

ISSN 1819-1878

Asian Journal of
Animal
Sciences

Effects of Malate and Cassava Hay in High-Quality Feed Block on Ruminal Fermentation Efficiency and Digestibility of Nutrients in Dairy Steers

¹S. Khampa, ¹P. Chaowarat, ²S. Chumpawadee, ³R. Singhalert and ⁴M. Wanapat

¹Faculty of Agricultural Technology,

²Faculty of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science,

³Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, Rajabhat Maharakham University,
Maha Sarakham, 44000, Thailand

⁴Tropical Feed Resources Research and Development Center (Trofrec),
Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Khon Kaen University,
Khon Kaen, 40002, Thailand

Abstract: Four, one-year old of dairy steers were randomly assigned according to a 2×2 factorial arrangement in a 4×4 latin square design to study supplementation of malate level at 500 and 1,000 g and cassava hay in high-quality feed block. The treatments were as follows: T₁ is supplementation of high-quality feed block without cassava hay+malate at 500 g; T₂ is supplementation of high-quality feed block without cassava hay+malate at 1,000 g; T₃ is supplementation of high-quality feed block with cassava hay+malate at 500 g; T₄ is supplementation of high-quality feed block with cassava hay+malate at 1,000 g, respectively. The cows were offered the concentrate and high-quality feed block at 1.3 and 0.5% BW and urea-treated rice straw was fed *ad libitum*. The results have revealed that feed-intake, rumen fermentation and blood metabolites were similar for all treatments. Apparent digestibility of nutrients were significant (p<0.05) for all diets. The populations of protozoa and fungal zoospores were significantly different as affected by malate level and cassava hay supplementation. In conclusion, the combined use of cassava hay and malate at 1,000 g in high-quality feed block with concentrates containing high levels of cassava chip at 65% DM could highest improved rumen ecology and digestibility of nutrients in dairy steers.

Key words: Urea-treated rice straw, digestibility of nutrients, rumen ecology, ruminal microorganisms, ruminants

INTRODUCTION

Cassava (*Manihot esculenta*, Crantz) production in tropical areas has a potential use in ruminant livestock nutrition and feeding. Cassava root contains high levels of energy and has been used as a source of readily fermentable energy in ruminant rations (Wanapat, 2003; Kiyothong and Wanapat, 2004; Promkot and Wanapat, 2005). One strategy for using high degradable carbohydrates is to use in combination with readily available NPN sources such as urea. Urea is commonly used as N source when highly soluble carbohydrates are fed and maintained (Wohlt *et al.*, 1978). However, efficient utilization of protein and Non-Protein Nitrogen (NPN) in ruminants depends upon knowledge of the basic principles underlying ruminal microbial N metabolism (Fernandez *et al.*, 1997). Moreover, ruminal pH has great impact on rumen fermentation efficiency (Wanapat, 2003).

Some strictly anaerobic bacteria use a reductive or reverse citric acid cycle known as the succinate-propionate pathway to synthesize succinate and (or) propionate. Both malate and fumarate are key

Corresponding Author: Sittisak Khampa, Faculty of Agricultural Technology, Rajabhat Maharakham University, Maha Sarakham, 44000, Thailand Tel: (+66) 43-725439 Fax: (+66) 43-725439

intermediates in the succinate-propionate pathway and *Selenomonas ruminantium* uses this pathway (Gottschalk, 1986). The fact dicarboxylic acids, especially malate and fumarate, stimulate lactate utilization is consistent with the presence of this pathway in this ruminal anaerobe (Callaway and Martin, 1996). Earlier studies by Sanson and Stallcup (1984) reported that supplementation of malate in ruminant diets has been shown to increase nitrogen retention in sheep and steers and to improve average daily gain and feed efficiency in bull calves. However, the use of dl-malate and cassava hay in high-quality feed block with cassava based-diets has not yet been investigated. Therefore, the objective of this experiment was to investigate the supplementation of malate levels and cassava hay in high-quality feed block with urea-treated rice straw as a basal roughage on ruminal fermentation, digestibility of nutrients in dairy steers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals, Diets and Experimental Design

Four, one-year old of dairy steers weighing at 200±10 kg. Cows were randomly assigned according to a 2×2 factorial arrangement in a 4×4 latin square design to study two levels dl-malate with cassava hay in high-quality feed block supplementation on ruminal fermentation efficiency and digestibility of nutrients. The dietary treatments were as follows: T₁ is supplementation of high-quality feed block without cassava hay+malate at 500 g; T₂ is supplementation of high-quality feed block without cassava hay+malate at 1,000 g; T₃ is supplementation of high-quality feed block with cassava hay+malate at 500 g; T₄ is supplementation of high-quality feed block with cassava hay+malate at 1,000 g, respectively. The composition of dietary treatments, concentrate and Urea-Treated rice Straw (UTS) used are shown in Table 1 and 2.

