

International Journal of **Soil Science**

ISSN 1816-4978



www.academicjournals.com

ට OPEN ACCESS

International Journal of Soil Science

ISSN 1816-4978 DOI: 10.3923/ijss.2017.25.31



Research Article Role of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi on Plant Growth and Reclamation of Barren Soil with Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) crop

¹Ajay Pal and ²Sonali Pandey

¹Department of Botany, JECRC University, Jaipur, India ²Department of Botany, JECRC University, Jaipur, India

Abstract

Background and Objective: In many semi-arid and barren regions of the world, drought confines the crop productivity. Inoculations of plant with Arbuscular Mycorrhizal (AM) fungi are effective in improving crop production under barren-conditions. This study will investigate the importance of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal (AM) fungi in crop productivity and restoration and reestablishment of degraded ecosystems. Materials and Methods: This study was conducted to determine the effects of 3 different indigenous AM fungi i.e., *Glomus mosseae*, *Glomus fasciculatum* and *Gigaspora decipiens* either single and in combination inoculation on growth enhancement of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) grown in the pot experiment under barren soil conditions. **Results:** Various parameters like plant height, root length, shoot biomass, root biomass, fruit size and AM colonization were found to be maximum in *G. mosseae* (alone) and *G. mosseae*+*G. fasciculatum* treatments, whereas other parameters like photosynthetic pigment were found to be highest (1.69 mg g⁻¹) in combined inoculation of *G. mosseae*+*G. fasciculatum* treatment respectively (site II). **Conclusion:** Experimental results showed that AM fungi treated barren soil improved their physicochemical properties as compared to non-mycorrhizal treatment. This result re-affirms the prime necessity of mycorrhiza in semi-arid conditions. Thus the introduction of mycorrhizal fungi in barren land is a key tool to improve the quality of soil and plant growth.

Key words: Arbuscular mycorrhizal, barren, degraded soil, crop productivity, reclamation, restoration

Received: October 08, 2016

Accepted: November 21, 2016

Published: December 15, 2016

Citation: Ajay Pal and Sonali Pandey, 2017. Role of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on plant growth and reclamation of barren soil with wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) crop. Int. J. Soil Sci., 12: 25-31.

Corresponding Author: Ajay Pal, Department of Botany, JECRC University, 303905 Jaipur, India Tel: +91-9828014401

Copyright: © 2017 Ajay Pal and Sonali Pandey. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Competing Interest: The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

Data Availability: All relevant data are within the paper and its supporting information files.

INTRODUCTION

Present world demands the production of superiority food in a most sustainable way causing least possible damage to the ecosystem. Barren land sites are considered to be worldwide problem, because these restricts plant growth and production in many parts of the world especially in arid and semi-arid environment. The great challenge for the coming decades will be the task of ever-increasing food production with less water, particularly in countries with limited water and land resources¹. Critical water shortages are developing in the arid and semi-arid regions as existing water resources are entirely exploited².

The total land area of Rajasthan is 3,42,239 sq. km out of which 45.25% is characterized as wasteland. Large portion of this land was productive but due to man-made deforestation, cattle pressure and improper water management, they have turned out to be wasteland³. These barren soils are generally characterized by poor soil structure, lowest water-holding capacity, organic matter lack and nutrient deficiency. The loss of farmable land due to urbanization and drought (abiotic) stress directly affects the food requirement of the world population, there is a need to use the barren land for food production⁴.

A recent discussion on conventional versus organic production systems highlighted how the green revolution helped to meet the needs of an ever-increasing world population but at the prize of environmental pollution^{4,5}. The agricultural sustain ability could be viewed as highest plant production with minimum soil loss. The establishment of plant cover is the most important step in restoration of degraded areas. Many researchers have indicated that Arbuscular Mycorrhizal (AM) fungi are capable of alleviating the adverse effects of drought on plant growth⁵.

