

ajava

Asian Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances



Academic
Journals Inc.

www.academicjournals.com

Some Factors Affecting Sex Ratio of Dairy Herds in East Azarbijan, Iran

¹T. Farahvash, ²Sh. Golzar Adabi, ³A. Ahmadzadeh and ⁴J. Davoodi

¹Department of Animal Science, Islamic Azad University, Shabestar Branch, Iran

²Organization of Agricultural Jihad, East Azarbijan, Iran

³Department of Herbalogy, Islamic Azad University, Shabestar Branch, Iran

⁴Department of Veterinary, Islamic Azad University, Miyaneh Branch, Iran

Abstract: The objective of this retrospective study was to investigate the potential factors affecting sex ratio on dairy herds in East Azarbijan province of Iran. The data used in this experiment were collected from 186 registered dairy herds are routinely compiled on a monthly basis (from June 1990 to July 2007). For statistical analyses, sex ratios were compared with expected value using goodness-of-fit Chi-square (χ^2) analysis. The effect of some factors such as herd size, artificial insemination year and kind of frozen semen (internal and external) on sex ratio was determined. Statistical analysis of data shown that herd size had significant effect on sex ratio ($\chi^2 = 5.989$, $df = 4$, $p < 0.05$). The χ^2 -test for year variables were significant ($\chi^2 = 52.496$, $df = 17$, $p < 0.01$), Also the effect of frozen semen (internal and external) on sex ratio was not significant ($\chi^2 = 5.893$, $df = 7$, $p > 0.05$). Results suggested a positive effect of herd size and year on sex ratio therefore it is possible using this result as a practical and inexpensive method of sex ratio modification.

Key words: Sex ratio, dairy, herd size, sperm

INTRODUCTION

Sex ratio theory is one of the more developed areas of evolutionary ecology, yet adaptive explanations for sex ratio variation among vertebrates are still the subject of much debate and uncertainty, partly because it can be difficult to infer process from pattern and adaptive and non-adaptive explanations may be confounding (Ian and Hardy, 1997). In animal production systems, the possibility of modify sex ratio can result in a substantial increase of the production in intensive cattle farms. Also, sex ratio manipulation can sensibly enhance the effectiveness of selection and genetic improvement programs, through the differential increment of males or females born after AI (Seidel, 2003).

Gutiérrez-Adán *et al.* (1999) suggested that the differential ability of X-or Y-bearing spermatozoa to fertilise oocytes depending either on time of insemination or oocyte maturation state, may be due, at least partially, to intrinsic differences in the physiological activity of X-or Y-bearing spermatozoa before fertilisation. Trivers and Willard (1973) hypothesized that in species in which reproductive success varies more among one sex than the other, mothers in better physiological conditions would gain an advantage by investing more heavily in the more variable sex. Similarly, mothers with limited resources would gain an advantage by investing in the more reproductively stable sex, thereby ensuring a continuation of the genetic line. Although many studies have reported support for this hypothesis (Berry and Cromie, 2006; Sheldon and West, 2004; Roche *et al.*, 2006a). Recent results by Roche *et al.* (2006b) confirmed positive effect of maternal Body Condition Score (BCS) on the Secondary Sex Ratio (SSR) in dairy cows, indicating that despite domestication of the species,

they conform to the Trivers-Willard hypothesis. One of the critical parameters for reproduction is the energy availability of the mother (Wade and Schneider, 1992). Because of this, it is possible that variation in maternal energy storage is associated with a modification of the secondary sex ratio.

Fisher's (1930) theory dictated that maternal investment in male and female offspring is similar and that secondary sex ratio (SSR, the proportion of males to females at birth) should be 50:50 if one sex does not require greater maternal investment than the other. Nevertheless, there is compelling evidence to conclude that, under certain conditions, natural selection favors systematic deviations from this expected 50:50 sex ratio. Furthermore, factors as diverse as latitude of residence, ethnicity, dominant weather patterns, timing and frequency of coitus relative to ovulation, diet, paternal age and alcohol consumption, parental age gap, maternal blood type, BCS, vaginal pH and the systemizing and empathizing skills of the dam have all been statistically associated with altered SSR in mammals (Roche *et al.*, 2006a).

