



American Journal of
Plant Physiology

ISSN 1557-4539



Academic
Journals Inc.

www.academicjournals.com

Thermal and pH Stabilities of Partially Purified Polyphenol Oxidase Extracted from *Solanum melongenas* and *Musa sapientum* Fruits

P.C. Chikezie, A.R. Akuwudike and C.M. Chikezie

Department of Biochemistry, Imo State University, Owerri, Nigeria

Corresponding Author: P.C. Chikezie, Department of Biochemistry, Imo State University, Owerri, Nigeria
Tel: +2348038935327

ABSTRACT

Enzyme activity depends largely on environmental conditions such as temperature and pH. The stabilities of Polyphenol Oxidase (PPO) extracted from *Solanum melongenas* and *Musa sapientum* fruits pre-incubated in varying thermal and pH conditions were carried out. Enzyme activity was measured by spectrophotometric methods. The reaction mixture contained 3.5 mL of 0.20 M phosphate buffer (pH = 6.8), 1.0 mL of 0.75 mM catechol and 0.5 mL of enzyme solution. PPO_{S. melongenas} and PPO_{M. sapientum} gave different temperature and pH optima. The temperature-activity profile of PPO_{S. melongenas} and PPO_{M. sapientum} showed a strong positive correlation ($r = 0.907363$). At pH = 10.0, PPO_{M. sapientum} activity represented 65.3% decay in enzyme activity, whereas PPO_{S. melongenas} represented 79.3% decay in enzyme activity. PPO_{S. melongenas} and PPO_{M. sapientum} stability at pre-incubated temperatures of 20, 50 and 60°C and pH values of 3.5, 6.0 and 8.0 were measured. Residual activities of PPO_{S. melongenas} and PPO_{M. sapientum} showed a strong positive correlations under the same experimental thermal conditions, with exception at 20°C ($r = 0.693375$). Specifically, pre-incubation of PPO_{M. sapientum} for $t = 90$ min at 60°C caused 18.4% decay in relative activity of PPO_{M. sapientum}. At $t = 90$ min, pre-incubation of PPO_{M. sapientum} in pH = 3.5 caused decay in activity within the range of 30.8-36.1%, whereas PPO_{M. sapientum} pre-incubated in pH = 6.0 and pH = 8.0 gave decay in activity within the range of (1.5-9.8%) and (2.7-6.5%), respectively. PPO_{S. melongenas} and PPO_{M. sapientum} showed relatively higher stabilities as the incubation pH tended towards alkaline conditions, whereas the two experimental temperatures (20 and 60°C) promoted destabilization.

Key words: Polyphenol oxidase, temperature, pH, *Solanum melongenas*, *Musa sapientum*

INTRODUCTION

Polyphenol Oxidase (PPO) is a collection of ubiquitous plant enzymes {EC 1.10.3.2, catechol oxidase or diphenol oxygen oxidoreductase (Klabunde *et al.*, 1998; Fawzy, 2005); EC. 1.14.18.1; monophenol oxidase, cresolase and tyrosinase (Mayer, 2006; Madani *et al.*, 2011)} responsible for undesirable browning reactions of fruits and vegetables. However, studies have shown that many plant PPOs lack monophenol oxidase (cresolase) activity, restricting potential substrates of the enzymes to diphenolic compounds such as catechol, 3, 4-dihydroxyphenylalanine and chlorogenic acid (Steffens *et al.*, 1994; Escobar *et al.*, 2008). Enzymatic browning is associated with oxidation of phenolic compounds in the presence of molecular oxygen to corresponding quinone intermediates

that polymerize to form melanin and off-colour pigments (Da Silva and Koblitz, 2010). The kinetic properties of PPO extracted from various plant sources have been reported by several authors (Gowda and Paul, 2002; Chikezie, 2006; Gouzi *et al.*, 2010).

PPO is a copper-metalloenzyme located in the chloroplast thylakoid membrane (Sommer *et al.*, 1994) and can exist in an active or latent state (Mayer and Harel, 1979). PPO enzymes extracted from various plant tissues exhibit different characteristics and exist in multiple molecular forms (isoforms) (Marshall *et al.*, 2000; Altunkaya and Gokmen, 2011; Unal *et al.*, 2011). Isoenzymic forms of PPOs are identified according to their physical, chemical or enzymatic properties such as electrophoretic mobility, temperature and pH optima, substrate specificity and isoelectric index (pI) (Yoruk and Marshall, 2003).

