundant in the leaf meal and LPCs while Cu and Mn were the least abundant. This indicates that the more nutritionally important minerals involved in the skeletal structure of the animal body-Ca, P and Mg Agbede and Aletor (2005) are well furnished by these vegetable species.

Table 1: Proximate composition (g 100 g⁻¹ DM) and gross energy (kcal 100 g⁻¹) of leaf meal and leaf protein concentrates of some edible vegetables (n=3)

Plant Sp.	Dry matter		Crude protein		Crude fat		Crude fibre		Ash		NFE		GE	
	LM	LPC	LM	LPC	LM	LPC	LM	LPC	LM	LPC	LM	LPC	LM	LPCs
<i>Amaranthus hybridus</i>	96.6±0.1	95.0±0.2	28.0±0.1	35.2±0.9	6.4±0.5	9.1±0.2	7.4±0.4	1.4±0.2	7.4±0.2	5.6±0.4	46.1±0.5	43.6±0.4	474.9	635.0
<i>Manihot esculenta</i>	94.3±0.2	97.7±0.4	30.9±0.2	41.7±0.4	9.0±0.3	6.3	7.8±0.1	0.5±0.1	1.5±0.1	8.1±0.2	34.9±0.2	59.0±0.1	443.0	474.0
Mean	95.1	96.4	29.5	38.5	7.7	7.7	7.6	1.0	9.5	6.9	40.5	57.3	459.0	554.5
SD	1.6	1.9	2.1	4.6	1.8	2.0	0.3	0.6	2.9	1.8	7.4	10.9	22.6	113.8
CV (%)	1.7	2.0	7.1	11.9	23.3	26.0	3.9	60.0	30.5	26.1	19.5	21.2	49.1	20.5

LM: Leaf Meal; LPC: Leaf Protein Concentrates; NFE: Nitrogen Free Extract; GE: Gross Energy; CV: Coefficient of Variation

Table 2: Phytin, phytin-phosphorus and polyphenolic contents of leaf meal and leaf protein concentrates of some edible vegetables

Vegetables	Phytin (µg 100 g ⁻¹)		Phytin phosphorus (µg 100 g ⁻¹)		Polyphenols (as tannic acid, g 100 g ⁻¹ DM)	
	LM	LPC	LM	LPC	LM	LPC
<i>Amaranth hybridus</i>	220.0	160	180.0	130.0	0.80	0.6
<i>Manihot esculenta</i>	120.0	160	130.0	100.0	1.50	0.5
Mean	170.0	160	155.0	115.0	1.15	0.6
SD	70.7	0	35.4	21.2	0.50	0.1
CV (%)	41.6	0	22.8	18.4	43.50	18.2

LM: Leaf Meal; LPC: Leaf Protein Concentrates; NFE: Nitrogen Free Extract; GE: Gross Energy; CV: Coefficient of Variation

Table 3: Major and trace mineral components (mg kg⁻¹) of leaf meal and leaf protein concentrates of some edible plant species (n = 3)

Vegetables	Major minerals								Minor minerals									
	Ca		Na		K		P		Mg		Mn		Fe		Cu		Zn	
	LM	LPC	LM	LPC	LM	LPC	LM	LPC	LM	LPC	LM	LPC	LM	LPC	LM	LPC	LM	LPC
<i>Amaranth hybridus</i>	766.1	457.1	405.7	320.10	421.8	112.2	266.4	224.1	257.3	137.1	8.70	1.0	97.0	67.7	ND	ND	203.7	93.5
<i>Manihot esculenta</i>	136.1	287.5	174.7	362.80	156.3	299.8	60.7	110.2	125.2	259.3	0.10	1.7	9.5	18.5	0.3	ND	77.8	118.0
Mean	451.1	372.3	290.2	341.50	289.1	206.0	163.6	167.2	191.3	198.2	4.40	1.35	53.3	43.1	-	-	140.8	105.8
SD	445.5	126.7	163.3	30.20	187.7	132.7	145.5	80.5	93.4	86.4	6.08	0.50	61.9	34.8	-	-	89.0	17.3
CV (%)	98.8	32.2	56.3	8.84	65.0	64.4	88.9	48.1	48.8	43.6	138.20	37.00	116.1	80.7	-	-	63.2	16.4

LM: Leaf Meal; LPC: Leaf Protein Concentrates; NFE: Nitrogen Free Extract; GE: Gross Energy; CV: Coefficient of Variation

Table 4: Functional properties (%) of some edible leaf protein concentrates (n = 3)

Vegetables	Fat absorption capacity	Water absorption capacity	Emulsion capacity	Emulsion stability at 1 h	Foaming capacity	Foaming stability at 1 h	Least gelation concentration
<i>Amaranth hybridus</i>	16.7±1.2	226.7±1.2	46.6±0.3	44.5±0.2	4.8±0.3	2.1±0.1	5.0±0.1
<i>Manihot esculenta</i>	19.3±1.2	158.0±1.2	56.9±0.1	55.4±0.3	4.9±0.1	2.0±0.1	8.0±0.0
Mean	18.0	192.4	51.6	50.0	0.9	2.1	6.5
SD	1.9	48.6	7.3	7.7	0.1	0.1	2.1
CV (%)	10.6	25.3	14.2	15.4	2.0	4.8	32.3