The prediction that females in better body condition would produce more male than female progeny has been observed in red deer, roe deer, mature ewes, reindeer, Barbary sheep, domestic pigs and a number of other species, although there are exceptions (Cheryl *et al.*, 2004). The data on roe deer (Wauters *et al.*, 1995) were obtained with farmed animals on a diet controlled for low-and high-energy intake by varying the oil content. In that study, 75% of the calves born to the high-energy does were male, while the low-energy group produced only 46% males. Some recent studies, however, indicate that, within species, the sex ratio varies with the costs or benefits of producing male or female offspring.

The aim of this retrospective study was to investigate the potential some factors affecting sex ratio on dairy herds in East Azarbijan province of Iran.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The data used in this experiment were collected from 186 registered dairy herds are routinely compiled on a monthly basis (from June 1990 to July 2007) by the Jihad Agricultural Organization (JAO) of East Azarbijan. Data were recorded by the farm staff on a prepared sheets. The validity and reliability of records were checked regularly by JAO personnel. Although the herds have different management practices, all of them were crossbred or Holsteins and non-seasonally calving dairy herds that were artificially insemination as routine.

The animals were inseminated at different times from the detection of the onset of estrus (between 8 and 44 h). The insemination was carried out using standard methodology for cattle, using frozen/thawed semen, which was deposited in the uterus. Estrus onset was detected and noted down by an experienced person, who carried out continue observations throughout the day, watching behavior and clinical and gynecological symptoms characteristic of the estrus in females of this species (Hafez and Hafez, 2000).

Sex ratio was defined as the ratio of male to female live births multiplied by 100. the expected value for sex ratio was assumed to be equal numbers of males and females. For statistical analyses, sex ratios were compared with expected value using goodness-of-fit Chi-square (χ^2) analysis. Also, χ^2 -test for trend, Pearson χ^2 and analysis of variance were used. All analysis were done using SPSS soft ware (version 11.5). A $p < 0.01$ was considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Over the study years, 25812 single live births were recorded, which comprised 13138 male and 12674 female pregnancies. Statistical analyses were done on all regardless of the number of calves, considering that herd size has no significant effect on sex ratio. The frequency of the number of live

Table 1: Percent of live births by sex and herd size in dairy cattle in East Azarbijan, Iran

	Herd size				
	<200	200-500	500-800	800-1100	>1100
Male (%)	50	50.50	50.50	51.00	52.00
Female (%)	50	49.50	49.50	49.00	48.00
Sex ratio	100	102.02	102.02	104.08	108.33

$\chi^2 = 5.989$, $df = 4$, $p < 0.05$

Table 2: Percent of male and female by birth year in dairy cattle in East Azarbijan, Iran

	Year									
	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	
Male (%)	47	49.5	52.5	56.3	53	54.3	55	54.3	52	
Female (%)	53	50.0	47.5	43.7	47	45.7	45	45.7	48	
	Year									
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	
Male (%)	49.7	48.5	48.7	52.2	50.8	50.8	53.6	52.1	51.1	
Female (%)	50.3	51.5	51.3	47.8	49.2	49.2	46.4	47.9	48.9	

Table 3: Percent of male and female by internal and external sperm in dairy cattle in East Azarbijan, Iran

	America	Canada	Italy	Ireland	France	Germany	Netherlands	Iran
Male (%)	51	52	48	46.8	44	45	49.5	50.5
Female (%)	49	48	52	53.2	56	55	50.5	49.5

births by sex and herd size has been shown in Table 1. Deviation from the expected ratio was significant in herds ($p < 0.05$). Also, the χ^2 -test for trend analysis was significant ($\chi^2 = 5.989$, $df = 4$, $p < 0.05$).

The effect of year variables during the study is shown in Table 2. The χ^2 -test for trend analysis were significant ($\chi^2 = 52.496$, $df = 17$, $p < 0.01$). One of the most important changes during year is climatic factors ($p < 0.05$, data not show). The odds ratios indicated positive associations between minimum, maximum air temperature and evaporation rate and the likelihood of male calf subsequently being born. Also the effect of kind of frozen semen on sex ratio is not significant ($\chi^2 = 5.893$, $df = 7$, $p > 0.05$). The effect of internal and external frozen semen on sex ratio are shown in Table 3.