Cows were housed in individual pens and individually fed concentrate and High-Quality Feed Block (HQFB) at 1.3 and 0.5% BW. All cows were fed *ad libitum* of UTS with water and a mineral-salt block. Feed intake of concentrate and roughage were measured separately and refusals recorded. The experiment was run in four periods, each experimental period lasted for 21 days, the first 14 days for treatment adaptation and for feed intake measurements whilst the last 7 days were for sample collections of rumen fluid and faeces. Body weights were measured daily during the sampling period prior to feeding.

UTS was prepared by using 5% (w/w) urea mixed with 100 kg of water in 100 kg of Rice Straw (RS) batches (50:50, water to straw) and poured over a stack of straw and then covered with a plastic sheet for a minimum of 10 days before feeding to animals (Wanapat, 1990).

Data Collection and Sampling Procedures

UTS and concentrate were sampled daily during the collection period and were composted by period prior to analysis. Feed and fecal were collected during the last seven days of each period. Composites samples were dried at 60°C and ground (1 mm screen using Cyclotech Mill, Tecator, Sweden) and then analyzed for Dry Matter (DM), ether extract, ash and Crude Protein (CP) content

Table 1: Ingredients of high-quality feed block used in the experiment (%DM basis)

Ingredients (% DM)	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄
Coarse rice bran	30	30	-	-
Cassava hay	-	-	30	30
Molasses	40	40	42	42
Urea	13	13	11	11
Limestone	12	12	12	12
Sulfur	1	1	1	1
Mineral mix	1	1	1	1
Salt	1	1	1	1
Tallow	2	2	2	2
DL-malate (g/100 kg)	500	1,000	500	1,000

(AOAC, 1990), Neutral Detergent Fiber (NDF), Acid Detergent Fiber (ADF) and Acid Detergent Lignin (ADL) (Van Soest *et al.*, 1991) and Acid Insoluble Ash (AIA). AIA was used to estimate digestibility of nutrients (Van Keulen and Young, 1977).

Rumen fluid samples were collected at 0 and 4 h post-feeding. Approximately 200 mL of rumen fluid was taken from the middle part of the rumen by a stomach tube connected with a vacuum pump at each time at the end of each period. Rumen fluid was immediately measured for pH and temperature using (HANNA instruments HI 8424 microcomputer) after withdrawal. Rumen fluid samples were then filtered through four layers of cheesecloth. Samples were divided into two portions. One portion was used for NH₃-N analysis where 5 mL of H₂SO₄ solution (1M) was added to 50 mL of rumen fluid. The mixture was centrifuged at 16,000 g for 15 min and the supernatant stored at -20°C prior to NH₃-N analysis using the micro Kjeldahl methods (AOAC, 1990). Another portion was fixed with 10% formalin solution in normal saline (Galyean, 1989).

The total count of bacteria, protozoa and fungal zoospores were made using the methods of Galyean (1989) based on the use of a haemocytometer (Boeco). A blood sample (about 10 mL) was drawn from the jugular vein at the same time as rumen fluid sampling, separated by centrifugation at 5,000 g for 10 min and stored at -20°C until analysis of Blood Urea Nitrogen (BUN) according to the method of Crocker (1967).

Statistical Analysis

All data obtained from the experiment were subjected to ANOVA for a 4×4 latin square design with 2×2 factorial arrangement of treatments using the General Linear Models (GLM) procedures of the Statistical Analysis System Institute and treatment means were compared by Duncan's New Multiple Range Test (SAS, 1998).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chemical Composition of Feeds

Concentrate diets containing high levels of cassava chip based diets had a slightly higher NSC and lower NDF due to increased level of cassava chip in the diets (Table 2). Furthermore, the chemical composition of UTS is presented in Table 2. A similar value for UTS has been similar to those reported by Wanapat (2000).

