ISSN 1819-1878

## Asian Journal of **Animal** Sciences



http://knowledgiascientific.com

Asian Journal of Animal Sciences 9 (6): 396-403, 2015 ISSN 1819-1878 / DOI: 10.3923/ajas.2015.396.403 © 2015 Knowledgia Review, Malaysia



# Feeding Responses of Cuttlefish (Sepia pharaonis) at Early Hatchling Stages

<sup>1,2</sup>Oliver D. Tito, <sup>2</sup>Rex Ferdinand M. Traifalgar and <sup>1</sup>Maila V. Pan

<sup>1</sup>College of Fisheries and Applied Sciences (CFAS), Zamboanga State College of Marine Sciences and Technology, Fort Pilar, Zamboanga City, Philippines

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Aquaculture, College of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences (CFOS), University of the Philippines Visayas, Miagao, Iloilo, Philippines

Corresponding Author: Rex Ferdinand M. Traifalgar, Institute of Aquaculture, College of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences (CFOS), University of the Philippines Visayas, Miagao, Iloilo, Philippines

## ABSTRACT

The cuttlefish Sepia pharaonis is an important fishery resource in tropical archipelagic environments. This species is highly sought in both local and international market trades. Therefore, intensive efforts are currently undertaken to develop practical larval rearing protocols to produce juveniles for stock enhancement and for aquaculture purposes. However, the lack of basic information regarding the larvae prey preference, basic digestive physiology and nutritional requirements are considered major bottlenecks, slowing the progress in the development of a hatchery production system for this species. To fill these gaps, the present study was conducted following a factorial design to evaluate the overall feeding response of cuttlefish at different hatchling stages (1, 3 and 5 days after hatching) given with different prey types (Artemia larvae, mysids and tilapia fry) at varying densities (low, medium and high). Results indicate that regardless of age after hatching, S. pharaonis paralarvae had a strong feed preference for Artemia rather than mysids or fish fry. At all larval stages tested, a strong interaction of prey type and density was noted with Artemia as the preferred prey. Feeding ingestion of Artemia larvae as prey organism increased with increasing density. Lowest ingestion of prey was observed in the group given with tilapia fry at a low density. Collectively, the present findings suggest that Artemia larvae at a density of 15 Artemia/100 mL is suitable as a live prey for S. pharaonis at early hatchling stages.

Key words: Sepia pharaonis, cuttlefish, feed consumption, preference, paralarvae

## **INTRODUCTION**

Cephalopod particularly the genus *Sepia* is an important fishery resource since the global trend in the market demand of these organisms as food is currently rising (Sykes *et al.*, 2006). Generally, the high demand for this organism could be attributed to its high protein content, well-balanced fatty acid content and a highly acceptable meat texture (Sinanoglou and Miniadis-Meimaroglou, 2000). The global declining trend of catch in marine capture fishery and the rising demands and consumption of cephalopods could be a driving factor to the development of aquaculture technology for this species. Cuttlefishes possess desirable biological characteristics as potential species for mariculture, stock enhancement and sea ranching activities.

Among the cephalopod species with high fishery value, *Sepia pharaonis* is one of the biggest, fastest growing and considered to possess desirable traits for aquaculture production.

However, basic biological information about this species is lacking. Earlier reports about rearing and laboratory production of cephalopods were focused on temperate species specifically *Sepia officinalis* (Boletzky, 2003; Franco-Santos and Vidal, 2014). Like any other cultured marine species, larval rearing associated problems are considered major hindrance in the development of culture technologies for *Sepia* species. Earlier reports indicate that rearing of the cuttlefish paralarvae is the most challenging phase due to the occurrence of high mortalities in the first week after egg hatching (Iglesias *et al.*, 2007; Uchikawa *et al.*, 2009; Domingues *et al.*, 2001). Further, the period subsequent to 1-3 Days After Hatching (DAH), is considered the most critical phase for cephalopod larval survival wherein yolk supply are fully consumed but prey capture mechanisms are not yet fully developed (Wakabayashi *et al.*, 2005; Shigeno *et al.*, 2001; Vidal *et al.*, 2002).

