

Asian Journal of Epidemiology

ISSN 1992-1462





ට OPEN ACCESS

Asian Journal of Epidemiology

ISSN 1992-1462 DOI: 10.3923/aje.2017.101.107



Research Article Factors Associated with Chronic Bronchitis Among Municipal Sanitary Workers in Varanasi, India

¹Manish Singh and ¹Laishram Ladusingh

¹International Institute for Population Sciences, Govandi Station Road Deonar, 400088 Mumbai, India

Abstract

Background and Objectives: Sanitary workers are exposed to a number of morbidities, including musculoskeletal disorder, gastrointestinal problems, skin problems, eye problems and lung disease, etc. The aim of this study was to estimate the prevalence of chronic bronchitis among sanitary workers and to identify the risk factors associated with it. **Materials and Methods:** This is a cross-sectional study designed to investigate the factors related to the development of chronic bronchitis among sanitary workers in the city of Varanasi. A total of 11 census wards were selected, two being from the ghat areas, two from the non-ghat areas and seven from the core city by using the probability proportional to size sampling technique. All sanitary workers working in the selected census wards were respondents for this study. The total sample size was 316. CSPro 6.1 was used for data entry and SPSS 22 was used for data analysis. Chi-square test and binary logistic regression analysis were used to determine the association of the risk factors with chronic bronchitis. **Results:** The result from the analysis indicates that the overall prevalence of chronic bronchitis was 20%, whereas it was 9, 19 and 32% in the age groups of less than or equal to 30, 31-44 and 45 years and above respectively. Sewage workers had a greater risk of chronic bronchitis as compared to waste collectors and drivers. Smokers and workers with poor health showed a higher risk as compared to non-smokers and healthy workers. **Conclusion:** The study concludes that chronic bronchitis is highly associated with age and type of workers and also slightly associated with gender, tobacco use and health condition.

Key words: Chronic bronchitis, waste collector, sewage worker, cross-sectional study, Varanasi municipal corporation

Citation: Manish Singh and Laishram Ladusingh, 2017. Factors associated with chronic bronchitis among municipal sanitary workers in Varanasi, India. Asian J. Epidemiol., 10: 101-107.

Corresponding Author: Manish Singh, International Institute for Population Sciences, Govandi Station Road Deonar, 400088 Mumbai, India Tel: +91 9987636851

Copyright: © 2017 Manish Singh and Laishram Ladusingh. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Competing Interest: The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

Data Availability: All relevant data are within the paper and its supporting information files.

INTRODUCTION

In India, a study on chronic bronchitis was done with a large sample size of 85105 males and 84470 females covering metropolitan and large cities except in the state of Jammu and Kashmir. The study concludes that the overall prevalence of chronic bronchitis was low in India as compared to global estimates and the same as in the other Asian countries¹. Recently, a study on chronic bronchitis done in selected districts of Kashmir valley found a higher prevalence of chronic bronchitis as compared to the India estimates². Both the studies suggested that use of coal, wood, etc., for cooking is another factor responsible for the development of chronic bronchitis other than smoking^{1,2}.

A very few³ studies have been carried out in North India to study the health risk of municipal sanitary workers which is the most vulnerable section of the society and have high risk of mortality and morbidity due to their unhygienic conditions. Most of the studies conducted in metropolitan, large cities and district of India like Chennai, Mumbai, Nagpur, Kolkata and Guwahati etc., neglecting the small and old cities and towns¹. During the last few decades, the quantity of municipal solid waste generated in urban India has been rising consistently because of rapid population growth, mass migration from rural to urban areas, change in lifestyle of the urban people and also increase in purchasing power which has resulted a tremendous amount of solid waste. In India, municipal sanitary workers are the most vulnerable section of the society. They are generally migrants from rural India or refugees from neighboring countries^{3,4}. Health is a major concern for municipal workers engaged in cleaning of streets, drainage and sewage and also for loader and unloader of waste materials. Municipal sanitary workers are more exposed to life threatening toxic gases mainly hydrogen sulphide (H₂S) and methane which causes the loss of functional capacity of the respiratory system of the workers⁵.

Few studies⁶ have been done to investigate the cause of chronic bronchitis other than tobacco smoking. A study done in Peru, with a sample size of 2947 participants from rural and urban areas, found the overall prevalence of chronic bronchitis to be 5.9% (95% CI 5.1-6.9%) with variations by settings. The prevalence was lower in the semi-urban Tumbes (1.3%) and higher in the urbanized Lima (8.9%). It was also higher among participants with COPD as compared to those without COPD. Findings suggested that the prevalence of chronic bronchitis increased with both urbanization and daily exposure to biomass fuel smoke⁶.

The Global Alliance against Chronic Respiratory Disease (GARD) estimates that at least four million people die annually

due to chronic respiratory disease. In a cross-sectional epidemiological study using the GARD questionnaire and spirometry on adults from 12 regions of the Russian Federation, it was found that the prevalence of asthma was 25.7%, that of acute respiratory disease was 18.2% and that of chronic bronchitis was 8.6%. The study concluded that the prevalence of respiratory disease and the risk factor was high in Russia when compared to the available data⁷.

