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#### Abstract

This study produces a zero stable hybrid three-step methods for a direct solution of general second order ordinary differential Eq of form $y^{\prime \prime}=f\left(x, y y^{\prime}\right)$. The differential system from the basis polynomial function to the problem is collocated at all the grid points and at an off-grid point. The basis function is interpolated at $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nti}}, \mathrm{I}=0,1,2$. The method is consistent and zero-stable. The efficiency and accuracy of the method are shown with some test examples.
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## INTRODUCTION

The solution of higher order differential Eq of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{y}^{(\mathrm{m})}=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}^{1}, \mathrm{y}^{11}, \ldots, \mathrm{y}^{(\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{l})}\right) \mathrm{y}^{(\mathrm{s})}\left(\mathrm{t}_{0}\right)=\mathrm{y}_{0}^{(\mathrm{s})}, \mathrm{s}=0(1) \mathrm{m}-1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is considered in this study. It has been observed in literature that solutions of such Eq are usually reduced to system of first order Eq of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{y}^{\prime}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y}), \mathrm{y}\left(\mathrm{t}_{0}\right)=\mu, \mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{C}^{1}[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}], \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are numerous numerical methods developed to handle the reduced Eq. 2 (Lambert, 1973; Goult et al. 1973; Jain, 1984; Lxaru, 1984; Jacques and Judd, 1987; Fatunla, 1988; Bun and Vasil' Yer, 1992; Awoyemi, 1992; Jaun, 2001; Chan et al., 2004). This approach has many disadvantages such as much of computational burden and computer time wastage. Hence, there is need for direct methods for solving Eq. 1 without reducing it to system of first order equations.

Awoyemi and Kayode (2003) highlighted some of the direct methods for solving (1), in which $\mathrm{m}=2$ and the derivative is absent in the right side.

In this study, a three-step hybrid numerical technique is proposed for a direct solution of initial value problems (1) in which $\mathrm{m}=2$ to be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=f\left(x, y, y^{\prime}\right), y(a)=\mu, y^{\prime}(a)=\tau, f \in C^{1}[a, b], y, x \in R^{n} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this study, the development of the collocation methods for the solution of second order ordinary differential Eq. 3 directly without reducing it to first order system of Eq. is discussed. The method obtained is an order five hybrid linear multistep with continuous coefficients of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{k}(t)=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{j}(t) y_{n+j}+\sum_{j=2}^{k} \beta_{j}(t) f_{n+j}  \tag{4}\\
& +\tau(t) f_{n+u} t \in(0,1] \text { and } v \in(1,2)
\end{align*}
$$

The approximate solution to problem (1) is taken to be a partial sum of a P- series of a single variable $x$ in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& y(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{2 k} a_{j} x^{j}  \tag{5}\\
& a_{j} \in \mathfrak{R}, j=0(1) 2 k, y \in C^{m}(a, b) \subset P(x)
\end{align*}
$$

It is assumed that the initial value problem (1) satisfies the hypotheses of existence and uniqueness theorem. The first and second derivatives of (5) are respectively taken as

$$
\begin{gather*}
y^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{2 k} j a_{j} x^{j-1}  \tag{6}\\
y^{\prime \prime}(x)=\sum_{j=2}^{2 k} j(j-1) a_{j} x^{j-2} \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (3) and (7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathrm{j}=2}^{2 \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{j}(\mathrm{j}-1) \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{j}-2}=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{y}^{\prime}(\mathrm{x})\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, collocating Eq. 8 at the grid points $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nti}}, \mathrm{I}=0,1,2,3, \mathrm{v}$, $1<\mathrm{v}<2$, and interpolating (5) at $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nti}}, \mathrm{I}=0(1) \mathrm{k}-1$, for $\mathrm{k}=3$, yields a system of Eq.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=2}^{2 k} j(j-1) a_{j} x_{n+i}^{j-2}=f_{n+i}, i=0(1) k  \tag{9}\\
& \sum_{j=2}^{2 k} j(j-1) a_{j} x_{n+i}^{j-2}=f_{n+v}, \quad v \in(1,2)  \tag{10}\\
& \sum_{j=0}^{2 k} a_{j} x_{n+i}^{j}=y_{n+i}, i=0(1) k-1 \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

whe re $f_{n+i}=f\left(x_{n+i}, y_{n+i}, y_{n+i}^{\prime}\right) y_{n+i}$ is the numerical

