



Research Article

Effect of Salinity Stress and Soil Types on Growth, Photosynthetic Pigments and Essential Oil of *Artemisia annua* L.

¹EL-Saady Mohamed Badawy, ²Khalid Ali Khalid, ¹Amaal Abd-Elkhalek Mohamed Heikal and ²Mohammad Mahmoud Nagdy

¹Department of Ornamental Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt

²Department of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, National Research Centre, 12311 Dokki, Cairo, Egypt

Abstract

Background and Objective: *Artemisia annua* L. (*A. annua*) has various biological activities such as anti-malarial, anti-microbial, anti-inflammatory, anti-tumor and anti-allergenic. Salt stress and soil types (ST) are the most important conditions, which have a very high impact on yield and active principals of medicinal plants. The aim of this study was to investigate the effects of saline irrigation water (SIW), ST and their interactions on growth, yield, photosynthetic pigments (PP) and essential oil (EO) composition of *A. annua*. **Materials and Methods:** *Artemisia annua* L. plants were subjected to different levels (0.0, 1.6, 3.2, 4.7, 5.3 and 7.9 dS m⁻¹) of SIW under two ST [clay (CLS) and sandy loam (SALS)]. Vegetative growth characters (VGC) [Plant height, PH (cm/plant), branch number, BN (per plant), fresh mass (FM) (g/plant) and dry mass (DM) (g/plant)], PP [Chlorophyll (Chl) a, Chl b and total carotenoids (TC)] and EO composition were evaluated. Randomized Complete block design (RCBD) was used and the averages of data were statistically analyzed using two-way ANOVA. **Results:** The highest values (112.75, 90.00; 32.42, 36.17; 83.15, 106.86; 45.43, 64.85) of VGC for various ST were recorded with control treatments. CLS recorded the greatest values of BN (31.13/plant), FM (79.95 g/plant) and DM (46.77 g/plant) while the highest value of PH (95.69 cm) was recorded under SALS. SALS produced higher values of Chla or Chlb (3.21 and 1.58) than CLS (3.09 and 1.47) while CLS recoded higher accumulation of TC (3.01) than SALS soil (3.00). The highest values (1.41 and 0.87%) of EO were produced under 1.6, 3.2 and 4.7 dS m⁻¹ treatments at both ST. The 4.7 dS m⁻¹ treatment reported the highest values (0.42 or 0.49 g/plant) of EO yield. 7.9 and 5.3 dS m⁻¹ treatments recorded the highest values of major components [camphor (38.67 and 38.52%) and trans caryophyllene (26.67 and 36.26)] under the both soils, on the other hand, different changes were found in monoterpene hydrocarbons (MCH), oxygenated monoterpenes (MCHO), sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (SCH) and oxygenated sesquiterpenes (SCHO). **Conclusion:** Different variations were found in VGC, PP and EO composition of *A. annua* plant under SIW, ST and their interactions.

Key words: *Artemisia annua* L., saline irrigation water, photosynthetic pigments, camphor, trans caryophyllene, oxygenated monoterpenes, sesquiterpene hydrocarbons

Received:

Accepted:

Published:

Citation: EL-Saady Mohamed Badawy, Khalid Ali Khalid, Amaal Abd-Elkhalek Mohamed Heikal and Mohammad Mahmoud Nagdy, 2018. Effect of salinity stress and soil types on growth, photosynthetic pigments and essential oil of *Artemisia annua* L. Asian J. Crop Sci., CC: CC-CC.

Corresponding Author: Khalid Ali Khalid, Department of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, National Research Centre, 12311 Dokki, Cairo, Egypt
Tel: +201117727586

Copyright: © 2018 EL-Saady Mohamed Badawy *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Competing Interest: The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

Data Availability: All relevant data are within the paper and its supporting information files.

INTRODUCTION

Artemisia annua L. (*A. annua*) plant belongs to family Asteraceae¹. It is commonly known as Sweet Annie, wormwood or Qing Hao and used in Chinese herbal medicine (CHM) for various treatments such as fever and malaria². Important bioactive constituents were isolated from *A. annua* such as artemisinin, endoperoxide sesquiterpene lactone and essential oil (EO)^{2,3}. Previous literature indicated that the leaves of *A. annua* have several biological properties i.e. antifungal, antimicrobial, antimalarial, antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, antitumor and anti-allergenic³⁻⁵.

Salt stress is the major environmental factors, which has a very high impact on growth, yield and metabolism of medicinal and aromatic plants⁶. Plants treated with salt stress were threatened by drought, photosynthetic performance and ion imbalance. Changes in ionic homeostasis lead to certain damages at the molecular and cellular levels of plant, further causing growth arrest. Decline in photosynthetic capacity negatively affected the accumulation of dry matter⁷⁻⁹.

High stress levels caused significant reductions in growth characters, yield, photosynthetic attributes and total chlorophyll content of *A. annua* plants¹⁰⁻¹³. Significant reductions were reported in vegetative growth characters (VGC), yield and photosynthetic pigments (PP) under stress factors of sweet basil, American basil, apple geranium, calendula; lemon balm, black cumin and oregano herbs¹⁴⁻²¹.

