

International Journal of **Plant Breeding** and Genetics

ISSN 1819-3595



International Journal of Plant Breeding and Genetics 8 (3): 153-163, 2014 ISSN 1819-3595 / DOI: 10.3923/ijpbg.2014.153.163 © 2014 Academic Journals Inc.

Diallel Cross Analysis for Yield and its Related Traits in Some Genotypes of Flax (*Linum usitatissimum* L.)

M.A. Abdel-Moneam

Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University, Egypt

ABSTRACT

Six genotypes of flax representing different agronomic characters were crossed in a half-diallel model in 2009/2010 winter season. The six parents and their 15 F₁ crosses were evaluated in 2010/2011 and 2011/2012 seasons to study the combining ability and mode of gene action for yield and its components traits of flax. The results indicated clearly that mean squares of genotypes, general and specific combining ability were highly significant for all studied traits in both seasons and their combined data, except general combining ability for capsules No. plant⁻¹ in both seasons and No. of basal branches plant⁻¹ in the second season were insignificant. The GCA/SCA ratio was more than unity for days to the first flower, plant height and technical length, indicating that these traits are predominantly controlled by additive gene action. Whereas, GCA/SCA ratio was less than unity for No. of basal branches plant⁻¹, No. of capsules plant⁻¹, 1000-seed weight, seed yield plant⁻¹ and straw yield plant⁻¹, indicating that these traits are mainly controlled by non-additive gene action. The best general combiners were P1 (S2) for straw yield, plant height and technical length; P5 (Hermis) for seed and straw yields; P4 (Bleinka) for seed yield plant⁻¹ and 1000-seed weight. Eight crosses and nine crosses showed significant or highly significant and positive SCA effects for seed yield plant⁻¹ and straw yield plant⁻¹, respectively, indicating that these crosses were the best combinations for increasing seed and straw yields.

Key words: Flax, diallel analysis, combining ability, gene action

INTRODUCTION

Flax (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) is considered the most important past fiber crop in Egypt since several thousand years ago. Choice of parents for crossing is considered an important step in any plant breeding program aimed at improving yield and related attributes. Combining ability analysis is an important tool for the selection of desirable parents together with the information regarding to the nature and magnitude of gene effects controlling quantitative traits. It is important to accurately estimate the magnitude and relative proportion of the various components of genetic variance in order to understand the underlying type of gene action that controls the trait of interest, for example General Combining Ability (GCA) variance is a measure of additive effects of genes and of additive x additive epistatic interaction, while Specific Combining Ability (SCA) variance is measure of dominance and epistatic types of gene action. Many researchers studied the combining ability in flax, i.e., Patil *et al.* (1997), Foster *et al.* (1998), Abo El-Zahab and Abo-Kaied (2000), Abo-Kaied (2002) and El-Kady and Abo-Kaied (2010), they found that additive genetic variance had more important role in the inheritance of straw yield, plant height, technical stem length and 1000-seed weight. On the other hand, non-additive variance had an important role in the inheritance of No. of basal branches plant⁻¹, seed yield plant⁻¹ and capsules number

plant⁻¹ as reported by Shehata and Comstock (1971), Patil and Chopde (1981), Zahana (2006), Abo-Kaied *et al.* (2007) and El-Kady and Abo-Kaied (2009). While, Mishra *et al.* (2013) reported that GCA/SCA were less than 1 revealed the importance of non-additive gene action in the inheritance of all seed yield and its components traits.

Mohammadi et al. (2010) showed that additive gene actions had greater effects on inheritance of days to flowering, plant height, primary branches per plant and 1000-seed weight. However, number of capsules per plant was largely controlled by dominance genetic effects, whereas both additive and non-additive gene actions were important in genetic control of seed yield plant⁻¹. Therefore, the present investigation was designed to estimate the combining ability effects and the mode of gene action in the inheritance of some traits in six parental foreign flax genotypes and their 15 F_1 crosses during two growing seasons.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The genetic materials used in this investigation as parents included six foreign genotypes of flax. The parent's names and their origin are presented in Table 1.

In 2009/2010 winter season, the six parental genotypes were sown at various dates in order to overcome the differences in flowering time. All possible cross combinations excluding reciprocals were made among the six genotypes, giving $15 \, F_1$ crosses. The parents and their F_1 crosses were evaluated in the Farm of Agron, Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University, Egypt during two growing seasons, 2010/2011 and 2011/2012. The experiments were laid out in a randomized complete block design with three replications. Each plot consisted of one row for parents and its F_1 crosses. Rows were 3 m long and spaced 20 cm apart. Single seeds were hand drilled at 5 cm spacing within row. All other cultural practices were applied as recommended for flax cultivation. At harvest, individual guarded plants were taken at random from each row; 10 plants from each parent and F_1 per replication for recording the studied traits.

Studied traits: Days to first flower (day), plant height (cm), technical length (cm), No. of basal branches plant⁻¹, No. of capsules plant⁻¹, 1000-seed weight (g), seed yield plant⁻¹ (g) and straw yield plant⁻¹ (g).