Enzyme activity depends largely on environmental conditions such as temperature and pH. Thermal and pH stabilities of PPO, as being reported here, describes the capacity of pre-incubated enzymes to withstand thermal and pH induced unfolding at specified experimental temperature and pH conditions. This study seeks to establish the thermal and pH conditions that promote stability of the PPOs extracted from *S. melongenas* and *M. sapientum* fruits.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection and preparation of fruit samples: Fresh and disease free fruits of *S. melongenas* and *M. sapientum* were harvested from a private botanical garden in Umuoziri-Inyishi, Imo State, Nigeria between 17th-30th of July, 2012. The fruits were identified and authenticated by Dr. F. N. Mbagwu at the Herbarium in the Department of Plant Science and Biotechnology, Imo State University, Owerri, Nigeria. The two fruits were washed under continuous current of distilled water for 5 min and air dried at room temperature. The stalk (*S. melongenas*) and rind (*M. sapientum*) were removed manually. The samples were stored at -4°C until used for analyses.

Extraction and purification of PPO: Extraction and partial purification of PPO was according to the methods of Madani *et al.* (2011) with minor modifications. Ten grams (10 g) of the sample was homogenized in external ice bath, using Ultra-Turrax T25 (Janke and Kunkel, Staufen, Germany) homogenizer set in 80 mL of 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) containing 10 mM ascorbic acid for 180 sec at intervals of 60 sec. The homogenate was quickly squeezed through two layers of clean cheesecloth into a beaker kept in ice. The crude extract was rinsed with 200 mL of acetone (-20°C) to eliminate phenolic compounds (Liu *et al.*, 2007; Unal *et al.*, 2011). The sample was centrifuged at 32000 g for 20 min at 4°C. Solid ammonium sulphate (NH₄)₂SO₄ was added to the supernatant to obtain 80% (NH₄)₂SO₄ saturation and precipitated proteins were separated by centrifugation at 32000 g for 30 min at 4°C. The precipitate was re-dissolved in 10 mL distilled water and ultra-filtered in a Millipore stirred cell with a 10-kDa membrane (Millipore 8050, Milan, Italy). The filtrate was dialyzed (cellulose membrane, Medicell Intl. Ltd., 6-27/32) at 4°C against distilled water for 24 h with 4 changes of the water during dialysis. The dialyzed sample constituted the partial purified PPO extract and was used as the enzyme source from the corresponding segments of the two fruits. Protein concentrations were determined by the methods of Bradford (1976) using bovine serum albumin as standard at $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 595 \text{ nm}$. One unit of PPO activity was defined as the amount of enzyme that causes an increase in absorbance of 0.001 mL⁻¹ min⁻¹ under the condition of the assay (Oktay *et al.*, 1995).

Determination of PPO activity: PPO activity was measured immediately after the extract was partially purified. Enzyme assay was according to the methods of Qudsieh *et al.* (2002) with minor

modifications (Chikezie, 2006). Enzyme activity was determined by measuring the increase in absorbance using a spectrophotometer (U-2000 Hitachi, Japan) at 24°C. The reaction mixture contained 3.5 mL of 0.20 M phosphate buffer (pH = 6.8), 1 mL of 0.75 mM catechol and 0.5 mL of enzyme solution in a final volume of 5 mL. The mixture was quickly transferred into a cuvette and the change in absorbance was monitored at $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 540$ nm at a regular interval of 30 sec. The rate of the reaction was calculated from the initial linear slope of activity curves.

Measurement of temperature and pH optima of PPO activity: Activity of PPO was measured in assay mixture containing 0.75 mM catechol under varying temperatures within the range of 20-70°C. The enzyme activity was measured using 0.20 M phosphate buffer under varying pH conditions within the range of 5-10.

Effect of temperature and pH on PPO activity and stability: Purified enzymes extracted from *S. melongenas* and *M. sapientum* fruits were pre-incubated in varying temperatures of 20, 50 and 60°C. At regular time intervals of 30, 60 and 90 min, aliquots of the enzyme solution was withdrawn and assayed for PPO activity. The residual PPO activity was measured according to the following experimental conditions (PPO_{*S. melongenas*}: pH_{optimum} ~7.0 at T°C_{optimum} ~30; PPO_{*M. sapientum*}: pH_{optimum} ~7.0 at T°C_{optimum} ~40), at the given time intervals. At the same time intervals, measurement of PPO activity pre-incubated in varying pH values of 3.5, 6.0 and 8.0 were carried out. The residual PPO activity was measured according to the following experimental conditions (PPO_{*S. melongenas*}: pH_{optimum} ~7.0 at T°C_{optimum} ~30; PPO_{*M. sapientum*}: pH_{optimum} ~7.0 at T°C_{optimum} ~40). Residual PPO activity was determined in the form of percent residual PPO activity at the temperature and pH optima.