The control of sex ratio in farms conveys many advantages, since it allows to sensibly improve the yield of the operations based on the type of production (milk or meat). At this moment, there are many techniques of proven effectiveness that allow to alter the normal sex ratio, such as flow cytometry stored sperm. However, they are of little practical use, because the cost of preparation of purified samples of X or Y sperm is still excessively high (Martinez *et al.*, 2004).

Furthermore, mares in better condition produced more male foals than expected from an assumption of parity. Where sex ratios differ significantly from parity in both directions, it is unlikely that the difference is due solely to differential loss of less viable or more costly fetuses-usually males in mammalian species (Ansari-Lari, 2006). Alternatively, mechanisms that favor the differential conception of males or females have been postulated (Krackow, 1995).

Hilder *et al.* (1944) said that there seems to be no indication that the age of the parents has any particular effect on the sex ratio of the offspring.

In Suadi Arabia, Ryan and Boland (1991) reported that the sex of single calves from primiparous cows revealed no significant deviation from the expected ratio. However, the sex ratio was biased towards males offspring in multiparous cows (Foote, 1977). Owing to the small sample size, assessment of sex ratio was not done separately in higher-parity groups.

In present study, the linear trend revealed that the odds of male births increases in parallel with herd size (Table 1). This is in agreement with Ansari-Lari (2006) findings. It is apparent that herd size per se cannot for this difference and some other factors closely associated with herd size may be

responsible. With respect to factors such as condition of dam and nutritional status, it can be presumed that animals would be kept in better circumstances in large dairies than in smaller ones (Ansari-Lari, 2006). It is reported that dairy cows, but not heifers, on a high plane of nutrition give birth to proportionately more bull than female calves than cows on a poorer diet (Skjervold and James, 1979).

Repeat breeder cows, i.e., ones that have problems becoming pregnant by artificial insemination, also tend to produce more males (King *et al.*, 1985).

In particular, a low pre-pregnancy weight is associated with a deficit of males. The results are consistent with a recent reports showing that in rural Ethiopia, well-fed women were more likely to conceive males (Cagnacci *et al.*, 2004), that the relationship between caloric availability and sex ratio is observed across several countries (Williams and Gloster, 1992) and that there is a higher energy demand for pregnancies with male embryos (Tamimi *et al.*, 2003). These report support the theory of Trivers and Willard (1973), suggesting that a higher proportion of females are produced in non-optimal maternal conditions. The effect of pre-pregnancy weight on sex ratio can be exerted through a selection of gametes as well as through an increase in the rate of male embryo abortions.

There is no obvious reason for the significant effect of year on sex. Of course Roche *et al.* (2006a) revealed that one contributing factor to the annual effect on sex ratio may be climate and its associated effects on sex ratio.

In agreement with the present study Roche *et al.* (2006b), using 34 years data on New Zealand dairy cows, reported a significant effect of time of the year at conception on secondary sex ratio. Nonetheless, Foote (1977) failed to report any significant effect of time of the year on sex ratio in dairy cattle while Skjervold and James (1979) reported a significant effect of calving season on sex ratio in parity 1 animals only.

The physiology underpinning an effect of climate on the SSR adjustment is unknown. A number of physiological and endocrine traits can be influenced by environmental factors. Similarly, some psychological disorders have a pronounced annual rhythm (Lerchl, 1998), indicating climatic effects.

Xu *et al.* (2000) reported a heritability of sex ratio of 2%, suggesting that the permanent environmental effect of the dam is the main contributor to the repeatability of sex ratio. Vandenberg and Huggett (1995) in an experiment of rodents reported a greater probability of male offspring in dams born between two male siblings. They attributed this effect to a possibly higher level of testosterone in the dam acquired during development in the womb.

Research in humans has revealed a higher sex ratio in mothers with greater testosterone levels (Grant, 1996). Nonetheless, not all studies have documented an effect of previous neonate sex on the sex of the subsequent birth (Berry and Cromie, 2006).