It is a well-known fact that smoking is the primary cause of chronic bronchitis in adults, but other factors also contribute to it⁸. In present study, an attempt has been made to study the potential risk factor associated with the development of chronic bronchitis in sanitary workers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a cross-sectional study to investigate the factors associated with the development of chronic bronchitis among sanitary workers in Varanasi city. The city was divided into three different sections, namely ghat areas, non-ghat areas and the core city as shown in Fig. 1. Based on the information given by the Varanasi municipal corporation of there being around 3000 workers in 90 wards and based on previous studies suggesting a sample size of 350 being sufficient for this study^{4,9}, the study selected 11 census wards. Two census wards were selected from the ghat and the non-ghat areas each and seven from the core city of Varanasi by using the probability proportional to size sampling technique (Fig. 2). All sanitary workers, including waste collectors (224), sewage workers (51) and drivers (41), working in the selected census wards were taken as respondents. After the complete enumeration of the 11 census wards, the total sample size came out to be 316. The data collection was done from November, 2015-February, 2016 using standard diagnostic criteria.

Standard diagnostic criteria were used to diagnose chronic bronchitis. A person is said to have chronic bronchitis if he/she has regular cough and expectoration for at least three consecutive months in a year and for more than two successive years.

Inclusion/exclusion criteria:

- Inclusion: Respondent willing to participate in the study and having working experience of at least 1 year
- Exclusion: Respondent refuse to participate in the study and having working experience of less than 1 year



Fig. 1: Three sections of Varanasi



Fig. 2: Study area in Varanasi

Statistical analysis: The CSPro 6.1 was used for data entry and SPSS 22 was used for data analysis at 95% confidence interval. The study calculated the percentage distribution of the samples by selected background characteristics. Chi-square test⁵ and binary logistic regression⁵ analysis was used to determine the association of the risk factors with chronic bronchitis. The principal component analysis technique was used to create a standard of living index based on the household assets of the respondents. The household assets included pressure cooker (no = 0, yes = 1), mobile phone (no = 0, yes = 1), cot/bed (no = 0, yes = 1), LPG connection (no = 0, yes = 1), hot plate (no = 0, yes = 1), electric fan (no = 0, yes = 1), dining table (no = 0, yes = 1), color television (no = 0, yes = 1), mixer-grinder (no = 0, yes = 1), bicycle (no = 0, yes = 1), motorcycle/scooter (no = 0, yes = 1) bank account (no = 0, yes = 1), sofa set (no = 0, yes = 1), refrigerator (no = 0, yes = 1), geyser (no = 0, yes = 1) and washing machine (no = 0, yes = 1).

Ethical considerations: The Students Research Ethics Committee (SREC) of the International Institute for Population Sciences, Mumbai (Sr. No.: 12/3361) approved the research proposal on October 30, 2015. A duly signed letter was taken from the Institute. Permission was also taken from the municipal commissioner of Varanasi.

RESULTS

Table 1 gives the percentage distribution of the respondents in terms of different socio-economic and demographic characteristics of the study population. One third of the respondents were found to be in the age group of less than or equal to 30 years, thirty eight percent were in the age group 31-44 years and the remaining thirty percent were above 45 years of age. The proportion of the males was found to be much higher than that of the females. Education is one of the most important factors determining human behavior and in the present study a high proportion of the respondents were found to be illiterate. The respondents for this study were municipal sanitary workers of the Varanasi municipal corporation, consisting of waste collectors, sewage workers and drivers of whom 69% were temporary or daily wage workers and the remaining 31% were permanent workers. More than half of the respondents were found not using any safety equipment at the workplace; the same number of workers was found to have working experience of more than 10 years. One third of the respondents reported smoking tobacco. Thirty three percent of the respondents reported a lower standard of living, while 31% reported an upper standard of living. A question enquiring about the perceived health condition of the workers had been included in the survey. It was found that 38% of them were in good health, while remaining 62% of the respondents reported poor health.

Table 2 shows the prevalence of chronic bronchitis among the respondents in terms of different socio-economic

 Table 1: Percentage distribution of municipal sanitary workers by some selected background characteristics in Varanasi city, India, 2015-16

Background characteristics	Percent	No.
Age (years)		
<30	32.3	102
<u>-</u>	38.0	120
>45	29.7	94
Sex		
Male	85.8	271
Female	14.2	45
Education		
Illiterate	44.0	139
Literate	56.0	177
Type of worker		
Waste collector	70.9	224
Sewage worker	16.1	51
Driver	13.0	41
Type of job		
Temporary job	68.7	217
Permanent job	31.3	99
Personal protective equipment (PPE)		
Yes	46.8	148
No	53.2	168
Working experience (years)		
<pre><10 years</pre>	47.2	149
>10 yeas	52.8	167
Working (h)		
<u><</u> 10 h	81.3	257
>10 h	18.7	59
Smoked tobacco		
Yes	32.6	103
No	67.4	213
Standard of living index		
Lower	33.2	105
Middle	35.4	112
Upper	31.3	99
Perceived health condition		
Good	38.0	120
Poor	62.0	196
Total	100.0	316

and demographic characteristics. The overall prevalence of chronic bronchitis came out to be 20%. The prevalence of chronic bronchitis was found to increase with age, with only nine percent chronic bronchitis cases being found in the age group of less than 30 years, which increased to nineteen percent in the age group of 31-44 years and further increased to 32% in the age of more than 45 years. Females showed a higher prevalence as compared to males as did illiterates as compared to literates. Sewage workers showed a much higher prevalence as compared to waste collectors and drivers. Workers using safety equipment showed less prevalence as compared to the non-users. The number of years spent in sanitary work was found to increase the prevalence of chronic bronchitis as 22% of the workers having work experience of more than 10 years reported to have chronic bronchitis as compared to only 17% of those having less than 10 years of

Table 2:	Prevalence of chronic bronchitis (CB) among municipal sanitary					
	workers by some selected background characteristics in Varanasi city,					
	India, 2015-16					

