



Asian Journal of Mathematics & Statistics

ISSN 1994-5418

Subdirect Representations in A^* -Algebras

¹J. Venkateswara Rao and ²P. Koteswara Rao

¹Department of Mathematics, Mekelle University, Mekelle, Ethiopia

²Department of Commerce, Nagarjuna University,
 Nagarjuna Nagar-522 510, A.P., India

Abstract: This study investigates about subdirect representations in A^* -algebras. A natural A^* -homomorphism $f: A \rightarrow 3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$ for an A^* -algebra A is obtained. The foremost results of this study are every subdirectly irreducible A^* -algebra A is isomorphic to 3 and every A^* -algebra is a sub A^* -algebra of a product of copies of 3.

Key words: A^* -algebra, subdirectly irreducible A^* -algebra, ada, C-algebra, Boolean algebra

INTRODUCTION

In a drafted study Manes (1989) introduced the concept of Ada based on extending the If-Then-Else concept more on the basis of Boolean algebras and later Manes (1993) introduced an Ada $(A, \wedge, \vee, (-)^{\sim}, (-)_{\pi}, 0, 1, 2)$, based on C-algebras introduced by Guzman and Squier (1990). Koteswara Rao (1994) introduced the concept of A^* -algebra, analogous to the Manes (1993) Adas. Venkateswara Rao (2000) studied extensively the concept of subdirect representations in A^* -algebras based on the subdirect representations in rings (Lambek, 1966). Koteswara Rao and Venkateswara Rao (2003) studied about algebraic structures of Boolean Algebras and A^* -algebras and obtained the methods of generating A^* -algebras from Boolean algebras and vice-versa. Koteswara Rao and Venkateswara Rao (2004) introduced the concepts of Prime Ideals and Congruences in A^* -Algebras and developed the general ideal theory. Koteswara Rao and Venkateswara Rao (2005) obtained a Cayley theorem for A^* -Algebras. Koteswara Rao and Venkateswara Rao (2008) introduced the concepts of A^* -Modules and If-Then-Else Algebras over A^* -algebras. Koteswara Rao and Venkateswara Rao (2010) introduced Polynomials over A^* -Algebras.

In this research, we investigate the subdirect representations in A^* -algebras as $3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$ is the only subdirectly irreducible A^* -algebra and every A^* -algebra is a sub A^* -algebra of product of copies of 3.

PRELIMINARIES

Definition 1

An algebra $(A, \wedge, \vee, (-)^{\sim}, (-)_{\pi}, 1)$ is an A^* -algebra if it satisfies: For $a, b, c \in A$, (i) $a_{\pi} \vee (a_{\pi})^{\sim} = 1$, $(a_{\pi})_{\pi} = a_{\pi}$ where $a \vee b = (a^{\sim} \wedge b^{\sim})^{\sim}$; (ii) $a_{\pi} \vee b_{\pi} = b_{\pi} \vee a_{\pi}$; (iii) $(a_{\pi} \vee b_{\pi}) \vee c_{\pi} = a_{\pi} \vee (b_{\pi} \vee c_{\pi})$; (iv) $(a_{\pi} \wedge b_{\pi}) \vee (a_{\pi} \wedge (b_{\pi})^{\sim}) = a_{\pi}$; (v) $(a \wedge b)_{\pi} = a_{\pi} \wedge b_{\pi}$, $(a \wedge b)^{\#} = a^{\#} \vee b^{\#}$ where, $a^{\#} = (a_{\pi} \vee a^{\sim})^{\sim}$; (vi) $a^{\sim}_{\pi} = (a_{\pi} \vee a^{\#})^{\sim}$, $a^{\#} = a^{\#}$; (vii) $(a \cdot b)_{\pi} = a_{\pi}$, $(a \cdot b)^{\#} = (a_{\pi})^{\sim} \wedge (b^{\sim}_{\pi})^{\sim}$; (viii) $a = b$ if and only if $a_{\pi} = b_{\pi}$, $a^{\#} = b^{\#}$.