Statistical analysis: The data obtained for each trait was analyzed on plot mean basis in both parents and F_1 generation. All obtained data was subjected to the statistical analysis of the randomized complete block design to test the differences among various genotypes under each season according to Snedecor and Cochran (1980). Combined analysis was performed between the

Table 1: Flax genotypes names and their origin

Parent	Name	Origin (From imported)
P1	\mathbf{S}_2	India
P2	\mathbf{S}_5	India
Р3	Escalina	Holland
P4	Bleinka	Holland
P5	Hermis	Belgicea
P6	Leflora	Island

Table 2: Analysis of variance from method 2 model 1 and expected mean squares for combining ability analysis

SV	df	MS	EMS
GCA	P-1	Mg	$\sigma^2 e + (P+2) (1/P-1) \sum g^2 i$
SCA	P (P-1)/2	${ m Ms}$	$σ$ ² e+2/P (P-1) \sum i \sum j S ² ij
Error	(r-1)(c-1)	Me	$\sigma^2 \mathbf{e}$

Me: Error mean squares of the main randomized complete block design divided by number of replications (Me: Me/r), P: Number of parents

two seasons to indicate the seasonal year effects. Treatments were compared using the Least Significant Differences values (LSD) at 5% probability according to Gomez and Gomez (1984). An ordinary analysis using Griffing (1956) method 2 model 1 (fixed effects) was applied to estimate General Combining Ability (GCA) and Specific Cambining Ability (SCA) effects, as shown in Table 2.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variance for the studied traits under both seasons and their combined data are presented in Table 3. The results indicated clearly that mean squares of genotypes were highly significant for all studied traits in both seasons and their combined data. These results reflected an indication to the presence of real differences between the studied genotypes for these traits. General (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining ability mean squares presented in Table 3 were highly significant for all studied traits in both seasons and their combined data, except general combining ability for No. of capsules plant⁻¹ in both seasons and No. of basal branches plant⁻¹ in the second season were insignificant.

The GCA/SCA ratio was more than unity for each of days to first flower, plant height and technical length in both seasons and their combined data (Table 3), indicating that these traits are predominantly controlled by additive gene action. Therefore, it could be concluded that selection procedures based on the accumulation of additive gene effect would be more effective in the early segregating generations for improving these traits. These results are in general agreement with those previously reported by Foster et al. (1998), Abo El-Zahab and Abo-Kaied (2000), Abo-Kaied (2002), El-Kady and Abo-Kaied (2010) and Mohammadi et al. (2010). On the other hand, GCA/SCA ratio was less than unity for each of No. of basal branches plant⁻¹, No. of capsules plant⁻¹, 1000-seed weight, seed yield plant⁻¹ and straw yield plant⁻¹ traits in both seasons and their combined data (Table 3), indicating that these traits are mainly controlled by non-additive gene action. Therefore, it could be concluded that hybridization procedures based on dominance gene effect would be more effective for improving these traits. Similar results in general reported previously by Shehata and Comstock (1971), Patil and Chopde (1981), Zahana (2006), Abo-Kaied et al. (2007), El-Kady and Abo-Kaied (2009) and Mishra et al. (2013).

Mean performance of genotypes: Data in Table 4 indicated that the P4 (Bleinka) and crosses P2×P6, P3×P5 and P4×P5 were the earliest in flowering in both seasons and their combined data. P1 (S2) and P3 (Escalina) and crosses P1×3, P1×4 and P2×3 gave the highest values of plant height in both seasons and their combined data. The parent P1 (S2) and crosses P1×3 and P1×5 gave the highest values of technical length in both seasons and their combined data. Parents P4 (Bleinka) and P5 (Hermis) and crosses P2×4, P2×3, P1×3 and P5×6 gave the highest values for No. of basal branches plant⁻¹ in both seasons and their combined data. Parents P1 (S2) and P6 (Leflora) and

Int. J. Plant Breed. Genet., 8 (3): 153-163, 2014

Table 3: Mean squares from analysis of variance, for general and specific combining abilities for all studied traits in the 1st and 2nd seasons and their combination

	df		Days to first	flower (day)		Plant height (cm)			
S.O.V	Single	Combination	1 st season	2 nd season	Combination	1 st season	2 nd season	Combination	
Rep.	2	5	1.06	2.71	28.34	19.06	31.49	773.75	
Genotypes	20	20	12.69**	11.21**	23.22**	211.80**	131.40**	318.10**	
GCA	5	5	5.50**	5.63**	5.40**	120.74^{**}	80.58**	95.13**	
SCA	15	15	3.81**	3.10**	3.36**	53.88**	31.53**	38.97**	
Error	40	100	0.11	0.10	0.06	6.97	3.98	3.03	
GCA/SCA	-	-	1.44	1.82	1.61	2.24	2.56	2.44	
	df		Technical le	ngth (cm)		No. of basal	branches pla	$ m nt^{-1}$	
S.O.V	Single	Combination	1 st season	2 nd season	Combination	1st season	2 nd season	Combination	
Rep.	2	5	6.49	10.11	135.60	0.57	0.05	3.46	
Genotypes	20	20	166.50**	159.40**	324.70**	2.14^{**}	1.25**	3.22**	
GCA	5	5	108.12**	101.44**	104.68**	0.56**	0.18	0.34**	
SCA	15	15	37.98**	37.01**	37.27**	0.77**	0.50**	0.60**	
Error	40	100	5.47	3.79	1.89	0.21	0.11	0.07	
GCA/SCA	-	-	2.85	2.74	2.81	0.73	0.36	0.57	
	df		No. of capsu	les plant ⁻¹		1000-seed weight (g)			
S.O.V	Single	Combination	1 st season	2 nd season	Combination	1st season	2 nd season	Combination	
Rep.	2	5	17.71	16.30	138.90	1.103	2.89	57.90	
Genotypes	20	20	283.80**	242.00**	523.30**	59.95**	50.39**	103.40**	
GCA	5	5	10.05	5.17	7.38**	15.76**	7.37**	9.79**	
SCA	15	15	122.79**	105.85**	113.84**	21.39**	19.93**	19.71**	
Error	40	100	4.21	3.99	1.72	0.02	0.25	0.29	
GCA/SCA	-	-	0.08	0.05	0.06	0.74	0.37	0.50	
	df		Seed yield pl	ant ⁻¹ (g)		Straw yield plant ⁻¹ (g)			
S.O.V	Single	Combination	1 st season	2 nd season	Combination	1 st season	2 nd season	Combination	
Rep.	2	5	0.312	0.617	10.07	1.36	2.30	19.52	
Genotypes	20	20	28.01**	22.68**	49.97**	100.40**	90.50**	190.60**	
GCA	5	5	5.98**	5.81**	5.81**	26.63**	20.85**	23.63**	
SCA	15	15	10.46**	8.14**	9.17**	35.76**	33.27**	34.47**	
Error	40	100	0.00	0.03	0.30	0.15	0.11	0.07	
GCA/SCA	_	-	0.57	0.71	0.63	0.74	0.63	0.69	