Skjervold and James (1979) reported a significant effect of breed of sire on sex ratio in Norway. Although not statistically tested in the study, Chi-square analysis of the data provided by Foote (1977) identified a significantly ($p < 0.05$) lower proportion (50.5%) of males born from Holstein sires than from Jersey sires (52.8%).

Some researchers have studied the variation of the sex ratio depending on the time of the mating relative to ovulation (Seidel, 2003; Rorie, 1999). Numerous efforts have been made to alter the sex of calves by varying time of insemination (Martinez *et al.*, 2004). It has been suggested that early inseminations (i.e., far before ovulation) would result in more female calves whereas late inseminations (i.e., close to ovulation) would result in more male calves, due to different timing of capacitation and survival time of the X and Y-chromosome bearing spermatozoa in the female reproductive tract (Martinez *et al.*, 2004). However, several other studies offer contradicting explanations for potential effects of varying insemination time on sex ratio (Rorie *et al.*, 1999).

Although the mechanisms underlying sex selection are still unclear, the data seem to indicate that males are more fragile (Naeye *et al.*, 1971) and that a greater attrition is exerted on them when

reproductive/metabolic conditions are non-optimal (Crawford *et al.*, 1998). Cagnacci *et al.* (2004) indicated that maternal weight and probably maternal metabolism, as important determinants of secondary sex ratio in human offspring. In another study, 75% of the calves born to a high energy group were male, while a low energy group produced only 46% males (Cheryl *et al.*, 2004).

A study on New Zealand Holstein-Friesian dairy cattle (Roche *et al.*, 2006b) revealed a higher probability of male calves in dams that lost less body condition score and body weight from calving to conception. Although primiparous animals do not lose as much body condition post-calving as multiparous cows (Berry *et al.*, 2006).

The present study has a limitation. The cows BCS was not recorded in farm charts and it was not possible to calculate the effect of this factor on sex ratio. Moreover there is some practical and inexpensive method of sex ratio modification such as: time of artificial insemination, dietary manipulation of dairy cattle and Body Condition Score (BCS) between calving and conception.

REFERENCES

- Ansari-Lari, M., 2006. Sex ratio at birth in dairy herds in Fars province, Southern Iran. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.*, 38 (7-8): 593-595.
- Berry, D.P. and A.R. Cromie, 2006. Artificial insemination increases the probability of a male calf in dairy and beef cattle. *Theriogen.* (In Press).
- Berry, D.P., R.F. Veerkam and P. Dillon, 2006. Phenotypic profiles for body weight, body condition score, energy intake and energy balance across different parities and concentrate feeding levels. *Liv. Prod. Sci.* (In Press).
- Cagnacci, A., A. Renzi, S. Arangino, C. Alessandrini and A. Volpe, 2004. Influences of maternal weight on secondary sex ratio of human offspring. *Hum. Rep.*, 19 (2): 442-444.
- Cheryl, S., R. Rosenfeld and R. Michael Roberts, 2004. Maternal diet and other factors affecting offspring sex ratio. *Rev. Bio. Rep.*, 71 (4): 1063-1070.
- Crawford, M.A., C. Lowy, E. Koukkou, L. Poston and K. Ghebremeskel, 1998. Sex ratio of offspring of diabetics. *Lancet*, 351: 1515-1516.
- Fisher, R.A., 1930. *The Genetical Theory of Natural Selection*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- Foote, R.H., 1977. Sex ratios in dairy cattle under various conditions. *Theriogen.*, 8 (6): 349-356.
- Grant, V., 1996. Sex determination and the maternal dominance hypothesis. *Hum. Reprod.*, 11 (11): 2371-2375.
- Gutiérrez-Adán, A., J. Pérez-Garnelo, J.J. Granados, M. Pérez-Guzmán, B. Pintado and J. De La Fuente, 1999. Relationship between sex ratio and time of insemination according to both time of ovulation and maturational state of oocyte. *Zygote*, 7 (1): 37-43.
- Hafez, B. and E.S.E. Hafez, 2000. *Reproductive Behavior*. In: *Reproduction in Farm Animals*, Hafez, E.S.E. and B. Hafez (Eds.). 7th Edn. Baltimore, MD: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, pp: 293-306.
- Hilder, E.A., M. H. Fohrman and E.E. Graves, 1944. Relation of various factors to the breeding efficiency of dairy animals and to the sex ratio of the offspring. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 27 (12): 981-992.
- Ian, C. and W. Hardy, 1997. Possible factors influencing vertebrate sex ratios: An introductory overview. *Applied Anim. Behav. Sci.*, 51 (3-4): 217-241.
- King, K.K., J.R. Seidel and G.E. Elsdon, 1985. Bovine embryo transfer pregnancies. I. Abortion rates and characteristics of calves. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 61 (4): 747-757.
- Krackow, S., 1995. Potential mechanisms for sex ratio adjustment in mammals and birds. *Biol. Rev.*, 70 (2): 225-241.
- Lerchl, A., 1998. Seasonality of sex ratio in Germany. *Hum. Rep.*, 13 (5): 1401-1402.