RESULTS

The fractionation steps and corresponding purification indices of the two PPO extracts is summarized in Table 1.

At the end of the purification steps, specific enzyme activity of PPO_{*S. melongenas*} and PPO_{*M. sapientum*} increased within the range of 0.314 to 8.11 U mg⁻¹ protein and 0.292 to 7.65 U mg⁻¹ protein respectively. Final enzyme activity of PPO_{*S. melongenas*} was 0.154 U, whereas PPO_{*M. sapientum*} gave 0.130 U (Table 1).

Temperature-activity profile of PPO_{*S. melongenas*} and PPO_{*M. sapientum*} is presented in Fig. 1. The temperature-activity profile of the two PPOs showed a typical bell-shaped curve. The PPO_{*S. melongenas*} T°C_{optimum} ~30; PPO_{*S. melongenas*} activity = 0.096±0.02 U, whereas PPO_{*M. sapientum*} T°C_{optimum} ~40; PPO_{*M. sapientum*} activity = 0.086±0.02 U. The temperature-activity profile of PPO_{*S. melongenas*} and

Table 1: Properties of PPO extracted from *S. melongenas* and *M. sapientum* fruits at various purification steps

Enzyme Fraction	<i>S. melongenas</i>				<i>M. sapientum</i>			
	E _A (U)	T _P (mg)	Specific E _A (U mg ⁻¹)	% Yield	E _A (U)	T _P (mg)	Specific E _A (U mg ⁻¹)	% Yield
Crude homogenate	0.308	0.980	0.314	100.0	0.234	0.802	0.292	100.0
Centrifuged at 32000 g	0.215	0.072	2.99	69.8	0.167	0.082	2.040	71.4
80% (NH ₄) ₂ SO ₄	0.194	0.032	6.06	62.9	0.151	0.043	3.510	64.5
Ultra-filtration	0.162	0.022	7.36	52.6	0.133	0.029	4.590	56.8
Dialysis	0.154	0.019	8.11	49.9	0.130	0.017	7.650	55.6

E_A: Enzyme activity; T_P: Total protein

Table 2: Residual activity of PPO_{*S. melongenas*} and PPO_{*M. sapientum*} incubated in varying temperature

Temperature (°C)	Enzyme activity V _o (U)					
	<i>S. melongenas</i>			<i>M. sapientum</i>		
	30 (min)	60 (min)	90 (min)	30 (min)	60 (min)	90 (min)
20	0.079±0.03	0.076±0.02	0.067±0.03	0.077±0.02	0.072±0.03	0.072±0.03
50	0.086±0.02	0.083±0.01	0.082±0.02	0.080±0.03	0.079±0.02	0.076±0.02
60	0.082±0.01	0.075±0.02	0.073±0.02	0.076±0.03	0.073±0.02	0.070±0.02

Values are means of 3 determinations±SD

Table 3: Residual activity of PPO_{*S. melongenas*} and PPO_{*M. sapientum*} incubated in varying pH

pH	Enzyme activity V _o (U)					
	<i>S. melongenas</i>			<i>M. sapientum</i>		
	30 (min)	60 (min)	90 (min)	30 (min)	60 (min)	90 (min)
3.5	0.067±0.03	0.052±0.03	0.033±0.03	0.068±0.03	0.065±0.03	0.063±0.03
6.0	0.143±0.02	0.140±0.02	0.138±0.02	0.097±0.02	0.092±0.02	0.088±0.02
8.0	0.127±0.01	0.124±0.01	0.122±0.01	0.095±0.01	0.094±0.01	0.092±0.01

Values are means of 3 determinations±SD

Table 4: Correlation coefficient between residual activities of PPO_{*S. melongenas*} and PPO_{*M. sapientum*} incubated in varying temperature and pH

Parameters	Correlation coefficient (r)
Temperature (°C)	
20	0.693375
50	0.846154
60	0.952217
pH	
3.5	0.983342
6.0	0.984018
8.0	0.953821

PPO_{*M. sapientum*} showed a strong positive correlation ($r = 0.907363$). At experimental temperature of 70°C, PPO_{*S. melongenas*} activity = 0.021±0.01 U; PPO_{*S. melongenas*} = 0.042±0.03 U, which represented 78.1% and 51.1% decay in enzyme activity respectively.