^{*,**}Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively

crosses P2×4, P3×4, P1×5, P4×5 and P5×6 gave the highest values for No. of capsules plant⁻¹ in both seasons and their combined data. The parent P6 (Leflora) and cross P3×P6 gave the highest values of 1000-seed weight in both seasons and their combined data. The parent P5 (Hermis) and cross P4×5 gave the highest values for seed yield plant⁻¹ in both seasons and their combined data. The parents P1 (S2) and P2 (S5) and the crosses P1×2 and P4×5 gave the highest values of straw yield plant⁻¹ in both seasons and their combined data, as shown in Table 4.

Int. J. Plant Breed. Genet., 8 (3): 153-163, 2014

Table 4: Mean performance of flax genotypes (parents and their 15 F_1 crosses) for all studied traits in the 1st and 2nd seasons and their combination

	Days to fir	st flower		Plant heig	ht (cm)		Technical length (cm)		
Genotypes	1 st season	2 nd season	Combination	1 st season	2 nd season	Combination	1st season	2 nd season	Combination
P1 (S2)	93.67	92.33	93.00	115.0	106.7	110.8	72.33	68.33	70.33
P2 (S5)	93.67	91.33	92.50	110.0	100.0	105.0	66.67	62.00	64.33
P3 (Escalina)	94.67	91.67	93.17	115.0	104.0	109.5	61.67	56.67	59.17
P4 (Bleinka)	89.67	87.67	88.67	100.0	91.7	95.8	51.67	48.67	50.17
P5 (Hermis)	92.33	89.67	91.00	116.7	98.3	107.5	63.33	58.00	60.67
P6 (Leflora)	94.00	91.33	92.67	100.0	95.0	97.5	58.33	53.33	55.83
$P1 \times P2$	93.67	92.67	93.17	118.3	110.0	114.2	69.33	62.67	66.00
P1×P3	89.33	87.67	88.50	127.7	116.7	122.2	76.67	71.67	74.17
P1×P4	93.33	91.67	92.50	126.7	118.3	122.5	75.67	72.00	73.83
$P1 \times P5$	92.33	90.33	91.33	125.0	111.7	118.3	76.67	71.67	74.17
P1×P6	93.67	91.67	92.67	121.7	106.7	114.2	75.00	70.00	72.50
$P2\times P3$	90.67	89.67	90.17	128.3	111.7	120.0	73.33	69.67	71.50
P2×P4	90.33	88.67	89.50	117.0	103.3	110.2	70.00	66.00	68.00
P2×P5	91.67	88.33	90.00	114.7	101.7	108.2	70.00	66.67	68.33
P2×P6	89.33	86.67	88.00	113.3	101.7	107.5	61.67	56.67	59.17
P3×P4	89.33	87.33	88.33	125.0	111.7	118.3	75.00	70.00	72.50
P3×P5	88.67	87.33	88.00	113.3	102.3	107.8	71.67	66.67	69.17
P3×P6	94.33	91.33	92.83	111.7	103.3	107.5	63.33	59.67	61.50
$P4 \times P5$	88.67	87.33	88.00	122.7	106.0	114.3	63.33	58.67	61.00
P4×P6	91.67	89.33	90.50	108.3	101.7	105.0	63.33	58.33	60.83
P5×P6	93.33	91.00	92.17	105.0	103.3	104.2	53.33	53.33	53.33
$\mathrm{LSD}_{0.05}$	0.95	0.90	0.71	7.54	5.70	4.88	6.68	5.57	3.85
$LSD_{0.01}$	1.27	1.21	0.94	10.09	7.63	6.46	8.94	7.45	5.10
	No. of basa	al branches p	lant ⁻¹	No. of capsules plant ⁻¹			1000-Seed weight (g)		
Genotypes	1st season	2 nd season	Combination	1st season	2 nd season	Combination	1 st season	2 nd season	Combination
P1 (S2)	4.333	3.33	3.833	38.33	33.33	35.83	27.03	23.67	25.35
P2 (S5)	3.667	3.00	3.333	23.33	19.67	21.50	25.00	23.00	24.00
P3 (Escalina)	3.333	3.00	3.167	31.67	26.67	29.17	28.80	25.33	27.07
P4 (Bleinka)	4.667	3.33	4.000	33.33	28.33	30.83	32.73	30.23	31.48
P5 (Hermis)	4.333	3.67	4.000	23.33	19.67	21.50	30.30	28.50	29.40
P6 (Leflora)	4.333	3.33	3.833	38.33	33.33	35.83	38.90	26.77	32.83
$P1 \times P2$	5.333	4.33	4.833	35.00	33.33	34.17	28.20	25.67	26.93
P1×P3	5.333	4.67	5.000	28.33	27.33	27.83	34.03	31.63	32.83
$P1 \times P4$	4.333	4.00	4.167	25.00	21.33	23.17	26.70	23.93	25.32
$P1 \times P5$	4.667	4.00	4.333	48.33	43.33	45.83	33.63	31.00	32.32
$P1 \times P6$	3.333	3.00	3.167	36.67	31.67	34.17	28.70	25.67	27.18
P2×P3	5.667	4.67	5.167	38.33	33.33	35.83	34.67	32.33	33.50
$P2 \times P4$	6.333	5.00	5.667	55.33	48.33	51.83	34.63	31.33	32.98
$P2 \times P5$	4.667	4.00	4.333	45.00	40.33	42.67	33.97	31.33	32.65
P2×P6	5.333	4.33	4.833	41.67	36.67	39.17	32.23	30.00	31.12
P3×P4	5.000	4.33	4.667	51.67	46.67	49.17	31.00	28.67	29.83
P3×P5	4.000	3.33	3.667	31.67	26.67	29.17	21.93	19.77	20.85