Background characteristicsCB (%)CB (N)Age (years) $\chi^2 = 16.57, p = 0.0003$ ≤ 30 8.89 $31-44$ 19.223 ≥ 45 31.930Sex $\chi^2 = 0.23, p = 0.635$ MaleMale19.252Female22.210Education $\chi^2 = 3.69, p = 0.055$ IlliterateLiterate24.534Literate15.828Type of worker $\chi^2 = 13.00, p = 0.002$ Waste collectorSewage worker37.319Driver22.09Type of job $\chi^2 = 0.62, p = 0.431$ Temporary jobPermanent job22.222Personal protective equipment (PPE) $\chi^2 = 2.05, p = 0.153$ Yes16.224No22.638Working experience (years) $\chi^2 = 1.31, p = 0.230$ ≤ 10 years22.237Working hours $\chi^2 = 0.33, p = 0.567$ ≤ 10 h16.910Smoked tobacco $\chi^2 = 1.31, p = 0.252$ Yes23.324No17.838Standard of living index $\chi^2 = 4.85, p = 0.028$ Lower25.727Middle10.712Upper23.223Perceived health condition $\chi^2 = 4.85, p = 0.028$ Good13.316Poor23.546Total19.662	India, 2015-16		
≤ 30 8.89 $>31-44$ 19.223 ≥ 45 31.930Sex $\chi^2 = 0.23, p = 0.635$ $\chi^2 = 0.23, p = 0.635$ Male19.252Female22.210Education $\chi^2 = 3.69, p = 0.055$ 11Iliterate24.534Literate15.828Type of worker $\chi^2 = 13.00, p = 0.002$ 34Sewage worker15.234Sewage worker37.319Driver22.09Type of job $\chi^2 = 0.62, p = 0.431$ 7Temporary job18.440Permanent job22.222Personal protective equipment (PPE) $\chi^2 = 2.05, p = 0.153$ 7Yes16.234No22.638Working experience (years) $\chi^2 = 1.44, p = 0.230$ 2 ≤ 10 years26.03737Working hours $\chi^2 = 0.33, p = 0.567$ ≤ 10 10Smoked tobacco $\chi^2 = 1.31, p = 0.252$ 7Yes23.324No17.8No17.83838Standard of living index $\chi^2 = 4.85, p = 0.012$ 27Lower25.72777Middle10.712Upper23.223Perceived health condition $\chi^2 = 4.85, p = 0.028$ Good13.316Poor23.546	Background characteristics	CB (%)	CB (N)
$31-44$ 19.223≥4531.930Sex $\chi^2 = 0.23$, $p = 0.635$ Male19.252Female22.210Education $\chi^2 = 3.69$, $p = 0.055$ Illiterate24.534Literate15.828Type of worker $\chi^2 = 13.00$, $p = 0.002$ Waste collector15.234Sewage worker37.319Driver22.09Type of job $\chi^2 = 0.62$, $p = 0.431$ Temporary job18.440Permanent job22.222Personal protective equipment (PPE) $\chi^2 = 2.05$, $p = 0.153$ Yes16.224No22.638Working experience (years) $\chi^2 = 1.44$, $p = 0.230$ <10 years	Age (years)	χ ² = 16.57, p = 0.0003	
24531.930Sex $\chi^2 = 0.23, p = 0.635$ 31.930Male19.252Female22.210Education $\chi^2 = 3.69, p = 0.055$ 11Illiterate24.534Literate15.828Type of worker $\chi^2 = 13.00, p = 0.002$ Waste collectorWaste collector15.234Sewage worker37.319Driver22.09Type of job $\chi^2 = 0.62, p = 0.431$ 7Temporary job18.440Permanent job22.222Personal protective equipment (PPE) $\chi^2 = 2.05, p = 0.153$ 7Yes16.224No22.638Working experience (years) $\chi^2 = 1.44, p = 0.230$ 2< 10 years16.825> 10 years22.237Working hours $\chi^2 = 0.33, p = 0.567$ 2< 10 h16.910Smoked tobacco $\chi^2 = 1.31, p = 0.252$ 7Yes23.324No17.838Standard of living index $\chi^2 = 8.92, p = 0.012$ 2Lower25.727Middle10.712Upper23.223Perceived health condition $\chi^2 = 4.85, p = 0.028$ Good13.316Poor23.546	<u><</u> 30	8.8	9
Sex $\chi^2 = 0.23, p = 0.635$ Male19.252Female22.210Education $\chi^2 = 3.69, p = 0.055$ 11Illiterate24.534Literate15.828Type of worker $\chi^2 = 13.00, p = 0.002$ 34Waste collector15.234Sewage worker37.319Driver22.09Type of job $\chi^2 = 0.62, p = 0.431$ 7Temporary job18.440Permanent job22.222Personal protective equipment (PPE) $\chi^2 = 2.05, p = 0.153$ 7Yes16.224No22.638Working experience (years) $\chi^2 = 1.44, p = 0.230$ 5>10 years16.825>10 h20.252>10 h20.252>10 h20.252>10 h17.838Standard of living index $\chi^2 = 8.92, p = 0.012$ 20Lower25.72777Middle10.71212Upper23.22323Perceived health condition $\chi^2 = 4.85, p = 0.028$ 33Good13.316Poor23.546	31-44	19.2	23