The conventional agriculture has traditionally focused on the potential of mycorrhizae fungi to improve crop yield. The endo-mycorrhizal fungi form of the symbiotic relationship with the root of 70-90% of all known vascular plant species. The AM fungi colonize the roots of host plants and make absorption services for the plant⁶. Various studies have demonstrated that plants associated with AM fungi show increased uptake of various materials from the soil, including water and nutrients compared to non-VAM plants⁷. As an outcome, AM fungi improve their host plants ability to grow under conditions of deficiency of water or in mineral deficient soils⁸. Cereal grains are of indispensable importance for the carbohydrate and protein supply in human and animal nutrition. Wheat is a cereal grain, originally from the Levant region of the near East but now cultivated worldwide. Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) belongs to family Poaceae. Poaceae (previously known as *Gramineae*) is often well thought-out to be the most important of all plant families to human economies⁹.

Therefore, the reason of the present study was to investigate the effect of AM fungal species *Glomus mosseae*, *Glomus fasciculatum* and *Gigaspora decipiens* on plant growth, nutrient uptake and in improving soil properties of pot-grown wheat subjected to barren soil conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental site and soil preparation: The experimental area is located in the Jaipur, the capital city of the state of Rajasthan, India is situated in the Eastern border of Thar Desert, a semi-arid land (coordinates 26° 55' 19.45" N and 75° 46' 43.98" E). The bio-climate can be described as Mediterranean hot semi-arid type with an average annual rainfall of 650 mm and the elevation above sea level 431 m (1417 ft.). The average of maximum and minimum temperatures ranged between summer 25-45°C and winter 5-22°C, respectively during the experimental period.

The experiment was set up in the soil collected from barren lands which were subjected to pot trials. The soil samples were collected from both barren sites, i.e., site-I Tonk road (near Chaksu) and site-II Delhi road (near Amer/Kukus) located in the Jaipur district (India). The soil was collected at a depth of 0-30 cm from the sites air-dried and passed through a 3 mm sieve for further experiment. The soil samples were filled in pots is one of the most significant tools to determine the status of plant nutrients available in a field. In general, most of plants grow by absorbing nutrients from the soil. Their availability to do this depends on the nature of the soil. The air dried and sieved soil samples were analyzed for various parameters like pH (digital pH meter), Chloride ion, Heavy metals, Organic Carbon by Chromic Acid Method¹⁰, Nitrogen by Kjeldahl Method¹¹, Phosphorous estimated by Olsen's Method¹² at the soil testing laboratory, Agriculture Research Center Durgapura, Jaipur. Soil physico-chemical characteristics before pot trials of both sites (I and II) are presented in Table 3.

Isolation of AM fungi and mass multiplication: The AM fungi were isolated from the plant roots and their rhizospheric soil of wheat plant cultivated from the field by 'Wet sieving and decanting technique'¹³. Collected VAM fungi spores were identified with the help of identification manual of Scheneck and Perez¹⁴ and spores of common species of VAM were identified using synoptic keys of the genera and species of

Zygomycetous mycorrhizal fungi by Trappe¹⁵, on the basis of conventional morphological characters i.e., color, size, shape, cell wall structure and type of hyphal attachment.

Three dominant AM fungi were isolated i.e., *Glomus mosseae*, *Glomus fasciculatum* and *Gigaspora decipiens* for the mass multiplication. Single and pure culture of every selected dominant AM fungus was raised by "Funnel Technique" of Menge and Timmer¹⁶ using *Sorghum bicolor* as host for 2 months. Thus mass culture of specified VAM species was obtained through pure culturing¹⁶.

Selected host plant: Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) was selected as the host plant for this study. In India, wheat, the second essential food crop is grown on 27 million hectares out of the total 114 million hectares of land underfarming¹⁷. The variety was used Raj-3077, because this variety of Wheat most commonly grown by the farmers in Jaipur region. Wheat seeds were obtained from Agriculture Research Center Durgapura, Jaipur Division, India.

