

Journal of **Fisheries and Aquatic Science**

ISSN 1816-4927



Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science 8 (1): 277-281, 2013 ISSN 1816-4927 / DOI: 10.3923/jfas.2013.277.281 © 2013 Academic Journals Inc.

Comparison of Keeping Qualities of *Alestes nurse* Displayed in Traditional and Improved Retail Tables

E.O. Ngwu, J.O. Olokor, J.A. Ihuahi, G.O. Oluborode and A. Ibitoye National Institute for Freshwater Fisheries Research, P.M.B. 6006, New-Bussa, Niger State, Nigeria

Corresponding Author: E.O. Ngwu, National Institute for Freshwater Fisheries Research, P.M.B. 6006, New-Bussa, Niger State, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

The study accessed the quality changes of Alestes nurse displayed at 31-33°C average daily temperature on different platforms, the traditional retail table used by fish processors and the NIFFR improved retail table. The fishes were displayed on the tables for 9 h and quality attributes were monitored hourly by trained panelists. For A. nurse, the gills and intestine recorded faster deterioration starting from the fourth and fifth hours for samples in the traditional table while those in improved table were prolonged by one hour. Scores for skin brightness and texture did not indicate quality deterioration throughout the display period. However, at the end of the storage time, samples in screened table recorded 1.5×10⁴ CFU g⁻¹ while samples in unscreened table recorded 3.7×10⁷ CFU g⁻¹. The study shows the effectiveness of the improved table over the traditional table in enhancing the keeping quality, minimizing fish contamination and improving the general hygiene of fresh fish.

Key words: Improve table, open table, quality index method, sensory evaluation, deterioration

INTRODUCTION

Fresh fish is susceptible to spoilage when exposed to ambient temperature. This deterioration during post-mortem period is as a result of series of biochemical and microbiological mechanisms. In the high ambient tropical temperature, fish will spoil within twelve to twenty hours depending on species, methods of capture and handling condition of fish (Clucas, 1982). The degradation of fish tissue is caused by endogenous fish enzymes and microorganism that are present on the surface of the skin, the gills and in the intestines.

An estimated 20-50% of the fish produced in the remote coastal centre's and hinter land of many tropical countries perish before they get to the consumers due to poor handling, preservation and processing practices adopted by the artisanal fishermen, fish farmers and fisheries entrepreneurs (Eyo, 2001). After landing in the landing centre's, the catch also passes through different marketing chains and the time required to reach the destination varies widely according to location but it often takes a considerable portion of the normal shelf life of a tropical fish species. Freshness is one of the most important parameters of quality in most markets. Fresh products often achieve a higher price based on general attitude among consumers that fresh is better Freshness is a property of fish that has a considerable influence on its quality. It is the most important single criterion for judging the quality of the majority of fish products. Loss of freshness followed by spoilage is a complex combination of microbiological, chemical and physical processes (Pedrosa-Menabrito and Regenstein, 1990). The shelf life of freshly harvested fish depends on the

bacterial flora, storage temperature, handling and physiological condition of fish. The shelf life reflects the quality of the final fish product either fresh or processed. The quality of fish can be estimated by sensory tests, microbial methods, measuring volatile compounds and lipid oxidation, determination of changes in muscle proteins, ATP breakdown products and physical changes (including electrical properties of skin) in fish (Abbas *et al.*, 2008).

Sensory inspection of processed fish is used in the fish industry to find defects that have occurred during handling and processing (Oehlenschlanger, 1998). Various types of analyses have been developed to measure the loss of fish freshness and to detect spoilage. When management strategies are proposed for a fishery, adequate consideration is not often given to the very important aspect of enhancing hygiene. This could be achieved through improved management of the resources, improvement in fish handling, processing, good hygiene practices, storage and distribution.