Male19.252Female22.210Education $\chi^2 = 3.69, p = 0.055$ 10Illiterate24.534Literate15.828Type of worker $\chi^2 = 13.00, p = 0.002$ 28Waste collector15.234Sewage worker37.319Driver22.09Type of job $\chi^2 = 0.62, p = 0.431$ 70Temporary job18.440Permanent job22.222Personal protective equipment (PPE) $\chi^2 = 2.05, p = 0.153$ Yes16.224No22.638Working experience (years) $\chi^2 = 1.44, p = 0.230$ ≤ 10 years16.825> 10 years22.222Yes16.910Source $\chi^2 = 0.33, p = 0.567$ ≤ 10 h20.252> 10 h16.938Standard of living index $\chi^2 = 8.92, p = 0.012$ Lower25.727Middle10.712Upper23.223Perceived health condition $\chi^2 = 4.85, p = 0.028$ Good13.316Poor23.546	<u>></u> 45	31.9	30
InitialInitialInitialFemale22.210Education $\chi^2 = 3.69, p = 0.055$ 11Illiterate24.534Literate15.828Type of worker $\chi^2 = 13.00, p = 0.002$ 15Waste collector5.234Sewage worker37.319Driver22.09Type of job $\chi^2 = 0.62, p = 0.431$ 10Temporary job18.440Permanent job22.222Personal protective equipment (PPE) $\chi^2 = 2.05, p = 0.153$ 10Yes16.224No22.638Working experience (years) $\chi^2 = 1.44, p = 0.230$ 10 ≤ 10 years16.825>10 years22.237Working hours $\chi^2 = 0.33, p = 0.567$ 10 $\leq 10h$ 20.252>10h16.910Smoked tobacco $\chi^2 = 1.31, p = 0.252$ Yes23.324No17.838Standard of living index $\chi^2 = 8.92, p = 0.012$ Lower25.727Middle10.712Upper23.223Perceived health condition $\chi^2 = 4.85, p = 0.028$ Good13.316Poor23.546	Sex	χ ² = 0.23, p = 0.635	
Literate $\chi^2 = 3.69, p = 0.055$ Illiterate24.534Literate15.828Type of worker $\chi^2 = 13.00, p = 0.002$ 34Waste collector15.234Sewage worker37.319Driver22.09Type of job $\chi^2 = 0.62, p = 0.431$ 7Temporary job18.440Permanent job22.222Personal protective equipment (PPE) $\chi^2 = 2.05, p = 0.153$ 7Yes16.224No22.638Working experience (years) $\chi^2 = 1.44, p = 0.230$ 5>10 years16.825>10 years22.237Working hours $\chi^2 = 0.33, p = 0.567$ 5<10 h	Male	19.2	52
Illiterate24.534Literate15.828Type of worker $\chi^2 = 13.00, p = 0.002$ 20Waste collector15.234Sewage worker37.319Driver22.09Type of job $\chi^2 = 0.62, p = 0.431$ 7Temporary job18.440Permanent job22.222Personal protective equipment (PPE) $\chi^2 = 2.05, p = 0.153$ 24No22.638Working experience (years) $\chi^2 = 1.44, p = 0.230$ 24< 10 years16.825> 10 years22.237Working hours $\chi^2 = 0.33, p = 0.567$ 210 h< 10 h20.252> 10 h16.910Smoked tobacco $\chi^2 = 1.31, p = 0.252$ Yes23.324No17.838Standard of living index $\chi^2 = 8.92, p = 0.012$ Lower25.727Middle10.712Upper23.223Perceived health condition $\chi^2 = 4.85, p = 0.028$ Good13.316Poor23.546	Female	22.2	10
Literate 15.8 28 Type of worker x² = 13.00, p = 0.002 34 Waste collector 15.2 34 Sewage worker 37.3 19 Driver 22.0 9 Type of job x² = 0.62, p = 0.431 40 Permanent job 22.2 22 Personal protective equipment (PPE) x² = 2.05, p = 0.153 4 Yes 16.2 24 No 22.6 38 Working experience (years) x² = 1.44, p = 0.230 4 ≤10 years 16.8 25 >10 years 22.2 37 Working hours x² = 0.33, p = 0.567 5 ≤10 h 20.2 52 >10 h 16.9 10 Smoked tobacco x² = 1.31, p = 0.252 Yes 23.3 24 No 17.8 38 Standard of living index x² = 8.92, p = 0.012 Lower 25.7 27 Middle 10.7 12 Upper 23.2 23 Perceived health condition x² = 4.85, p = 0.028 Good 13.3 16 Poor 23.5 46	Education	χ ² = 3.69, p = 0.055	
Type of worker $\chi^2 = 13.00, p = 0.002$ Waste collector15.234Sewage worker37.319Driver22.09Type of job $\chi^2 = 0.62, p = 0.431$ 7Temporary job18.440Permanent job22.222Personal protective equipment (PPE) $\chi^2 = 2.05, p = 0.153$ 7Yes16.224No22.638Working experience (years) $\chi^2 = 1.44, p = 0.230$ 5<10 years	Illiterate	24.5	34
Waste collector15.234Sewage worker37.319Driver22.09Type of job $\chi^2 = 0.62, p = 0.431$ 1Temporary job18.440Permanent job22.222Personal protective equipment (PPE) $\chi^2 = 2.05, p = 0.153$ 24No22.638Working experience (years) $\chi^2 = 1.44, p = 0.230$ 210 years ≤ 10 years16.825>10 years22.237Working hours $\chi^2 = 0.33, p = 0.567$ 210 h ≤ 10 h20.252>10 h16.910Smoked tobacco $\chi^2 = 1.31, p = 0.252$ 7Yes23.324No17.838Standard of living index $\chi^2 = 8.92, p = 0.012$ 2Lower25.727Middle10.712Upper23.223Perceived health condition $\chi^2 = 4.85, p = 0.028$ Good13.316Poor23.546	Literate	15.8	28