Aromatic plants respond to stress conditions by different physiological defense mechanisms, for example by producing secondary metabolites, which are toxic to insects, micro-organisms and/or herbivore repellent. It was found that stress factor resulted in different changes in essential oil (EO) composition of some aromatic plants such as mint, oregano and basil^{4,22-24}. *Artemisia annua* L. plants treated with stress doses produced higher values of EO (%) and EO constituents [α -pinene, camphene, coumarin and dihydro-epi-deoxyarteannuin B]²⁵. Essential oil (%) isolated from chamomile, lemon verbena and peppermint were increased under salinity stress levels²⁶. The major constituents of EO extracted from sweet basil, American basil, apple geranium, calendula; lemon balm, black cumin and oregano herbs were increased under stress factors¹⁵⁻²¹.

The VGC, yield and active principals (EO) of aromatic plants differ with soil types (ST); and aromatic plants require suitable soil for greater yield and better quality²⁷⁻³¹. Significant variations were found in VGC and EO composition of *A. annua*, thyme, calendula and roselle cultivated with different ST³²⁻³⁵.

More than 19% of different agricultural soils in Egypt have salt in soil or irrigation water³⁶. In such conditions,

cultivation of resistant medicinal and aromatic plants is one way to utilize these soils. Therefore, the selection of suitable plants, which could cope with these conditions, is a necessity. In this investigation, the possible effects of saline irrigation water (SIW), ST and their interactions on VGC, yield, photosynthetic pigments (PP) and EO composition of *A. annua* were evaluated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental: Experiments were carried out in the experimental farm, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University and National Research Centre (NRC), Egypt, during 2014 and 2015 seasons. *Artemisia annua* L. seedlings were obtained from the Institute of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants (IMAP), Egypt. Uniform seedlings (20 cm height) were transplanted into plastic pots (30 cm diameter and 50 cm height). In the first week of March during both seasons, the pots were adjusted to natural conditions. Each pot was filled with 10 kg of air-dried soil. Three weeks after transplanting, the seedlings were thinned to three plants/pot. Pots were divided into two main groups. The first group was subjected to different levels of SIW: 0.0, 1.6, 3.2, 4.7, 5.3 and 7.9 dS m⁻¹ under clay soil (CLS) whereas the second group had been treated with the same SIW levels under sandy loam soil (SALS). All agricultural practices such as fertilization and weed control were conducted according to the main recommendations by the Ministry of Agriculture, Egypt. The soils were salinized by sea water (highly soluble sea salts were used). Content of sea water are: Cations such as Na⁺ (55%), Mg⁺⁺ (3.7%), Ca⁺⁺ (1.2%), K⁺ (1.1%); Anions such as Cl⁻ (19.9%), HCO₃⁻ (0.4%), CO₃⁻ (0.7%), Br⁻ (0.2), SO₄⁻ (7.7%), B³⁻ (0.1%), F⁻ (traces). Physical and chemical properties of the soil used in this study were determined according to Margenot *et al.*³⁷ and Carter and Gregorich³⁸ and are presented in Table 1.

Harvesting: At full bloom, the plants were harvested. Plant height, PH (cm/plant), branch number, BN (per plant), fresh mass (FM) (g/plant) and dry mass (DM) (g/plant) were recorded.

Determination of PP: Chlorophyll (Chl) a, Chl b and total carotenoids (TC) in leaves collected at the first and second seasons of each treatment were determined using the methods described by the Association of Official Agricultural Chemists³⁹.

EO isolation: FM (aerial part) was collected from each treatment during both seasons; air dried and weighed to

Table 1: Physical and chemical properties of soil types used in this study

Property	Soil type	
	A	B
Physical		
Sand (%)	32.80	68.80
Silt (%)	34.00	14.00
Clay (%)	33.20	17.20
Soil texture	Clay	Sandy loam
Chemical		
pH (1:2.5)	8.10	7.90
EC (dS m ⁻¹)	2.26	1.02
Soluble cations (mEq L⁻¹)		
Na	11.40	2.50
K	18.13	0.20
Ca	4.50	5.50
Mg	2.50	2.00
Soluble anions (mEq L⁻¹)		
Cl	26.50	3.00
HCO ₃	2.30	3.45
CO ₃	0.00	0.00
SO ₄	7.90	3.80
N (ppm)	666.7	24.20
P (ppm)	518.0	2.40
K (ppm)	1487.1	581.90

extract the EO, then 100 g from each replicate of all treatments was subjected to hydro-distillation (HD) for 3 h using a Clevenger-type apparatus⁴⁰. The EO content was calculated as a relative percentage (v/w). In addition, total EO as mL/plant was calculated by using the DM. The EOs extracted from *A. annua* were collected in both seasons from each treatment and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate to identify the chemical constituents.

Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS): The GC-MS analysis was carried out with an Agilent 5975 GC-MSD system. DB-5 column (60 m×0.25 mm, 0.25 mm film thickness) was used with helium as carrier gas (0.8 mL min⁻¹). GC oven temperature was kept at 60°C for 10 min and programmed to 220°C at a rate of 4°C/min that was kept constant at 220°C for 10 min and followed by elevating the temperature to 240°C at a rate of 1°C/min. Split ratio was adjusted at 40:1. The injector temperature was set at 250°C. Mass spectra were recorded at 70 eV. Mass range was m/z 35-450.

GC analysis: The GC analysis was carried out using an Agilent 6890N GC system using FID detector temperature of 300°C. To obtain the same elution order with GC-MS, simultaneous auto injection was done on a duplicate of the same column at the same operational conditions. Relative percentage amounts of the separated compounds were calculated from FID chromatograms.