- Martinez, V., M. Kaabi, F. Martinez-Pastor, M. Alvarez, E. Anel, J.C. Boixo, P. De paz and L. Anel, 2004. Effect of the interval between estrus onset and artificial insemination on sex ratio and fertility in cattle: A field study. *Theriogen*, 62 (7): 1264-1270.
- Naeye, R.L., L.S. Burt, D.L. Wright, W.A. Blanc and D. Tatter, 1971. Neonatal mortality, the male disadvantage. *Pediatrics*, 48 (6): 902-906.
- Roche, J.R., J.M. Lee and D.P. Berry, 2006a. Pre-conception energy balance and secondary sex ratio-Support for the Trivers-Willard hypothesis in dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 89 (6): 2119-2125.
- Roche, J.R., J.M. Lee and D.P. Berry, 2006b. Climatic factors and secondary sex ratio in dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 89 (8): 3221-3227.
- Rorie, R.W., 1999. Effect of timing of artificial insemination on sex ratio. *Theriogen*, 52 (8): 1273-1280.
- Rorie, R.W., T.D. Lester, B.R. Lindsey and R.W. McNew, 1999. Effect of timing of artificial insemination on gender ratio in beef cattle. *Theriogen*, 52 (6): 1035-1041.
- Ryan, D.P. and M.P. Boland, 1991. Frequency of twin births among Holstein-Friesian cows in a warm dry climate. *Theriogen.*, 36 (1): 1-10.
- Seidel, G.E., 2003. Economics of selecting for sex: The most important genetic trait. *Theriogen*, 59 (2): 585-598.
- Sheldon, B.C. and S.A. West, 2004. Maternal dominance, maternal condition and offspring sex-ratio in ungulate mammals. *Am. Nat.*, 163 (1): 40-54.
- Skjervold, H. and J.W. James, 1979. Causes of variation in the sex ratio in dairy cattle. *Z. Tierz. Zuchtungsbio.*, 95: 293-305.
- Tamimi, R.M., P. Lagiou, A.L. Mucci, C.C. Hsieh, H.O. Adami and D. Trichopoulos, 2003. Average energy intake among pregnant women carrying a boy compared with a girl. *Br. Med. J.*, 326 (7401): 1245-1246.
- Trivers, R.L. and D.E. Willard, 1973. Natural selection of parental ability to vary the sex ratio of offspring. *Science*, 179 (4068): 90-92.
- Vandenbergh, J. and D. Huggett, 1995. Mother's prior intrauterine position affects the sex ratio of her offspring in house mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 91:1155-1159.
- Wade, G.N. and J.E. Schneider, 1992. Metabolic fuels and reproduction in female mammals. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.*, 16 (2): 235-272.
- Wauters, L.A., S.A. Crombrughe, N. Nour and E. Matthysen, 1995. Do female roe deer in good condition produce more sons than daughters? *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.*, 37 (3): 189-193.
- Williams, R.G. and S.P. Gloster, 1992. Human sex ratio as it relates to caloric availability. *Soc. Biol.*, 39 (3-4): 285-291.
- Xu, Z.Z., D.L. Johnson and N.D. Burton, 2000. Factors affecting the sex ratio in dairy cattle in New Zealand. *Proc. N.Z. Soc. Anim. Prod.*, 60 (4): 301-302.