Sewage worker 37.3 19Driver 22.0 9Type of job $\chi^2 = 0.62, p = 0.431$ Temporary job 18.4 40Permanent job 22.2 22 Personal protective equipment (PPE) $\chi^2 = 2.05, p = 0.153$ χ^2 Yes 16.2 24 No 22.6 38 Working experience (years) $\chi^2 = 1.44, p = 0.230$ 210 years ≤ 10 years 16.8 25 > 10 years 22.2 37 Working hours $\chi^2 = 0.33, p = 0.567$ ≤ 10 h ≤ 10 h 20.2 52 > 10 h 16.9 10 Smoked tobacco $\chi^2 = 1.31, p = 0.252$ χ^2 Yes 23.3 24 No 17.8 38 Standard of living index $\chi^2 = 8.92, p = 0.012$ Lower 25.7 27 Middle 10.7 12 Upper 23.2 23 Perceived health condition $\chi^2 = 4.85, p = 0.028$ Good 13.3 16 Poor 23.5 46	Type of worker	$\chi^2 = 13.00, p = 0.002$	
Driver22.09Type of job $\chi^2 = 0.62, p = 0.431$ Temporary job18.440Permanent job22.222Personal protective equipment (PPE) $\chi^2 = 2.05, p = 0.153$ 7Yes16.224No22.638Working experience (years) $\chi^2 = 1.44, p = 0.230$ 2 ≤ 10 years16.825>10 years22.237Working hours $\chi^2 = 0.33, p = 0.567$ 2 ≤ 10 h20.252>10 h16.910Smoked tobacco $\chi^2 = 1.31, p = 0.252$ 7Yes23.324No17.838Standard of living index $\chi^2 = 8.92, p = 0.012$ 2Lower25.727Middle10.712Upper23.223Perceived health condition $\chi^2 = 4.85, p = 0.028$ Good13.316Poor23.546	Waste collector	15.2	34
Type of job $\chi^2 = 0.62, p = 0.431$ Temporary job18.440Permanent job22.222Personal protective equipment (PPE) $\chi^2 = 2.05, p = 0.153$ 24No22.638Working experience (years) $\chi^2 = 1.44, p = 0.230$ 25<10 years	Sewage worker	37.3	19
Temporary job18.440Permanent job22.222Personal protective equipment (PPE) $\chi^2 = 2.05$, $p = 0.153$ 7Yes16.224No22.638Working experience (years) $\chi^2 = 1.44$, $p = 0.230$ 25<10 years16.825>10 years22.237Working hours $\chi^2 = 0.33$, $p = 0.567$ 210 h10Smoked tobacco $\chi^2 = 1.31$, $p = 0.252$ 72Yes23.324No17.838Standard of living index $\chi^2 = 8.92$, $p = 0.012$ 20Lower25.727Middle10.712Upper23.223Perceived health condition $\chi^2 = 4.85$, $p = 0.028$ Good13.316Poor23.546	Driver	22.0	9
Permanent job22.222Personal protective equipment (PPE) $\chi^2 = 2.05$, $p = 0.153$ 24Yes16.224No22.638Working experience (years) $\chi^2 = 1.44$, $p = 0.230$ 25 ≤ 10 years16.825>10 years22.237Working hours $\chi^2 = 0.33$, $p = 0.567$ 210 h ≤ 10 h20.252>10 h16.910Smoked tobacco $\chi^2 = 1.31$, $p = 0.252$ 7Yes23.324No17.838Standard of living index $\chi^2 = 8.92$, $p = 0.012$ 20Lower25.727Middle10.712Upper23.223Perceived health condition $\chi^2 = 4.85$, $p = 0.028$ 36Good13.316Poor23.546	Type of job	χ ² = 0.62 , p = 0.431	
Personal protective equipment (PPE) $\chi^2 = 2.05, p = 0.153$ Yes16.224No22.638Working experience (years) $\chi^2 = 1.44, p = 0.230$ ≤ 10 years16.825>10 years22.237Working hours $\chi^2 = 0.33, p = 0.567$ ≤ 10 h20.252>10 h16.910Smoked tobacco $\chi^2 = 1.31, p = 0.252$ Yes23.324No17.838Standard of living index $\chi^2 = 8.92, p = 0.012$ Lower25.727Middle10.712Upper23.223Perceived health condition $\chi^2 = 4.85, p = 0.028$ Good13.316Poor23.546	Temporary job	18.4	40
Yes16.224No22.638Working experience (years) $\chi^2 = 1.44$, $p = 0.230$ 25>10 years16.825>10 years22.237Working hours $\chi^2 = 0.33$, $p = 0.567$ 22 ≤ 10 h20.252>10 h16.910Smoked tobacco $\chi^2 = 1.31$, $p = 0.252$ 24Yes23.324No17.838Standard of living index $\chi^2 = 8.92$, $p = 0.012$ 27Lower25.727Middle10.712Upper23.223Perceived health condition $\chi^2 = 4.85$, $p = 0.028$ 36Poor23.546	,	22.2	22