CV: Coefficient of Variation




Fig. 2: Protein solubilities as a function of pH

Table 4 shows the cumulative mean values (%) of fat absorption, water absorption, emulsion capacity, emulsion stability, foaming capacity and foaming stability of the two different leaf protein concentrates to be 18.0±1.9%, 192.4±48.6, 51.6±7.3, 50.0±7.7, 4.9±0.1 and 2.1±0.1%, respectively. These values compare well with those reported for some legumes and defatted fluted pumpkin (*T. occidentalis*) seed flours by Fagbemi *et al.*, (2006). This suggests that the LPC may be a good

emulsifying agent and may be useful as a meat additive insausage production, salad dressing preparation and in pie fillings. The solubilities of the LPCs varied with pH (Fig. 2) with multiple maxima and minima. *A. hybridus* had minimum solubility at pH 4 and maximum solubility at pH 1 and 12 while *M. esculenta* had minimum solubility at pH 1 and maximum solubility at pH 8 and 11. Results on functional properties of these leaf protein concentrates clearly indicate their potentials for the development of different food products or systems.

CONCLUSION

Given the relatively high nutrient constituents and the desirable physico-chemical (functional) attributes of the LPCs, it is suggested that they may be used in enhancing the nutritive value of low-nitrogen foods such as maize gruel (ogi) or other high carbohydrate foods commonly consumed by resource-poor citizens. They may also find important uses as binders or extenders in food systems.

REFERENCES

Adeyeye, E.I., A.A. Oshodi and K.O. Ipinmoroti, 1994. Functional properties of some varieties of African yam bean (*Sphenostylis sternocarpa*) flour II. Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr., 45: 829-836.

Agbede, J.O. and V.A. Aletor, 2004. Chemical characterization and protein quality evaluation of leaf protein concentrates from *Glyricidia sepium* and *Leucaena leucocephala*. Int. J. Food Sci. Tech., 39: 253-261.

Agbede, J.O. and V.A. Aletor, 2005. Studies of the chemical composition and protein quality evaluation of differently processed *Canaralia ensiformis* and *Mucuna pruriens* seed flours. J. Food Comp. Anal., 18: 89-103.

Aletor, V.A., 1993. Cyanide in garri 2: An assessment of some aspects of the nutrition, biochemistry and haematology of the rat fed garri containing varying residual cyanide levels. Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr., 44: 289-295.

- Aletor, V.A. and O.A. Adeogun, 1995. Nutrient and anti-nutrient constituents of some tropical leafy vegetables. *Food Chem.*, 53: 375-379.
- Aletor, V.A. and O.A. Fasuyi, 1997. Nutrient composition and processing effect on cassava leaf (*Manihot esculenta*, Crantz) anti-nutrients. Proc. 2nd Ann. Conf. Anim. Sci. Ass. Nig. (ASAN). Airport Hotel, Lagos, pp: 231-242.
- Aletor, V.A., A.A. Oshodi and K.O. Ipinmoroti, 2002. Chemical composition of common leafy vegetables and functional properties of their leaf protein concentrate. *Food Chem.*, 78: 63-65.
- AOAC, 1990. Official Methods of Analysis. (14th Edn.), Washington DC, USA: Association of Official Analytical Chemists.
- Beuchat, L.R., 1977. Functional and electrophoretic characteristics of succinylated peanut flour protein. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 25: 258-261.
- Coffman, C.W. and V.V. Garcia, 1977. Functional properties and amino acid content of a protein isolate from mung bean flour. *J. Food Tech.*, 12: 473-484.
- Fagbemi, T.N., A.A. Oshodi and K.O. Ipinmoroti, 2006. Effects of processing on the functional properties of full fat deffated fluted pumpkin (*Telfaria occidentalis*) seed flours. *J. Food Tech.*, 4: 70-79.
- Fellow, P., 1987. Village scale fractionation in Ghana. *Trop. Sci.*, 39: 368-370.
- Makkar, H.P.S. and A.V. Goodchild, 1996. Qualification of Tannis. A laboratory manual P_{IV-XXV}, Alelop, Syria: International Centre for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA).
- Ng, W.K. and K.L. Wee, 1989. The nutritive value of cassava leaf meal in pelleted food for Nile Tilapia. *Aquaculture*, 83: 45-58.
- Osborne, D.R. and D. Voogt, 1978. The analyses of nutrients in foods. London: Academic Press.
- Oshodi, A.A. and V.A. Aletor, 1993. Functional properties of haemagglutinins (Lectins) extracted from three edible varieties of lima beans (*Phaseolous lunatus*). *Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr.*, 44: 133-136.
- Oshodi, A.A., H.N. Ogungbenle and M.O. Oladimeji, 1999. Chemical composition, nutritionally valuable minerals and functional properties of benniseed (*Sesamum radiatum*), pearl millet (*Pennisetum typhoides*) and quinoa (*Chemopodium quinoa*) flours. *Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr.*, 50: 325-331.
- Pearson, P., 1976. Chemical Analysis of Foods. 6th Edn., London, Churchill, pp: 6-9.
- Sosulki, F.W., 1962. The centrifuge method for determining flour absorption in hard red. Wheats *Cereal Chem.*, 39: 344-350.
- Steel, R.G.D. and T.A. Torrie, 1980. Principles and procedures of statistics. Singapore. McGraw Hill. Int. Book Coy.
- Tee, E.S., 1992. Carotenoids and Retinoids in human nutrition. *Critical Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.*, 31: 103-163.
- Vogel, A.T., 1962. Quantitative inorganic analysis. London, Longmans.
- Young, S.M. and J.S. Greaves, 1940. Influence of variety and treatment on phytin contents of wheat. *Food Res.*, 5: 103-105.