In order to reduce fish losses due to insect infestation a screen retail table was designed to prevent insect from gaining access to the fish (Adebayo *et al.*, 2008). Consequently the fish retail table was improved to enhance fish quality and to make the raw material quality be: (1) the best product to the consumers; (2) assess a better management of fresh stocks. In this study the keeping qualities of *A. nurse* displayed in the improved retail table and the traditional table was evaluated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Design of improved retail table: The table (Fig. 1, 2) with dimensions of 1.20 by 0.60 m by 1.10 (L×W×H) was designed and constructed. The top compartment is a cage screened with a netting material to screen insects and flies and a cutting board on the outer top cover. The table surface is covered with Formica and small drilled openings for water to drain. A small opening of 0.53 by 0.30 m (L×W) forms the access door. The table was constructed and furnished so that it can be maintained in a clean and hygienic state.

Quality assessment: Fresh fish samples were procured in Una from traditional fishermen soon after landing. Observation of fresh fish started from the landing site (zero hour) until 9 h. The fish were carried in wetted jute bags to enhance preservation and transported to National Institute for Freshwater Fisheries Research (NIFFR) laboratory for monitoring, evaluation and comparison of changes in the quality of the fish products. The samples were displayed in the traditional table and



Fig. 1: NIFFR improved retail table

J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 8 (1): 277-281, 2013




Fig. 2: Sketch of NIFFR improved retail table

Table 1: Sensory characteristics and scores used in the panel score sheet

| Quality parameter | Description | Score | |
|--|---|-------|--|
| Skin appearance (both dark and white side) | Bright, iridescent pigmentation | 0 | |
| | Bright but not lustrous | 1 | |
| | Dull, becoming discolored | 2 | |
| Texture consistency (black side) | Rigid (this demerit point is given only to fish still in rigor) | 0 | |
| | Firm and elastic | 1 | |
| | Slightly soft, less elastic | 2 | |
| | Very soft | 3 | |
| Belly consistency | Firm elastic | | |
| | Soft | | |
| | Burst | | |
| Eyes shape | Convex | 0 | |
| | Convex but slightly sunken | 1 | |
| | Flat | 2 | |
| | Sunken | 3 | |
| Gills colour | Bright light rose-red | 0 | |
| | Pale rose-red | 1 | |
| | Slightly discolored, especially at the end of gill filaments | 2 | |
| Skin odour | Fresh, neutral | 0 | |
| | Seaweedy, marine | 1 | |
| | Slightly acidic, sour smell | 2 | |
| | Very unpleasant smell, rotten | 3 | |
| Total | | 27 | |

NIFFR improved retail table. The sensory analysis was made using the Quality Index Method (QIM), with some modifications. Each assessment was carried out by five trained panelists. Six fish from each storage time were evaluated on the basis of general appearance (skin colour and mucus), stiffness of muscle and consistency of belly cavity, colour and shape of eyes, colour and odour of gills (Table 1). Each panelist evaluated duplicate of samples hourly during the display period. Microbiological counts were also determined during the on-set and end of the display period.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Economic cost benefit: After the construction of the improved retail table, 157.14% increment on the cost of the traditional open table was observed when compared with the construction cost of improved retail table.

Changes in fish deterioration qualities: Alestes nurse was used in this study because of its availability and economic importance in the Kainji lake area. Table 2 and 3 show the cumulative values recorded by panelists as fish quality deteriorates as display time progressed. Quality parameters were generally intact at the first hour, however, as storage time progressed scores by panelists varied depending on the quality attribute assessed. This result agrees with earlier reports of Abbas et al. (2008) and Pedrosa-Menabrito and Regenstein (1990).

The samples of A. nurse, in the open table generally showed a higher degree of deterioration as indicated in Table 2, indicating higher spoilage with the display time. The gills and intestine recorded faster deterioration starting from fourth and fifth hours for samples in the open table. The deterioration of samples in the improved table was prolonged by one hour for both parameters as indicated in Table 3. Score for skin brightness and texture did not show any deterioration throughout the storage period. The result suggests that texture and skin brightness are clear instances of intrinsic quality cues which may not be easily noticed by sensory evaluation. This result agrees with earlier reports of Nielsen et al. (2002) in which intrinsic quality cues could not be determined by sensory evaluation. Maggots were observed in the gills and mouth parts of samples in the open table whereas; no maggot was seen on samples in the improved table.