Corresponding Author: J. Venkateswara Rao, Department of Mathematics, Mekelle University, Mekelle, Ethiopia

We write, 0 for 1^{\sim} , 2 for $0 \cdot 1$.

Example

$3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$ with the operations defined below is an A^* -algebra.

\wedge	0	1	2	\vee	0	1	2	$*$	0	1	2	x	0	1	2
0	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	2	x^{\sim}	1	0	2
1	0	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	$x^{\#}$	0	1	0
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	$x^{\#}$	0	0	1

Note

From 1.1 (I) to 1.1 (iv) and by Huntington's theorem (Birkhoff, 1948)) we see that, $B(A) = \{a_{\pi}/a \in A\}$ is a Boolean algebra with $\wedge, \vee, (-)^{\sim}, 0$ and $a \in B(A) \Rightarrow a_{\pi} = a$. Since, $1, 0, (a_{\pi})^{\sim} \in B(A)$, we have $1_{\pi} = 1, 0_{\pi} = 0, (a_{\pi})^{\sim}_{\pi} = (a_{\pi})^{\sim}$ and $a_{\pi} \wedge a^{\#} = 0, a \cdot 0 = a_{\pi}$.

Sub Direct Representations

Lemma 1

In every A^* -algebra A (i) $x \wedge x = x$, (ii) $x \wedge (x^{\sim} \vee y) = x \wedge y$, (iii) $x \vee y = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$, (iv) $x \vee y = 1 \Rightarrow x \vee x^{\sim} = 1$.

Proof

From 1.1 (viii) we have (i), (ii), (iii): $x = x \vee 0 = x \vee x \vee y = x \vee y = 0$. Therefore $x = 0$. (iv): $1 = x \vee y = x \vee (x^{\sim} \wedge y)$ (from dual of (ii)) $= (x \vee x^{\sim}) \wedge (x \vee y) = (x \vee x^{\sim}) \wedge 1 = x \vee x^{\sim}$. Therefore $x \vee x^{\sim} = 1$.

Definition 2

For $a \in A$, define $\theta_a = \{(x, y) / a_{\pi} x_{\pi} = a_{\pi} y_{\pi}, a_{\pi} x^{\#} = a_{\pi} y^{\#}\}$

Lemma 2

θ_a is a congruence relation on A .

Proof

Clearly, θ_a is an equivalence relation on A .

$(x, y) \in \theta_a \Rightarrow a_{\pi} x_{\pi} = a_{\pi} y_{\pi}, a_{\pi} x^{\#} = a_{\pi} y^{\#} \Rightarrow a_{\pi}^{\sim} \vee x_{\pi}^{\sim} = a_{\pi}^{\sim} \vee y_{\pi}^{\sim} \Rightarrow a_{\pi} \wedge (a_{\pi}^{\sim} \vee x_{\pi}^{\sim}) = a_{\pi} \wedge (a_{\pi}^{\sim} \vee y_{\pi}^{\sim}) \Rightarrow a_{\pi} x_{\pi}^{\sim} = a_{\pi} y_{\pi}^{\sim}$. Similarly, $a_{\pi} x^{\#} = a_{\pi} y^{\#} \Rightarrow a_{\pi} x_{\pi}^{\#} = a_{\pi} y_{\pi}^{\#} \Rightarrow a_{\pi} x_{\pi}^{\sim} = a_{\pi} y_{\pi}^{\sim}$. Clearly, $a_{\pi} x^{\#} = a_{\pi} y^{\#} \Rightarrow (x^{\sim}, y^{\sim}) \in \theta_a$. Clearly, $x \theta_a y \Rightarrow x_{\pi} \theta_a y_{\pi}, x^{\#} \theta_a y^{\#}$. Suppose, $x \theta_a y, b \theta_a c \Rightarrow a_{\pi} x_{\pi} = a_{\pi} y_{\pi}, a_{\pi} x^{\#} = a_{\pi} y^{\#}, a_{\pi} b_{\pi} = a_{\pi} c_{\pi}, a_{\pi} b^{\#} = a_{\pi} c^{\#}$. Clearly, $(x \wedge b) \theta_a (y \wedge c), a_{\pi}(x \cdot b)_{\pi} = a_{\pi}(y \cdot c)_{\pi}$. Now, we will show that, $a_{\pi}(x \cdot b)^{\#} = a_{\pi}(y \cdot c)^{\#}$. $a_{\pi} x_{\pi} = a_{\pi} y_{\pi} \Rightarrow a_{\pi} x_{\pi}^{\sim} = a_{\pi} y_{\pi}^{\sim} \Rightarrow x \theta_a y \Rightarrow x^{\sim} \theta_a y^{\sim} \Rightarrow a_{\pi} x_{\pi}^{\sim} = a_{\pi} y_{\pi}^{\sim} \Rightarrow a_{\pi} x_{\pi}^{\sim} = a_{\pi} y_{\pi}^{\sim}$.

