

International Journal of **Virology**

ISSN 1816-4900



Alternative Weed Hosts of Beet necrotic yellow vein virus and Beet soil borne virus in North East of Turkey*

¹Yusuf Yanar, ²N. Dide Kutluk and ³Semih Erkan

¹College of Agriculture, Department of Plant Protection,

Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey

²College of Agriculture, Department of Plant Protection,

Ondokuzmayis University, Samsun, Turkey

³College of Agriculture, Department of Plant Protection, Ege University, Izmir, Turkey

Abstract: Purpose of this study was to determine the alternative weed hosts of two important viral diseases of sugar beet *Beet necrotic yellow vein virus* (BNYVV) and *Beet soil borne virus* (BSBV). In addition to the existing hosts of BNYVV and BSBV, different weed species were collected at production areas of sugar beet in North East of Turkey and both viruses were detected by ELISA. For BNYVV and its vector *Polymyxa betae* six weed species were determined as an alternative host of BNYVV. The highest absorbance values of ELISA were obtained from *Solanum nigrum* (0.725). This is the first report of *Cichorium intybus*, *Heliotropium eurapaeum* and *Plantago major* as an alternative host of BNYVV. None of the weed species collected from infested sugar beet fields was infected by BSBV.

Key words: Beet necrotic yellow vein virus, beet soilborne virus, rhizomania, weed, sugar beet

Introduction

Sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* var. *saccharifera* L.) is extensively cultivated in North East of Turkey, over 19.049 ha (Anonymous, 2003). Rhizomania, a soilborne virus disease of sugar beet is caused by *Beet necrotic yellow vein virus* (BNYVV). BNYVV is a member of genus *Benyvirus* (Koening and Lesemann, 2000). BNYVV is vectored by soil Protist *Polymyxa betae*, Keskin (Abe and Tamada, 1986). Rhizomania is a severe disease of sugar beet world wide and affecting sugar beet yield and consequently the amount of extractable sucrose (Rush and Heidel, 1995). Since the first case reported in Turkey in 1976, the disease has been widely distributed in the majority of the sugar beet growing regions of Turkey, causing severe yield losses ranging from 30 to 80% (Kutluk and Yanar, 2001; Kutluk *et al.*, 2004; Ozgur, 1995).

Beet soil borne virus (BSBV) another soil borne virus was first reported in England in 1982 (Ivanovic and Mcfarlane, 1982). Later, it was reported from many other researchers that BSBV is present in many other countries around the world (Lindsten, 1991; Prillwitz and Schlosser, 1992; Turina and Rubies-Autonell, 1996). Prillwitz and Schlosser (1992) reported the occurrence of BSBV in soils in which BNYVV was also present. BSBV and BNYVV are morphologically similar to each other; however, they are serologically different from each other. Unlike BNYVV, different serological types of BSBV exist. BSBV belongs to *Pomovirus* genus and *P. betae* is also its vector as well as

Corresponding Author: Dr. Yusuf Yanar, College of Agriculture, Department of Plant Protection, Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey Fax: 0356 252 1488

BNYVV (Prillwitz and Schlosser, 1992). According to, Prillwitz and Schlosser (1992) BSBV causes rhizomania-like symptoms and results in yield loses up to 70% in sugar beets.

Polymyxa betae has a host range mainly limited to the Chenopodiaceae. Abe and Tamada (1986) reported that the ability of *P. betae* to transmit BNYVV differs among isolates or Formae speciales of the fungus. The virus was maintained only in cystosori extracted from sugar beet, spinach (Spinacia oleracea), Chenopodium murale and Chenopodium capitatum. However, a similar fungus has been reported from the family of Amarantheceae and thought to be a forme specialis of *P. betae* (Barr, 1979). Ivanovic (1988) determined that Claytonia perfoliata and Stelleria media were the hosts of *P. betae*. Barr and Asher (1992) reported that three biotypes of *P. betae* were identified in Britain and divided into 3 groups: The biotype infecting Chenopodiaceous species, the biotype with a narrower host range (including *B. vulgaris*) and the biotype infecting only Silena alba.