No22.638Working experience (years) $\chi^2 = 1.44$, $p = 0.230$ ≤ 10 years16.825>10 years22.237Working hours $\chi^2 = 0.33$, $p = 0.567$ ≤ 10 h20.252>10 h16.910Smoked tobacco $\chi^2 = 1.31$, $p = 0.252$ Yes23.324No17.838Standard of living index $\chi^2 = 8.92$, $p = 0.012$ Lower25.727Middle10.712Upper23.223Perceived health condition $\chi^2 = 4.85$, $p = 0.028$ Good13.316Poor23.546	Personal protective equipment (PPE)	χ ² = 2.05, p = 0.153	
Working experience (years) $\chi^2 = 1.44$, $p = 0.230$ ≤ 10 years16.825>10 years22.237Working hours $\chi^2 = 0.33$, $p = 0.567$ ≤ 10 h20.252>10 h16.910Smoked tobacco $\chi^2 = 1.31$, $p = 0.252$ Yes23.324No17.838Standard of living index $\chi^2 = 8.92$, $p = 0.012$ Lower25.727Middle10.712Upper23.223Perceived health condition $\chi^2 = 4.85$, $p = 0.028$ Good13.316Poor23.546	Yes	16.2	24
<10 years16.825>10 years22.237Working hours $\chi^2 = 0.33, p = 0.567$ <10 h20.252>10 h16.910Smoked tobacco $\chi^2 = 1.31, p = 0.252$ Yes23.324No17.838Standard of living index $\chi^2 = 8.92, p = 0.012$ Lower25.727Middle10.712Upper23.223Perceived health condition $\chi^2 = 4.85, p = 0.028$ Good13.316Poor23.546	No	22.6	38
10 years22.237Working hours $\chi^2 = 0.33, p = 0.567$ $\leq 10 h$ 20.252>10 h16.910Smoked tobacco $\chi^2 = 1.31, p = 0.252$ Yes23.324No17.838Standard of living index $\chi^2 = 8.92, p = 0.012$ Lower25.727Middle10.712Upper23.223Perceived health condition $\chi^2 = 4.85, p = 0.028$ Good13.316Poor23.546	Working experience (years)	χ ² = 1.44, p = 0.230	
Working hours $\chi^2 = 0.33, p = 0.567$ $\leq 10 h$ 20.252>10 h16.910Smoked tobacco $\chi^2 = 1.31, p = 0.252$ Yes23.324No17.838Standard of living index $\chi^2 = 8.92, p = 0.012$ Lower25.727Middle10.712Upper23.223Perceived health condition $\chi^2 = 4.85, p = 0.028$ Good13.316Poor23.546	<u><</u> 10 years	16.8	25
$\leq 10 h$ 20.252>10 h16.910Smoked tobacco $\chi^2 = 1.31, p = 0.252$ 70Yes23.324No17.838Standard of living index $\chi^2 = 8.92, p = 0.012$ 70Lower25.727Middle10.712Upper23.223Perceived health condition $\chi^2 = 4.85, p = 0.028$ Good13.316Poor23.546	>10 years	22.2	37
$>10 h$ 16.9 10 Smoked tobacco $\chi^2 = 1.31, p = 0.252$ γ Yes 23.3 24 No 17.8 38 Standard of living index $\chi^2 = 8.92, p = 0.012$ Lower 25.7 27 Middle 10.7 12 Upper 23.2 23 Perceived health condition $\chi^2 = 4.85, p = 0.028$ Good 13.3 16 Poor 23.5 46	Working hours	χ² = 0.33, p = 0.567	
Smoked tobacco $\chi^2 = 1.31, p = 0.252$ Yes 23.3 24 No 17.8 38 Standard of living index $\chi^2 = 8.92, p = 0.012$ 27 Lower 25.7 27 Middle 10.7 12 Upper 23.2 23 Perceived health condition $\chi^2 = 4.85, p = 0.028$ 600d Good 13.3 16 Poor 23.5 46	<u><</u> 10 h	20.2	52
Yes 23.3 24 No 17.8 38 Standard of living index $\chi^2 = 8.92, p = 0.012$ 27 Lower 25.7 27 Middle 10.7 12 Upper 23.2 23 Perceived health condition $\chi^2 = 4.85, p = 0.028$ 600d Good 13.3 16 Poor 23.5 46	>10 h	16.9	10
No 17.8 38 Standard of living index $\chi^2 = 8.92, p = 0.012$ 27 Lower 25.7 27 Middle 10.7 12 Upper 23.2 23 Perceived health condition $\chi^2 = 4.85, p = 0.028$ 600 Good 13.3 16 Poor 23.5 46	Smoked tobacco	χ² = 1.31, p = 0.252	
Standard of living index $\chi^2 = 8.92, p = 0.012$ Lower 25.7 27 Middle 10.7 12 Upper 23.2 23 Perceived health condition $\chi^2 = 4.85, p = 0.028$ 600d Good 13.3 16 Poor 23.5 46	Yes	23.3	24
Lower 25.7 27 Middle 10.7 12 Upper 23.2 23 Perceived health condition $\chi^2 = 4.85, p = 0.028$ 6 Good 13.3 16 Poor 23.5 46	No	17.8	38
Middle 10.7 12 Upper 23.2 23 Perceived health condition $\chi^2 = 4.85, p = 0.028$ 13.3 16 Good 13.3 16 Poor 23.5 46	Standard of living index	$\chi^2 = 8.92, p = 0.012$	
Upper 23.2 23 Perceived health condition $\chi^2 = 4.85, p = 0.028$ 30 Good 13.3 16 Poor 23.5 46	Lower	25.7	27
Perceived health condition $\chi^2 = 4.85, p = 0.028$ Good 13.3 16 Poor 23.5 46	Middle	10.7	12
Good 13.3 16 Poor 23.5 46	Upper	23.2	23
Poor 23.5 46	Perceived health condition	χ ² = 4.85, p = 0.028	
	Good	13.3	16
Total 19.6 62	Poor	23.5	46
	Total	19.6	62