These earlier reports suggest the importance of prey in the success of larvae to survive therefore suitable live prey items should be provided during this critical phase. Since, survival of hatchlings is dependent on first feeding during the first week after hatching but little is known about the feeding ability of larval *S. pharaonis*. The present study was conducted to evaluate the prey preference and influence of prey density on the feeding activity of *S. pharaonis* paralarvae. To our knowledge and intensive literature review, this is the first time that a study on *S. pharaonis* larval prey preference was conducted. This study is intended to improve cuttlefish post hatch production using the traditionally and locally available live prey items such as *Artemia* nauplii, mysids and tilapia fry. The feeding response of 1, 3 and 5 DAH cuttlefish hatchlings given different live prey types at varying densities is determined in this study.

#### **MATERIALS and METHODS**

**Egg procurement and hatchling production:** Newly spawned *S. pharaonis* eggs were collected from a cage pot fishery in the eastern coasts of Zamboanga City, Philippines. Eggs were incubated in a 40 L glass aquarium under ambient water condition (35 ppt, 28°C) with 60% daily water changes (Deepak and Patterson, 2002). All water parameters were monitored and maintained at the optimum until hatching. The newly hatched larvae were either directly used for the succeeding experiments or reared in a flow-through water system using rectangular white plastic culture tanks until 5 DAH.

Hatchlings were fed with mysids (*Monopogopsis orientalis*), tilapia fry (*Oreochromis mossambicus*) and 5-7 days old *Artemia salina* nauplii in ad libitum. Wild mysids and tilapia fry were sourced from the nearby fishpond adjacent to mangrove vegetation. *Artemia* cysts were hatched and incubated following the procedures recommended by Ikhwanuddin *et al.* (2005). During the culture period, *S. pharaonis* hatchlings were maintained at  $28\pm1^{\circ}$ C. Only healthy *S. pharaonis* that are hatched on the same day to ensure no age differences were used in the study.

**Experimental design:** The experiments were conducted at Zamboanga State College of Marine Sciences and Technology (ZSCMST), Multispecies Hatchery and Wet Laboratory, Fort Pilar, Zamboanga City. The experiment was conducted following a two factor in completely randomized design. Prey types including *Artemia* larvae, juvenile mysids and tilapia fry constituted the first factor and the prey density comprised the second factor. Three prey densities for each of the prey species were tested in the study (Low, medium and high densities). In *Artemia*, the 5 *Artemia* 100/mL was designated as low density while 10 *Artemia*/100 mL was designated as medium and 15 *Artemia*/100 mL was designated as high density. For mysids as prey, 2.5 mysids/100 mL, 5 mysids/100 mL and 7.5 mysids/100 mL constitute the low, medium and high density treatments, respectively. In treatments, receiving the tilapia fry as prey, the low density has 1

tilapia fry/100 mL, 2 tilapia fry/100 mL in the medium density treatment and 3 tilapia larvae/100 mL was used as the high density treatment. The sizes of the individual prey used were as follows: *Artemia* nauplii was about  $409.73\pm1.31 \mu$ m, mysids ranged within 7.83\pm0.81 mm and tilapia fry had a length of  $9.21\pm0.05$  mm. The number of individuals per prey types was varied in order to normalize the total prey biomass given among the treatments.

In the assessment of the feeding response of the newly hatched larvae (day 1 after hatching), a total of 45 individuals were randomly distributed into 1 L white plastic containers containing aerated seawater at a density of one individual per container. Each container represents a single replicate and there are about 5 replicates per experimental treatment. The container with larvae were provided with gentle aeration, set-up in a water bath to prevent drastic temperature change and covered with plastic screen to limit the intrusion of dirt and flying insects.

Similar experimental design were followed in the subsequent trials using the larvae that were grown for 3 days (3 DAH) and for 5 days (5 DAH) as shown in Table 1.

Feeding response of *S. pharaonis* hatchlings at different stages given with different prey types and densities were quantified in terms of prey ingestion. Known numbers of live prey were added to the culture containers with individual *S. pharaonis*. After 10 h of host-prey exposure, the number of live prey remaining in the experimental tanks was counted individually. Damaged *Artemia* nauplii or mysids (missing antenna or abdomen) and tilapia fry (missing parts) were considered as ingested. The feeding responses of hatchlings were assessed through the total number of prey ingested after 10 h total feeding time.