$$
\text { approximation to } \mathrm{y}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{i}}\right) \text { at } \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{i}}
$$

and

$$
x_{n+i}=x_{n}+i h .
$$

Solving Eq. 9, 10 and 11 to obtain the parameters $a_{j} \cdot s, j$, and then substituting for these values into Eq. 3 produces a continuous method expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{k}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{j}(x) y_{n+j}+\sum_{j=2}^{k} \beta_{j}(x) f_{n+j}+\tau(x) f_{n+u} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the transformations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{t}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~h}}\left(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}-1}\right) \text { and } \frac{\mathrm{dt}}{\mathrm{dx}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~h}} \mathrm{t} \in(0,1] \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

the coefficients in the continuous method (12) are obtained, as a function of $t$, to be

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha_{2}(t)=\{1+t\} \\
\alpha_{1}(t)=-t \\
\alpha_{0}(t)=0 \\
\beta_{3}=\frac{h^{2}}{360(3-v)}\left\{(8 v-13) t+20(2-v) t^{3}\right. \\
\left.+5(8-3 v) t^{4}+3(5-v) t^{5}+2 t^{6}\right\} \\
\beta_{2}=\frac{h^{2}}{120(2-v)}\left\{(75-43 v) t+60(2-v) t^{2}+\right. \\
\left.10(4-v) t^{3}+5(2 v-3) t^{4}+3(v-4) t^{5}-2 t^{6}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{v}=\frac{h^{2}}{60 v(3-v)(2-v)(v-1)}\{11 t \\
& \left.-20 t^{3}-5 t^{4}+6 t^{5}+2 t^{6}\right\} \\
& \beta_{1}=\frac{h^{2}}{120(v-1)}\{11(2 v-3) t+20(2 \\
& \left.-v) t^{3}+5 v t^{4}+3(v-3) t^{5}-2 t^{6}\right\} \\
& \beta=\frac{h^{2}}{360 v}\left\{(11-7 v) t+10(v-2) t^{3}\right.  \tag{14}\\
& \left.-5 t^{4}+3(2-v) t^{5}+2 t^{6}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the first derivatives of $a_{\mathrm{j}}, \$_{\mathrm{j}}$, in (14) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~h}} \\
& \alpha_{1}^{\prime}=-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~h}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{3}^{\prime}=\frac{h}{360(3-v)}\left\{(8 v-13)+60(2-v) t^{2}+\right. \\
& 20(4-3 v) t^{3}+15(5-v) t^{4}+12 t^{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{h}{120(2-v)}\{(75-43 v)+120(2-v) t+ \\
& \left.30(4-v) t^{2}+20(2 v-3) t^{3}+15(v-4) t^{4}-12 t^{5}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\beta_{v}^{\prime}=\frac{h}{60 v(3-v)(2-v)(v-1)}\left\{11-60 t^{2}-20 t^{3}+30 t^{4}+12 t^{5}\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{h}{120(v-1)}\left\{11(2 v-3)+60(2-v) t^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+20 \mathrm{vt}^{3}+15(v-3) \mathrm{t}^{4}-12 \mathrm{t}^{5}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\beta_{0}^{\prime}=\frac{h}{360 v}\left\{(11-7 v)+30(v-2) t^{2}-40 t^{3}+15(2-v) t^{4}+12 t^{5}\right\}$

To obtain a sample discrete scheme from the continuous method (12), the values of $t$ in (14) could be taken in the interval $\mathrm{I}=(0,1]$. Hence for the purpose of this research $t$ is taken to be 1 , which implies that $x=x_{n+3}$ from (13), to have a one-point hybrid discrete scheme as

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n+3}-2_{n+2}+y_{n+1}=\frac{h^{2}}{60 v(3-v)(2-v)(v-1)}  \tag{16}\\
& \left(A f_{n+3}+B f_{n+2}+C f_{n+v}+D f_{n+1}+E f_{n}\right)
\end{align*}
$$
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where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=v(14-5 v)(2-v)(v-1) \\
& B=v(103-50 v)(3-v)(v-1) \\
& \quad C=-6 \\
& D=v(5 v-2)(3-v)(2-v) \\
& E=-(3-v)(2-v)(v-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

and from (15)