The objective of this study was to determine the alternative weed hosts of BNYVV and BSBV in sugar beet growing areas of North East Turkey.

Materials and Methods

Weed Material

During 2002 sugar beet growing season, more than 100 fields located in North East of Turkey were investigated. Fields investigated were identified either using the data reported by Kutluk and Yanar (2001) or by visual identification of yellow leaves on sugar beet or root symptoms. A total 180 samples belong to 36 different weed species were collected from sugar beet fields from August through October, when patches of Rhizomania disease were visible in crops. Each weed root was placed into polyethylene bag after labeling and washing. The samples were kept at -27°C until ELISA tests were done.

Serological Tests

The double antibody sandwich-enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (DAS-ELISA) performed with a specific antiserum to detect BNYVV. DAS-ELISA method was performed according to Clark and Adams (1977) and instructions of the antiserum producer (Loewe Biochemica, Sauerlach, Germany). Nonfat dry milk (0.1%) was used in extraction buffer instead of bovine serum albumin (Arif and Reavy, 1994). Root samples of weed were ground in mortar with extraction buffer (PBS: 0.13 M NaCl, 0.014 M KH₂PO₄, 0.002 M KCL, pH 7.4) containing 0.05% Tween 20 and 0.1% nonfat dry milk and put to wells, which were precoated with BNYVV-specific antiserum diluted in coating buffer (pH: 9.6). Later, the plates were incubated at 4°C overnight and after the incubation period, samples were washed three times with PBST-Tween 20 buffer. Then, the plates were coated with alkaline phosphatase conjugated antibody diluted in extraction buffer and incubated for 4 h at 37°C. After washing, *p*-nitrophenyl phosphate in diethanolamine substrate buffer (1 mg mL⁻¹, pH: 9.8) was added to each well and kept at room temperature for 30 to 120 min. Absorbance values were read at 405 nm using a microplate reader (Tecan Spectra II, Grödig/Salzburg, Austria).

A Triple Antibody Sandwich TAS-ELISA was performed to detect BSBV. Antiserum against BSBV was obtained from the Loewe Biochemica, Sauerlach, Germany. The plates were coated with BSBV polyclonal antiserum diluted to 1: 500. The root extracts were diluted in coating buffer (1:5). Monoclonal antibody (Mab) was incubated at 37°C for 2 h and rabbit-anti-mouse conjugate (1:1000) was incubated at the same temperature for a further 2 h. After addition of the substrate (1 mg mL⁻¹), the plates were incubated at room temperature for 30 to 120 min and absorbance values at 405 nm were

measured using a microplate reader (Tecan Spectra II, Grödig/Salzburg, Austria). The positive-negative threshold was taken as the mean absorbance value of healthy plants plus five times the SD of the absorbances of six buffer control wells (Mouhanna *et al.*, 2002).

Results and Discussion

ELISA tests revealed that BNYVV infection occurred within dicotyledonous weed species belonging to Asteraceae, Boraginaceae, Plantoginaceae, Polygonaceae and Solanaceae (Table 1) Cichorium intybus, Heliotropium eurapaeum, Plantago major, Polygonum aviculare, Datura stramonium and Solanum nigrum were found infected by BNYVV. The highest absorbance value of ELISA was observed for Solanum nigrum (0.725). There was no evidence that any of the other weed species were involved in spreading the rhizomania inoculum in the field. Any of the weed species collected from infested sugar beet fields were not infected by BSBV (Table 1).