Experimental setup and design: The pot culture experiment was carried out in an "Open air conditions" to know the response of Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) plant with AM fungi (Dec, 2014- March 2015). The earthen pots $(25 \times 25 \text{ cm})$ were taken and filled with air-dried sterilized soil (3-4 kg) collected from barren soil of both sites I and II. The root system of regularly well infected sorghum seedlings together with adhering soil were finely chopped and used as the starter inoculums. The pots were filled with 5-10% (w/w) of the inoculums of each AM fungi (alone and combined) as a layer of 1-2 inches below the soil level and surface sterilized (0.05% sodium hypochloride) seeds of wheat (Triticum *aestivum* L) were planted (sowing) into a 5 cm depth of soil. Four to five seeds were sown in each pot. Thinning was done and only two to three plants pot⁻¹ were allowed to grow. The plants were maintained with regular watering¹⁸.

The following treatments were conducted to know the response of VAM on *Triticum aestivum* L. the inoculation with alone and combined VAM fungi.

- T1: Inoculated with *Glomus mosseae* (alone)
- T₂: Inoculated with *Glomus fasciculatum* (alone)
- T₃: Inoculated with *Gigaspora decipiens* (alone)
- T₄: Inoculated with *Glomus mosseae+Glomus fasciculatum* (combined)
- T₅: Inoculated with *Glomus mosseae+Gigaspora decipiens* (combined)
- T₆: Inoculated with *Glomus fasciculatum+Gigaspora decipiens* (combined)
- T₀: Control (without AM fungi)

Three replicates of each treatment were maintained. The VAM inoculated plants were harvested after 90 days (3 months) of growth and observations were taken.

Analysis of various growth, biochemical and yield parameters: Plants were harvested after 90 days by uprooting them from each treatment of AM fungi pot trial combination and various morphological and physiological parameters were measured. After harvest the roots, shoots and fruit size, weight were taken separately to determine fresh weight (biomass) and then placed in an oven to dry at 42-45°C to 48 h until a constant dry weight was obtained¹⁹.

The photosynthetic pigment (chlorophyll) was estimated by using Arnon²⁰ method by using 80% acetone as solvent. The same physio-chemical parameters were studied on various treatment of the soil samples remained in pots after uprooting the plants.

Mycorrhizal root colonization was studied by 'Rapid clearing and staining method' of Phillips and Hayman²¹. Percentage AM colonization of roots was calculated using the equation:

Colonization (%) = $\frac{\text{Number of root segments with VAM}}{\text{Total number of root segments examined}} \times 100$

The root segment was considered mycorrhizal even if 1 of the 3-4 structures, i.e., hyphae, arbuscules, vesicles or spores were present.

Statistical analysis: All determinations of plants, biochemical parameters and measurements were conducted using 3 replicates. The value for each sample was calculated as the Mean±SE. Statistical analyses was carried out using Microsoft Excel 2007.

RESULTS

In this present investigation, an attempt was to analyze and study the morphological as well as biochemical parameters of test plant treated with different combination of AM fungal species, the morphological and biochemical parameters of host plant in reference to plant height, weight (fresh and dry) and quantitative estimation of chlorophyll contents in VAM treated plant were analyzed and compared with control.

Plant height: All the different plant growth parameters considerably increased in all the inoculated treatments in comparison to uninoculated control (Table 1). The change in

Int. J. Soil Sci., 12 (1): 25-31, 2017

Table 1: Plant height and mycorrhizal colonization (%) of Site-I and Site-II pot trials winter crop (wheat) plants