**Statistical analysis:** Data was presented as Mean±Standard Error of the mean. All results were transformed using arcsine transformation and subjected to two-analysis of variance (ANOVA) through IBM SPSS version 21.0 at 0.05 significance level. If two-factor ANOVA results indicate no factor interaction main factor analysis were conducted and compared. However, if interaction among the factors are detected differences between treatment means were analyzed using Tukey Test.

### RESULTS

Feeding activity of 1 DAH *S. pharaonis* paralarvae indicate that density is a factor affecting prey ingestion. Regardless of prey type, ingestion increases as the density increases. In terms of prey type as a factor in prey ingestion, the present data suggest that *S. pharaonis* paralarvae has a high preference for smaller crustacean as prey which is the *Artemia*. Further, these two factors, prey type and density, show an interactive effect indicating that *Artemia* at high density could result to high prey consumption of the larvae (Table 2). Similar pattern of prey consumption could also be observed in older larvae at 3 DAH and 5 DAH (Table 3-4).

At these larval stages, prey density was found to interact with prey types. Although artemia has a lower protein and lipid content but the present results suggest that *S. pharaonis* larvae prefer *Artemia* at high density as food prey (Table 5). Results also indicate the strong influence of

	Larvae size	
Days after hatching	Length (mm)	Weight (mg)
1	$7.83{\pm}0.25$	100±10.00
3	$8.03 \pm 0.16$	130±20.00
5	$8.34{\pm}0.44$	$150\pm10.00$

Table 1: Stages and size of larval Sepia pharaonis used in the experiment

Table 2: Interactive influence of prey types and densities on the feeding response (percentage of ingested prey) of 1 day old (1DAH) cuttlefish larvae

Prey types and density	Feeding response (ingested prey %)	
Artemia		
Low	$32.80 \pm 1.91^{b}$	
Medium	$60.80 \pm 3.79^{\circ}$	
High	$88.80{\pm}8.06^{ m d}$	
Mysids		
Low	$11.00{\pm}1.76^{a}$	
Medium	$12.40\pm1.21^{a}$	
High	$13.80{\pm}3.57^{ m a}$	
Tilapia fry		
Low	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$	
Medium	$0.60{\pm}0.24^{a}$	
High	$1.20{\pm}0.49^{a}$	
Two-way ANOVA, p-value		
Prey type, <0.001		
Prey density, <0.001		
Prey type×prey density, <0.001		