The virus had ability to survive in air dried soil as well as in the field conditions for more than 15 years in cystosori of *Polymyxa betae* (Abe and Tamada, 1986). The cell walls of cystosori of

Table 1: Weed species tested for the presence of BNYVV and BSBV

| Family | Species | BNYVV ^a | BSBV |
|------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| Amaranthaceae | Amaranthus blitoides S. Watson | 0.125 | 0.092 |
| | Amararanthus lividus L. | 0.177 | 0.131 |
| | Amararanthus retroflexus | 0.145 | 0.119 |
| Apiaceae | Daucus carota L. | 0.112 | 0.112 |
| | Anethum graveolens L. | 0.218 | 0.111 |
| Arictolochiaceae | Aristolochia maurorum L | 0.175 | 0.106 |
| Asteraceae | Cichorium intybus L. | 0.396(3) ^b | 0.142 |
| | Circium arvense (L.) Scop. | 0.119 | 0.127 |
| | Matricaria chamomilla L. | 0.146 | 0.123 |
| | Sochus oleraceus L. | 0.208 | 0.119 |
| | Xanthium strumarium L. | 0.116 | 0.170 |
| | Arctium lapa L. | 0.104 | 0.091 |
| Boraginaceae | Echium vulgare L. | 0.233 | 0.160 |
| | Heliotropium eurapaeum L | 0.475(3) | 0.173 |
| Brassicaceae | Sisymbrium officinale (L.) Scop. | 0.127 | 0.109 |
| Chenopodiaceae | Chenopodium album L. | 0.170 | 0.122 |
| Convolvulaceae | Convolvulus arvensis L. | 0.171 | 0.117 |
| Cuscutaceae | Cuscuta sp. | 0.116 | 0.114 |
| Lamiaceae | Lamium sp. | 0.119 | 0.105 |
| | Mentha aquatica L. | 0.136 | 0.118 |
| Leguminosae | Melilotus officinalis (L.) De | 0.240 | 0.108 |
| | <i>Vicia</i> sp. | 0.125 | 0.112 |
| Malvaceae | Hibiscus trionum L. | 0.154 | 0.114 |
| | <i>Malva neglacta</i> Wallr. | 0.173 | 0.114 |
| Orabanchaceae | Orabanche sp. | 0.260 | 0.109 |
| Plantoginaceae | Plantago major L. | 0.599(2) | 0.147 |
| Polygonaceae | Polygonum aviculare L. | 0.309(5) | 0.127 |
| | Polygonum convolvulus L. | 0.122 | 0.101 |
| | Polygonum persicaria L. | 0.116 | 0.100 |
| Portulacaceae | Portulaca oleracea L. | 0.604 | 0.140 |
| Primulaceae | Anagallis arvensis L. | 0.104 | 0.095 |
| Ranunculaceae | Adonis sp. | 0.101 | 0.101 |
| Scrophulariceae | Kickxia spuria (L.) Dumort. | 0.130 | 0.118 |
| Solanaceae | Datura stramonium L. | 0.471(4) | 0.136 |
| | Solanum nigrum L. | 0.725(3) | 0.112 |
| Verbenaceae | Verbena officinalis L. | 0.109 | 0.108 |

ELISA absorbance values smaller than 0.303 is clean otherwise it is infected (BNYVV); Absorbance values smaller than 633 is clean otherwise it is infected (BSBV); b Number of samples infected with BNYVV.

