



Journal of  
**Pharmacology and  
Toxicology**

ISSN 1816-496X



Academic  
Journals Inc.

[www.academicjournals.com](http://www.academicjournals.com)

## **Effects of *Hypericum Perforatum* L. and *Matricaria Chamomilla* L. Extracts on the Human Chromosomes**

Mostafa Saadat  
Department of Biology, College of Sciences,  
Shiraz University, Shiraz 71454, Iran

---

**Abstract:** The cytogenetic effects of the *Hypericum perforatum* L. and *Matricaria chamomilla* L. extracts have been studied in cultured human lymphocytes. The extracts were prepared by percolation method using 70% ethanol as a solvent. The effects of hydroquinone and ethanol were also investigated as positive and negative controls, respectively. The cytogenetic abnormalities detected were dicentric chromosome, chromatid breaks and polyploidy. The *Hypericum perforatum* L. (at final concentration of 0.4  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  hypericin) and *Matricaria chamomilla* L. (at final concentration of 50  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  chamazulene) extracts, significantly increased the frequency of abnormal metaphases (For extract of *Hypericum perforatum* L. OR = 6.04, 95% CI: 3.11-12.1; For extract of *Matricaria chamomilla* L. OR = 6.22, 95% CI: 3.21-12.2).

**Key words:** Herbal medicine, *Hypericum perforatum* L., *Matricaria chamomilla* L., human chromosome, aberration

---

### **Introduction**

Public interest in all things natural and organic including, especially, drugs and medicines, continues to increase at an unprecedented rate. It has developed from ancient civilizations that used parts of plants and animals to concoct various points to eliminated pain, control suffering and counteract disease. A number of the drugs used by the ancients are still employed in much the same manner by today's medical practitioners (Tyler *et al.*, 1988; Wheaton *et al.*, 2005).

The dried, flowerings, aerial parts of *Hypericum perforatum* L. (Fam. Guttiferae), St. John's Wort, are described in the British Herbal Pharmacopia (BHP). The drug acts as a sedative and astringent. It has antibacterial properties and is reported to be used in the former USSR for the treatment of infections, in the USA as a food preservative and in Germany for making soft drink (Evans, 1996).

Chamomile [*Matricaria chamomilla* L. (Fam. Compositae)] is extensively cultivated in Europe, where it is widely utilized in folk medicine for its carminative, spasmolytic and anti-inflammatory effects (Evans, 1996; Tyler *et al.*, 1988). In Iran it is used as a vegetable and medicine. The most common form of the drug is a tea, but various extracts and volatile-oil-containing preparations are also available. In fact, chamomile is so highly regarded and so extensively used that it might be labeled ginseng of Europe (Evans, 1996; Tyler *et al.*, 1988). Although the chamomile and *Hypericum perforatum* L. have become one of the most popular herbal medicine in several populations, those mutagenicity effect is still unknown. In the present study, the cytogenetic effects of the *Hypericum perforatum* L. and *Matricaria chamomilla* L. extracts have been studied in cultured human lymphocytes.

## Materials and Methods

### *Subjects and Cell Culture*

Blood samples were obtained from five healthy subjects and collected into heparinized tubes. None of the individuals had confounding factor(s) (e.g. current illness, on chemotherapy, exposure to radiation and chemicals, cigarette smoking). Lymphocytes were cultured for 72 h at 37°C in RPMI-1640 supplemented by 15% heat inactivated fetal calf serum, 30  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  Streptomycin and 0.2  $\text{mL}^{-1}$  of phetohemagglutinin-M. Chromosomes were conventionally stained with Giemsa. The metaphases were analyzed for the number and type of chromosome aberrations. Chromosome aberrations were classified to dicentric chromosomes, chromatid breaks and polyploidy.

The extracts were prepared using percolation method. The extracts of *Hypericum perforatum* L. and *Matricaria chamomilla* L. contain 0.2  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  hypericin and 2  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  chamazulene, respectively. Considering that it is reported that the hydroquinone induced chromosomal and DNA damage on human cells (Saadat *et al.*, 1998) hydroquinone at final concentration of 25  $\mu\text{mol}$  was used as positive control. A culture without any extracts was used as a negative control. In order to exclude the effect(s) of ethanol on chromosome damage, one percent of ethanol was added to medium (as another negative control).