Identification of components: Identification of the EO components was carried out by comparison of their relative retention times with those of authentic samples or by comparison of their retention index (RI) to series of n-alkanes. Computer matching against commercial (Wiley GC/MS Library, Mass Finder 3 Library)⁴¹⁻⁴² and in-house "Baser Library of EO Constituents" built up by genuine compounds and components of known oils. Additionally, MS literature data⁴³⁻⁴⁴ was also used for the identification.

Statistical analysis: In this experiment, 2 factors were considered; i.e. saline irrigation water (0.0, 1.6, 3.2, 4.7, 5.3 and 7.9 dS m⁻¹) and two soil types. For each treatment there were 4 replicates, each of which had 10 pots; in each pot 3 individual plants were planted. The experimental design followed a complete random block design. According to De Smith⁴⁵, the averages of data were statistically analyzed using 2-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Significant values were determined according to LSD (0.05). The applications of that technique were according to the STAT-ITCF program⁴⁶.

RESULTS

Effect of SIW, ST and their interaction on VGC: SIW, various ST and their interactions affected VGC [PH (cm/plant), BN (per plant), FM (g/plant) and DM (g/plant)] of *A. annua* plants (Table 2). Thus the different VGC were decreased under the different doses of SIW of both ST. Control treatment recorded the greatest VGC with the values of 112.75, 90.00; 32.42, 36.17; 83.15, 106.86; 45.43, 64.85 for various ST. SALS recorded the highest values of PH (95.69) while CLS produced the greatest values of BN (31.13), FM (79.95) and DM (46.77). Changes in all VGC were significant for SIW or ST. SIW×ST resulted in insignificant changes in all VGC except the DM (were significant).

Effect of SIW, ST and their interaction on PP: Data in Table 2 revealed that applying SIW caused a reduction in the accumulation of PP (Chl a, Chl b and TC). Control treatment resulted in the highest accumulation in PP with the values of 3.69, 3.58; 1.81, 1.51; 3.36, 3.13 under different ST. SALS reported higher amounts of Chl a or Chl b (3.21 and 1.58) than CLS (3.09 and 1.47) while CLS recoded higher accumulation of TC (3.01) than SALS (3.00). Changes in all PP were significant for SIW but it were insignificant for ST or SIW×ST.

Effect of SIW, ST and their interaction on EO contents: The EO contents (% or mL/plant) were affected at various SIW treatments or different ST (Table 2). The highest EO

Table 2: Effect of SIW, ST and their interactions on VGC, EO and PP

Treatments	VGC					EO	Yield	PP		
	SIW (dS m ⁻¹)	PH (cm/plant)	BN (per plant)	FM	DM			Chl a	Chl b	TC
				(g/plant)						
SALS	0.0	112.75	32.42	83.15	45.43	0.86	0.34	3.69	1.81	3.36
	1.6	97.75	25.17	71.85	41.25	0.79	0.41	3.33	1.74	3.17
	3.2	92.83	24.92	69.53	40.10	0.90	0.38	3.18	1.53	3.02
	4.7	89.67	24.75	68.72	39.10	1.41	0.42	3.08	1.47	2.91
	5.3	93.33	24.00	64.05	37.25	1.05	0.40	3.04	1.63	2.94
	7.9	87.83	23.50	58.73	35.10	0.61	0.23	2.92	1.30	2.57
Overall (SALS)		95.69	25.79	69.34	39.71	0.94	0.36	3.21	1.58	3.00
CLS	0.0	90.00	36.17	106.86	64.85	0.82	0.49	3.58	1.51	3.13
	1.6	87.50	35.17	79.56	48.85	0.87	0.39	3.40	1.50	3.07
	3.2	83.33	31.25	77.10	42.45	0.87	0.38	2.96	1.50	3.04
	4.7	86.67	29.00	75.55	41.05	0.87	0.35	2.87	1.42	2.99
	5.3	83.67	27.50	71.40	44.05	0.77	0.33	3.06	1.53	3.05
	7.9	74.67	27.67	69.20	39.35	0.71	0.29	2.64	1.38	2.79
Overall (CLS)		84.31	31.13	79.95	46.77	0.82	0.37	3.09	1.47	3.01
Overall (SIW)	0.0	101.38	34.30	95.01	55.14	0.84	0.42	3.64	1.66	3.25
	1.6	92.63	30.17	75.71	45.05	0.83	0.40	3.37	1.62	3.12
	3.2	88.08	28.09	73.32	41.28	0.89	0.38	3.07	1.52	3.03
	4.7	88.17	26.88	72.14	40.08	1.14	0.39	2.98	1.45	2.95
	5.3	88.50	25.75	67.73	40.65	0.91	0.37	3.05	1.58	3.00
	7.9	81.25	25.59	63.97	37.23	0.66	0.26	2.78	1.34	2.68
LSD at 0.05										
SIW		5.85	4.37	8.16	2.90	0.03	0.03	0.15	0.17	0.15
ST		3.38	2.52	4.71	1.68	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
SIW×ST		NS	NS	NS	4.10	0.04	0.04	NS	NS	NS

SIW: Saline irrigation water, ST: Soil type, SALS: Sandy loam soil, CLS: Clay soil, VGC: Vegetative growth characters, EO: Essential oil, PP: Photosynthetic pigments, PH: Plant height, BN: Branch number, FM: Fresh mass, DM: Dry mass, PP: Photosynthetic pigments, Chl a: Chlorophyll a, Chl b: Chlorophyll b, TC: Total carotenoids

percentages (1.41 and 0.87%) were obtained with treatments of 1.6, 3.2 and 4.7 dS m⁻¹ of SIW under different ST. SALS recorded the higher EO percentage (0.94%) than CLS (0.82%). The greatest EO yield (0.42 or 0.49 g/plant) resulted from 4.7 dS m⁻¹ treatment or control under both ST. The variations of EO contents were significant for SIW treatments or SIW×ST while it were insignificant for both ST.