	Plant height						N.4	what in a l
	Root length (ci	m)	Shoot length (cn	n)	Fruit size (cm)		Mycor coloniza	
Treatments	Site I	Site II	Site I	Site II	Site I	Site II	Site I	Site II
Control (T ₀)	6.66±0.02	6.73±0.15	46.23±0.92	44.70±0.55	7.40±0.10	7.43±0.15	Nil	Nil
T ₁	11.24±0.01	11.16±0.20	63.58±1.01	63.83±1.22	8.23±0.32	8.16±0.11	93	90
T ₂	11.00±0.09	10.76±0.35	60.56±1.72	58.20±3.73	7.90±0.08	7.82±0.11	88	86
T ₃	9.56±0.05	8.13±0.15	50.56±0.86	50.76±1.12	7.65±0.03	7.57±0.06	62	58
T ₄	9.10±0.01	9.53±0.30	62.43±0.83	60.46±2.54	7.89±0.01	7.83±0.11	90	87.5
T ₅	9.06±0.20	8.26±0.47	58.66±1.75	56.40±0.79	7.83±0.15	7.73±0.25	82	83
T ₆	8.73±0.23	8.36±0.15	52.80±3.30	49.93±2.72	7.61±0.10	7.55±0.08	79	72

Data represents an average of 3 replicates indicates Mean ± SE, T: Treatment with diff. VAM fungi spp.,

Table 2: Plant Biomass (Fresh and dry weight) and total chlorophyll content of pot trials Site-I and Site-II wheat plants

		Plants fresh	weight			Plants dry we	eight			
	Parameters									Total chlorophyll
Sample sites	treatments	Leaf (g)	Stem (g)	Root (g)	Fruit (g)	Leaf (g)	Stem (g)	Root (g)	Fruit (g)	(mg g ⁻¹) fresh wt.
Site I	T ₁	1.36±0.01	4.56±0.20	1.05 ± 0.05	3.39±0.07	0.43±0.01	1.47±0.02	0.81±0.01	1.41±0.01	1.63±0.03
	T ₂	1.28±0.01	4.20±0.09	0.92 ± 0.01	3.28±0.02	0.42±0.03	1.42 ± 0.02	0.79±0.03	1.37±0.01	1.66±0.01
	T ₃	1.05±0.04	3.38±0.01	0.86±0.01	3.10±0.10	0.28±0.02	1.30±0.01	0.57±0.02	1.31±0.02	1.39±0.01
	T ₄	1.23±0.05	4.23±0.05	0.82 ± 0.02	3.43±0.41	0.41±0.03	1.43±0.01	0.78±0.03	1.40±0.02	1.69±0.02
	T ₅	1.16±0.05	3.97±0.14	0.81 ± 0.00	3.24±0.04	0.38±0.03	1.39±0.00	0.70 ± 0.02	1.37±0.02	1.51 ± 0.01
	Τ ₆	1.02±0.07	3.56±0.47	0.78±0.03	3.27±0.30	0.33±0.04	1.36±0.02	0.67±0.01	1.36±0.03	1.46±0.03
	T ₀ (Control)	0.88±0.01	3.09±0.10	0.65 ± 0.08	2.96±0.05	0.20±0.01	1.16±0.01	0.40 ± 0.02	1.22±0.03	0.98±0.02
Site II	Τ ₁	1.36±0.04	4.51±0.16	1.09±0.09	3.37±0.03	0.41±0.01	1.48±0.02	0.81 ± 0.01	1.41 ± 0.01	1.63±0.05
	T ₂	1.29±0.07	4.02±0.08	0.95±0.02	3.25 ± 0.02	0.39±0.03	1.46±0.02	0.81±0.02	1.39±0.03	1.67±0.03
	T ₃	1.10±0.08	3.42±0.04	0.75 ± 0.03	3.18±0.02	0.30±0.01	1.30±0.01	0.53 ± 0.05	1.32 ± 0.02	1.39±0.01
	T ₄	1.26±0.05	4.26±0.06	0.82 ± 0.02	3.24±0.04	0.38±0.01	1.43±0.04	0.77±0.04	1.41±0.02	1.68±0.03
	T₅	1.18±0.10	4.06±0.13	0.77±0.04	3.22 ± 0.02	0.36±0.05	1.39±0.02	0.68±0.01	1.38±0.01	1.55±0.02
	T ₆	1.08±0.11	3.59±0.48	0.78±0.04	3.20 ± 0.00	0.32±0.04	1.36±0.01	0.64±0.04	1.36±0.00	1.55 ± 0.03
	T ₀ (Control)	0.84±0.02	3.02±0.11	0.66±0.07	2.92±0.06	0.19±0.01	1.14±0.01	0.40 ± 0.02	1.21±0.02	0.96±0.02