work. Smokers showed a higher prevalence as compared to non-smokers but the difference was low. Workers suffering from poor health reported a higher prevalence as compared to those having good health.

Results from the logistic regression analysis have been shown in Table 3 by selected background characteristics of the respondents. Age was found to have a statistically significant association with chronic bronchitis, that is, higher ages showed an increase in the risk of having chronic bronchitis. Sewage workers were at a greater risk of chronic bronchitis as compared to waste collectors and drivers. Females were found to be two times more likely of having chronic bronchitis as their counterpart. Workers having longer working experience were found to be more likely of having chronic bronchitis. Similarly, smokers and workers with a poor health showed increased odds ratio.

Table 3:	Binary	logistic	regression	model	estimates	the	odds	ratios and
	confide	ence inte	vals for chr	onic bro	nchitis amo	ng r	nunicip	oal sanitary
	worker	s in Varar	nasi city, Ind	ia, 2015-	-16			

Background characteristics	Odds ratio	95% CI
Age (years)		
<u><</u> 30®		
31-44	2.38*	(0.92-6.15)
<u>></u> 45	4.19***	(1.38-12.77)
Sex		
Male®		
Female	1.69	(0.66-4.31)
Education		
Literate®		
Illiterate	1.10	(0.51-2.35)
Type of worker		
Waste collector [®]		
Sewage worker	3.56***	(1.52-8.38)
Driver	1.96	(0.5-7.65)
Type of job		
Temporary job®		
Permanent job	0.86	(0.4-1.85)
Personal protective equipment (PPE)		
No®		
Yes	0.62	(0.3-1.27)
Working experience (years)		
<10 years [®]		
>10 years	1.13	(0.52-2.44)
Working hours		
<u><</u> 10 h [®]		
>10 h	0.89	(0.26-3.03)
Smoked tobacco		
No®		
Yes	1.22	(0.62-2.39)
Standard of living index		
Upper [®]		
Middle	1.32	(0.6-2.91)
Lower	0.50	(0.21-1.16)
Perceived health condition		
Good®		
Poor	1.48	(0.64-3.43)

*: Reference category, *p<0.10,** p<0.05 ,***p<0.01

DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to estimate the prevalence of chronic bronchitis and the potential risk factors associated with it. The study design was cross-sectional, with a sample size of 316. The respondents of the study were municipal sanitary workers consisting of three groups, namely waste collectors, sewage workers and drivers. In the present study, the overall prevalence of chronic bronchitis was found to be 19.6%, which is the same as that found by a study conducted by Vimercati *et al.*¹⁰. The study found a higher prevalence of chronic bronchitis among the sewage workers at 37.3% which is close to what a previous study (34.1%) by Giri *et al.*⁹ found. The study also shows a much higher odds ratio (OR:3.6, Cl:1.52-8.38) for the sewage workers because they are more exposed to sewer gases as compared to the waste

collectors and drivers⁵. Findings based on several studies^{8,11} indicate that smoking cigarette is a major risk factor in the development of chronic bronchitis, which was confirmed by the present study, with smokers having a higher prevalence (23.3%) as compared to nonsmokers (17.8%). This study, in an addition to the previous studies^{2,12}, indicates that besides smoking cigarette, other factors too constitute a risk. These include exposure to fumes, silt and sewer gases, including hydrogen sulphide, methane, esters, ammonia, carbon monoxide, sulphur dioxide and nitrogen oxides, which are more harmful than smoking⁵. After going through a series of studies based on chronic bronchitis conducted in India and abroad, this study examined eleven factors, namely age, sex, education, type of worker, type of job, safety equipment, working experience, working hours, smoking, standard of living and perceived health condition^{10,13-16}.

Use of personal protective equipment does not show any positive result on the health of sanitary workers because in developing countries like India, sanitary workers are still using very old equipment such as tin plates, small brooms and bamboo sticks, which are not helpful to protect them from occupational health hazards^{8,9}. The result from the logistic regression analysis depicts that age is statistically significant with the development of chronic bronchitis because as age increases, the resistance or the immunity power of the body decreases, making the body susceptible to various diseases^{12,17}. Also, the present study shows that sanitary workers in the age group of 31-44 years and 45 and above years are 2.4 and 4.2 times more likely to have chronic bronchitis as compared to those who are less than 30 years of age. Previous studies by Dutta and Deshmukh¹² in rural central India also support the above argument that the risk increases with the advancement of age. This study found that the respondents of ages 70 years and above are 6 times more likely to have chronic bronchitis as compared to the respondents of ages 40-49 years¹².

Working experience too represents an increased odds ratio because of more exposure to hazardous work. Females are two times more likely of having chronic bronchitis as compared to males, maybe due to biological weakness and due to the fact that they have to play a dual role in their daily lives. When working at home, female sanitary workers use the traditional methods of cooking such as coal, kerosene oil and wood, which produce a lot of smoke and many times, this is what is responsible for the occurrence of chronic bronchitis among them. The above arguments are supported by studies like those done by Dutta and Deshmukh¹², Shanthi¹⁸ and Amra *et al.*¹⁹.

This study found that smoking habits and perceived health condition are positively associated with chronic bronchitis, which is supported by the other studies too^{17,20}. Education and standard of living did not show any consistent result maybe because despite differences regarding these characteristics, almost all workers had experienced the same exposure to hazardous waste.

