

International Journal of

Plant Breeding and Genetics

ISSN 1819-3595

Academic Journals Inc.

www.academicjournals.com

International Journal of Plant Breeding and Genetics 4 (1): 23-29, 2010
ISSN 1819-3595

Analysis of G×E Interaction by Using the Additive Main Effects and Multiplicative Interaction in Potato Cultivars

D. Hassanpanah
Agricultural and Natural Resources Research Centre of Ardabil, Iran

Abstract: This study was to determine the yield performance and to assess the stability of three potato cultivars and four irrigation regimes in six environments by using the AMMI statistical model. This experiment were conducted on the three cultivars of potato (Agria, Satina and Caesar) using four different irrigation regimes (first, after 30 mm evaporation from class A evaporation pan, second, after 30 mm evaporation from class A evaporation pan with spraying by potassium humate, third, after 60 mm evaporation with spraying by potassium humate and fourth, after 60 mm evaporation from class A evaporation pan) in three locations of Ardabil in Northwestern Iran and for two years (2007-2008). Experimental design was split plot with three replications. Potassium humate sprayed (250 mL ha^{-1}) in the three stages of emergence, before tuberization and during tuberization period. Combined analysis of variance showed that there were significant differences between locations, years, irrigation regimes and cultivars and their interaction on tuber yield. The analysis of variance for the AMMI model of tuber yield showed that environments, cultivars×environments interaction and AMMI component 1 were significant. Results showed that Agria and Caesar cultivars had high tuber yield in all sites and for the four different irrigation regimes for two years. Caesar cultivar had the less Slop, S.E., MS-TXL, MS-REG and MS-DEV among other cultivars and was the most stable cultivar. The Agria cultivar has adapted in Alarog, Hassanbarog and Khoshkeroud sites under normal (using irrigation after 30 mm evaporation from class A evaporation pan) and normal with potassium humate conditions, Caesar and Satina cultivars in Alarog, Hassanbarog and Khoshkeroud sites under stress with potassium humate and stress conditions in order to ensure their yield stability and economic profitability.

Key words: AMMI, potato, potassium humate, stress

INTRODUCTION

Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) is grown and eaten in greater countries more than some other crops (Stephen, 1999). It is a crop that grows mainly in climates with cool temperate with full sunlight, moderate daily temperatures and cool nights. Short days generally induce tubers in potatoes, although many modern cultivars can initiate tuberization in the long days of North regions temperate (Tarn *et al*., 1992). Among the most important crops in the world (Fernie and Willmitzer, 2001; FAO, 2008) potato is ranked in fourth grade in annual production after the cereal species rice, wheat and barley. Iran is the world's 12th potato producer and the third biggest producer in Asia, after China and India as mentioned above (FAO, 2008).

Genotype by environment interactions are important sources of variation in any crop and the term stability is sometimes used to characterize a genotype, which shows a relatively constant yield, independent of changing environmental conditions. On the basis of this idea, genotypes with a minimal variance for yield across different environments are considered stable (Sabaghniaa *et al*., 2006).

The stability methods can be divided into two major groups: univariate and multivariate stability statistics (Lin *et al*., 1986). Among multivariate methods, the additive main effect and the multiplicative interaction analysis (AMMI) are widely used for G×E investigation. This method has been shown to be effective because it captures a large portion of the G×E sum of square, it clearly separates main and interaction effects that present agricultural researchers with different kinds of opportunities and the model often provides agronomically meaningful interpretation of the data (Ebdon and Gauch, 2002). The results of AMMI analysis are useful in supporting breeding program decisions such as specific adaptation and selection of environment (Gauch and Zobel, 1997). Usually, the results of AMMI analysis shown in common graphs are called biplot. The biplot shows both the genotypes and the environment value and relationships using singular vectors technique.