Data represents an average of 3 replicates indicates Mean \pm SE, T: Treatment with diff. VAM fungi spp.

plant height was highest in host plants Site-II treated with *G. mosseae* (63.83 cm) followed by *G. mosseae+G. fasciculatum* (62.43 cm) and least in control. The longest roots were also recorded site-I treated with *G. mosseae* (11.24 cm) followed by Glomus fasciculatum (11.0 cm) and the highest mycorrhizal colonization find out site-I treated with *Glomus mosseae* (93%).

Analysis of the present investigation showed that soil inoculated with AM fungi increased plant growth (Table 1), nutrient uptake and yield parameters of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) crops.

Plant biomass: Biomass of all the AM inoculated plants of wheat increased significantly in terms of fresh and dry weight¹⁸. The shoot and root biomass (fresh and dry) was recorded to be maximum in treated with *G. mosseae* (Table 2) followed by *G. fasciculatum* and *G. mosseae+G. fasciculatum* plants and lowest in control. Minaxi *et al.*²² were found that the maximum enhancement in root length is due to the network of mycorrhizal mycelia, able to extend deeper in soil to invade nutrient depletion zone.

Total chlorophyll pigments: The role of chlorophyll in photosynthesis is vital during photosynthesis, chlorophyll captures the sun light and creates (sugar) carbohydrates and energy, which allows the plant to grow. The different treatment of AM inoculated plants showed increased level of chlorophyll content. The highest total chlorophyll (1.69 mg g⁻¹) was found in combination of *G. mosseae+G. fasciculatum* treatment respectively (Site I) followed by treated of *G. mosseae+G. fasciculatum* (1.68 mg g⁻¹) (Table 2).

Comparative analysis of physico-chemical properties of pot trial soils in before and after AM fungi treatments of both sites²³ in Table 3. In AM fungi treated pot soil organic carbon, phosphorus, nitrogen, potassium, calcium and magnesium contents were greater than the non-mycorrhizal plants pot soil at all harvests (Table 3), Such increases in soil nutrient contents in response to the mycorrhizal effects were highly associated, respectively, with the level of each mycorrhizal infection²⁴.

The soil quality was influenced by the AM fungal treatments compared to control and the highest was observed