Int. J. Plant Breed. Genet., 8 (3): 153-163, 2014

Table 4: Co	ontinue								
P3×P6	3.000	3.00	3.000	45.00	40.00	42.50	37.87	35.27	36.57
P4×P5	5.000	4.33	4.667	48.33	43.33	45.83	34.80	32.12	33.46
P4×P6	4.000	3.67	3.833	25.00	21.67	23.33	34.13	32.27	33.20
P5×P6	5.333	4.67	5.000	48.33	43.33	45.83	24.73	22.73	23.73
$\mathrm{LSD}_{0.05}$	1.32	0.92	0.74	5.86	5.71	3.68	0.38	1.44	1.50
$\mathrm{LSD}_{0.01}$	1.76	1.24	0.98	7.85	7.64	4.87	0.51	1.92	1.98

	Seed yield pla	nt ⁻¹ (g)		Straw yield plant ⁻¹ (g)			
Genotypes	1 st season	2 nd season	Combination	1 st season	2 nd season	Combination	
P1 (S2)	6.15	5.10	5.62	21.69	19.49	20.59	
P2 (S5)	6.94	6.10	6.52	17.37	15.38	16.38	
P3 (Escalina)	6.51	5.36	5.93	12.66	11.27	11.96	
P4 (Bleinka)	7.34	7.38	7.36	13.81	12.61	13.21	
P5 (Hermis)	9.96	8.86	9.41	15.48	13.96	14.72	
P6 (Leflora)	7.78	6.32	7.05	14.99	14.28	14.63	
$P1 \times P2$	11.96	10.22	11.09	32.63	30.51	31.57	
P1×P3	11.54	10.03	10.79	24.69	22.35	23.52	
P1×P4	8.84	7.53	8.18	25.16	22.93	24.05	
P1×P5	11.66	10.20	10.93	28.67	26.52	27.60	
P1×P6	9.23	8.13	8.68	21.72	20.22	20.97	
$P2\times P3$	14.24	12.80	13.52	21.65	20.21	20.93	
P2×P4	14.56	12.47	13.51	20.38	19.30	19.84	
P2×P5	10.81	9.40	10.11	18.50	17.43	17.96	
P2×P6	9.49	8.30	8.90	17.63	16.53	17.08	
P3×P4	9.83	8.15	8.99	24.36	22.32	23.34	
P3×P5	11.79	10.50	11.14	23.81	21.62	22.71	
P3×P6	13.15	11.23	12.19	25.86	24.08	24.97	
P4×P5	17.91	15.76	16.83	33.60	31.82	32.71	
P4×P6	9.30	10.28	9.79	15.81	14.42	15.12	
P5×P6	14.50	13.31	13.90	22.66	20.33	21.49	
$\mathrm{LSD}_{0.05}$	0.17	0.48	0.49	1.12	0.94	0.71	
$\mathrm{LSD}_{0.01}$	0.22	0.64	0.64	1.50	1.26	0.94	

Estimates of General Combining Ability effects (GCA): Data in Table 5 indicated that the parents P4 (Bleinka) and P5 (Hermis) showed high significant and negative GCA effects for days to the first flower in both seasons and their combined, indicating that these parents could be considered as good combiners for earliness. For plant height and technical length, P1 (S2) and P3 (Escalina) showed high significant and positive GCA effects in both seasons and their combined, indicating that these parents could be considered as good combiners for tallness of plant height and technical length. For No. of basal branches plant⁻¹, P2 (S5) gave significant and highly significant positive GCA effects in the first season and combined, respectively, indicating that this parent could be considered as good combiner for this trait. For No. of capsules plant⁻¹, P4 (Bleinka) and P6 (Leflora) showed significant positive GCA effects in combined data, indicating that these parents could be considered as good combiners for this trait. For 1000-seed weight, P4 (Bleinka) gave highly significant positive GCA effects in the two seasons and combined, indicating that this parent could be considered as good general combiner for increasing the weight of seeds. For seed yield plant⁻¹, P4 (Bleinka) and P5 (Hermis) showed high significant and positive GCA effects in both seasons and