Effect of SIW, ST and their interaction on EO components:

Quantity and quality of the components determined with SIW, ST and their interactions in hydro distilled *A. annua* EO were investigated (Table 3-5). A total of 20 components ranging from 75.07-94.93% of the total EO were detected by GC/MS and divided into four chemical fractions such as monoterpene hydrocarbons (MCH), oxygenated monoterpenes (MCHO), sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (SCH) and oxygenated sesquiterpenes (SCHO) (Table 3-5). MCHO and SCH were the major fractions (more than 60%) followed by MCH fraction while SCHO formed as minor fraction. Camphor and trans caryophyllene were identified as major constituents which gave the greatest amounts (more than 50%) with all SIW, soil types and SIW×soil types.

The SIW×ST resulted in various changes in *A. annua* EO constituents, the major components were increased with the increasing of SIW levels for both ST (Table 3-5). The highest amounts of major components [camphor (38.67, 38.52%) and trans caryophyllene (26.67, 36.26)] were obtained from the treatments of 7.9 and 5.3 dS m⁻¹ for CLS and SALS respectively. The highest amounts of MCH (21.4, 9.23%) had been obtained at 4.7 dS m⁻¹ level, while 7.9 dS m⁻¹ gave the highest values (46.10, 42.33%) of MCHO. The highest amounts (40.02, 42.31%) of SCH were reported with 7.9 and 5.3 dS m⁻¹ treatments. Application of 3.2 dS m⁻¹ and control treatments reported the greatest values of SCHO (2.50, 3.09%).

It was found that SALS resulted in the greatest contents of camphor, trans caryophyllene, MCHO, SCH and SCHO with the values of 35.90, 29.33, 40.11, 36.26 and 1.45%, respectively (Table 5). CLS gave the highest content of MCH (11.83%). Regarding to SIW the treatment of 7.9 dS m⁻¹ resulted in the greatest values of camphor (38.32%) and MCHO (44.22%). The highest amounts of trans caryophyllene (30.38%) and SCH (40.20%) were recorded for 5.3 dS m⁻¹ treatment. MCH (15.33%) and SCHO (1.75) were obtained as the highest values for 3.2 and 4.7 dS m⁻¹ treatments.

Table 3: Effect of SIW on EO constituents under CLS

Components (%)	RI	Class	SIW (dS m ⁻¹)					
			0.0	1.6	3.2	4.7	5.3	7.9
α-Thujene	931	MCH	1.03	0.53	0.78	6.53	6.08	2.09
α-Pinene	939	MCH	2.15	2.34	0.19	5.24	3.99	1.83
Camphene	953	MCH	0.72	7.50	0.32	3.28	1.42	1.26
β-Pinene	980	MCH	0.74	1.05	0.79	3.10	3.13	0.40
2-Hydroxy-1,8-cineole	1033	MCHO	3.04	1.90	0.80	1.31	2.27	3.23
γ-Terpinene	1062	MCH	0.82	0.61	1.48	1.68	1.03	0.96
β-Terpinene	1071	MCH	3.05	0.67	1.41	1.57	0.49	0.67
Camphor	1143	MCHO	31.30	32.79	32.80	31.77	34.59	38.67
Borneol	1165	MCHO	0.76	0.80	3.78	1.95	1.48	0.77
γ-Terpinen-4-ol	1177	MCHO	0.69	1.37	1.28	1.62	0.71	1.40
α-Cubebene	1351	SCH	0.61	1.31	0.38	1.15	1.03	0.34
Eugenol	1356	MCHO	2.06	0.88	0.47	1.06	1.16	2.03
β-Elemene	1375	SCH	0.71	1.0	1.48	1.34	0.96	0.68
α-Copaene	1376	SCH	0.97	0.82	2.10	7.39	6.55	7.43
Longifolene	1402	SCH	0.90	1.43	0.89	2.24	0.96	0.79
Trans caryophyllene	1418	SCH	21.76	21.82	22.88	19.14	24.49	26.67
E-β-farnesene	1458	SCH	1.61	0.97	0.29	3.05	2.69	2.25
β-Selinene	1485	SCH	0.71	0.77	0.24	0.04	0.54	0.24
γ-Cadinene	1513	SCH	0.83	0.57	0.50	0.04	0.77	1.62
Caryophyllene oxide	1581	SCHO	0.61	1.02	2.50	0.04	0.59	0.55
MCH			8.51	12.70	4.97	21.40	16.14	7.21
MCHO			37.85	37.74	39.13	37.71	40.21	46.10
SCH			28.10	28.69	28.76	34.39	37.99	40.02
SCHO			0.61	1.02	2.50	0.04	0.59	0.55
TI			75.07	80.15	75.36	93.54	94.93	93.88

SIW: Saline irrigation water, ST: Soil type, CLS: Clay soil, RI: Retention index, MCH: Monoterpene hydrocarbons, MCHO: Oxygenated monoterpenes, SCH: Sesquiterpene hydrocarbons, SCHO: Oxygenated sesquiterpenes, TI: Total identified