Table 3: Biocher	mical and sι	Table 3: Biochemical and soil nutrient characteristics (0-10 depth) of experimental soil at the time of initiation of pot trial and after pot trial	teristics (0-10 de	epth) of experime	ntal soil at the tii	me of initiation o	f pot trial and a	fter pot trial				
		Parameter/			Organic							
Soil source	Sites	treatments	Hq	Ec (dS m^{-1})	carbon (%)	N (kg ha ^{_1})	P (kg ha ^{_1})	K (kg ha ^{_1})	Zn (ppm)	Fe (ppm)	Cu (ppm)	(mdd) uM
Initial of trial	Site l	S1	8.01	0.26	0.11	87	16	197	0.41	3.9	0.27	2.63
	Site II	S2	8.2	0.29	0.09	84.66	14	203	0.38	3.78	0.28	2.67
After pot exp.	Site l	Т,	8.1 ± 0.07	0.13 ± 0.02	0.25 ± 0.02	126土2.64	31 ± 1.53	266土1.73	0.86 ± 0.00	4.67 ± 0.01	0.37 ± 0.02	3.50±0.02
		T_2	8.3±0.15	0.08 ± 0.00	0.24±0.01	124土2.51	29土1.52	263±2.51	0.88±0.01	4.64±0.02	0.38±0.02	3.48±0.02
		T ₃	7.9±0.32	0.11 ± 0.01	0.20 ± 0.03	117土3.21	23±1.73	235土3.78	0.62±0.01	4.40±0.02	0.33 ± 0.02	3.16±0.02
		T ₄	7.8±0.32	0.13±0.01	0.27±0.02	127±2.00	32±1.52	263±2.08	0.86 ± 0.01	4.66±0.01	0.38±0.01	3.51 ± 0.01
		Τ5	8.3±0.15	0.12 ± 0.01	0.24 ± 0.03	123±1.52	28±2.00	259土1.52	0.81 ± 0.02	4.61 ± 0.03	0.36 ± 0.02	3.42 ± 0.02
		T ₆	8.0±0.32	0.14 ± 0.01	0.23±0.02	122±1.73	26土2.08	249土2.08	0.78±0.01	4.55 ± 0.01	0.35 ± 0.01	3.35 ± 0.05
		T ₀ (Control)	8.1 ± 0.15	0.10 ± 0.02	0.13±0.01	97土3.21	17土1.73	208±3.00	0.44 ± 0.01	3.84±0.02	0.28 ± 0.01	2.83±0.02
	Site II	Т,	8.0 ± 0.05	0.10 ± 0.02	0.24 ± 0.02	125 ± 2.00	31±1.73	262土2.08	0.90 ± 0.01	4.64 ± 0.01	0.40 ± 0.02	3.48±0.01
		T_2	8.1 ± 0.30	0.12 ± 0.01	0.26±0.01	125±3.60	28±1.52	260土2.51	0.86±0.01	4.65 ± 0.01	0.41 ± 0.01	3.46 ± 0.03
		T ₃	8.3±0.15	0.10 ± 0.02	0.17±0.03	115±3.51	22±1.73	237土2.64	0.65 ± 0.02	4.40±0.01	0.34±0.02	3.13±0.01
		T_4	8.1 ± 0.35	0.11 ± 0.02	0.25±0.01	126±3.05	30±1.15	262±2.08	0.88±0.01	4.63±0.01	0.40 ± 0.01	3.49±0.01
		T ₅	8.4 ± 0.05	0.12 ± 0.03	0.22±0.01	122土2.64	27土1.52	259土2.30	0.84 ± 0.01	4.60±0.26	0.35 ± 0.02	3.41±0.01
		T ₆	7.8±0.25	0.13±0.01	0.20±0.03	120±1.15	24土2.51	251±1.00	0.80±0.02	4.54±0.02	0.33±0.01	3.33±0.02
		T ₀ (Control)	8.2±0.05	0.08 ± 0.00	0.11±0.01	94土2.64	16土1.52	208±3.60	0.46±0.01	3.81±0.02	0.30±0.02	2.86±0.01
Data represents	an averag	Data represents an average of 3 replicates indicates Mean±SE, T: Treatment with diff, VAM fungi spp,EC: Electrical Conductivity, N: Nitrogen, P: Phosphorus, K: Potassium, Zn: Zinc, Fe: Iron, Cu: Copper	indicates Mea	n±SE, T: Treatme	ent with diff, V,	AM fungi spp.,EC	: Electrical Cor	iductivity, N: Nitr	ogen, P: Phosphol	rus, K: Potassium,	Zn: Zinc, Fe: Iror	դ, Cu։ Copper,
Mn: Manganese												

1.5

in *G. mosseae+ G. fasciculatum* treatment respectively (Site I) (0.22%) followed by *G. mosseae+G. fasciculatum* (0.18%) Site II treated soil (Table 3). Such an increase in carbon pool is due to the strong influence of mycorrhizal fungi on the release of compounds from living roots because, these fungi can affect plant carbon metabolism while representing a sizeable sink for plant derived carbon²⁵.