Int. J. Plant Breed. Genet., 8 (3): 153-163, 2014

Table 5: Estimates of GCA effects of six parents flax genotypes for studied the traits in the 1st and 2nd seasons and their combination

	Days to fir	st flower	parents hax gen	Plant heig	ht (cm)		Technical length (cm)		
Traits Parents			Combination			Combination	1st season	2 nd season	
P1 (S2)	0.86**	1.29**	1.08**	4.69**	5.18**	4.94**	5.90**	5.69**	5.80**
P2 (S5)	0.03	0.04	0.03	-0.01	-0.86	-0.44	0.86	0.82	0.84
P3 (Escalina)	-0.14	-0.21*	-0.17*	3.03**	2.31^{**}	2.67**	1.57^*	1.49^{*}	1.53^{**}
P4 (Bleinka)	-1.26**	-1.08**	-1.17**	-1.51	-1.36*	-1.44 [*]	-2.51**	-2.10**	-2.31**
P5 (Hermis)	-0.43**	-0.58**	-0.51**	0.28	-1.69*	-0.71	-1.14	-1.18	-1.16**
P6 (Leflora)	0.94^{**}	0.54^{**}	0.74^{**}	-6.47**	-3.57**	-5.02**	-4.68**	-4.72^{**}	$-4.70^{\star\star}$
$\mathrm{LSD}_{0.05}~(\mathrm{gi})^1$	0.22	0.21	0.16	1.72	1.30	1.11	1.53	1.27	0.87
$LSD_{0.01}\left(gi\right)$	0.29	0.28	0.22	2.30	1.74	1.47	2.04	1.70	1.16
$\mathrm{LSD}_{0.05}(\mathrm{gi}\text{-}\mathrm{gj})^2$	0.34	0.32	0.25	2.67	2.02	1.72	2.36	1.97	1.37
$LSD_{0.01}(gi\hbox{-} gj)$	0.45	0.42	0.33	3.57	2.70	2.28	3.16	2.63	1.87
		al branches p		•	sules plant $^{-1}$		1000-seed	weight (g)	
Traits Parents			Combination			Combination	1st season	2 nd season	Combination
P1 (S2)	-0.04	-0.42**	-0.04	-1.75 [*]	-1.14	-1.44**	-1.58**	-1.48**	-1.53 ^{**}
P2 (S5)	0.33*	0.17	0.25**	-0.25	-0.18	-0.22	-0.54**	-0.05	-0.29
P3 (Escalina)	-0.29	-0.13	-0.21**	-0.71	-0.68	-0.69	-0.11**	0.16	0.02
P4 (Bleinka)	0.25	0.13	0.19^{*}	1.00	0.65	0.83*	1.09**	1.46^{**}	1.28**
P5 (Hermis)	0.04	0.08	0.06	0.54	0.44	0.49	-1.04**	-0.39*	-0.72**
P6 (Leflora)	-0.29	-0.21*	0.25^{**}	1.17	0.90	1.03^{*}	2.18^{**}	0.30	1.24^{**}
$LSD_{0.05}~(gi)^1$	0.30	0.21	0.16	1.33	1.29	0.83	0.08	0.32	0.34
$\mathrm{LSD}_{0.01}\left(\mathrm{gi}\right)$	0.40	0.28	0.21	1.78	1.73	1.10	0.11	0.43	0.45
$\mathrm{LSD}_{0.05}(\mathrm{gi}$ - $\mathrm{gj})^2$	0.47	0.33	0.26	2.08	2.02	1.31	0.14	0.51	0.54
LSD _{0.01} (gi - gj)	0.62	0.44	0.34	2.79	2.70	1.73	0.19	0.68	0.73
	Seed yi	eld plant $^{-1}$ (g)			Straw yield pl	$\mathrm{ant^{-1}}\left(\mathrm{g}\right)$		
Traits									
Parents	1st seas	on	2 nd season	Combinati	on	1st season	2 nd sease	on	Combination
P1 (S2)	-1.12**		-1.19**	-1.15**		3.15**	2.79)**	2.79**
P2 (S5)	0.06**		-0.05	0.002		-0.69**	-0.56	3**	-0.62**
P3 (Escalina)	-0.12**		-0.30**	-0.21**		-0.67**	-0.76	D**	-0.71**
P4 (Bleinka)	0.08**		0.39**	0.24^{**}		-0.51**	-0.40)**	-0.46**
P5 (Hermis)	1.51^{**}		1.38**	1.45^{**}		0.89**	0.80)**	0.85**
P6 (Leflora)	-0.41**		-0.24**	-0.32**		-2.17**	-1.88	3**	-2.03**
$\mathrm{LSD}_{0.05}\left(\mathrm{gi}\right)$	0.04		0.11	0.11		0.25	0.21	L	0.16
$\mathrm{LSD}_{0.01}\left(\mathrm{gi}\right)$	0.05		0.15	0.15		0.34	0.29)	0.22

^{*,**}Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level of probability, respectively, ¹Least significant difference for a GCA effect, ²Least significant difference for the difference between estimates of GCA effects