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{n+3}^{\prime}= & \frac{1}{h}\left(y_{n+2}-y_{n+1}\right)+\frac{h}{360 v(3-v)(2-v)(v-1)} \\
& \left(\mathrm{Ff}_{n+3}+G f_{n+2}+H f_{n+v}+I f_{n+1}+J f\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{F}=\mathrm{v}(354-127 \mathrm{v})(2-\mathrm{v})(\mathrm{v}-1) \\
& \mathrm{G}=3 \mathrm{v}(303-138 \mathrm{v})(3-\mathrm{v})(\mathrm{v}-1) \\
& \mathrm{H}=-162 \\
& \mathrm{I}=9 \mathrm{v}(10-\mathrm{v})(3-\mathrm{v})(2-\mathrm{v}) \\
& \mathrm{J}=(8 \mathrm{v}-27)(3-\mathrm{v})(2-v)(v-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the values of v in (16) and (17) are taken at three points $5 / 4,3 / 2,7 / 4$, in the interval (Awoyemi, 1992; Awoyemi and Kayode, 2002) to obtain the following discrete schemes:
For $v=5 / 4$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{n+3}=2_{n+2}-y_{n+1}+\frac{h^{2}}{2100}\left(155 f_{n+3}+1890 f_{n+2}\right. \\
& \left.-512 f_{n+\frac{5}{4}}+595 f_{n+1}-284 f_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

of order $\mathrm{P}=5$, error constant $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}+2} \approx-0.002292$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{n+3}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{h}\left(y_{n+2}-y_{n+1}\right)+\frac{h}{12600}\left(3905 f_{n+3}+\right. \\
& \left.18270 f_{n+2}-13824 f_{n+\frac{5}{4}}+11025 f_{n+1}-476 f_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Order } \mathrm{P}=5, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}+2}=0.006344 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

For: $\mathrm{v}=3 / 2$

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n+3}=2_{n+2}-y_{n+1}+\frac{h^{2}}{180}\left(13 f_{n+3}+\right. \\
& \left.168 f_{n+2}-32 f_{n+\frac{3}{2}}+33 f_{n+1}-2 f_{n}\right) \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Order $\mathrm{P}=5$, Error constant $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}+2} \approx-0.002083$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{n+3}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{h}\left(y_{n+2}-y_{n+1}\right)+\frac{h}{360}\left(109 f_{n+3}+\right. \\
& \left.576 f_{n+2}-288 f_{n+\frac{3}{2}}+153 f_{n+1}-10 f_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Order $\mathrm{P}=5$, Error constant $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}+2} \approx 0.005407$
for $v=7 / 4$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{n+3}=2_{n+2}-y_{n+1}+\frac{h^{2}}{2100}\left(147 f_{n+3}+2170 f_{n+2}\right. \\
& \left.-512 f_{n+\frac{7}{4}}+315 f_{n+1}-20 f_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Order $\mathrm{P}=5$, Error constant $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}+2} \approx-0.001875$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{n+3}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{h}\left(y_{n+2}-y_{n+1}\right)+\frac{h^{2}}{12600}\left(3689 f_{n+3}+25830 f_{n+2}\right. \\
& \left.-13824 f_{n+\frac{7}{4}}+3465 f_{n+1}-260 f_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Order } \mathrm{P}=5, \text { Error constant } \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}+2} \approx 0.004469 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Starting values for the methods: The set of implicit discrete schemes (18), (20) and (22) and their respective first derivatives (19) (21) and (23) are not self-starting. Thus to be able to implement them, some starting values, of the same order $p=5$ and their derivatives are developed using the same technique for the main method (13). Thus at $\mathrm{t}=1$ and $\mathrm{r}=5 / 4,3 / 2,7 / 4$, the main starting values are:
For $v=5 / 4$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{n+3}=-\frac{23}{4} y_{n+2}+\frac{29}{2} y_{n+1}-\frac{31}{4} y_{n}+\frac{h^{2}}{240}\left(495 f_{n+2}\right. \\
& \left.-512 f_{n+\frac{5}{4}}+1990 f_{n+1}+127 f_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

having order $\mathrm{p}=5$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}+2} \approx 0.0122396$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{n+3}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{24 h}\left\{-757 y_{n+2}+1538 y_{n+1}-781 y_{n}\right\}+\frac{h}{7200} \\
\left\{45585 f_{n+2}-65024 f_{n+\frac{5}{4}}+248410 f_{n+1}+16129 f_{n}\right\} \\
p=5, C_{p+2} \approx-0.054671 \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