The pH-activity profile of the two PPOs showed a typical bell-shaped curve Fig. 2. The PPO_{*S. melongenas*} and PPO_{*M. sapientum*} gave pH_{optimum} ~7.0. However, pH-activity profile of PPO_{*S. melongenas*} exhibited two peak values; pH~7.0 and pH~8.5. At experimental maximum pH = 10.0, PPO_{*M. sapientum*} activity = 0.034 U, representing 65.3% decay in enzyme activity, whereas PPO_{*S. melongenas*} = 0.025 U representing 79.3% decay in enzyme activity.

Table 2 and 3 showed residual activities of PPO_{*S. melongenas*} and PPO_{*M. sapientum*} incubated in varying temperature and pH. The residual activity of PPO_{*S. melongenas*} ranged between 0.86±0.02 U and 0.067±0.03 U; PPO_{*M. sapientum*} was between 0.080±0.03 U and 0.070±0.02 U. A cursory look at Table 2 showed that the decreasing levels of PPO_{*S. melongenas*} and PPO_{*M. sapientum*} depended on temperature and duration of incubation. Residual activities of PPO_{*S. melongenas*} and PPO_{*M. sapientum*} showed a strong positive correlations under the same experimental temperature conditions, with exception at 20°C, which gave a weak positive correlation ($r = 0.693375$) (Table 4).




Fig. 1: Temperature-activity profile of PPO activity extracted from *S. melongenas* and *M. sapientum* fruits




Fig. 2: pH profile of PPO activity extracted from *S. melongenas* and *M. sapientum* fruits

Similarly, $PPO_{S. melongenas}$ and $PPO_{M. sapientum}$ incubated in varying pH conditions exhibited decreasing residual levels of PPO activity with the progress of experimental time. Specifically, residual level of $PPO_{S. melongenas}$ activity under the three experimental pH was in the order $pH = 6.0 > pH = 8.0 > pH = 3.5$ within the duration of the experiment ($30 < t < 90$). Table 4 showed $PPO_{S. melongenas}$ and $PPO_{M. sapientum}$ activities displayed a strong positive correlations under the same pH conditions.

Pre-incubation of $PPO_{S. melongenas}$ at $50^{\circ}C$ caused the lowest decay in relative activity compared to $PPO_{S. melongenas}$ pre-incubated at $20^{\circ}C$ and $60^{\circ}C$. $PPO_{S. melongenas}$ pre-incubated at $60^{\circ}C$ exhibited lower decay in relative activity at incubation period $t = 30$ min and $t = 90$ min, compared to $PPO_{S. melongenas}$ pre-incubated at $20^{\circ}C$. Conversely, $PPO_{S. melongenas}$ pre-incubated at $60^{\circ}C$ showed higher decay in activity compared to the enzyme pre-incubated at $20^{\circ}C$; at $t = 60$ min (Fig. 3).

Pre-incubation of $PPO_{M. sapientum}$ at the three experimental temperatures caused increasing decay in the relative activity of the enzyme with the progress of time, which was in the order $20^{\circ}C > 60^{\circ}C$




Fig. 3: Percentage decay in enzyme activity of PPO extracted from *S. melongenas* incubated at varying temperature




Fig. 4: Percentage decay in enzyme activity of PPO extracted from *M. sapientum* incubated at varying temperature

>50°C (Fig. 3). However, the increasing decays in activities of PPO_{*M. sapientum*} pre-incubated at 20°C and 60°C was not significantly different ($p < 0.05$). Specifically, pre-incubation of PPO_{*M. sapientum*} for $t = 90$ min at 60°C caused 18.4% decay in relative activity of PPO_{*M. sapientum*} (Fig. 4).

Pre-incubation of PPO_{*M. sapientum*} in pH = 3.5 caused decay in relative enzyme activity between the range of 56.3-78.8% within the experimental time (30< t <90) min. Decay in relative activity was significantly different among the PPO_{*M. sapientum*} incubated at the three experimental pH conditions, which was in the order pH = 3.5>pH = 8.0>pH = 6.0 (Fig. 5).