Table 4: Effect of SIW on EO constituents under SALS

Components (%)	RI	Class	SIW (dS m ⁻¹)					
			0.0	1.6	3.2	4.7	5.3	7.9
α-Thujene	931	MCH	0.92	0.87	0.73	1.44	0.57	0.64
α-Pinene	939	MCH	0.95	0.80	1.30	1.13	1.20	1.67
Camphene	953	MCH	0.66	0.83	0.89	1.56	0.82	0.54
β-Pinene	980	MCH	1.32	0.96	3.62	1.22	1.31	1.37
2-Hydroxy-1,8-cineole	1033	MCHO	0.61	0.93	1.35	1.41	0.65	0.67
γ-Terpinene	1062	MCH	1.20	0.59	0.65	3.19	0.66	0.56
β-Terpinene	1071	MCH	0.66	0.71	1.06	0.69	0.60	1.22
Camphor	1143	MCHO	32.60	33.68	35.87	36.75	38.52	37.96
Borneol	1165	MCHO	0.59	0.58	0.67	0.87	0.54	2.01
γ-Terpinen-4-ol	1177	MCHO	0.67	1.77	2.11	1.63	1.31	0.84
α-Cubebene	1351	SCH	0.65	1.00	1.27	0.77	0.56	0.57
Eugenol	1356	MCHO	0.90	0.88	1.05	1.00	1.30	0.85
β-Elemene	1375	SCH	2.32	1.47	1.16	1.78	0.98	1.57
α-Copaene	1376	SCH	0.90	0.64	0.77	1.05	1.56	1.12
Longifolene	1402	SCH	0.79	0.63	0.92	1.04	0.59	1.93
Trans caryophyllene	1418	SCH	24.86	25.92	30.29	30.82	36.26	27.85
E-β-Farnesene	1458	SCH	1.35	0.64	0.80	0.77	0.82	1.19
β-Selinene	1485	SCH	0.67	0.70	0.62	1.00	0.91	0.60
γ-Cadinene	1513	SCH	1.06	0.98	0.85	1.00	0.63	0.92
Caryophyllene oxide	1581	SCHO	3.09	1.91	1.01	0.90	0.63	1.13
MCH			5.71	4.76	8.25	9.23	5.16	6.00
MCHO			35.37	37.84	41.05	41.66	42.32	42.33
SCH			32.60	31.98	36.68	38.23	42.31	35.75
SCHO			3.09	1.91	1.01	0.90	0.63	1.13
TI			76.77	76.49	86.99	90.02	90.42	85.21

SIW: Saline irrigation water, ST: Soil type, SALS: Sandy loam soil, RI: Retention index, MCH: Monoterpene hydrocarbons, MCHO: Oxygenated monoterpenes, SCH: Sesquiterpene hydrocarbons, SCHO: Oxygenated sesquiterpenes, TI: Total identified

Table 5: Effect of SIW or ST on EO constituents

Components (%)	RI	Class	ST		SIW (dS m ⁻¹)					
			CLS	SALS	0.0	1.6	3.2	4.7	5.3	7.9
α-Thujene	931	MCH	2.84	0.86	0.98	0.70	0.76	3.99	3.33	1.37
α-Pinene	939	MCH	2.62	1.18	1.55	1.57	0.75	3.19	2.60	1.75
Camphene	953	MCH	2.42	0.88	0.69	4.17	0.61	2.42	1.12	0.90
β-Pinene	980	MCH	1.54	1.60	1.03	1.01	2.21	2.16	2.22	0.89
2-Hydroxy-1,8-cineole	1033	MCHO	2.09	0.94	1.83	1.42	1.08	1.36	1.47	1.95
γ-Terpinene	1062	MCH	1.10	1.14	1.01	0.60	1.07	2.44	0.85	0.76
β-Terpinene	1071	MCH	1.31	0.82	1.86	0.69	1.24	1.13	0.55	0.95
Camphor	1143	MCHO	33.65	35.90	31.95	33.24	34.34	34.26	36.56	38.32
Borneol	1165	MCHO	1.59	0.88	0.68	0.69	2.23	1.41	1.01	1.39
γ-Terpinen-4-ol	1177	MCHO	1.18	1.39	0.68	1.57	1.70	1.63	1.01	1.12
α-Cubebene	1351	SCH	0.80	0.80	0.63	1.16	0.83	0.96	0.80	0.46
Eugenol	1356	MCHO	1.28	1.00	1.48	0.88	0.76	1.03	1.23	1.44
β-Elemene	1375	SCH	1.03	1.55	1.53	1.24	1.32	1.56	0.97	1.13
α-Copaene	1376	SCH	4.21	1.01	0.94	0.73	1.44	4.22	4.06	4.28
Longifilene	1402	SCH	1.20	0.98	0.85	1.03	0.91	1.64	0.78	1.36
Trans caryophyllene	1418	SCH	22.79	29.33	23.31	23.87	26.59	24.98	30.38	27.26
E-β-Farnesene	1458	SCH	1.81	0.93	1.48	0.81	0.55	1.91	1.78	1.72
β-Selinene	1485	SCH	0.42	0.75	0.69	0.74	0.43	0.52	0.73	0.42
γ-Cadinene	1513	SCH	0.72	0.91	0.95	0.78	0.68	0.52	0.70	1.27
Caryophyllene oxide	1581	SCHO	0.89	1.45	1.85	1.47	1.75	0.47	0.61	0.84
MCH			11.83	6.48	7.12	8.74	6.64	15.33	10.67	6.62
MCHO			39.79	40.11	36.62	37.8	40.11	39.69	41.28	44.22
SCH			32.98	36.26	30.38	30.36	32.75	36.31	40.20	37.9
SCHO			0.89	1.45	1.85	1.47	1.75	0.47	0.61	0.84
TI			85.49	84.3	75.97	78.37	81.25	91.8	92.76	89.58