The AMMI model has been extensively applied in the statistical analysis of multi-environment cultivar trials (Kempton, 1984; Gauch and Zobel, 1989, 1997; Crossa *et al*., 1990)

The objective of this study, therefore, was to determine the yield performance and to assess the yield stability of the three cultivars of potato and four irrigation regimes in six environments by using the AMMI statistical model for 2 years study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This experiment was conducted on the three cultivars of potato [Agria (susceptible), Satina (semi-tolerant) and Ceaser (tolerant to water deficit)] and four irrigation regimes [after 30 mm evaporation from class A evaporation pan (normal condition), after 30 mm evaporation from class A evaporation pan with spraying by potassium humate (normal condition with potassium humate), after 60 mm evaporation with spraying by potassium humate (stress condition with potassium humate) and after 60 mm evaporation from class A evaporation pan (stress condition)] in three locations of Ardabil (Alarog, Hassanbarog and Khoshkeroud) in northwestern Iran and for 2 years (2007-2008). Experimental design was split plot with three replications.

Plots were 9 m^2 with four rows that each of them has 3 m length and 25 cm distance between plants and 75 cm distance between rows. Distances between plots were 1.5 m. The first irrigation was general, but the time was determined following:

Used water amount was calculated according to the collected class A evaporation pan every time using the following equation:

$$IW/CPE = 0.8$$

Where:
IW = Irrigation water amount
CPE = Collected evaporation ratio calculated from class A evaporation pan

The amount of irrigation water in each treatment was measured by water meter. The start of irrigation was on base of 30 mm evaporation from class A evaporation pan. Amount of precipitation was measured by udometer and daily evaporation by class A evaporation pan.

Potassium humate sprayed (250 mL ha^{-1}) in the three stages (first, emergence, second, before tuberization and third during tuberization stage). In the growth period and after harvesting, some of characters were measured such as main stem number, plant height, tuber number and weight per plant, total and marketable tuber yield, dry matter percent and marketable tuber number and weight per plant. Mean tuber yield was estimated for each cultivars at each location (environment). Combined analysis of variances were done and comparison of means were done by LSD. G×E interaction was partitioned according to the additive main effects and multiplicative interaction (AMMI) model. The AMMI analysis combines analysis of variance and principal component analysis into a single model with additive and multiplicative parameters. All analyses were carried out using the CropStat software packages.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Combined analysis of variance showed significant differences between location (L), year (Y), irrigation regimes (A) and cultivar (B) and their interaction (L×A, L×Y×A, Y×B, L×B, L×Y×B, A×B, Y×A×B and L×A×B) on tuber yield. Because of their interaction significant differences for tuber yield, as mentioned AMMI analysis were used to estimate the highest stable cultivars.

The analysis of variance for the AMMI model of tuber yield showed that environments, cultivars × environments interaction and AMMI component 1 were significant (Table 1).

Caesar cultivar had the less Slop (Slopes of regressions of cultivar means on environment index), SE, MS-TXL (Contribution of each cultivar to interaction MS), MS-REG (Contribution of each cultivar to the regression component of the treatment by location interaction) and MS-DEV (Deviations from regression component of interaction) among other cultivars and was the most stable cultivar (Table 2).

Results show that Agria and Caesar cultivars had high tuber yield in all of sites in two years (Table 3) and four irrigation regimes (Table 4).

In Fig. 1 the PCA1 scores for both the cultivars and environments were plotted against the tuber yield for the cultivars and the environments and Fig. 2 the PCA1 scores for both the cultivars and environments were plotted against the PCA2 scores for the cultivar and the environments. The graphs space of Fig. 1 and 2 are divided into 4 quadrants from lower yielding environments in quadrants 1 and 4 to high yielding in quadrants 2 and 3.