The decay in relative activity of PPO_{*M. sapientum*} pre-incubated in pH = 6.0 and pH = 8.0 were not profound compared to pH = 3.5 pre-incubation. At the end of experimental $t = 90$ min,




Fig. 5: Percentage decay in enzyme activity of PPO extracted from *S. melongenas* incubated at varying pH




Fig. 6: Percentage decay in enzyme activity of PPO extracted from *M. sapientum* incubated at varying pH

pre-incubation of PPO_{*M. sapientum*} in pH = 3.5 caused decay in relative activity within the range of 30.8-36.1%, whereas PPO_{*M. sapientum*} pre-incubated in pH = 6.0 and pH = 8.0 gave moderate decays in relative activities, which was within the range of (1.5-9.8%) and (2.7-6.5%) respectively (Fig. 6).

DISCUSSION

From the present study, PPO extracted from the two fruits showed different pH and temperature optima (PPO_{*S. melongenas*}: pH_{optimum} ~7.0 at T_{optimum} °C ~30; PPO_{*M. sapientum*}: pH_{optimum} ~7.0 at T_{optimum} °C ~40) (Fig. 1 and 2). Worthwhile to note, PPO_{*M. sapientum*} T_{optimum} °C ~40, was same as PPO extracted from lily *Carica papaya* and *Cucurbita pepo* (Ying and Zhang, 2008). Other reports by

several authors (Liu *et al.*, 2007; Bello *et al.*, 2011; Yemenicioglu *et al.*, 1999; Mizobutsi *et al.*, 2010; Mahmood *et al.*, 2009; Gaoa *et al.*, 2011) gave diverse temperature and pH optima of PPOs extracted from various plant tissues. Specifically, Zheng *et al.* (2012) using 10 mM catechol as substrate reported that *Vitis vinifera* Thompson seedless PPO activity $\text{pH}_{\text{optimum}} \sim 6.0$ and $\text{T}^{\circ}\text{C}_{\text{optimum}} \sim 25$. Nakamura *et al.* (1983) stated that $\text{T}^{\circ}\text{C}_{\text{optimum}}$ and $\text{pH}_{\text{optimum}}$ of PPO extracted from Koshu *Vitis vinifera* were approximately 25°C and 6.0, respectively. Aylward and Haisman (1969) and Selles-Marchart *et al.* (2006) reported that differences in optimum pH for PPO activity depended on plant sources, extraction methods and purities of enzyme, buffers and substrates. However, most plants show maximum PPO activity near neutral pH values (Jimenez-Atienzar *et al.*, 2004; Dogan and Dogan, 2004). These previous reports are consistent with the present report (PPO_{S. melongenas}: $\text{pH}_{\text{optimum}} \sim 7.0$; PPO_{M. sapientum}: $\text{pH}_{\text{optimum}} \sim 7.0$) (Fig. 2). Remarkably, pH-activity profile of PPO_{S. melongenas} exhibited two peak values; $\text{pH} \sim 7.0$ and $\text{pH} \sim 8.5$, which was an indication of the presence of isoenzyme based on similar reports by Bello *et al.* (2011). Using catechol as substrate, Bello and Sule (2012), reported variable $\text{T}^{\circ}\text{C}_{\text{optimum}}$ of PPO extracted from wide varieties of Tropical fruits and vegetables. Accordingly, *Solanum aethiopicum*: $\text{T}^{\circ}\text{C}_{\text{optimum}} \sim 50^{\circ}\text{C}$; *Carica papaya*: $\text{T}^{\circ}\text{C}_{\text{optimum}} \sim 40^{\circ}\text{C}$; *Cucurbita pepo* $\text{T}^{\circ}\text{C}_{\text{optimum}} \sim 50^{\circ}\text{C}$; *Psidium guajava* $\text{T}^{\circ}\text{C}_{\text{optimum}} \sim 30^{\circ}\text{C}$; *Irvingia gabonensis* $\text{T}^{\circ}\text{C}_{\text{optimum}} \sim 50^{\circ}\text{C}$. It is worthwhile to note that PPO $\text{T}^{\circ}\text{C}_{\text{optimum}}$ is dependent on substrate type (Mahmood *et al.*, 2009). Notably, Ziyan and Pekyrdimic (2004) had earlier reported the effect of seven different substrates on the $\text{T}^{\circ}\text{C}_{\text{optimum}}$ of *Pyrus communis* PPO. Pre-incubation of PPO_{S. melongenas} and PPO_{M. sapientum} under the two experimental conditions of temperature and pH gave diverse activity, which was a reflection of divergent level of PPO stability. The time dependent decay in PPO activity of two fruit extracts (Fig. 3-6) showed also the divergent capacities of the enzymes to withstand destabilizing effects of unfavourable temperature and pH conditions. This finding was an obvious indication that the three dimensional structure and functionality of enzymes are inextricably connected with pH and temperature conditions (Rodwell and Kennelly, 2003). In a similar study, (Lacki and Duvnjak, 1999) reported that changes in pH level from 5.0 to 3.2 caused loss of PPO stability of white-rot fungus *Trametes versicolor*, which was comparable to that observed when the pre-incubation temperature was increased from 50 to 70°C. In another study, Yemenicioglu and Cemeroglu (2003), showed the effect of ripening on thermal stability of *Prunus armeniaca* PPO and posited that thermal stability of PPO depended on the cultivar and stage of ripening and the presence of isoenzyme as reported by Yemenicioglu *et al.* (1999).