Artemia $28.80\pm0.86^{\circ}$ Medium $41.60\pm0.75^{d}$ High $52.20\pm0.97^{\circ}$ Mysids $12.20\pm1.16^{b}$ Low $12.20\pm1.16^{b}$ Medium $18.00\pm1.61^{bc}$ High $23.60\pm3.26^{\circ}$ Tilapia fry $0.00\pm0.00^{a}$ Low $0.00\pm0.00^{a}$ High $0.80\pm0.37^{a}$ Prey type, <0.001 $0.001$ Prey type, <0.001       Prey type density, <0.001		
Medium $41.60\pm0.75^d$ High $52.20\pm0.97^e$ <b>Mysids</b> $12.0\pm1.16^b$ Low $12.20\pm1.16^b$ Medium $18.00\pm1.61^{bc}$ High $23.60\pm3.26^c$ <b>Tilapia fry</b> $0.00\pm0.00^a$ Medium $0.40\pm0.24^a$ Medium $0.80\pm0.37^a$ <b>Two-way ANOVA, p-value</b> Prey type, <0.001		
High       52.20±0.97°         Mysids       12.20±1.16 <sup>b</sup> Low       18.00±1.61 <sup>bc</sup> High       23.60±3.26°         Tilapia fry       2         Low       0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> Medium       0.40±0.24 <sup>a</sup> High       0.80±0.37 <sup>a</sup> Two-way ANOVA, p-value       0.80±0.37 <sup>a</sup> Prey type, <0.001	Low	$28.80{\pm}0.86^{\circ}$
Mysids $12.20\pm1.16^b$ Low $18.00\pm1.61^{bc}$ Medium $18.00\pm1.61^{bc}$ High $23.60\pm3.26^c$ Tilapia fry $0.00\pm0.00^a$ Low $0.00\pm0.00^a$ Medium $0.40\pm0.24^a$ High $0.80\pm0.37^a$ Two-way ANOVA, p-value $0.80\pm0.37^a$ Prey type, <0.001	Medium	$41.60{\pm}0.75^{d}$
Low $12.20\pm1.16^b$ Medium $18.00\pm1.61^{bc}$ High $23.60\pm3.26^c$ Tilapia fry $0.00\pm0.00^a$ Low $0.00\pm0.00^a$ Medium $0.40\pm0.24^a$ High $0.80\pm0.37^a$ Two-way ANOVA, p-value $0.80\pm0.37^a$ Prey type, <0.001	High	$52.20{\pm}0.97^{e}$
Medium $18.00\pm1.61^{bc}$ High $23.60\pm3.26^{c}$ Tilapia fry $0.00\pm0.00^{a}$ Low $0.00\pm0.00^{a}$ Medium $0.40\pm0.24^{a}$ High $0.80\pm0.37^{a}$ Two-way ANOVA, p-value $0.80\pm0.37^{a}$ Prey type, <0.001	Mysids	
High       23.60±3.26°         Tilapia fry       0.00±0.00°         Low       0.00±0.00°         Medium       0.40±0.24°         High       0.80±0.37°         Two-way ANOVA, p-value	Low	$12.20 \pm 1.16^{b}$
Tilapia fry       0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> Low       0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> Medium       0.40±0.24 <sup>a</sup> High       0.80±0.37 <sup>a</sup> Two-way ANOVA, p-value	Medium	$18.00 \pm 1.61^{bc}$
Low $0.00\pm0.00^a$ Medium $0.40\pm0.24^a$ High $0.80\pm0.37^a$ <b>Two-way ANOVA, p-value</b> $-$ Prey type, <0.001	High	$23.60 \pm 3.26^{\circ}$
Medium         0.40±0.24 <sup>a</sup> High         0.80±0.37 <sup>a</sup> <b>Two-way ANOVA, p-value</b>	Tilapia fry	
High       0.80±0.37 <sup>a</sup> <b>Two-way ANOVA, p-value</b> 0.80±0.37 <sup>a</sup> Prey type, <0.001	Low	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{\mathrm{a}}$
Two-way ANOVA, p-value Prey type, <0.001 Prey density, <0.001	Medium	$0.40{\pm}0.24^{ m a}$
Prey type, <0.001 Prey density, <0.001	High	$0.80{\pm}0.37^{ m a}$
Prey density, <0.001	Two-way ANOVA, p-value	
	Prey type, <0.001	
Prey type×prey density, <0.001	Prey density, <0.001	
	Prey type×prey density, <0.001	

Table 4: Interactive influence of prey types and densities on the feeding response (percentage of ingested prey) of 5 day old cuttlefish larvae

Prey type and prey density	Feeding response (ingested prey %
Artemia	
Low	$39.60{\pm}0.93^{ m de}$
Medium	$42.40\pm1.40^{e}$
High	$48.80{\pm}5.27^{\mathrm{e}}$
Mysids	
Low	$17.60{\pm}1.21^{ m b}$
Medium	$24.80{\pm}0.73^{ m bc}$
High	$31.60 \pm 1.63^{cd}$
Tilapia fry	
Low	$1.00{\pm}0.45^{ m a}$
Medium	$1.40{\pm}0.24^{ m a}$
High	$1.60{\pm}0.40^{ m a}$
Two-way ANOVA, p-value	
Prey type, <0.001,	
Prey density, <0.001	
Prey type×prey density, <0.029	

Table 5: Proximate composition of prey organisms used in the study						
	Proximate composition (g/100 g)					
Prey types	Protein	Lipid	Moisture			
Artemia	$4.16\pm0.05$	$1.01 \pm 0.07$	93.04±0.05			
Mysid	$13.94{\pm}0.04$	$2.45\pm0.01$	$93.42 \pm 0.01$			
Tilapia larvae	$13.94 \pm 0.04$	$2.65\pm0.16$	$92.26\pm0.09$			

prey density on feeding activity. Regardless of prey type and larval age feeding activity was found to increase at increasing prey densities. The lowest consumption was observed in treatments given with the low density tilapia fry.