In this study, inoculation with AM fungi provided an important enhancement to yield. The enhancement in plant growth and biomass yields due to inoculation with AM fungi was higher for wheat grown comparative to without AM inoculated under barren conditions.

DISCUSSION

This study examined the effects of AM fungi colonization on a winter crop wheat plant. The results showed that AM fungi played vital roles in growth and nutrition absorption under barren soil conditions⁸, results achieved were positive as AM Fungi present in the inoculums colonized host in greater levels compared to non-inoculated plants and increased parameter of growth or soil nutrient.

A number of research articles can be deciphered from the literature^{5,26}, which shows the role of AM fungal species alone and/or in combination in enhancing plant growth under stress conditions²⁶. The AM fungal species of *Glomus mosseae* was the most efficient for its ability to increase plant growth, soil nutrient and level of active arbuscular formation. This result of the study were consistent with previous reports of the reported that growth and yield of mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal *Triticum aestivum* L. crop under soil stress condition^{5,27}.

In addition to their interactions with plants, these AM fungi show symbiotic interactions in the soil environment. These interactions may be vital for sustainable agriculture because they mainly depend on biological processes rather than on agrochemicals to maintain plant growth and development as well as proper soil health under barren conditions²⁸. Therefore, the present research has revealed another factor, under barren conditions, that appears to enhance in overall effects of AM Fungi on plant and soil biology.

CONCLUSION

The results confirm that AM fungi alleviate the detrimental effect of drought environment through improved water and nutrient uptake by AM hyphae and colonized roots of wheat plants. This cumulative effect increases the soil

quality and growth performance of the mycorrhizal inoculated plants compare to non-mycorrhizal under barren soil condition. Therefore, this aspect seeks more attention from the researchers to unveil the mechanism of drought-stress alleviation by AM fungi.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENTS

The symbiosis of indigenous Arbuscular Mycorrhizal (AM) fungi is protecting wheat host plant and improves soil quality from the effect of drought stress (under barren soil). This research will help to farmers for restoration of their barren land by the treatments with indigenous AM fungi.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors acknowledged to the department of botany, JECRC University for providing research fellowship and facilities for this study.

REFERENCES

- 1. Hanjra, M.A. and M.E. Qureshi, 2010. Global water crisis and future food security in an era of climate change. Food Policy, 35: 365-377.
- 2. Rijsberman, F.R., 2006. Water scarcity: Fact or fiction? Agric. Water Manage., 80: 5-22.
- 3. Kotia, A. and A. Kumar, 2001. Some of the common weeds of medicinal value from Rajasthan. Int. J. Mendel, 18: 17-20.
- Nath, R., Y. Luan, W. Yang, C. Yang, W. Chen, Q. Li and X. Cui, 2015. Changes in arable land demand for food in India and China: A potential threat to food security. Sustainability, 7:5371-5397.
- 5. Jayne, B. and M. Quigley, 2014. Influence of arbuscular mycorrhiza on growth and reproductive response of plants under water deficit: A meta-analysis. Mycorrhiza, 24: 109-119.
- 6. Miransari, M., 2010. Contribution of arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis to plant growth under different types of soil stress. Plant Biol., 12: 563-569.
- El-Amri, S.M., M.H. Al-Whaibi, G.M. Abdel-Fattah and M.H. Siddiqui, 2013. Role of mycorrhizal fungi in tolerance of wheat genotypes to salt stress. Afr. J. Microbiol. Res., 7: 1286-1295.
- 8. Wu, Q.S., X.R. Xia and Y.N. Zou, 2008. Improved soil structure and citrus growth after inoculation with three arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi under drought stress. Eur. J. Soil Biol., 44: 122-128.
- 9. Kumar, A. and M. Sharma, 2011. Wheat genome phylogeny and improvement. Aust. J. Crop Sci., 5: 1120-1126.