Effect on Feed Intake and Digestibility

Feed intakes were not significantly affected by malate level with cassava hay in high-quality feed block supplementation (3.3-3.5% BW). This data indicated that malate level with cassava hay in high-quality feed block supplementation had no effect on feed-intake in dairy steers (Table 3). These results was in agreement with earlier research by Sommart *et al.* (2000). Wanapat and Khampa (2006) which reported that inclusion of cassava chip in diets resulted in satisfactory animal performance and had no negative effects on animal health in finishing beef cattle and lactating dairy cows.

Apparent digestibility of DM, OM, CP, NDF and ADF were significant ($p < 0.05$) for all diets (Table 3). However, the slightly lower NDF digestibility of the cassava-based diets may have contributed to higher degradation in substantial decrease in fiber digestibility as reported by Hoover (1986). Furthermore, in the experiment by Erdman (1998) reported that the sources of starch influence the rate of NDF digestion differently at pH 6.8 than 5.5. In addition, when ruminal pH was reduced below 6.3 in dairy cows, ADF digestion could be decreased at 3.6% unit per 0.1 pH and may result in depressed feed-intake.

Characteristics of Ruminal Fermentation and Blood Metabolism

Rumen ecology parameters were measured for temperature, pH and NH₃-N (Table 4). In addition, BUN was determined to investigate their relationships with rumen NH₃-N and protein

Table 2: Chemical composition of high-quality feed block, concentrates and UTS used in the experiment

Chemical composition (%)	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	Conc. ¹	UTS
DM	79.8	79.2	79.1	79.8	89.1	55.8
OM	75.7	75.3	76.7	76.1	91.2	88.9
CP	36.6	36.1	37.5	37.3	16.0	8.1
NDF	24.1	24.8	33.5	33.2	12.1	73.2
ADF	18.6	18.2	15.9	15.2	7.2	52.3
Condensed tannins (CT)	-	-	1.6	1.5	-	-

DM: Dry matter, CP: Crude protein, OM: Organic matter, NDF: Neutral detergent fiber, ADF: Acid detergent fiber, UTRS: Urea-treated rice straw. (¹Ingredients = Concentrate composed of cassava chips 65, palm meal 2.5, soybean meal 17, urea 3, molasses 5, coconut oil 4, sulfur 1, salt 1, mineral mix 1.5% as dry weight)

Table 3: Effect of supplementation of malate and cassava hay in high-quality feed block (HQFB) on feed-intake and digestibility of nutrients in dairy steers

Items	Treatments				SEM	Contrast ¹		
	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄		CH	M	CH×M
DM intake (% BW)								
HQFB	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	-	NS	NS	NS
Concentrate	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	-	NS	NS	NS
UTS	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.7	0.28	NS	NS	NS
Total	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.5	0.16	NS	NS	NS
Apparent digestibility (%)								
DM	70.5 ^a	72.3 ^{ab}	75.4 ^{ab}	77.8 ^b	1.82	*	NS	NS
OM	77.0 ^a	77.8 ^a	79.6 ^{ab}	81.2 ^b	1.03	NS	*	NS
CP	70.5 ^a	73.0 ^{ab}	74.6 ^a	76.3 ^b	1.21	NS	*	NS
NDF	57.0 ^a	60.0 ^b	61.0 ^b	65.9 ^c	0.54	*	*	NS
ADF	51.1 ^a	52.0 ^a	56.0 ^b	56.9 ^b	1.13	NS	*	NS

^{a,b,c} Values on the same row with different superscripts differ (p<0.05). T₁: High quality feed block without cassava hay + malate at 500 g, T₂: High quality feed block without cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T₃: High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 500 g, T₄: High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g; ¹Probability of main effects of supplementation of cassava hay in HQFB (with vs. without), levels of malate (500 vs. 1,000 g/100 kg), or the CH×M interaction. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, NS: p>0.05

utilization. Rumen pH at 0 and 4 h post-feeding were unchanged by dietary treatments and the values were quite stable at 6.5-6.7, but all treatment means were within the normal range which has been reported as optimal for microbial digestion of fiber and also digestion of protein (6.0-7.0) (Hoover, 1986).

Ruminal NH₃-N and BUN concentrations were not altered by malate level with cassava hay supplement in high-quality feed block with concentrate containing high cassava-based diets. As NH₃-N is regarded as the most important nitrogen source for microbial protein synthesis in the rumen. In addition, the result obtained was closer to optimal ruminal NH₃-N (15-30 mg %, Wanapat and Pimpa, 1999; Chanjula *et al.*, 2003, 2004) for increasing microbial protein synthesis, feed digestibility and voluntary feed intake in ruminant fed on low-quality roughages.