For $\mathrm{v}=3 / 2$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n+3}=-\frac{9}{2} y_{n+2}+12 y_{n+1}-\frac{13}{2} y_{n}+\frac{h^{2}}{24}  \tag{26}\\
& \left(51 f_{n+2}-32 f_{n+\frac{3}{2}}+150 f_{n+1}+11 f_{n}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

of order $\mathrm{p}=5$ and $_{\mathrm{p}+2} \approx 0.011458$

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n+3}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{4 h}\left\{-105 y_{n+2}+214 y_{n+1}-109 y_{n}\right\}+\frac{h}{720}  \tag{27}\\
& \left\{4749 f_{n+2}-4064 f_{n+\frac{3}{2}}+18618 f_{n+1}+1397 f_{n}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

and of order $p=5, C_{p+2} \approx-0.051364$

For7/4

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n+3}=-\frac{13}{4} y_{n+2}+\frac{19}{2} y_{n+1}-\frac{21}{4} y_{n}+\frac{h^{2}}{336}  \tag{28}\\
& \left(847 f_{n+2}-512 f_{n+\frac{7}{4}}+1638 f_{n+1}+127 f_{n}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

of order $\mathrm{p}=5$ and $_{\mathrm{p}+2} \approx 0.01015625$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{n+3}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{24 h}\left\{-503 y_{n+2}+1030 y_{n+1}-527 y_{n}\right\}+\frac{h}{100080} \\
& \left\{83377 f_{n+2}-65024 f_{n+\frac{7}{4}}+201978 f_{n+1}+16129 f_{n}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { also of order } \mathrm{p}=5 \text { and } \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}+2} \approx-0.0458519
$$

Other starting values for $y_{n+2}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+v}, y_{n+v}, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}$ are obtained to be

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{n+2}=2 y_{n+1}-y_{n}+h^{2} f_{n+1}, p=2, c p+2=0.0833  \tag{30}\\
y_{n+2}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{h}\left(y_{n+1}-y_{n}\right)+\frac{h}{6}\left(11 f_{n+1}-2 f_{n}\right)  \tag{31}\\
p=2, c p+2=-0.375
\end{gather*}
$$

The initial values $y_{n}, y_{n}$ ' are obtained in the given problem.

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{n+j}=y_{n}+(j h) y_{n}^{\prime}+\frac{(j h)^{2}}{2!} f_{n}+\frac{(j h)^{3}}{3!} \\
\left\{\frac{\partial f_{n}}{\partial x_{n}}+y_{n}^{\prime} \frac{\partial f_{n}}{\partial y_{n}}+f_{n} \frac{\partial f_{n}}{\partial y_{n}^{\prime}}\right\}+O\left(h^{4}\right) \\
y_{n+j}^{\prime}=y_{n}^{\prime}+(j h) f_{n}+\frac{(j h)^{2}}{2!} \\
\left\{\frac{\partial f_{n}}{\partial x_{n}}+y_{n}^{\prime} \frac{\partial f_{n}}{\partial y_{n}}+f_{n} \frac{\partial f_{n}}{\partial y_{n}^{\prime}}\right\}+O\left(h^{3}\right) \\
\text { where } j=1, \frac{5}{4}, \frac{3}{2} \text { and } \frac{7}{4}
\end{gathered}
$$

The initial values $y_{n}, y_{n}^{\prime}$ are obtained in the given preblem.

## NUMERICAL EXPERIMENT

The accuracy of the continuous method developed for the direct solution of second order ordinary differential Eq tested with the following problems

$$
\mathrm{yO}=2 \mathrm{y}^{3}, \mathrm{y}(1)=1, \mathrm{y}^{\prime}(1)=-1 ;
$$

Theoretical solution: $\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{x})=1 / \mathrm{x}$.

$$
\mathrm{yO}=\mathrm{y}+\mathrm{xe}^{3 \mathrm{x}}
$$

Theoretical solution: $y(x)=\frac{(4 x-3)}{32 \exp (-3 x)}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{(4 x-3)}{32 \exp (-3 x)} y\left(\frac{\Pi}{6}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{4} y\left(\frac{\Pi}{6}\right)=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, h=\frac{1}{40}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theoretical solution is given as $y(x)=\operatorname{Sin}^{2} x$.

$$
\mathrm{YOx}\left(\mathrm{y}^{\prime}\right)^{2}=0, \mathrm{y}(0)=1, \mathrm{y}^{\prime}(0)=1 / 2 ; \mathrm{h} 1 / 40
$$

Theoretical solution is $y(x)=1+\frac{1}{2} \ln \left\{\frac{(2+x)}{(2-x)}\right\}$.