SIW: Saline irrigation water, ST: Soil type, SALS: Sandy loam soil, CLS: Clay soil, RI: Retention index, MCH: Monoterpene hydrocarbons, MCHO: Oxygenated monoterpenes, SCH: Sesquiterpene hydrocarbons, SCHO: Oxygenated sesquiterpenes, TI: Total identified

DISCUSSION

The obtained results indicated that SIW caused an inhibition in VGC, PP and EO yield while the EO (%) and major components of EO were increased. The ST resulted in various changes in VGC, PP and EO composition. The reduction of VGC under SIW conditions may be due to exposure to harmful doses of SIW causing a reduction of turgidity leading to reduction of VGC and development of cells, especially in plant aerial part⁴⁷. Salinity stress damages chloroplasts and the reduce values of PP⁴⁸. With salt stress factors the amount of the proline increased. PP and proline are both synthesized from the same substrate, thus an increase in the synthesis of proline leads to reduction PP synthesis⁴⁸, which leads to reduction in dry matter content of leaves and stems⁴⁹. The increase in EO (%) and its major constituents under salinity stress may be due to the increase in activation of the biosynthesis of its content in the secretory and/or in the size of the glandular trichomes⁵⁰. The reduction in EO yields under SIW levels may be explained by increasing osmotic pressure, which may affect the uptake of water and nutrients for the plant, thus changing the physiological and biochemical potential of the plant and hence the production of secondary

metabolites⁵¹. On the other hand, the changes in EO composition (contents and components) under the different treatments (SIW, ST and their interactions) may be due to the changes in enzymes activity and metabolites⁵². The variations in VGC, PP and EO composition may be due to soil properties which are very necessary for plant growth and chemical contents. Soil represents a complicated physiochemical and biological system by which the plant is supplied by the moisture, elements and oxygen it necessary for plant development and metabolites activities³¹. The obtained results are in accordance with those reported by some previous investigators on some medicinal plants (basil, geranium, calendula; lemon balm, black cumin and oregano) i.e. VGC, PP and EO yield (per plant) were significantly decreased under salinity stress conditions while EO (%) and its major constituents of EO were increased¹⁵⁻²¹. ST caused different changes in VGC, PP and EO composition of *A. annua*, thyme, calendula and roselle plants³²⁻³⁵.

CONCLUSION

It may be concluded that SIW, ST and their interactions resulted in different variation in VGC, PP and EO composition

of *A. annua* plant. The highest values of VGC, PP and EO yield were recorded with control (0.0 dS m⁻¹). The application of 1.6, 3.2 and 4.7 dS m⁻¹ resulted in the greatest values of EO (%). The highest value of PH was recorded with SALS while CLS gave the highest values of BN, FM and DM. Variations of the EO composition had been related to the different ST.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENTS

Adapting *A. annua* to SIW factor under various ST is very necessary especially in arid and semi arid regions for increasing the natural resources in Egypt. *A. annua* used for various treatments such as fever and malaria. In the future, results of this study will be introduced to Ministry of Agriculture, farmers and producers to help them in production yield, EO and EO major components from *A. annua* (important medicinal herb) under various ST in Egypt.