## DISCUSSION

The ability of larvae at first feeding to capture and ingest prey is considered vital and a determining factor in larval survival. Environmental factors, prey density, physiological adaptation of the larvae and prey composition are factors known to influence feeding behavior of a larvae (Slembrouck *et al.*, 2009). In marine aquatic larvae, first feeding is considered essential to provide energy and necessary nutrients to fuel growth and to provide energy for predator avoidance. In most marine aquatic larvae specifically crustaceans and fish, it has been suggested that type of prey and density is a critical factor for the successful capture and ingestion of prey organism. However, aspects regarding the predatory behavior, prey preference and prey ingestion of larval cephalopod specifically *S. pharaonis* has not been investigated until the present.

To our knowledge, information generated on this present work is the first time to be reported in S. pharaonis larvae. The findings of the present study suggest the strong interaction of prey types with prey density and this interactions manifest in the three larval stages used in the study. In all stages, it appears that live prey at higher density increases the prey capture and consumption rate of larval S. pharaonis. Artemia larvae given at a high density is the best treatment that promoted the highest prey capture and consumption of the cephalopod larvae in the present study. Although Artemia is not a common prey of S. pharaonis in the natural environment but the physical structure and the behavior of this prey item may resemble copepods, a natural prey of cephalopod larvae. Moreover, earlier works corroborate with our findings suggesting that Artemia is highly preferred as prey items of other species of cephalopods studied (Franco-Santos et al., 2014). The significantly higher consumption of Artemia nauplii by cuttlefish hatchlings implies that this type of prev is preferable compared to mysids and fish fry. Artemia has been known as instant live prey commonly used for the larvae of cultured organisms (Ikhwanuddin et al., 2012a, b; Soundarapandian et al., 2007). The sluggish swimming behavior, easily detected, contain highly digestible nutrients and high lipid contents are noted characteristics of Artemia making it highly preferred by most carnivorous larvae (Van der Meeren et al., 2008). Further, the preference of cephalopods on crustaceans as live prey has also been documented by Sartor and Belcari (2009) and Osman et al. (2014). The work of Boletzky (2003) elucidates the digestive adaptation of young cephalopod larvae to available prey from the wild that are composed essentially of crustaceans and a lesser percentage of fish. This is also similar to the observation of Darmaillacq et al. (2004) wherein cuttlefish without previous feeding experience preferred shrimp compared to crabs and fish fry at 3 DAH. In addition, Artemia can support the 100% survival of cuttlefish for either 10-20 DAH as reported by Domingues et al. (2001). The present data also suggest that size is an important factor rather than nutrient composition in the prey preference of S. pharaonis. Next to Artemia, mysids is the second preferred prey and tilapia fry was the least preferred prey item of S. pharaonis paralarvae. This data suggest the inverse relation of the prey size and the prey consumption of this cephalopod larvae. It was observed in the present study that some tilapia fry are incompletely consumed by the cephalopod larvae indicating the preference of the larvae for prey items that could fit their mouth. The fast swimming characteristics of mysids and tilapia larvae could be also a factor that these prey items could hardly be captured and consumed by the larvae. In a study conducted by Domingues et al. (2004), poorest growth was

observed in the cuttlefish larvae maintained with fish fry as compared to those fed with crustaceans. This poor larval performance was attributed to lower feed conversion and indicates that fish are not an adequate prey for the culture of the early stages of cuttlefish. The size of the prey might have influence its feeding responses and not the quality of food. These earlier reports on other species of cephalopods support the findings of the current study.

Most cephalopod larvae are highly opportunistic predator and are hatched well-equipped with prey capturing appendages for the efficient utilization of available prey species (Franco-Santos et al., 2014; Wakabayashi et al., 2005; Shigeno et al., 2001). This opportunistic behavior may already manifest after hatching that may explain the significantly higher feed consumption of S. pharaonis at higher prey densities as observed in the present study. Although, Rosas et al. (2014) stated that prey density (Palaemonetes sp.) might not have significant effect on the growth of octopus, however, Jackson and Moltschaniwskyj (2001) reported that high-ration squid reached a significant larger size than the low-ration individuals upon feeding of fish and Acetes. Furthermore, prey density had influence the squid growth rate, statolith size and daily statolith increment width. This is also similar to the findings of Correia et al. (2008) wherein they stated that prey availability might influence the weight gain, irrespective of the prey used, during the first 2 months of cuttlefish life cycle. Further, the positive influence of prev density on prev ingestion is also well documented in larval crustaceans (Jinbo et al., 2005). The high incidence of contact between the prey at high density and the larvae has been suggested as the major reason in the high prey ingestion rate of the larvae. Utilization of less energy to capture and ingest prey when available at high density has been also suggested as a factor for the high incidence of prey ingestion in most marine animal larvae (Ikhwanuddin et al., 2005). Overall, these reports support the findings of the present study on the prey consumption of larval S. pharaonis.