Similarly, $b \theta_a c \Rightarrow a_{\pi} b_{\pi}^{\sim} = a_{\pi} c_{\pi}^{\sim}, a_{\pi} b_{\pi}^{\sim} = a_{\pi} c_{\pi}^{\sim} \Rightarrow a_{\pi} c_{\pi}^{\sim} = a_{\pi} b_{\pi}^{\sim} \Rightarrow a_{\pi} x_{\pi}^{\sim} = a_{\pi} y_{\pi}^{\sim} \Rightarrow a_{\pi} b_{\pi}^{\sim} = a_{\pi} c_{\pi}^{\sim} \Rightarrow a_{\pi} y_{\pi}^{\sim} = a_{\pi} c_{\pi}^{\sim}$. Therefore, $a_{\pi}(x \cdot b)^{\#} = a_{\pi}(y \cdot c)^{\#}$. Hence, $(x \cdot b) \theta_a (y \cdot c)$. Therefore, θ_a is a congruence relation on A .

Lemma 3

$$\theta_a \cap \theta_{a^{\sim}} \subseteq \theta_{a \vee a^{\sim}}$$

Notation

$$\Delta_A = \{(x, x) / x \in A\}$$

Lemma 4

$$\theta_a = \Delta_A \Leftrightarrow a = 1.$$

Proof

$a_\pi(a \wedge x)_\pi = a_\pi \wedge x_\pi$, $a_\pi(a \wedge x)^\# = a_\pi(a^\# \vee x^\#) = a_\pi x^\#$ Therefore, $(a \wedge x, x) \in \theta_a \Rightarrow (a \wedge x, x) \in \Delta_A \Rightarrow a \wedge x = x$ Therefore, $a \wedge x = x$ for every $x \in A$. So, $a \wedge x = 1 \Rightarrow a = 1$.

Lemma 5

$$a \theta_{a^*} b, b \theta_{b^*} a \Rightarrow a = b$$

Proof

$a = a \vee (a^* \wedge a)$ (from 1.1 (viii)) $= a \vee (a^* \wedge b) = a \vee b$ (from 1.1 (viii)). Similarly, $b = a \vee b$. Therefore, $a = b$.

Lemma 6

Let A be an A^* -algebra with $0, 1$ ($0 \neq 1$). Suppose that for any $x \in A - \{0, 1\}$, $x \vee x^* \neq 1$. Define $f: A \rightarrow 3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$ by $f(1) = 1$, $f(0) = 0$ and $f(x) = 2$ for all $x \neq 0, 1$. Then f is an A^* -homomorphism.

Proof

To show that, $f(x^*) = (f(x))^*$ for all $x \in A$ For $x = 0, 1$, $f(x^*) = (f(x))^*$ Suppose, $x \neq 0, 1 \Rightarrow x^* \neq 0 \Rightarrow f(x^*) = 2$.

Since, $x \neq 0, 1$, $f(x) = 2 \Rightarrow (f(x))^* = 2$ Therefore, $f(x^*) = (f(x))^*$.