P. betae are resistant to microbial degradation (Schlosser, 1988). It has been questioned for several decades whether weeds would serve as an alternative host without susceptible sugar beet cultivars in the field. Effects of the weeds on BNYVV survival in infested soils without Sugar beet cultivars are controversial. On the other hand, role of alternative weed hosts on survival of BSBV was relatively unexplored area in sugar beet growing areas of Turkey. To enhance the knowledge on alternative weed hosts of BSBV, it was also included in the present study. The highest absorbance values of ELISA were obtained for Cichorium intybus, Heliotropium eurapaeum, Plantago major, Polygonum aviculare, Datura stramonium and Solanum nigrum. The finding reported confirms the results of many earlier studies on alternative hosts of BNYVV (Al Musa and Mink, 1981; Hugo et al., 1996; Kutluk et al., 2000). Hess et al. (1982) reported that natural hosts allowing rapid development of virus and vector were Beta vulgaris and Spinichia oleraceae. Abe and Tamada (1986) reported that activity of virus protecting from cystosori was taken from only sugar beet, spinach, C. album, Chenopodium capitatum L. Al Musa and Mink (1981) determined G. globosa as the host of BNYVV in USA. Similarly, Hillmann (1984) confirmed the same finding in his study performed in Germany. Ivanovic (1988) stated that the hosts of vector (P. betae) were Chenopodium perfoliata and Stelleria media and Barr and Asher (1992) concluded that Silena pratensis was the host of the vector of BNYVV in England. Hugo et al. (1996) recorded Chenopodium polyspermum as host of BNYVV. In addition, Kutluk et al. (2000) determined A. retroflexus, X. strumarium, C. album, C. vulvaria, C. arvense, Chamomilla recutita, Sonchus asper C. arvensis, P. aviculare, Polygonum persicaria, Portulaca oleracea, Veronica hederifolia, Datura stramonium, Solanum nigrum and Tribulus terrestris as the alternative hosts of BNYVV in Turkey. In addition to the hosts reported from several researchers, the results obtained in this study revealed that P. major, H. eurapaeum, C. intybus are also weed hosts for BNYVV. This is the first report of these species as an alternative host of BNYVV.

Schlosser (1989) stated that weeds were not expected playing an important role, if any, in maintaining rhizomania inoculum in soils during the absence of susceptible crop plant. In contrary, Hugo *et al.* (1996) suggested that weed host has only a relatively small effect in building up rhizomania inoculum and spreading to sugar beet. The results confirmed that weeds were infected by BNYVV with in the sugar beet crops. Therefore, weed species infected by BNYVV should be responsible surviving and spreading of rhizomania in soil. In contrast, none of the weed species were infected by BSBV under natural conditions. Kudlackova and Rysanek (2003) conducted a similar study to determine the host range of BSBV using baiting plants of tested species into infested soil. They could not obtain BSBV from any of the plants studied except *Spinacia oleracea* and *Beta vulgaris* cultivars. The results suggest that the biotype of *P. betae* involved in BSBV transmission has a narrow host range as it was reported by Kudlackova and Rysanek (2003). However, no attempt was made in distinguishing vector isolates in this study. Further detailed studies are needed on *P. betae* isolates, before adequate conclusions can be drawn on characteristics of BSBV-transmitting vector isolates in Turkey.

References

Abe, H. and T. Tamada, 1986. Association of beet necrotic yellow vein virus with isolates of Polymyxa betae Keskin. Ann. Phytopathol. Soc. Japan, 52: 394-403.

Al Musa, A.M. and G.I. Mink, 1981. Beet necrotic yellow vein virus in North America. Phytopathology, 71: 773-776.

Anonymous, 2003. Agricultural structure. State institute of statistics Prime Ministry Republic of Turkey Ankara (web site: www.die.gov.tr).