At the onset of the experiment, there was no significant difference in the microbial counts of fish on both tables. This is because freshly caught fish are sterile as the activity of the living fish

Table 2: Alestes nurse in open table, showing degree of deterioration with respect to time

| General appearance | Time (h) | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------|--------|------------------------|---------|------------------------|------------------------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Skin | Bright | Bright | Bright | Bright | Bright | Dull | Dull | Dull | Dull |
| Stiffness | Elastic | Elastic | Elastic | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm |
| Belly | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm | Soft | Soft | Soft | Soft | Soft |
| Smell | Fresh | Fresh | Fresh | Fresh | Fresh | Fresh | Fresh | Fresh | Fresh |
| Eye | Convex | Convex | Convex | Convex | Convex | Concave | Concave | Concave | Concave |
| Gills | Bright | Bright | Bright | Dull | Dull | Dull | Dull | Dull | Dull |

 ${\it Table 3: A lestes \ nurse \ inside the \ improved \ table, showing \ degree \ of \ deterioration \ with \ respect \ to \ time }$

| | Time (h) | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------|---------|---------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| General appearance | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Skin | Bright | Bright | Bright | Bright | Bright | Bright | Bright | Bright | Bright |
| Stiffness | Elastic | Elastic | Elastic | Elastic | Elastic | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm |
| Belly | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm | Soft | Soft | Soft |
| Smell | Fresh | Fresh | Fresh | Fresh | Fresh | Fresh | Fresh | Fresh | Fresh |
| Eye | Convex | Convex | Convex | Convex | Convex | Convex | Convex | Concave | Concave |
| Gills | Bright | Bright | Bright | Bright | Dull | Dull | Dull | Dull | Dull |

J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 8 (1): 277-281, 2013

keeps the microorganism under control. However, at the end of storage period, samples in the screened table recorded lower counts 1.5×10^4 CFU g⁻¹ than those in the unscreened table 3.7×10^7 CFU g⁻¹.

CONCLUSION

Fish products displayed on NIFFR improved table had prolonged uniform product quality which are the most important aspects taken into consideration when buying fish products where prolonged.

REFERENCES

- Abbas, K.A., A. Mohamed, B. Jamilah and M. Ebrahimian, 2008. A review on correlations between fish freshness and pH during cold storage. Am. J. Biochem. Biotehcnol., 4: 419-421.
- Adebayo, M.A.B., T.O. Babalola and J.O. Olokor, 2008. Use of screened fish retail table to improve the hygiene of fish sold in local markets. Proceedings of the 23rd Annual Conference of Fisheries Society of Nigeria, October 27-30, 2008, Kaduna, Nigeria, pp. 118-119.
- Clucas, I.J., 1982. Fish Handling, Preservation and Processing in the Tropics, Part 2. Tropical Development and Research Institute, Nigeria, pp. 98.
- Eyo, A.A., 2001. Fish Processing in the Tropics. National Institute for Freshwater Fisheries Research, New Bussa, Nigeria, pp. 5.
- Nielsen, J., G. Hyldig and E. Larsen, 2002. Eating quality of fish: A review. J. Aquat. Food Product Technol., 11: 125-141.
- Oehlenschlanger, J., 1998. Sensory evaluation in inspection. Proceedings of the Final Meeting of the Concerted Action Evaluation of Fish Freshness, November 12-14 1997, International Institute of Refrigeration, Paris, France, pp. 363-368.
- Pedrosa-Menabrito, A. and J.M. Regenstein, 1990. Shelf-life extension of fresh fish-a review part III-fish quality and methods of assessment. J. Food Qual., 13: 209-223.