REFERENCES

1. Yoon, K.D., Y.W. Chin, M.H. Yang and J. Kim, 2011. Separation of anti-ulcer flavonoids from *Artemisia* extracts by high-speed countercurrent chromatography. *Food Chem.*, 129: 679-683.
2. Castilho, P.C., S.C. Gouveia and A.I. Rodrigues, 2008. Quantification of artemisinin in *Artemisia annua* extracts by ¹H-NMR. *Phytochem. Anal.*, 19: 329-334.
3. Bora, K.S. and A. Sharma, 2011. The Genus *Artemisia*: A comprehensive review. *Pharm. Biol.*, 49: 101-109.
4. Banchio, E., J. Zygadlo and G.R. Valladares, 2005. Effects of mechanical wounding on essential oil composition and emission of volatiles from *Minthostachys mollis*. *J. Chem. Ecol.*, 31: 719-727.
5. Cavar, S., M. Maksimovic, D. Vidic and A. Paric, 2012. Chemical composition and antioxidant and antimicrobial activity of essential oil of *Artemisia annua* L. from Bosnia. *Ind. Crops Prod.*, 37: 479-485.
6. Li, H.S., 2002. *Modern Plant Physiology*. Higher Education Press, Beijing, China.
7. Guo, C.X., W.L. Wang, C.S. Zheng, L.H. Shi and H.R. Shu, 2011. Effects of exogenous salicylic acid on ions contents and net photosynthetic rate in chrysanthemum under salt stress. *Sci. Agric. Sin.*, 44: 3185-3192.
8. He, Y. and Z.J. Zhu, 2008. Exogenous salicylic acid alleviates NaCl toxicity and increases antioxidative enzyme activity in *Lycopersicon esculentum*. *Biol. Planta.*, 52: 792-795.
9. Tang, D., S. Shi, D. Li, C. Hu and Y. Liu, 2007. Physiological and biochemical responses of *Scytonema javanicum* (cyanobacterium) to salt stress. *J. Arid Environ.*, 71: 312-320.
10. Prasad, A., D. Kumar, M. Anwar, D.V. Singh and D.C. Jain, 1998. Response of *Artemisia annua* L. to soil salinity. *J. Herbs Spices Med. Plants*, 5: 49-55.
11. Aftab, T., M.M.A. Khan, M. Idrees, M. Nadeem N. Hashmi and Moinuddin, 2010. Effect of salt stress on growth, membrane damage, antioxidant metabolism and artemisinin accumulation in *Artemisia annua* L. *Plant Stress*, 4: 36-43.
12. Li, L., H. Zhang, L. Zhang, Y. Zhou, R. Yang, C. Ding and X. Wang, 2014. The physiological response of *Artemisia annua* L. to salt stress and salicylic acid treatment. *Physiol. Mol. Biol. Plants*, 20: 161-169.
13. Marchese, J.A., J.F.S. Ferreira, V.L.G. Rehder and O. Rodrigues, 2010. Water deficit effect on the accumulation of biomass and artemisinin in annual wormwood (*Artemisia annua* L., Asteraceae). *Braz. J. Plant Physiol.*, 22: 1-9.
14. Baek, H., P. Kuenwoo, H. Baek and K.W. Park, 2001. Effect of watering on growth and oil content of sweet basil (*Ocimum americanum* L.). *Korean J. Hortic. Sci. Technol.*, 19: 81-86.
15. Khalid, K.A., 2006. Influence of water stress on growth, essential oil and chemical composition of herbs (*Ocimum* sp.). *Int. Agrophys.*, 20: 289-296.
16. Khalid, K.A., J.A.T. da Silva and W. Cai, 2010. Water deficit and polyethylene glycol 6000 affects morphological and biochemical characters of *Pelargonium odoratissimum* (L.). *Sci. Hortic.*, 125: 159-166.
17. Khalid, K.A. and J.A.T. da Silva, 2010. Yield, essential oil and pigment content of *Calendula officinalis* L. flower heads cultivated under salt stress conditions. *Sci. Hortic.*, 126: 297-305.
18. Khalid, K.A. and W. Cai, 2011. The effects of mannitol and salinity stresses on growth and biochemical accumulations in lemon balm. *Acta Ecol. Sinica*, 31: 112-120.
19. Khalid, A.K. and M.R. Shedeed, 2016. GC-MS analyses of black cumin essential oil produces with sodium chloride. *Int. Food Res. J.*, 23: 832-836.
20. Ahmed, A.M.A., I.M. Talaat and A.K. Khalid, 2017. Soil moisture and glutamic acid affect yield, volatile oil and proline contents of oregano herb (*Origanum vulgare* L.). *Int. J. Bot.*, 13: 43-51.
21. Khalid, K.A. and A.M.A. Ahmed, 2017. Growth and certain biochemical components of black cumin cultivated under salinity stress factor. *J. Mater. Environ. Sci.*, 8: 7-13.
22. Karray-Bouraoui, N., M. Rabhi, M. Neffati, B. Baldan and A. Ranieri *et al.*, 2009. Salt effect on yield and composition of shoot essential oil and trichome morphology and density on leaves of *Mentha pulegium*. *Ind. Crops Prod.*, 30: 338-343.
23. Taarit, M.B., K. Msaada, K. Hosni, M. Hammami, M.E. Khouk and B. Marzouk, 2009. Plant growth, essential oil yield and composition of sage (*Salvia officinalis* L.) fruits cultivated under salt stress conditions. *Ind. Crops Prod.*, 30: 333-337.
24. Khadhri, A., M. Neffati, S. Smiti, J.M.F. Nogueira and M.E.M. Araujo, 2011. Influence of salt stress on essential oil yield and composition of lemon grass (*Cymbopogon schoenanthus* L. Spreng. ssp. Laniger (Hook) Maire et Weil). *Nat. Prod. Res.: Formerly Nat. Prod. Lett.*, 25: 108-177.