## CONCLUSION

Collectively, the present findings suggest that *Artemia* at higher stocking density could enhance feeding response in *S. pharaonis* paralarvae. The present information adds to the growing knowledge of feeding response, feed preference and general biology of cephalopods specifically the species *S. pharaonis*.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge the following institutions: Zamboanga State College of Marine Sciences and Technology (ZSCMST), University of the Philippines Visayas, Office of the Vice Chancellor for Research and Extension (UPV-OVCRE) and Department of Science and Technology, Philippine Council for Agriculture, Aquatic and Natural Resources Research and Development/Philippine Council for Aquatic and Marine Research and Development Accelerated Science and Technology Human Resource Development Program (DOST - PCAARD/PCAMRD ASTHRDP) for the scholarship and research grants used for the realization of this research activity.

## REFERENCES

Boletzky, S.V., 2003. Biology of early life stages in cephalopod molluscs. Adv. Mar. Biol., 44: 143-203.

Correia, M., J. Palma and J.P. andrade, 2008. Effects of live prey availability on growth and survival in the early stages of cuttlefish *Sepia officinalis* (Linnaeus, 1758) life cycle. Aquacult. Res., 39: 33-40.

- Darmaillacq, A.S., R. Chichery, R. Poirier and L. Dickel, 2004. Effect of early feeding experience on subsequent prey preference by cuttlefish, *Sepia officinalis*. Dev. Psychobiol., 45: 239-244.
- Deepak, S.V. and J. Patterson, 2002. Reproductive behavior and larval development of Sepiella inermis (Pe'russac and O'orbingy, 1848). Proceedings of the 20th National Symposium of the Society for Reproduction Biology and Comparative Endocrinology, January 7-9, 2002, Tiruchirappalli, India, pp: 25-26.
- Domingues, P.M., A. Sykes and J.P. andrade, 2001. The use of *Artemia* sp. or mysids as food source for hatchlings of the cuttlefish (*Sepia officinalis* L.); effects on growth and survival throughout the life cycle. Aquacult. Int., 9: 319-331.
- Domingues, P., A. Sykes, A. Sommerfield, E. Almansa, A. Lorenzo and J.P. andrade, 2004. Growth and survival of cuttlefish (*Sepia officinalis*) of different ages fed crustaceans and fish. Effects of frozen and live prey. Aquaculture, 229: 239-254.
- Franco-Santos, R.M. and E.A.G. Vidal, 2014. Beak development of early squid paralarvae (Cephalopoda: Teuthoidea) may reflect an adaptation to a specialized feeding mode. Hydrobiologia, 725: 85-103.
- Franco-Santos, R.M., J. Iglesias, P.M. Domingues and E.A.G. Vidal, 2014. Early beak development in *Argonauta nodosa* and *Octopus vulgaris* (Cephalopoda: Incirrata) paralarvae suggests adaptation to different feeding mechanisms. Hydrobiologia, 725: 69-83.
- Iglesias, J., F.J. Sanchez, J.G.F. Bersano, J.F. Carrasco and J. Dhont *et al.*, 2007. Rearing of *Octopus vulgaris* paralarvae: Present status, bottlenecks and trends. Aquaculture, 266: 1-15.
- Ikhwanuddin, M., M.L. Shabidin and B. Khairulhayadi, 2005. The development of seed production technology of blue swimming crab, *Portunus pelagicus* in Sarawak. Proceeding of the Tropical Fish Aquaculture Conference, May 2-3, 2005, Kuala Terengganu, Malaysia, pp: 1-21.
- Ikhwanuddin, M., M.N. Azra, A. Redzuari, Z.A. Aizam and A.B. Abol-Munafi, 2012a. Ingestion rates of *Brachionus* sp. and *Artemia* sp. nauplii by blue swimming crab, *Portunus pelagicus* (Linnaeus, 1758) larvae. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 7: 402-411.
- Ikhwanuddin, M., M.N. Azra, Y.Y. Sung, A.B. Abol-Munafi and M.L. Shabdin, 2012b. Live foods for Juveniles' production of blue swimming crab, *Portunus pelagicus* (Linnaeus, 1766). J. Fish. Aquatic Sci., 7: 266-278.
- Jackson, G.D. and N.A. Moltschaniwskyj, 2001. The influence of ration level on growth and statolith increment width of the tropical squid *Sepioteuthis lessoniana* (Cephalopoda: Loliginidae): An experimental approach. Mar. Biol., 138: 819-825.
- Jinbo, T., K. Hamasaki and M. Ashidate, 2005. Effects of Artemia nauplii density on survival, development and feeding of larvae of the horsehair crab Erimacrus isenbeckii (Crustacea, Decapoda, Brachyura) reared in the laboratory. Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi, 71: 563-570.
- Osman, I.H., H.R. Gaor, S.G. El-Etreby and S.Z. Mohammed, 2014. Feeding biology and biochemical composition of the lessepsian migrant *Octopus aegina* (Cephalopoda: Octopodidae). Egypt. J. Aquat. Biol. Fish., 18: 15-27.
- Rosas, C., M. Mascaro, R. Mena, C. Caamal-Monsreal and P. Domingues, 2014. Effects of different prey and rearing densities on growth and survival of *Octopus maya* hatchlings. Fish Aquacult. J., Vol. 5. 10.4172/2150-3508.10000108
- Sartor, P. and P. Belcari, 2009. Opisthoteuthis calypso (Cephalopoda: Octopoda) collected on bathyal bottoms of the Northern Tyrrhenian Sea (Western Mediterranean). Bolletino Malacologico, 45: 17-21.