CONCLUSION

The study concludes that inhalation of hydrogen sulfide, fumes, methane, silt, esters, ammonia, carbon monoxide, sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides are more dangerous than smoking and also chronic bronchitis is highly associated with age and type of workers and also slightly associated with gender, smoking tobacco and perceived health condition.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENTS

This study focused on the health issue of municipal sanitary workers under Varanasi municipal corporation. It was found that sewage worker had a higher prevalence of chronic bronchitis as compared to waste collector and driver. Several studies in India and abroad suggested that smoking and dust exposure is responsible for chronic bronchitis, but this study suggests that exposure to sewer gas is more dangerous than smoking and dust.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

It's our pleasure to thank Mr. Shrihari Pratap Shahi, municipal commissioner of Varanasi, for allowing us to conduct the survey in the city as also the officers for their cooperation and support which made collecting primary data easy.

REFERENCES

- 1. Jindal, S.K., A.N. Aggarwal, D. Gupta, R. Agarwal and R. Kumar *et al.*, 2012. Indian study on epidemiology of asthma, respiratory symptoms and chronic bronchitis in adults (INSEARCH). Int. J. Tuberculosis Lung Dis., 16: 1270-1277.
- 2. Spon, R.A., S. Bilques, I. Hussain, S.S. Khan, I. Ul-Haq and Y. Dolma, 2014. Prevalence of chronic bronchitis in selected districts of Kashmir valley. J. Adv. Res. Med., 1: 27-32.
- Sarkar, P., 2003. Solid waste management in Delhi-a social vulnerability study. Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Environment and Health, December 15-17, 2003, Chennai, India, pp: 15-17.

- Sanjay, S.D., 2009. Occupational health problems among door to door solid waste handlers in Surat city, Gujarat. Ph.D. Thesis, Sree Chitra Tirunal Institute for Medical Sciences and Technology, India.
- Fahmy, F.C., T.A. Mansour, A. Kamel, M. Moselhi and F. Abbas, 2009. Cardio-respiratory disorders among sewage workers. Egypt. J. Occup. Med., 33: 197-209.
- Miele, C.H., D. Jaganath, J.J. Miranda, A. Bernabe-Ortiz and R.H. Gilman *et al.*, 2016. Urbanization and daily exposure to biomass fuel smoke both contribute to chronic bronchitis risk in a population with low prevalence of daily tobacco smoking. COPD: J. Chronic Obstructive Pulm. Dis., 13: 186-195.
- Chuchalin, A.G., N. Khaltaev, N.S. Antonov, D.V. Galkin and L.G. Manakov *et al.*, 2014. Chronic respiratory diseases and risk factors in 12 regions of the Russian Federation. Int. J. Chronic Obstructive Pulm. Dis., 9: 963-974.
- 8. Dharmage, S.C., J.L. Perret, J.A. Burgess, C.J. Lodge and D.P. Johns *et al.*, 2016. Current asthma contributes as much as smoking to chronic bronchitis in middle age: A prospective population-based study. Int. J. Chronic Obstructive Pulm. Dis., 11: 1911-1920.
- 9. Giri, P.A., A.M. Kasbe and R.Y. Aras, 2010. A study on morbidity profile of sewage workers in Mumbai city. Int. J. Collaborative Res. Internal Med. Public Health, 2: 450-463.
- Vimercati, L., A. Baldassarre, M.F. Gatti, L. de Maria and A. Caputi *et al.*, 2016. Respiratory health in waste collection and disposal workers. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health, Vol. 13. 10.3390/ijerph13070631.
- 11. Meteran, H., V. Backer, K.O. Kyvik, A. Skytthe and S.F. Thomsen, 2014. Heredity of chronic bronchitis: A registry-based twin study. Respir. Med., 108: 1321-1326.
- 12. Dutta, S. and P.R. Deshmukh, 2015. Prevalence and determinants of self-reported chronic bronchitis among women in rural Central India. Med. J. Armed Forces India, 71:48-52.
- 13. Aminuddin, M.S.H. and H.A. Rahman, 2015. Health risk survey for domestic waste management agency workers: Case study on Kota Bharu municipal council (MPKB), Kelantan, Malaysia. Int. J. Environ. Sci. Dev., 6: 629-634.
- 14. Seng, B., H. Kaneko, K. Hirayama and K. Katayama-Hirayama, 2011. Municipal solid waste management in Phnom Penh, capital city of Cambodia. Waste Manage. Res., 29: 491-500.
- Johncy, S.S., G. Dhanyakumar, Kanyakumari and T.V. Samuel, 2014. Chronic exposure to dust and lung function impairment: A study on female sweepers in India. Nat. J. Physiol. Pharm. Pharmacol., 4: 15-19.
- 16. Ihalagedara, M. and M. Pinnawala, 2015. Problems related to sanitary labours in solid waste management: A case study in Matale municipal council. Proceedings of the 3rd International Symposium on Advances in Civil and Environmental Engineering Practices for Sustainable Development ACEPS 2015, March 9, 2015, Sri Lanka, pp: 155-161.

- 17. Carreiro-Martins, P., J. Gomes-Belo, A.L. Papoila, I. Caires and T. Palmeiro *et al.*, 2016. Chronic respiratory diseases and quality of life in elderly nursing home residents. Chronic Respir. Dis., 13: 211-219.
- 18. Shanthi, J., 2010. Status of municipal woman sanitary workers-A case of Thanjavur Town: Socio economic perspective. Ph.D. Thesis, Tamil University, India.
- 19. Amra, B., M. Gholshan and R. Shirian, 2002. Risk factors for chronic bronchitis among women in Shahrekord, Iran. Tanaffos, 1: 19-23.
- Chaturvedi, R., K. Muzammil, N. Singh, S. Davey and J.V. Singh, 2015. Prevalence of COPD in rural population, Muzaffarnagar. Indian J. Commun. Health, 27: 468-472.