The study by Mahmood *et al.* (2009) showed that PPO from different plant sources exhibited different thermal stabilities. The present study shows that decreasing levels of PPO_{S. melongenas} and PPO_{M. sapientum} activity depended on temperature and duration of incubation (Table 2), which was a reflection of level of thermal stability of the two PPO extracts Mizobutsi *et al.* (2010); Bello and Sule (2012); Zheng *et al.* (2012). The decay in PPO_{S. melongenas} and PPO_{M. sapientum} activity was more profound at 20 and 60°C (Fig. 3, 4). The present finding is in similarity with the reports of Marcos *et al.* (2008) in which they noted that PPOs from melon varieties (*Amarillo* and *Charentias*) were nearly completely inactivated after 30 min of incubation at 60°C (94% loss of enzyme activity). Mizobutsi *et al.* (2010) reported that *Litchi chinensis* pericarp incubated at temperature of 60°C for 10 min reduced the enzyme activity to scarcely detectable level. Furthermore, studies by Zheng *et al.* (2012) reported that Thompson seedless grape PPO exhibited thermal stability between 10 and 25°C, but showed significant activity loss at temperatures higher than 40°C and was completely inactivation at 70°C for 10 min. They further stated that thermal inactivation of PPO

showed a first-order kinetic with an activation energy (E_a) of $146.1 \pm 10.8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ at pH = 6.0. Therefore, it worthwhile to note that PPOs from different plant sources exhibited divergent thermal stabilities (Bello and Sule, 2012).

Similarly, the relationship between stability of PPO and pH showed a time depended decay in enzyme activity (Fig. 5, 6). Nakamura *et al.* (1983) had earlier noted that PPO extracted from Koshu *Vitis vinifera* was stable in the alkaline pH range (between pH = 7.0 and pH = 11.0). Again, Mizobutsi *et al.* (2010) reported that *L. chinensis* pericarp pre-incubation up to 35 minutes, at pH 2.5 or 9.5 caused complete inactivate the enzyme. They further stated that the acid pH was more effective destabilization agent. Likewise, reports of Gaoa *et al.* (2011) showed that PPO of leaf extract of *Cleome gynandra* L. exhibited optimal activity at pH = 8.0 and further noted a progressive PPO stability from pH 3.0 to 9.0. In similar characteristics, PPO_{*S. melongenas*} and PPO_{*M. sapientum*} showed relatively lower decay in activity when pre-incubation pH tended towards alkaline conditions, whereas decay in activity was profound at acidic pH conditions. The relatively high decay of PPO_{*S. melongenas*} and PPO_{*M. sapientum*} activities pre-incubated at pH = 3.5 (Fig. 5, 6) was an indication that acidic pH promoted enzyme destabilization, which provided strong evidence that denaturalization pH of the PPOs was near pH ~3.5. However, the propensity of acidic pH to cause destabilization the enzyme extracts was in the order PPO_{*S. melongenas*} > PPO_{*M. sapientum*}. Comparable reports on characterization of PPO from *L. chinensis chinensis* pericarp according to Liu *et al.* (2007) showed that incubation of the enzyme at pH = 3.1 for 1 day caused 49.50% loss in PPO activity and only 2.43% of the activity remained after 12 days of incubation, indicating that *L. chinensis* pericarp PPO was very unstable at pH = 3.1. They further posited that the PPO activity decayed more moderately when incubated at pH = 4.5 than when incubated at pH = 3.1. Furthermore, Bello *et al.* (2011) reported that crude PPO extracted from *Solanum aethiopicum*, *Carica papaya* and *Cucurbita pepo* showed instability in acidic pH but was more stable near neutral pH, which was in agreement with the findings of Kavrayan and Aydemir (2001) in which *Mentha piperita* PPO was found to be stable between pH 6.0 and 7.0.