Rumen Microorganisms Populations

Table 4 presents rumen microorganism populations. The fungal zoospores, protozoa and total bacteria direct counts were significantly different and populations of bacteria had higher numbers in dairy steers receiving at 1,000 than 500 g of dL malate. In contrast, the present number of protozoa in the rumen was decreased by cassava hay and malate supplementation in high-quality feed block with concentrate contained cassava-based diets. In the experiment by Newbold *et al.* (1996) has shown that feeding 100 mg of malate per day in sheep caused an increase in the number of total bacteria and tended to increase the population of cellulolytic bacteria. In agreement with these observations, Lopez *et al.* (1999) reported that fumarate (another intermediate in the succinate to propionate pathway) increased the number of cellulolytic bacteria almost three-fold during fermentation in the Rusitec system. As cassava chip can be readily degraded in the rumen and ruminal pH was decreased, malate could

Table 4: Effect of supplementation of malate and cassava hay in high-quality feed block (HQFB) on rumen fermentation, blood metabolites and rumen microorganisms in dairy steers

Items	Treatments				SEM	Contrast ¹		
	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄		CH	M	CH × M
Ruminal temperature (°C)	39.7	39.5	39.8	40.1	0.21	NS	NS	NS
Ruminal pH	6.6	6.5	6.7	6.6	0.52	NS	NS	NS
NH ₃ -N (mg dL ⁻¹)	16.8	17.1	18.6	19.1	2.95	NS	NS	NS
BUN (mg dL ⁻¹)	11.7	12.5	12.1	15.5	2.69	NS	NS	NS
Blood glucose (mg dL ⁻¹)	52.2	52.6	56.3	57.1	3.73	NS	NS	NS
Total direct counts (cell mL ⁻¹)								
Bacteria (×10 ¹¹)	5.6 ^a	6.3 ^a	9.3 ^b	8.3 ^{ab}	0.93	NS	**	NS
Protozoa								
<i>Holotrich</i> (×10 ⁶)	2.0 ^a	2.1 ^a	1.5 ^b	1.1 ^{ab}	0.21	NS	**	NS
<i>Entodiniomorph</i> (×10 ⁶)	11.3 ^a	7.8 ^b	5.1 ^c	3.7 ^c	0.73	*	**	NS
Fungal zoospores (×10 ⁴)	2.5 ^a	3.7 ^a	5.6 ^b	7.1 ^b	0.52	*	**	NS

^{a,b,c} Values on the same row with different superscripts differ (p<0.05)

stimulate lactate utilization by *Selenomonas ruminantium* and could improve pH in the rumen. It is possible that supplementation of malate and cassava hay in high quality feed block may play an important role in increasing bacterial populations. Moreover, Martin *et al.* (1999) reported that increasing dietary concentrations of malate might help to reduce problems associated with ruminal acidosis by stimulating lactate utilization by *Selenomonas ruminantium*.

CONCLUSION

Based on this experiment, it could be concluded that supplementation of malate and cassava hay in high-quality feed block with concentrate containing high cassava-based diets could improved ruminal fermentation efficiency and increase populations of bacteria, but decreased protozoal populations. These results suggest that the combined use of cassava hay and malate at 1,000 g in high-quality feed block with concentrates containing high levels of cassava chip at 65% DM could highest improved rumen ecology and digestibility of nutrients in dairy steers.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The researchers wish to express sincere thanks to Rajabhat Maharakham University. This Project No. MRG510005 was funded by The Thailand Research Fund (TRF) and Commission on Higher Education, The National Research Council of Thailand and Tropical Feed Resources Research and Development Center (TROFREC), Khon Kaen University for providing financial support of research and research facilities.

REFERENCES

- AOAC, 1990. Official Methods of Analysis. 15th Edn., Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Arlington, VA, Washington, USA., pp: 774-784.
- Callaway, T.R. and S.A. Martin, 1996. Effects of organic acid and monensin treatment on *in vitro* mixed ruminal microorganism fermentation of cracked corn. J. Anim. Sci., 74: 1982-1989.
- Chanjula, P., M. Wanapat, C. Wachirapakorn, S. Uriyapongson and P. Rowlinson, 2003. Ruminal degradability of tropical feeds and their potential use in ruminant diets. Asian Aust. J. Anim. Sci., 16: 211-216.
- Chanjula, P., M. Wanapat, C. Wachirapakorn, S. Uriyapongson and P. Rowlinson, 2004. Effect of synchronizing starch sources and protein (NPN) in the rumen on feed intake, rumen microbial fermentation, nutrient utilization and performance of lactating dairy cows. Asian Aust. J. Anim. Sci., 17: 1400-1410.