### *Statistical Analysis*

The experiments were done in triplicate. Correlations between frequency of abnormal metaphases and concentrations of the studied extracts were evaluated by the rank correlation test of Spearman. The Odds Ratio (OR) and its 95% Confidence Interval (CI) were calculated. An  $\text{OR} > 1.0$  shows an increase and  $\text{OR} < 1.0$  shows a decrease in frequency of abnormal metaphases in cultures treated with either positive control or the herbal extracts in comparison with the frequency of abnormal metaphases in cultures of negative control. To take into account the possibility of heterogeneity between individuals, a statistical test for heterogeneity was carried out based on the Q-statistic, in which a p-value greater than 0.05 suggested a lack of heterogeneity (DerSimonian and Laird, 1986). Pooled OR was calculated using random-effects model (if there was significant heterogeneity between individuals) and/or fixed-effects model (if there was no heterogeneity between individuals) (Mantel and Haenszel, 1959). A probability of  $p < 0.05$  considered statistically significant difference.

## Results and Discussion

Since no statistically differences were observed between the sets of negative controls, these two controls were pooled (data not shown). The Spearman's rank correlation coefficients between frequencies of abnormal metaphases and concentration of either hypericin or chamazulene were statistically significant at  $R_s = 1.0$  (data not shown). High frequencies of abnormal metaphases with relatively small SD were noticed at final concentrations of 0.4  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  hypericin and 50  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  chamazulene. At both concentrations of 100  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  chamazulene and 0.8  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  of hypericin, the studied extracts showed cytotoxicity.

Results of chromosome analysis to evaluate the clastogenic potential activities of *Hypericum perforatum* L. (final concentration of 0.4  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  hypericin) and *Matricaria chamomilla* L. (final concentration of 50  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  chamazulene) are summarized in the Table 1. Positive control significantly increased the frequency of abnormal metaphases (pooled  $\text{OR} = 16.40$ , 95%  $\text{CI}: 9.58-35.7$ ). In all of subjects chromosomal aberrations increased when the extracts of *Hypericum perforatum* L. (3.22-10.55 folds) and *Matricaria chamomilla* L. (4.09-9.41 folds) were used. There was no significant heterogeneity between the subjects (For hydroquinone Q-statistic = 2.778,  $\text{df} = 4$ ,  $p > 0.05$ ; For *Hypericum perforatum* L. extract Q-statistic = 2.137,  $\text{df} = 4$ ,  $p > 0.05$ ; For *Matricaria chamomilla* L.

Table 1: Abnormalities induced using the studies extracts

Individuals	Metaphase No.	Abnormal metaphases	Percent	OR	95% CI
No. 1					
Negative control	777	3	0.39	1.0	-
Positive control	229	12	5.28	14.27	3.72-64.2
<i>Hypericum perforatum</i> L.	229	9	3.49	10.55	2.60-49.5
<i>Matricaria Chamomilla</i> L.	341	12	3.52	9.41	2.46-42.2
No. 2					
Negative control	712	4	0.56	1.0	-
Positive control	90	9	10.0	19.67	5.38-77.8
<i>Hypericum perforatum</i> L.	280	5	1.78	3.22	0.75-14.3
<i>Matricaria Chamomilla</i> L.	292	10	3.42	6.28	1.80-23.9
No. 3					
Negative control	351	2	0.57	1.0	-
Positive control	121	10	8.26	15.72	3.10-105
<i>Hypericum perforatum</i> L.	183	5	2.73	4.90	0.84-36.8
<i>Matricaria Chamomilla</i> L.	218	5	2.30	4.09	0.70-30.7
No. 4					
Negative control	417	2	0.48	1.0	-
Positive control	54	10	18.5	47.16	9.26-322
<i>Hypericum perforatum</i> L.	207	9	4.35	9.43	1.89-63.8
<i>Matricaria Chamomilla</i> L.	140	4	2.86	6.10	0.95-48.5
No. 5					
Negative control	513	3	0.58	1.0	-
Positive control	165	8	4.85	8.66	2.07-41.7
<i>Hypericum perforatum</i> L.	163	5	3.06	4.28	0.80-24.3
<i>Matricaria Chamomilla</i> L.	158	4	2.52	4.42	0.83-25.1
Total					
Negative control	2770	14	0.50	1.0	-
Positive control *	659	49	7.44	16.40	9.58-35.74
<i>Hypericum perforatum</i> L. **	1062	33	3.11	6.04	3.11-12.08
<i>Matricaria Chamomilla</i> L.***	1149	35	3.05	6.22	3.21-12.23

\* Q-statistic = 2.778, df = 4, p>0.05, \*\* Q-statistic = 2.137, df = 4, p>0.05, \*\*\* Q-statistic = 0.849, df = 4, p>0.05

extract Q-statistic = 0.849, df = 4, p>0.05). Using pooled data *Hypericum perforatum* L. (OR = 6.04, 95% CI: 3.11-12.1) and *Matricaria chamomilla* L. (OR = 6.22, 95% CI: 3.21-12.2) extracts significantly increased the frequency of abnormal metaphases.