- Arif, M.L. Torrace and B. Reavy, 1994. Improved efficiency of detection of Potato mop top furovirus in potato tubers and in the roots and leaves of soil-bait plants. Potato Res., 37: 373-381.
- Barr, D.J.S., 1979. Morphology and host range of *Polymyxa graminis*, *Polymyxa betae* and *Ligniera pilorum* from Ontario and some other areas. Can. J. Plant Pathol., 1: 85-94.
- Barr, K.J. and M.J.C. Asher, 1992. The host range of *Polymyxa betae* in Britain. Plant. Pathol., 41: 8-64.
- Clark, M.F. and A.N. Adams, 1977. Characteristics of the microplate method of enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for detection of plant viruses. J. Gen. Virol., 34: 475-483.
- Hess, W.I.H. and E. Schlosser, 1982. Rhizomania. V. Spinat in der Rübenfruchtfolge. Gersunde Pflanzen, 34: 118-119.
- Hillmann, U., 1984. Neue erkenntnisse uber die rizomania an zuckerruben mit besonderer berucksichtiqung bayerischer an baugebiete. Dissertation, Universität Giessen, pp. 280.
- Hugo, S.A., C.M. Henry and V. Harju, 1996. The role of alternative hosts of *Polymyxa betae* in transmission of *Beet necrotic yellow vein virus* (BNYVV) in England. Plant Pathol., 45: 662-666.
- Ivanovic, M. and I. Mcfarlane, 1982. A tubular virus associated with infection of sugar beet by *Polymyxa betae*. Rothamsted Exp. Stn. Rep., pp. 190-191.
- Ivanovic, M., 1988. Research on hosts of *Polymyxa betae* Keskin in Yugoslavia. Zastita Bilja, 39: 197-202.
- Koening, R. and D.H. Lesemann, 2000. Genus Benyvirus, In: Virus Taxonomy. 7th Report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses. Van Regenmortel, H.H.V., C.M. Fauquet and D.H.I. Bishop. (Eds.). Academic Pres. San Diego, CA., pp: 917-922.
- Kudlackova, P. and P. Rysanek, 2003. Study of host range of the beet soil-borne pomovirus. Proc. 5th Symp. IWGPVFV, Denver, pp: 100-102.
- Kutluk, N.D.N. Erkan and S. Bicken, 2000. Weeds as hosts for rhizomania's agent. Z. PflKrankh. PflSchutz, Sonderh, 27: 167-171.
- Kutluk, N.D. and Y. Yanar, 2001. Study on the distribution of *Beet necrotic yellow vein virus* (BNYVV) in sugar beet growing area of Tokat, Turkey. J. Turk. Phytopathol., 30: 21-25.
- Kutluk, N.D.Y. Yanar, H. Gunal and S. Erkan, 2004. Effects of soil properties on the occurrence of Beet Necrotic yellow vein virus and Beet soil borne virus on sugar beet in Tokat-Turkey. Plant Pathol. J., 3: 56-60.
- Lindsten, K., 1991. Report on *Polymyxa betae*-transmitted beet viruses in Sweden. *Beet necrotic yellow vein* Workshop, Colmar, pp. 39-42.
- Mouhanna, A.M.A. Nasrallah, G. Langer and E. Schlosser, 2002. Surveys for Beet Necrotic Yellow Vein Virus (the cause of Rhizomania), other viruses and soil-borne fungi infected sugar beet in Syria. J. Plant Pathol., 150: 657-662.
- Ozgur, O.E., 1995. Sugar beet diseases in Turkey. Turkish Sugar Factories Inc. General Directorate, Publish No. 218: 33-47.
- Prillwitz, H. and E. Schlosser, 1992. Beet soil borne virus: Occurance, symptoms and effect on plant development. Med. Fac. Landbouww. Univ. Gent57/2a, pp. 295-302.
- Rush, C.M. and G.B. Heidel, 1995. Furovirus diseases of sugarbeet in the United States. Plant Dis., 79: 868-875.
- Schlosser, E., 1988. Epidemiology and Management of *Polymyxa betae* and *Beet necrotic yellow vein virus*. In: Cooper, J.I. and M.J.C. Asher, (Eds.), Viruses with Fungal Vectors, pp: 281-292.
- Schlosser, E., 1989. Rhizomania. XI. Weeds as alternate hosts for virus and vector Med. Fac. Landbouww. Rijksuniv. Gent., 54/2b, pp: 479-484.
- Turina, M.R.R. and C. Rubies-Autonell, 1996. Survey of soil-borne virus diseases in Italy. Proc. 3rd Symp. IWGPVFV, Dundee, pp: 185-188.