Table 1: Analysis of variance for the AMMI model

Source	df	SS	MS
Cultivars	2	150.50	75.250
Environments	5	7456.41	1491.280**
Cult. × Env.	10	412.08	41.210**
AMMI component 1	6	397.48	66.250**
AMMI component 2	4	14.604	3.650
Total	17	8018.98	

**p = 0.01

Table 2: Stability regressions of tuber yield for each cultivar on means of yield at each environment

Cultivars	Mean	Slope	SE	MS-TXL	MS-REG	MS-DEV
Caesar	42.37	0.944	0.059	4.010	3.780	4.23
Satina	38.52	0.848	0.060	16.430	28.380	4.47
Agria	45.59	1.208	0.119	35.140	52.890	17.40

Slope: Slopes of regressions of cultivar means on environment index. MS-TXL: Contribution of each cultivar to interaction MS, MS-REG: Contribution of each cultivar to the regression component of the treatment by location interaction, MS-DEV: Deviations from regression component of interaction

Table 3: Fitted values from the AMMI model of cultivars×locations×years interaction

	Envir.									
	Alarog			Hassanbarog			Khoshkeroud			
Cvs.	2007	2008	Mean	2007	2008	Mean	2007	2008	Mean	Total mean
Caesar	44.67	40.38	42.53	21.42	16.34	18.88	72.25	59.15	65.70	42.37
Satina	42.34	35.42	38.88	18.94	15.68	17.31	64.45	54.26	59.36	38.51
Agria	43.44	37.05	40.25	21.95	15.23	18.59	72.05	83.81	77.93	45.59
Site effects	43.48	37.62	40.55	20.77	15.75	18.26	69.58	65.74	67.66	42.16
AMMI 1 site	-0.966	-1.083	-1.40***	-0.630	-1.10	-1.30***	-0.22	4.02	2.64	-
AMMI 2 site	-0.41I	0.56 I	0.74***	-0.41	-0.97 I	-0.76***	1.46 I	-0.21 I	0.028	

***p = 0.001

Table 4: Fitted values from the AMMI model of cultivars× irrigation regimes interaction

	Envir.						
CVs.	Normal	Normal with potassium humate	Stress with potassium humate	Stress	Mean	T-Effects	AMMI 1 TRT
Caesar	48.65	53.30	38.03	29.50	42.37	1.214	-0.523 I
Satina	45.09	46.81	36.14	26.01	38.51	-0.097	1.194 I
Agria	54.58	54.33	42.13	31.32	45.59	-1.117	-0.672 I
Site effects	49.44	51.48	38.77	28.94	42.16	-	-
AMMI 1 site	-1.119	0.9894	-0.4298	0.5597	-	-	-
AMMI 2 site	-0.681 I	-0.781 I	0.8179 I	0.6414 I	-	-	-



Fig. 1: AMMI 1 biplot of interactions of cultivars × locations × irrigation regimes

Figure 1 shows tuber yield of the potato selections in the various environments. The cultivars or environments with large PCA1 scores (negative or positive) indicated high



Fig. 2: AMMI 2 biplot of interaction of cultivars × locations × irrigation regimes

interactions, while the cultivar with low or PCA1 scores near zero resulted in small interactions.

AMMI biplot PCA1 vs. PCA2 for potato cultivar and environments shows no cultivar clustered close to the center of the biplot. Cultivars Satina and Caesar were far from the center (Fig. 2). The Agria cultivar has adapted in Alarog, Hassanbarog and Khoshkeroud sites under normal and normal with potassium humate conditions, Caesar and Satina cultivars in Alarog, Hassanbarog and Khoshkeroud sites under stress with potassium humate and stress conditions in order to ensure their yield stability and economic profitability (Fig. 1, 2). The Agria and Caesar cultivars had the highest yield (45.59 and 42.37 t ha^{-1}), while the lowest yield (38.52 t ha^{-1}) was recorded from Satina cultivar. Farmers are more interested in the cultivars that produce consistent yields under their growing conditions and breeders want to meet these needs (Mulema *et al*., 2008).

The AMMI model has been extensively applied in the statistical analysis of multi-environment cultivar trials (Kempton, 1984; Gauch and Zobel, 1989, 1997; Crossa *et al*., 1990).