- Shigeno, S., H. Kidokoro, T. Goto, K. Tsuchiya and S. Segawa, 2001. Early ontogeny of the Japanese common squid *Todarodes pacificus* (Cephalopoda, Ommastrephidae) with special reference to its characteristic morphology and ecological significance. Zool. Sci., 18: 1011-1026.
- Sinanoglou, V.J. and S. Miniadis-Meimaroglou, 2000. Phospholipids in Mediterranean cephalopods. Zeitschrift Naturforschung C, 55: 245-255.
- Slembrouck, J., E. Baras, J. Subagja, L.T. Hung and M. Legendre, 2009. Survival, growth and food conversion of cultured larvae of *Pangasianodon hypophthalmus*, depending on feeding level, prey density and fish density. Aquaculture, 294: 52-59.
- Soundarapandian, P., E. Thamizhazhagan and N.J. Samuel, 2007. Seed production of commercially important blue swimming crab *Portunus pelagicus* (Linnaeus). J. Fish. Aquatic Sci., 2: 302-309.
- Sykes, A.V., P.M. Domingues, M. Correia and J.P. andrade, 2006. Cuttlefish culture-state of the art and future trends. Life Environ., 56: 129-137.
- Uchikawa, K., M. Sakai, T. Wakabayashi and T. Ichii, 2009. The relationship between paralarval feeding and morphological changes in the proboscis and beaks of the neon flying squid *Ommastrephes bartramii*. Fish. Sci., 75: 317-323.
- Van der Meeren, T., R.E. Olsen, K. Hamre and H.J. Fyhn, 2008. Biochemical composition of copepods for evaluation of feed quality in production of juvenile marine fish. Aquaculture, 274: 375-397.
- Vidal, E.A.G., F.P. DiMarco, J.H. Wormuth and P.G. Lee, 2002. Influence of temperature and food availability on survival, growth and yolk utilization in hatchling squid. Bull. Mar. Sci., 71: 915-931.
- Wakabayashi, T., K. Tsuchiya and S. Segawa, 2005. Morphological changes with growth in the paralarvae of the diamondback squid *Thysanoteuthis rhombus* Troschel, 1857. Phuket Mar. Biol. Center Res. Bull., 66: 167-174.