25. Ivarsen, E., A. Kjaer, M. Jensen, K. Grevsen, L.P. Christensen and X. Frette, 2013. Effect of chemical and physical stress conditions on the concentration and composition of essential oil components in leaves of full-grown *Artemisia annua* L. J. Agron. Crop Sci., 199: 395-404.
26. Tabatabaie, S.J. and J. Nazari, 2007. Influence of nutrient concentrations and NaCl salinity on the growth, photosynthesis and essential oil content of peppermint and lemon verbena. Turk. J. Agric. For., 31: 245-253.
27. Akamine, H., M.A. Hossain, Y. Ishimine, K. Yogi, K. Hokama, Y. Irahra and Y. Aniya, 2007. Effects of application of N, P and K alone or in combination on growth, yield and curcumin content of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.). Plant Prod. Sci., 10: 151-154.
28. Chowdhury, A.H.M.R.H., G.M.M. Rahman, B.K. Saha and M.A.H. Chowdhury, 2008. Addition of some tree leaf litters in forest soil and their effect on the growth, yield and nutrient uptake by red amaranth. J. Agrofor. Environ., 2: 1-6.
29. Hossain, M.A. and Y. Ishimine, 2005. Growth, yield and quality of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) cultivated on dark-red soil, gray soil and red soil in Okinawa, Japan. Plant Prod. Sci., 8: 482-486.
30. Hossain, M.A., M. Yamanishi, T. Yara, S. Chibana, H. Akamine and M. Tamaki, 2011. Growth characteristics, yield and mineral content of red flower raleaf (*Crassocephalum crepidioides*(Benth.) S. Moore) at different growth stages and in dark red soil, red soil and gray soil in Okinawa. Sci. Bull. Fac. Agric. Univ. Ryukyus, 58: 1-11.
31. Islam, M.M., A.J.M.S. Karim, M. Jahiruddin, N.M. Majid, M.G. Miah, M.M. Ahmed and M.A. Hakim, 2011. Effects of organic manure and chemical fertilizers on crops in the radish-stem amaranth-Indian spinach cropping pattern in homestead area. Aust. J. Crop Sci., 5: 1370-1378.
32. Omer, E.A., E.A.A. Hussein, S.F. Hendawy, A.A.E. El-Din and A.G. El-Gendy, 2013. Effect of soil type and seasonal variation on growth, yield, essential oil and artemisinin content of *Artemisia annua* L. Int. Res. J. Hortic., 1: 15-27.
33. Aziz, E.E., S.F. Hendawy, E.E.D. Azza and E.A. Omer, 2008. Effect of soil type and irrigation intervals on plant growth, essential oil yield and constituents of *Thymus vulgaris* plant. Am.-Eurasian J. Agric. Environ. Sci., 4: 443-450.
34. Ratajczak, K., H. Sulewska, G. Szymanska, A. Wolna-Maruwka and A. Faligowska, 2016. The effect of soil type and soil additives on the selected growth parameters and yield of flowerheads of *Calendula officinalis* L. Herba Polonica, 62: 17-30.
35. Abbas, R.K., A. Ahmed, M. Siribel and F.S. Elsharbasy, 2016. Effect of soil types and cultivars on chemical constituents of roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.) under growing conditions of Sudan. Arabian J. Med. Aromatic Plants, 2: 51-58.
36. Abou El-Fadl, I.A. M.K. Abd-Ella and E.H. Hussein, 1990. Effect of irrigation by saline water on 255 the growth and some principal compounds of peppermint and spearmint in two types of soil. J. Agric. Res. Tanta Univ., 16: 276-295.
37. Margenot, A.J., F.J. Calderon, K.W. Goynes, F.N.D. Mukome and S.J. Parikh, 2017. IR Spectroscopy, Soil Analysis Applications. In: Encyclopedia of Spectroscopy and Spectrometry, Lindon, J., G. Tranter and D. Koppenaal (Eds.). 3rd Edn., Oxford Academic Press, UK, pp: 448-454.
38. Carter, M.R. and E.G. Gregorich, 2008. Soil Sampling and Methods of Analysis. 2nd Edn., CRC Press, Boca Ratan, FL, USA., ISBN-13: 9780849335860, Pages: 144.
39. AOAC., 2016. Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International. 20th Edn., AOAC International, Washington, DC., USA., ISBN-13: 9780935584875, Pages: 3172.
40. Clevenger, J.F., 1928. Apparatus for the determination of volatile oil. J. Am. Pharm. Assoc., 17: 345-349.
41. Adams, R.P., 2007. Identification of Essential Oil Components by Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry. 4th Edn., Allured Publishing Co., Carol Stream, IL, USA., ISBN-13: 9781932633214, Pages: 804.
42. Kumari, S., S. Pundhir, P. Priya, G. Jeena and A. Punetha *et al.*, 2014. EssOilDB: A database of essential oils reflecting terpene composition and variability in the plant kingdom. Database. 10.1093/database/bau120.
43. Cincotta, F., A. Verzera, G. Tripodi and C. Conurso, 2015. Determination of sesquiterpenes in wines by HS-SPME coupled with GC-MS. Chromatography, 2: 410-421.
44. ESO., 2006. The complete database of essential oils. Boelens Aroma Chemical Information Service, Leffingwell and Associates, USA.
45. De Smith, M.J., 2015. STATSREF: Statistical Analysis Handbook-A Web-Based Statistics Resource. The Winchelsea Press, Winchelsea, UK.
46. Statsoft, 2007. Statistica version 7.1. Statsoft Inc., Tulsa, Oklahoma.
47. Kaufmann, M.R. and A.N. Eckard, 1971. Evaluation of water stress control with polyethylene glycols by analysis of guttation. Plant Physiol., 47: 453-456.
48. Aspinal, D. and L.G. Paleg, 1981. Proline Accumulation. In: The Physiology and Biochemistry of Drought Resistance in Plants, Paleg, L.G. and D. Aspinal (Eds.). Academic Press, New York, USA., ISBN-13: 9780125443807, pp: 205-240.
49. Slama, I., T. Ghnaya, K. Hessini, D. Messedi, A. Savouere and C. Abdelly, 2007. Comparative study of the effects of mannitol and PEG osmotic stress on growth and solute accumulation in *Sesuvium portulacastrum*. Environ. Exp. Bot., 61: 10-17.
50. Kjaer, A., K. Grevsen and M. Jensen, 2012. Effect of external stress on density and size of glandular trichomes in full-grown *Artemisia annua*, the source of anti-malarial artemisinin. AoB Plants. 10.1093/aobpla/pls018.
51. Marschner, P., 2012. Marschner's Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants. 3rd Edn., Academic Press, San Diego, ISBN: 9780123849052, Pages: 651.
52. Burbott, A.J. and W.D. Loomis, 1969. Evidence for metabolic turnover of monoterpenes in peppermint. Plant Physiol., 44: 173-179.