Claim

$$f(x \vee y) = f(x) \vee f(y), \text{ for all } x \in A$$

Case (i)

For $x = 0$, $f(x \vee y) = f(x) \vee f(y)$, for all $y \in A$ is clear

Case (ii)

For $x = 1$, $f(x \vee y) = f(x) \vee f(y)$, for all $y \in A$

For, $y = 0, 1$ the result is clear. Suppose, $y \neq 0, 1 \Rightarrow x \vee y \neq 0, 1$. If $y \neq 0, 1 \Rightarrow x \vee y \neq 0, 1$. (Since, $(x \vee y) = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0, y = 0$; $(x \vee y) = 1 \Rightarrow y \vee y^* = 1$) So, $1 \vee y = 0, 1$. So, $f(1 \vee y) = 2 = 1 \vee 2 = f(1) \vee f(y)$ Therefore, $f(1 \vee y) = f(1) \vee f(y)$

Case (iii)

For $x \neq 0, 1 \Rightarrow x \vee y \neq 0, 1$ $f(x \vee y) = 2 = 2 \vee f(y) = f(x) \vee f(y)$.

Therefore, $f(x \vee y) = f(x) \vee f(y)$, for all $x \in A$. To prove that, $f(x_\pi) = (f(x))_\pi$ for all $x \in A$. If, $x \neq 0, 1$, it is clear. Suppose, $x \neq 0, 1$. Claim: $x_\pi = 0$ Since, $x \neq 0, 1$, $x \vee x^* \neq 1$. $x_\pi \vee x_\pi^* = 1 \Rightarrow x_\pi^* = x_\pi^\# = 0 \Rightarrow x = x_\pi \vee x^* = x_\pi \vee x_\pi^* = 1$, a contradiction. Therefore, $x_\pi \vee x_\pi^* \neq 1$. Suppose, $x_\pi \vee x_\pi^* \neq 0 \Rightarrow x_\pi \vee x_\pi^* \neq 0, 1$. $(x_\pi \vee x_\pi^*) \vee (x_\pi \vee x_\pi^*)^* \neq 1$, a contradiction. Therefore, $x_\pi \vee x_\pi^* = 0 \Rightarrow x_\pi = 0$ and $x_\pi^* = 0$ Since, $x_\pi = 0$, $f(x_\pi) = f(0) = 0 = 2_\pi = (f(x))_\pi$ Therefore, $f(x_\pi) = (f(x))_\pi$, for all $x \in A$.

Claim 1

$f(x^\#) = (f(x))^\#$, for all $x \in A$ $f(x^\#) = f(x_\pi \vee x_\pi^*)^* = [f(x_\pi \vee x_\pi^*)]^* = [f(x_\pi) \vee f(x_\pi^*)]^* = [(f(x))_\pi \vee (f(x^*))_\pi]^* = [(f(x))_\pi \vee f(x^*)_\pi]^* = (f(x))^\#$ Therefore, $f(x^\#) = (f(x))^\#$ for all $x \in A$.

Claim 2

For all $x, y \in A$, $f(x \cdot y) = f(x) \cdot f(y)$. $[f(x \cdot y)]_\pi = f((x \cdot y)_\pi) = f(x_\pi) = f(x)_\pi$. But, $[f(x) \cdot f(y)]_\pi = [f(x)]_\pi$. Therefore, $f(x \cdot y)_\pi = [f(x) \cdot f(y)]_\pi$, for all $x, y \in A$. $f(x \cdot y)^\# = f((x \cdot y)^\#) = f(x_\pi^\sim \wedge y_\pi^\sim) = f(x_\pi^\sim) \wedge f(y_\pi^\sim) = f(x)_\pi^\sim \wedge f(y)_\pi^\sim = (f(x) \cdot f(y))^\#$. Therefore, $f(x \cdot y)^\# = (f(x) \cdot f(y))^\#$ for all $x, y \in A$. Therefore, $f(x \cdot y) = f(x) \cdot f(y)$ for all $x, y \in A$. Therefore, f is an A^* -homomorphism.

Definition 3

An algebra A is called subdirectly irreducible if intersection of non-zero congruences is non-zero i.e.) $\bigcap \theta \neq \Delta_A$

Theorem 1

$3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$ is the only subdirectly irreducible A^* -algebra.