0.39

0.53

0.33

0.25

0.33

0.17

0.23

0.17

0.22

0.06

0.08

 $\mathrm{LSD}_{0.05}\,(\mathrm{gi}\text{-}\mathrm{gj})^2$

their combined, indicating that these parents could be considered as good combiners for increasing seed yield. While for straw yield plant⁻¹, P1 (S2) and P5 (Hermis) showed high significant and positive GCA effects in both seasons and their combined, indicating that these parents could be considered as good combiners for increasing straw yield. So, these parents could be used as parents for the development of desirable hybrids. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Abo El-Zahab and Abo-Kaied (2000), Abo-Kaied (2002), Zahana (2006), Abo-Kaied *et al.* (2007),

Int. J. Plant Breed. Genet., 8 (3): 153-163, 2014

 $Table\ 6: Estimates\ of\ SCA\ effects\ of\ 15\ F_1\ single\ crosses\ flax\ genotypes\ for\ the\ studied\ traits\ in\ 1^{\text{st}}\ and\ 2^{\text{nd}}\ seasons\ and\ their\ combination$

Days to first flower			Plant heigh			Technical length (cm)			
Traits	1st coccor	2 nd season	Combination			Combination	1st aggar	Ond seesen	Combination
Crosses P1×P2	0.95**								
		1.57**	1.26**	-2.32	0.65	-0.83	-4.69*	-6.58**	-5.63**
P1×P3	-3.21**	-3.18**	-3.20**	3.98	4.15*	4.06**	1.94	1.76	1.85
P1×P4	1.91**	1.70**	1.80**	7.52**	9.48**	8.50**	5.02*	5.67**	5.35**
P1×P5	0.08	-0.14	-0.03	4.06	3.15	3.60*	4.65*	4.42*	4.54**
P1×P6	0.04	0.07	0.05	7.48**	0.02	3.75*	6.52**	6.30**	6.41**
P2×P3	-1.05**	0.07	-0.49*	9.35**	5.19**	7.27**	3.65	4.63*	4.14**
P2×P4	-0.26	-0.05	-0.16	2.56	0.52	1.54	4.40*	4.55*	4.47**
P2×P5	0.24	-0.89**	-0.32	-1.57	-0.81	-1.19	3.02	4.30*	3.66**
P2×P6	-3.46**	-3.68**	-3.57**	3.85	1.07	2.46	-1.77	-2.16	-1.96
P3×P4	-1.09**	-1.14**	-1.11**	7.52**	5.69**	6.60**	8.69**	7.88**	8.29**
P3×P5	-2.59**	-1.64**	-2.11**	-5.94 [*]	-3.31	-4.63**	3.98	3.63*	3.81**
P3×P6	1.70**	1.24**	$1.47^{\star\star}$	-0.86	-0.44	-0.65	-0.81	0.17	-0.32
P4×P5	-1.46**	-0.76**	-1.11**	7.94**	4.02^{*}	5.98**	-0.27	-0.79	-0.53
P4×P6	0.16	0.11	0.14	0.35	1.57	0.96	3.27	2.42	2.85*
P5×P6	0.99**	1.28^{**}	$1.14^{\star\star}$	-4.77*	3.57	-0.60	-8 .10**	- 6.83**	-7.46 ^{**}
$\mathrm{LSD}_{0.05}$	0.59	0.57	0.44	4.73	3.58	3.05	4.18	3.50	2.42
$\mathrm{LSD}_{0.01}\left(\mathrm{Sij}\right)$	0.78	0.76	0.58	6.33	4.79	4.04	5.60	4.68	3.20
$\mathrm{LSD}_{0.05}\mathrm{(Sij\text{-}Sik)^2}$	0.89	0.85	0.67	7.05	5.34	4.56	6.24	5.21	3.61
$LSD_{0.01}$ (Sij - Sik)	1.19	1.14	0.89	9.44	7.14	6.04	8.36	6.98	4.92
$\mathrm{LSD}_{0.05}\mathrm{(Sij}\text{-}\mathrm{Skl})^3$	0.83	0.79	0.61	6.53	4.93	4.22	5.78	4.83	3.33
LSD _{0.01} (Sij-Skl)	1.11	1.05	0.81	8.73	6.60	5.59	7.73	6.46	4.41
	No. of basa	al branches p	lant ⁻¹	No. of capsules plant ⁻¹			1000-seed	weight (g)	
Traits Crosses	1st season	2 nd season	Combination	1st season	2 nd season	Combination	1st concon	2nd concorn	Combination
P1×P2	0.47	0.35	0.41	-0.71	1.40	0.34	-0.82**	-0.96*	-0.89
P1×P3	1.10*	0.98**	1.04**	-6.92**	-4.10*	-5.51**	4.59**	4.80**	4.69**
P1×P4	-0.45	0.96	-0.19	-11.96**	-11.43**	-3.31 -11.70**	-3.95**	-4.20**	-4.08**
P1×P5	0.10	0.10	0.10	11.83**	10.77**	11.30**	5.12**	4.72**	4.92**
P1×P6	-0.90*	-0.61*	-0.76**	-0.46	-1.35	-0.91	-3.04**	-1.31**	-2.17**
P2×P3	1.05*	-0.01 0.77*	0.91**	1.58	0.94	1.26	4.17**	4.07**	4.12**
P2×P4	1.18**	0.85**	1.01**	16.87**	14.61**	15.74**	2.94**	1.77**	2.35**
P2×P5	-0.28	-0.11	-0.19	6.99**	6.82**	6.90**	4.40**	3.62**	4.01**
P2×P6	0.72	0.52	0.62**	3.04	2.69	2.86*	-0.55**	1.60**	0.52
P3×P4	0.47	0.48	0.47*	13.66**	13.44**	13.55**	-1.12**	-1.11*	-1.12*
P3×P5	-0.32	-0.48	-0.40	-5.88**	-6.35**	-6.12**	-8.06**	-8.15**	-8.11**
P3×P6	-0.92 -0.99*	-0.52	-0.76**	6.83**	6.52**	6.68**	4.65**	6.66**	5.66**
P4×P5	0.14	0.27	0.20	9.08**	8.98**	9.03**	3.61**	2.89**	3.25**
P4×P6	-0.53	-0.11	-0.32	-14.88**	-13.14**	-14.01**	-0.29 [*]	2.35**	1.03*
P5×P6	1.01**	0.93**	0.97**	8.91**	8.73**	8.82**	-0. <i>29</i> -7.55**	-5.33 ^{**}	-6.44**
LSD _{0.05} (Sij) ¹	0.83	0.59	0.46	3.68	3.58	2.30	0.24	0.91	0.93
LSD _{0.05} (Sij) ⁻ LSD _{0.01} (Sij)	1.11	0.59	0.40	4.92	3.56 4.79	3.05	0.24	1.22	1.23
LSD _{0.01} (Sij) LSD _{0.05} (Sij-Sik) ²									
	1.23	0.87	0.69	5.48	5.34 7.14	3.43	0.36	1.33	1.41
LSD _{0.01} (Sij-Sik)	1.65	1.16	0.92	7.33	7.14	4.54	0.49	1.78	1.92
LSD _{0.05} (Sij-Skl) ³	1.13	0.81	0.63	5.07	4.95	3.19	0.32	1.25	1.29
LSD _{0.01} (Sij-Skl)	1.51	1.08	0.84	6.79	6.62	4.23	0.43	1.68	1.71