- Crocker, C.L., 1967. Rapid determination of urea nitrogen in serum or plasma without deproteinization. *Am. J. Med. Technol.*, 33: 361-365.
- Erdman, R.A., 1998. Dietary buffering requirements of the lactating dairy cows. A review. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 71: 3246-3266.
- Fernandez, J.M., T. Sahulu, C. Lu, D. Ivey and M.J. Potchoiba, 1997. Production and metabolic aspects of non-protein nitrogen incorporation in lactation rations of dairy goats. *Small Rum. Res.*, 26: 105-107.
- Galyean, M., 1989. *Laboratory Procedure in Animal Nutrition Research*. 1st Edn., Department of Animal and Life Science, New Mexico States University, USA., pp: 162-167.
- Gottschalk, G., 1986. *Bateria Metabolism*. 2nd Edn., Sparinger-Verlag, New York.
- Hoover, W.H., 1986. Chemical factors involved in ruminal fiber digestion. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 69: 2755-2766.
- Kiyothong, K. and M. Wanapat, 2004. Growth, hay yield and chemical composition of cassava and Stylo 184 grown under intercropping. *Asian Aust. J. Anim. Sci.*, 17: 799-804.
- Lopez, S., C. Newbold and R.J. Wallace, 1999. Influence of sodium fumarate addition on rumen fermentation in vitro. *Br. J. Nutr.*, 81: 59-64.
- Martin, S.A., M.N. Streeter, D.J. Nisbet, G.M. Hill and E.E. Williams, 1999. Effect of DL-malate on ruminal metabolism and performance of cattle fed a high concentrate diets. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 77: 1008-1015.
- Newbold, C.J., R.J. Wallace and F.M. McIntosh, 1996. Mode of action of the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* as a feed additive for ruminants. *Br. J. Nutr.*, 76: 249-261.
- Promkot, C. and M. Wanapat, 2005. Effect of level of crude protein and use of cottonseed meal in diets containing cassava chips and rice straw for lactating dairy cows. *Asian Aust. J. Anim. Sci.*, 18: 502-511.
- Sanson, D.W. and O.T. Stallcup, 1984. Growth response and serum constituents of Holstein bulls fed malic acid. *Nutr. Rep. Int.*, 30: 1261-1267.
- SAS., 1998. *SAS/STAT User's Guide*. Version 6.12, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC., ISBN: 1-55544-3561.
- Sommart, K., M. Wanapat, D.S. Parker and P. Rowlinson, 2000. Cassava chip as an energy source for lactating dairy cows fed rice straw. *Asian Aust. J. Anim. Sci.*, 13: 1094-1101.
- Van Keulen, J. and B.A. Young, 1977. Evaluation of acid insoluble ash as a neutral marker in ruminant digestibility studies. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 44: 282-287.
- Van Soest, P.J., J.B. Robertson and B.A. Lewis, 1991. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 74: 3583-3597.
- Wanapat, M., 1990. *Nutritional Aspects of Ruminant Production in Southeast Asia with Special Reference to Thailand*. Funny Press, Ltd., Bangkok, Thailand, ISBN: 9746766198, pp: 125-136.
- Wanapat, M. and O. Pimpa, 1999. Effect of ruminal NH₃-N levels on ruminal fermentation, purine derivatives, digestibility and rice straw intake in swamp buffaloes. *Asian Aust. J. Anim. Sci.*, 12: 904-907.
- Wanapat, M., 2000. Rumen manipulation to increase the efficient use of local feed resources and productivity of ruminants in the tropics. *Asian Aust. J. Anim. Sci.*, 13: 59-67.
- Wanapat, M., 2003. Manipulation of cassava cultivation and utilization to improve protein to energy biomass for livestock feeding in the tropics. *Asian Aust. J. Anim. Sci.*, 16: 463-472.
- Wanapat, M. and S. Khampa, 2006. Effect of cassava hay in high-quality feed block as anthelmintics in steers grazing on ruzi grass. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.*, 19: 695-699.
- Wohlt, J.E., J.H. Clark and F.S. Blaisdell, 1978. Nutritional value of urea versus preformed protein for ruminants. II. Nitrogen utilization by dairy cows fed corn based diets containing supplemental nitrogen from urea and/or soybean meal. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 61: 902-905.