AMMI analysis should provide (1) an enhanced understanding of G×E, (2) increasingly accurate yield estimates using means for multiplicative interaction effects and (3) the increased probability of identifying the next royalty paying genotype. The interaction of the three cultivars with six environments was best predicted by the first 2 principal components of genotypes and environments. Consequently, biplots generated using genotypic and environmental scores of the AMMI 1 components can help breeders have an overall picture of the behavior of the genotypes, the environments and G×E (Manrique and Hermann, 2000; Kaya *et al*., 2002; Tarakanovas and Ruzgas, 2006).

CONCLUSIONS

The analysis of variance for the AMMI model of tuber yield showed that environments, cultivars×environments interaction and AMMI component 1 were significant. Caesar cultivar had the less Slop, S.E., MS-TXL, MS-REG and MS-DEV among other cultivars and was the most stable cultivar.

The biplot shows that Agria cultivar has adapted in Alarog, Hassanbarog and Khoshkeroud sites under normal and normal with potassium humate conditions, Caesar and Satina cultivars in Alarog, Hassanbarog and Khoshkeroud sites under stress with potassium humate and stress conditions in order to ensure their yield stability and economic profitability.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Thanks to Agricultural and Natural Resources Research Centre of Ardabil, Iran management for assistance this project.

REFERENCES

Crossa, J., H.G.J. Gauch and R.W. Zobel, 1990. Additive main effects and multiplicative interaction analysis of two international maize cultivar trials. Crop Sci., 30: 493-500.

Ebdon, J.S. and H.G. Gauch Jr., 2002. Additive main effect and multiplicative interaction analysis of national turfgrass performance trials. Crop Sci., 42: 497-506.

FAO., 2008. International year of the potato 2008. http://www.potato2008.org/.

Fernie, A.R. and L. Willmitzer, 2001. Molecular and biochemical triggers of tuber development. Plant Physiol., 127: 1459-1465.

Gauch, H.G. and R.W. Zobel, 1989. Accuracy and selection success in yield trials analysis. Theor. Applied Genet., 77: 443-481.

Gauch, H.G. and R.W. Zobel, 1997. Identifying mega-environments and targeting genotypes. Crop Sci., 37: 311-326.

Kaya, Y., C. Palta and S. Taner, 2002. Additive main effects and multiplicative interactions analysis of yield performance in bread wheat genotypes a cross environments. Turk. J. Agric., 26: 275-279.

Kempton, R.A., 1984. The use of biplots in interpreting variety by environment interactions. J. Agric. Sci., 103: 123-135.

Lin, C.S., M.R. Binns and L.P. Lefkovitch, 1986. Stability analysis: Where do we stand? Crop Sci., 26: 894-900.

Manrique, K. and M. Hermann, 2000. Effect of G×E interaction on root yield and betacarotene content of selected sweetpotato (*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam.) varieties and breeding clones. CIP Program Report 1999-2000, pp: 281-287.

Mulema, J.M.K., E. Adipala, O.M. Olanya and W. Wagoire, 2008. Yield stability analysis of late blight resistant potato selections. Exp. Agric., 44: 145-155.

Sabaghniaa, N., H. Dehghania and S.H. Sabaghpourb, 2006. Nonparametric methods for interpreting genotype×environment interaction of lentil genotypes. Crop Sci., 46: 1100-1106.

Stephen, D.J., 1999. Multiple signaling pathways control tuber induction in potato. Plant Physiol., 119: 1-8.

Tarakanovas, P. and V. Ruzgas, 2006. Additive main effect and multiplication interaction analysis of grain yield off wheat varieties in Lithuania. Agron. Rres., 41: 91-98.

Tarn, R.T., G.C.C. Tai, H. De Jong, A.M. Murphy and J.E.A. Seabrook, 1992. Breeding potatoes for long-day, temperate climates. Plant Breed. Rev., 9: 217-332.