Int. J. Plant Breed. Genet., 8 (3): 153-163, 2014

Table 6: Coutinue

	Seed yield pla	nt ⁻¹ (g)		Straw yield plant ⁻¹ (g)				
Traits								
Crosses	1st season	2^{nd} season	Combination	1st season	2^{nd} season	Combination		
P1×P2	2.38**	2.06**	2.22**	8.59**	8 .39**	8.49**		
P1×P3	2.14^{**}	2.12**	2.13**	0.63	0.44	0.53*		
P1×P4	-0.76**	-1.07**	-0.92**	0.95**	0.66^{\star}	0.80**		
P1×P5	0.63**	0.60**	0.61**	3.05**	3.04^{**}	3.05**		
P1×P6	0.12^{*}	0.16	0.14	-0.84*	-0.58	-0.71**		
P2×P3	3.66**	3.75**	3.71^{**}	1.43^{**}	$1.64^{\star\star}$	$1.54^{\star\star}$		
P2×P4	3.79**	2.73^{**}	3.26**	0.01	0.37	0.19		
P2×P5	-1.40**	-1.33**	-1.37^{**}	-3.28**	-2.70^{**}	-2.99**		
P2×P6	-0.80**	-0.81**	-0.80**	-1.09**	-0.91**	-1.00**		
P3×P4	-0.79**	-1.35**	-1.06**	3.96**	3.59**	3.78**		
P3×P5	-0.25**	0.01	-0.12	2.01**	1.69^{**}	1.85^{**}		
P3×P6	3.03**	$2.37^{\star\star}$	$2.70^{\star\star}$	7.13**	$6.84^{\star\star}$	6.98**		
P4×P5	5.68**	4.58**	5.13**	11.64**	11.53^{**}	11.58**		
P4×P6	-1.01**	0.73**	-0.14	-3.08**	-3.19**	-3.13**		
P5×P6	2.75**	$2.76^{\star\star}$	$2.76^{\star\star}$	2.36**	$1.52^{\star\star}$	$1.94^{\star\star}$		
$\mathrm{LSD}_{0.05}\mathrm{(Sij)^1}$	0.10	0.30	0.30	0.71	0.59	0.46		
LSD _{0.01} (Sij)	0.14	0.41	0.39	0.95	0.78	0.60		
$\mathrm{LSD}_{0.05}(\mathrm{Sij}\text{-Sik})^2$	0.15	0.44	0.46	1.05	0.89	0.67		
LSD _{0.01} (Sij-Sik)	0.20	0.59	0.60	1.41	1.19	0.89		
$\mathrm{LSD}_{0.05}\mathrm{(Sij\text{-}Skl)^3}$	0.14	0.40	0.42	0.97	0.81	0.61		
LSD _{0.01} (Sij-Skl)	0.19	0.54	0.55	1.30	1.08	0.81		

^{*,**}Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level of probability, respectively, ¹Least significant difference for a SCA effect, ²Least significant difference for the difference between two SCA effects for a common parent, ³Least significant difference for the difference between two SCA effects for a non-common parent

El-Kady and Abo-Kaied (2009), El-Kady and Abo-Kaied (2010) and Mishra *et al.* (2013), where they observed significant and positive and negative GCA effects for these traits in their respective studies.

Once the best combiners are identified, they can be crossed together to obtain the promising hybrid combinations. In recurrent selection schemes, parents possessing high combining ability can be intercrossed to accumulate desirable alleles within base populations (Christie and Shattuck, 1992).