- 10. Sato, J.H., C.C. de Figueiredo, R.L. Marchao, B.E. Madari, L.E.C. Benedito, J.G. Busato and D.M. de Souza, 2014. Methods of soil organic carbon determination in Brazilian savannah soils. Sci. Agric., 71: 302-308.
- 11. Jackson, M.L., 1956. Soil Chemical Analysis: Advanced Course. University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Olsen, S.R., C.V. Cole, F.S. Watanabe and L.A. Dean, 1954. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. USDA Circular No. 939, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC., USA., pp: 1-9.
- 13. Gerdemann, J.W. and T.H. Nicolson, 1963. Spores of mycorrhizal *Endogone* species extracted from soil by wet sieving and decanting. Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc., 46: 235-244.
- 14. Schenck, N.C. and Y. Perez, 1990. Manual for the Identification of VA Mycorrhizal Fungi. 3rd Edn., Synergistic Publications, Markham, ISBN: 9780962598036, Pages: 286.
- 15. Trappe, J.M., 1982. Synoptic keys to the genera and species of *Zygomycetous* mycorrhizal fungi. Phytopathology, 72: 1102-1108.
- Menge, J.A. and L.W. Timmer, 1982. Procedure for Inoculation of Plants with Vesicular-arbuscular Mycorrhizae in Laboratory, Greenhouse and Field. In: Methods and Principles of Mycorrhizal Research, Schenck, N.C. (Ed.). American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul, MN., USA., ISBN-10: 0890540462, pp: 59-68.
- 17. Swaminathan, M.S. and R.V. Bhavani, 2013. Food production and availability-essential prerequisites for sustainable food security. Indian J. Med. Res., 138: 383-391.
- 18. Abdel-Fattah, G.M. and A.W. A. Asrar, 2012. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal application to improve growth and tolerance of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) plants grown in saline soil. Acta Physiol. Planta, 34: 267-277.
- Sharma, M.P., U.G. Reddy and A. Adholeya, 2011. Response of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) grown conventionally and on beds in a sandy loam soil. Indian J. Microbiol., 51: 384-389.
- 20. Arnon, D.I., 1949. Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts. Polyphenol oxidase in *Beta vulgaris*. Plant Physiol., 24: 1-15.
- 21. Phillips, J.M. and D.S. Hayman, 1970. Improved procedures for clearing roots and staining parasitic and vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi for rapid assessment of infection. Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc., 55: 158-161.
- 22. Minaxi, J. Saxena, S. Chandra and L. Nain, 2013. Synergistic effect of phosphate solubilizing rhizobacteria and arbuscular mycorrhiza on growth and yield of wheat plants. J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 13: 511-525.
- 23. Liu, R.J., P.P. Sheng, H.B. Hui, Q. Lin and Y.L. Chen, 2015. Integrating irrigation management for improved grain yield of winter wheat and rhizosphere AM fungal diversity in a semi-arid cropping system. Agric. Syst., 132: 167-173.
- 24. Solaiman, Z.M., P. Blackwell, L.K. Abbott and P. Storer, 2010. Direct and residual effect of biochar application on mycorrhizal root colonisation, growth and nutrition of wheat. Soil Res., 48: 546-554.

- 25. Averill, C., B.L. Turner and A.C. Finzi, 2014. Mycorrhiza-mediated competition between plants and decomposers drives soil carbon storage. Nature, 505: 543-545.
- 26. Veresoglou, S.D., G. Menexes and M.C. Rillig, 2012. Do arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi affect the allometric partition of host plant biomass to shoots and roots? A meta-analysis of studies from 1990 to 2010. Mycorrhiza, 22: 227-235.
- Talaat, N.B. and B.T. Shawky, 2014. Protective effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) plants exposed to salinity. Environ. Exp. Bot., 98: 20-31.
- 28. Wang, P., Y. Wang, B. Shu, J.F. Liu and R.X. Xia, 2015. Relationships between arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis and soil fertility factors in citrus orchards along an altitudinal gradient. Pedosphere, 25: 160-168.