REFERENCES

- Altunkaya, A. and V. Gokmen, 2011. Purification and characterization of polyphenol oxidase peroxidase and lipoxygenase from freshly cut Lettuce (*L. sativa*). *Food Technol. Biotechnol.*, 49: 249-256.
- Aylward, F. and D.R. Haisman, 1969. Oxidation systems in fruits and vegetables-their relation to the quality of preserved products. *Adv. Food Res.*, 17: 1-76.
- Bello, A.B., M.S. Sule and A.J. Alhassan, 2011. Optimum pH and pH stability of crude polyphenol oxidase (PPO) extracted from five fruit samples commonly consumed in kano state, Nigeria. *Bayero J. Pure Applied Scie.*, 4: 26-31.
- Bello, A.B. and M.S. Sule, 2012. Optimum temperature and thermal stability of crude polyphenol oxidase from some common fruits. *Nigerian J. Basic Applied Sci.*, 20: 27-31.
- Bradford, M.M., 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal. Biochem.*, 72: 248-254.
- Chikezie, P.C., 2006. Extraction and activity of polyphenoloxidase from kolanuts (*Cola nitida* and *Cola acuminata*) and cocoa (*Theobroma cacao*). *J. Agric. Food Sci.*, 4: 115-124.
- Da Silva, C.R. and M.G.B. Koblitz, 2010. Partial characterization and inactivation of peroxidases and polyphenol-oxidases of umbu-caja (*Spondias* spp.). *Ciencia e Tecnol. de Alimentos*, 30: 790-796.

- Dogan, S. and M. Dogan, 2004. Determination of kinetic properties of polyphenol oxidase from Thymus (*Thymus longicaulis* subsp. *chaubardii* var. *chaubardii*), *Food Chem.*, 88: 69-77.
- Escobar, M.A., A. Shilling, P. Higgins, S.L. Uratsu and A.M. Dandekar, 2008. Characterization of polyphenol oxidase from Walnut. *J. Am. Soc. Horticult. Sci.*, 133: 852-858.
- Fawzy, A.M., 2005. Purification and some properties of polyphenol oxidase from Apple (*Malus domestica* Borkh.). *Minia J. Agric. Res. Dev.*, 25: 629-644.
- Gaoa, Z.J., J.B. Liua and X.G. Xiaoa, 2011. Purification and characterization of polyphenol oxidase from leaves of *Cleome gynandra* L. *Food Chem.*, 129: 1012-1018.
- Gouzi, H., T. Coradin, E.N. Delicado, M.U. Unal and A. Benmansour, 2010. Inhibition kinetics of *Agaricus bisporus* (J.E. Lange) Imbach polyphenol oxidase. *Open Enzyme Inhib. J.*, 3: 1-7.
- Gowda, L.R. and B. Paul, 2002. Diphenol activation of the monophenolase and diphenolase activities of field bean (*Dolichos lablab*) polyphenol oxidase. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 50: 1608-1614.
- Jimenez-Atienzar M., J. Cabanes, F. Gandía-Herrero and F. Garcya-Carmona, 2004. Kinetic analysis of catechin oxidation by polyphenol oxidase at neutral pH. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.*, 319: 902-910.
- Kavrayan, D. and T. Aydemir, 2001. Partial purification and characterization of polyphenoloxidase from peppermint (*Mentha pipetita*). *Food Chem.*, 74: 147-154.
- Klabunde, T., C. Eicken, J.C Sacchettini and B. Krebs, 1998. Crystal structure of a plant catechol oxidase containing a dicopper center. *Nat. Struct. Biol.*, 5: 1084-1090.
- Lacki, K. and Z. Duvnjak, 1999. Stability of a polyphenol oxidase from the white-rot fungus *Trametes versicolor* in the presence of canola meal. *Acta Biotechnol.*, 19: 91-100.
- Liu, L., S. Cao, B. Xie, Z. Sun, X. Li and W. Miao, 2007. Characterization of polyphenol oxidase from Litchi pericarp using (-)-epicatechin as substrate. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 55: 7140-7143.
- Madani, I., P.M. Lee and L.K. Hung, 2011. Partial purification and characterisation of polyphenol oxidase from *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis* L. *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Biotechnology and Food Science*, April 1-3, 2011, Bali Island, Indonesia, pp: 110-114.
- Mahmood, W.A., S.H. Sultan and S.R. Hamza, 2009. Extraction and characterization of polyphenol oxidase from apricot, apple, eggplant and potato. *Mesopotamia J. of Agric.*, Vol. 37,
- Marcos, C., N.B. Riccardo and S. Giovanni, 2008. Characterization and role of polyphenol oxidase and peroxidase in browning of fresh-cut melon. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 56: 132-138.
- Marshall, M.R., J. Kim and C. Wei, 2000. *Enzymatic Browning in Fruits, Vegetables and Sea Foods*. FAO, Rome, Italy.
- Mayer, A.M., 2006. Polyphenol oxidases in plants and fungi: Going places, A review. *Phytochemistry*, 67: 2318-2331.
- Mayer, M.A. and E. Harel, 1979. Polyphenol oxidase in plants. *Phytochem*, 18: 193-215.
- Mizobutsi, G.P., F.L. Finger, R.A. Ribeiro, R. Puschmann, G. Vieira and L.L. de Melo Neves, 2010. Influence of pH and temperature on polyphenoloxidase activity of litchi (*Litchi chinensis* sonn.) pericarp. *Acta Hort.*, 864: 361-366.
- Nakamura, K., Y. Amano and M. Kagami, 1983. Purification and some properties of a polyphenol oxidase from Koshu grapes. *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.*, 34 : 122-127.
- Oktay, M., I. Kufreviolu, I. Kocacaliskan and H. Sakiroglu, 1995. Polyphenol oxidase from Amasya apple. *J. Food Sci.*, 60: 494-496.
- Qudsieh, H.Y., S. Yusof, A. Osman and R.A. Rahman, 2002. Effect of maturity on chlorophyll, tannin, color and polyphenol oxidase (PPO) activity of sugarcane juice (*Ssaccharum officinarum* var. Yellow cane). *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 50: 1615-1618.