Estimates of Specific Combining Ability effects (SCA): Data in Table 6 indicated that, five crosses i.e., P1×P3, P2×P6, P3×P4, P3×P5 and P4×P5 showed high significant and negative SCA effects (desirable direction) for days to first flower trait in both seasons and their combined, indicating that these crosses were the best combinations for earliness. For plant height, four crosses viz., P1×P4, P2×P3, P3×P4 and P4×P5 showed significant or highly significant and positive SCA effects (desirable direction) in both seasons and their combined indicating that these crosses were the best combinations for tallness. For technical length, crosses P1×P4, P1×P5, P1×P6, P2× P4 and P3×P4 gave significant or highly significant and positive SCA effects in both seasons and their combined, indicating that these crosses were the best combinations for this trait. For No. of basal branches plant⁻¹, four crosses i.e., P1×P3, P2×P3, P2×P4 and P5×P6 showed significant or highly significant and positive SCA effects in both seasons and their combined indicating that these

crosses were the best combinations for this trait. For No. of capsules plant-1, seven crosses i.e., P1×P5, P2×P4, P2×P5, P3×P4, P3×P6, P4×P5 and P5×P6 gave highly significant and positive SCA effects in both seasons and their combined indicating that these crosses were the best combinations for this trait. For 1000-seed weight, seven crosses viz., P1×P3, P1×P5, P2×P3, P2×P4, P2×P5, P3×P6 and P4×P5 gave highly significant and positive SCA effects in both seasons and their combined indicating that these crosses were the best combinations for increasing the weight of seeds. For seed yield plant⁻¹, eight crosses i.e., P1×P2, P1×P3, P1×P5, P2×P3, P2×P4, P3×P6, P4×P5 and P5×P6 showed highly significant and positive SCA effects in both seasons and their combined indicating that these crosses were the best combinations for increasing seed yield. For straw yield plant⁻¹, nine crosses viz., P1×P2, P1×P4, P1×P5, P2×P3, P3×P4, P3×P5, P3×P6, P4×P5 and P5×P6 showed significant or highly significant and positive SCA effects in both seasons and their combined, indicating that these crosses were the best combinations for increasing straw yield. It is worthy to note that most of superior F₁ crosses in their SCA effects for seed and straw yields and most of yield components traits include at least one of their parents of high GCA effects for the same traits. These results are in general agreement with those previously reported by Abo El-Zahab and Abo-Kaied (2000), Abo-Kaied (2002), Zahana (2006), Abo-Kaied et al. (2007), El-Kady and Abo-Kaied (2009), El-Kady and Abo-Kaied (2010) and Mishra et al. (2013), where they observed significant positive and negative SCA effects for these traits in their respective studies.

REFERENCES

- Abo El-Zahab, A.A. and H.M.H Abo-Kaied, 2000. Stability analysis and breeding potentialities of some stable selected flax genotypes. I. Breeding potentialities of straw yield and its contributing variables. Proceedings of the 9th Conference on Agronomy, September 2-3, 2000, Egypt, pp: 387-402.
- Abo-Kaied, H.M.H., 2002. [Combining ability and gene action for yield and yield components in flax]. Egypt. J. Plant Breed., 6: 51-63, (In Arabic).
- Abo-Kaied, H.M.H., A.E.A. Zahana and M.M.M. Hussein, 2007. Diallel cross analysis for some flax genotypes under normal and saline environments. J. Agric. Sci., 32: 9857-9874.
- Christie, B.R. and V.I. Shattuck, 1992. The diallel cross: Design, analysis and use for plant breeders. Plant Breed. Rev., 9: 9-36.
- El-Kady, E.A. and H.M.H. Abo-Kaied, 2009. Analysis of combining ability for some economical useful characters in flax. Minufiya J. Agric. Res., 34: 2145-2158.
- El-Kady, E.A. and H.M.H. Abo-Kaied, 2010. Daillel cross analysis for straw, seed yields and their components in flax. J. Plant Prod., 1: 1219-1231.
- Foster, R., H.S. Pooni and I.J. Mackay, 1998. Quantitative analysis of *Linum usitatissimum* crosses for dual-purpose traits. J. Agric. Sci., 131: 285-292.
- Gomez, K.A. and A.A. Gomez, 1984. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. 2nd Edn., John Wily and Sons, New York, pp. 68.
- Griffing, B., 1956. Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing systems. Aust. J. Biol. Sci., 9: 463-493.
- Mishra, R., S. Marker, V. Bhatnagar and D. Mahto, 2013. Combining ability and heterosis for seed yield and its component in linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). Adv. Life Sci., 2: 44-47.
- Mohammadi, A.A., G. Saeidi and A. Arzani, 2010. Genetic analysis of some agronomic traits in flax (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). Aust. J. Crop Sci., 4: 343-352.

Int. J. Plant Breed. Genet., 8 (3): 153-163, 2014

- Patil, J.A., Y.K. Gupta, S.B. Patel and J.N. Patel, 1997. Combining ability analysis over environments in linseed. Madras Agric. J., 84: 188-191.
- Patil, V.D. and P.R. Chopde, 1981. Combining ability analysis over environments in diallel crosses of linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). Theor. Applied Genet., 60: 339-343.
- Shehata, A.H. and V.E. Comstock, 1971. Heterosis and combining ability estimates in F_2 flax populations as influenced by plant density. Crop Sci., 11: 534-536.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran, 1980. Statistical Method. 7th Edn., Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa, USA.
- Zahana, A.E.A., 2006. Diallel cross analysis for some quantitative characters in flax. J. Agric. Sci., 31: 3285-3294.