## RESULTS

The absolute errors obtained from the method (15) for $\mathrm{k}=3$ are compared with those obtained from the method for $k=2$ in Kayode (2004) for the problems (i)-(iv). The results are shown in the Table 1 and 2 below. The accuracy of the results is further illustrated graphically in the Fig. 1-4.

Table 1:Comparison of errors for problems (i) and (ii) for $\mathrm{k}=2,3$

|  | Kayode (2004) <br> for problem (i) | New Method (15) <br> for problem (i) | Kayode (2004) <br> for problem (ii) | New Method (15) <br> for problem (ii) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| x | Errors for k=2 | Errors for $\mathrm{k}=3$ | x | Errors for k $=2$ | Errors for $\mathrm{k}=3$ |
| 1.1 | $0.5373197 \mathrm{D}-06$ | $0.5263931 \mathrm{D}-08$ | 0.1 | $0.9056176 \mathrm{D}-08$ | $0.2086753 \mathrm{D}-09$ |
| 1.2 | $0.4142659 \mathrm{D}-06$ | $0.3720895 \mathrm{D}-08$ | 0.2 | $0.8640876 \mathrm{D}-07$ | $0.1923770 \mathrm{D}-09$ |
| 1.3 | $0.3260932 \mathrm{D}-06$ | $0.2704051 \mathrm{D}-08$ | 0.3 | $0.2167778 \mathrm{D}-06$ | $0.1391324 \mathrm{D}-09$ |
| 1.4 | $0.2612683 \mathrm{D}-06$ | $0.2012022 \mathrm{D}-08$ | 0.4 | $0.4277919 \mathrm{D}-06$ | $0.2508468 \mathrm{D}-10$ |
| 1.5 | $0.2125477 \mathrm{D}-06$ | $0.1527879 \mathrm{D}-08$ | 0.5 | $0.7599223 \mathrm{D}-06$ | $0.1857944 \mathrm{D}-09$ |
| 1.6 | $0.1752254 \mathrm{D}-06$ | $0.1180986 \mathrm{D}-08$ | 0.6 | $0.1287868 \mathrm{D}-05$ | $0.5588885 \mathrm{D}-09$ |
| 1.7 | $0.1461539 \mathrm{D}-06$ | $0.9271881 \mathrm{D}-09$ | 0.7 | $0.2073414 \mathrm{D}-05$ | $0.1157671 \mathrm{D}-08$ |
| 1.8 | $0.1231736 \mathrm{D}-06$ | $0.7380502 \mathrm{D}-09$ | 0.8 | $0.3250983 \mathrm{D}-05$ | $0.2107025 \mathrm{D}-08$ |
| 1.9 | $0.1047692 \mathrm{D}-06$ | $0.5947730 \mathrm{D}-09$ | 0.9 | $0.4998729 \mathrm{D}-05$ | $0.3578957 \mathrm{D}-08$ |
| 2.0 | $0.8985620 \mathrm{D}-07$ | $0.4846371 \mathrm{D}-09$ | 1.0 | $0.7571481 \mathrm{D}-05$ | $0.5822924 \mathrm{D}-08$ |
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Table 2:Comparison of errors for problem (iii) and (iv) for $\mathrm{k}=2,3$
$\left.\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Kayode (2004) } \\ \text { for problem (iii) }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}\text { New method (15) } \\ \text { for problem (iv) }\end{array}\right]$


Fig 1: Comparison of errors for problem (i) for $\mathrm{k}=2,3$


Fig 2: Comparison of errors for problem (ii) for $\mathrm{k}=2,3$

## CONCLUSION

This study has considered the development of a continuous hybrid numerical method with step number $\mathrm{k}=3$. A set of discrete schemes of the same order $p=5$ are obtained from the continuous method. The major predictors for the methods are constructed to be of the same order $\mathrm{p}=5$ with the methods. The efficiency of the method is compared with existing order four method (Kayode 2004; 2006).


Fig 3: Comparison of errors for problem (iii) for $\mathrm{k}=2,3$


Fig 4: Comparison of errors for problem (iv) for $k=2,3$
The comparison of the absolute errors obtained from the results for the test problems above are shown in Table 1 and 2 and also in Fig. 1 and 4. These errors show a considerable improvement in accuracy of the new method over Kayode (2004).
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