Present data on *Hypericum perforatum* L. confirmed the previous study of other investigators, who used Ames test with two bacterial species named TA98 and TA100 (Moradian and Javadi, 2000). Also it is reported that *Hypericum perforatum* L. may lead to severe hematologic toxicity, with conditions involving bone marrow necrosis (Demiroglu *et al.*, 2005).

It should be noted that *Matricaria chamomilla* L. showed genotoxic activity using the Somatic Mutation and Recombination Test in wings of *Drosophila melanogaster* (Romero-Jimenez *et al.*, 2005). On the other hand, the inhibitory effect of *Matricaria chamomilla* L. essential oil on the sister chromatid exchanges induced by daunorubicin and methyl methanesulfonate in mouse bone marrow was reported (Hernandez-Ceruelos *et al.*, 2002).

There is no information on the potential adverse effects of the studies herbal medicine extracts' on the human and/or experimental animal. The use of herbal medicines by specific populations, including children, is special concern (Lin *et al.*, 2004; Woolf, 2003). Therefore, these extracts must be used cautiously in generally and particularly in pregnant women. Further experiments are necessary to clarify the significance of the present findings.

### Acknowledgments

I would like to thanks Dr. Ahmad Reza Khosrawy and Dr. Massoomeh Ansari-Lari for their invaluable discussion. This study was supported by Shiraz University.

## References

- Demiroglu, Y.Z., T.T. Yeter, C. Boga, H. Ozdogu, E. Kizilkilic, N. Bal, I. Tuncer and H. Arslan, 2005. Bone marrow necrosis: A rare complication of herbal treatment with *Hypericum perforatum* (St. John's wort), *Acta Medica (Hradec Kralove)*, 48: 91-94.
- DerSimonian, R. and N. Laird, 1986. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. *Controlled Clin. Trials*, 7: 177-188.
- Evans, W.C., 1996. Trease and Evans Pharmacognosy. 14th Edn., WB. Saunders Company Ltd. London pp: 248.
- Hernandez-Ceruelos, A., E. Madrigal-Bujaidier and C. De La Cruz, 2002. Inhibitory effect of chamomile essential oil on the sister chromatid exchanges induced by daunorubicin and methyl methanesulfonate in mouse bone marrow. *Toxicol. Lett.*, 135: 103-110.
- Lin, Y.C., A.B. Bioteau, L.R. Ferrari and C.B. Berde, 2004. The use of herbs and complementary and alterative medicine in pediatric preoperative patients. *J. Clin. Anesth.*, 16: 4-6.
- Mantel, N. and W. Haenszel, 1959. Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective studies of disease. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.*, 22: 719-748.
- Moradian, R. and I. Javadi, 2000. Abstract Book of 1st National Congress on Human Genetics. Shahrekord, Iran, pp: 61.
- Romero-Jimenez, M., J. Campos-Sanchez, M. Analla, A. Munoz-Serrano and A. Alonoso-Moraga, 2005. Genotoxicity and anti-genotoxicity of some traditional medicinal herbs. *Mutat. Res.*, 585: 147-155.
- Saadat, I., A.A. Allameh and M. Saadat, 1998. DNA-repair capacity in Down's syndrome. *Iran. Biomed. J.*, 2: 123-127.
- Tyler, V.E., L.R. Brady and J.E. Robbers, 1988. Pharmacognosy. 9th Edn., Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia, pp: 466.
- Wheaton, A.G., H.M. Blanck, Z. Gizlice and M. Reyers, 2005. Medicinal herb use in a population-based survey of adults: Prevalence and frequency of use, reasons for use among their children. *Ann. Epidemiol.*, 15: 678-685.
- Woolf, A.D., 2003. Herbal remedies and children: Do they work? Are they harmful? *Pediatrics*, 112: 240-246.