- Rodwell, V.W. and P.J. Kennelly, 2003. Enzymes: Kinetics. In: Harper's Illustrated Biochemistry, Murray, R.K., D.K. Granner, P.A. Mayes and V.W. Rodwell (Eds.), Lange Medical Books/McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Selles-Marchart, S., J. Casado-Vela and R. Bru-Martinez, 2006. Isolation of a latent polyphenol oxidase from loquat fruit (*Eriobotrya japonica* Lindl.): Kinetic characterization and comparison with the active form. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.*, 446: 175-185.
- Sommer, A., E. Ne'eman, J.C. Steffens, A.M. Mayer and E. Harel, 1994. Import, targeting and processing of a plant polyphenol oxidase. *Plant Physiol.*, 105: 1301-1311.
- Steffens, J.C., E. Harel and M.D. Hunt, 1994. Polyphenol Oxidase. In: Recent Advances in Phytochemistry, Genetic Engineering of Plant Secondary Metabolism, Ellis, B.E., G.W. Kuroki and H.A. Stafford (Eds.). Plenum Press, New York, pp: 275-312.
- Unal, U.M., O. Gokkaya and S. Sener, 2011. Characterization of polyphenol oxidase from white cherry fruit (Starks gold). *J. Food*, 36: 255-262.
- Yemenicioglu, A. and B. Cemeroglu, 2003. Consistency of polyphenol oxidase (PPO) thermostability in ripening apricots (*Prunus armeniaca* L.): Evidence for the presence of thermostable PPO forming and destabilizing mechanisms in apricots. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 51: 2371-2379.
- Yemenicioglu, A., M. Ozkan and B. Cemeroglu, 1999. Some characteristics of polyphenol oxidase and peroxidase from Taro (*Colocasia antiquorum*). *Turk. J. Agric. For.*, 23: 425-430.
- Ying, Y. and W. Zhang, 2008. Some properties of polyphenol oxidase from lily. *Inter. J. Food Sci. Technol.*, 43: 102-107.
- Yoruk, R. and M.R. Marshall, 2003. Physicochemical properties and function of polyphenol oxidase: A review. *J. Food Biochem.*, 27: 361-422.
- Zheng, Y., J. Shi and Z. Pan, 2012. Biochemical characteristics and thermal inhibition kinetics of polyphenol oxidase extracted from Thompson seedless grape. *Euro. Food Res. Technol.*, 234: 607-616.
- Ziyan, E. and S. Pekyardimic, 2004. Characterization of polyphenol oxidase from Jerusalem artichoke (*Helianthus tuberosus*). *Turk. J. Chem.*, 27: 217-225.