Proof

Suppose, A is a subdirectly irreducible A^* -algebra. Let, $a \in A - \{0, 1\}$. Suppose, $a \vee a^\sim = 1$. $\theta_a \cap \theta_{a^\sim} \subseteq \theta_1$. $\theta_a \cap \theta_{a^\sim} \subseteq \Delta_A \Rightarrow \theta_a \cap \theta_{a^\sim} = \Delta_A$, a contradiction. (Because $\theta_a \neq \Delta_A$, $\theta_{a^\sim} \neq \Delta_A$ from 2.6). $a \in A - \{0, 1\} \Rightarrow a \vee a^\sim \neq 1$. Let, $\theta = \bigcap_{a \neq 1} \theta_a$. Define, $f: A \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2\}$ by $f(0) = 0$, $f(1) = 1$, $f(x) = 2$ if $x \neq 0, 1$. Therefore, f is a homomorphism by 2.8. Define, φ on A by $x \varphi y \Rightarrow f(x) = f(y)$.

Then, φ is a congruence relation on A . Consider, $\varphi \cap \theta$. Let, $(x, y) \in \varphi \cap \theta \Rightarrow (x, y) \in \varphi$ and $(x, y) \in \theta \Rightarrow (x, y) \in \theta$, $x = y$ (by 2.7). Therefore, $\varphi \cap \theta = \Delta_A \Rightarrow \varphi = \Delta_A$ (since $\theta \neq \Delta_A$, A is subdirectly irreducible).

Let $x, y \in A$, $f(x) = f(y) \Rightarrow x \varphi y \Rightarrow x = y$ (since $\varphi = \Delta_A$). Therefore, $f(x) = f(y) \Rightarrow x = y$. Therefore, $f: A \rightarrow 3$ is injective.

Therefore, $\ker f = \{0\} \Rightarrow A \cong f(A)$. But, $f(A)$ is an A^* -subalgebra of 3 . So, $f(A) = 3$. Therefore, $A \cong 3$.

Corollary 1

Every A^* -algebra is a sub A^* -algebra of a product of copies of 3

Proof

By 2.10 and a theorem of Birkhoff [1] every A^* -algebra is a sub-direct product of copies of 3 .

Corollary 2

In every A^* -algebra $x \wedge 0 = x \wedge x^\sim$.

REFERENCES

- Birkhoff, G., 1948. Lattice Theory. American Mathematical Society, Colloquium Publications, New York.
- Guzman, F. and C.C. Squier, 1990. The algebra of conditional logic. Algebra Universalis, 27: 88-110.
- Koteswara Rao, P. and J. Venkateswara Rao, 2003. Boolean algebras and A-algebras. J. Pure Math., 20: 33-38.
- Koteswara Rao, P., 1994. A^* -Algebras and if-then-else structures. Ph.D. Thesis, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Andhra Pradesh, India.

- Koteswara Rao, P. and J. Venkateswara Rao, 2004. Prime ideals and congruences in A-algebras. *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.*, 28: 1099-1119.
- Koteswara Rao, P. and J. Venkateswara Rao, 2005. A Cayley theorem for A-Algebras. *Sectunia Matematica*, S1, F1, pp: 1-6.
- Koteswara Rao, P. and J. Venkateswara Rao, 2008. A*-Modules and If-then-else algebras over A*-algebras. *Int. J. Comput. Math. Appl.*, 2: 103-108.
- Koteswara Rao, P. and J. Venkateswara Rao, 2010. Polynomials over A*-algebras. *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, China.
- Lambek, J., 1966. *Lectures on Rings and Modules*. Blaisdell Publishing Company, London.
- Manes, E.G., 1989. The equational theory of disjoint alternatives. Personal Communication to Prof. N.V. Subrahmanyam.
- Manes, E.G., 1993. Adas and the equational theory of if-then-else. *Algebra Universalis*, 30: 373-394.
- Venkateswa Rao, J., 2000. A*-algebras. Ph.D. Thesis, Nagarjuna University.