Asian Journal of Plant Sciences

ISSN 1682-3974 DOI: 10.3923/ajps.2022.XX.XX



Research Article Pyridoxine Improving Effect on Yield, Chemical and Nutritional Value of Egyptian Clover Plant

¹M.F. El Karamany, ²Mervat Sh. Sadak, ¹Gehan Sh. Bakhoum, ³Hamed A.A. Omer and ¹Bakry A. Bakry

¹Department of Field Crops, Agricultural and Biological Research Institute, National Research Centre,

33 El-Bohouth Street, P.O. Box 12622, Dokki, Giza, Egypt

²Department of Botany, Agricultural and Biological Research Institute, National Research Centre,

33 El-Bohouth Street, P.O. Box 12622, Dokki, Giza, Egypt

³Department of Animal Production, Agricultural and Biological Research Institute, National Research Centre,

33 El-Bohouth Street, P.O. Box 12622, Dokki, Giza, Egypt

Abstract

Background and Objective: Vitamins are natural substances that are important in tiny quantities to sustain the normal growth and development of the plant. Thus this study was carried out to study the physiological effect of pyridoxine on increasing yield quantity and quality of Egyptian clover plant. **Materials and Methods:** In sandy soil at the Research and Production Station, National Research Centre, Nubaria Province, Behaira Governorate, two field experiments were carried out during two consecutive winter seasons to study the effects of pyridoxine treatment on the yield and nutritional value of Egyptian clover. **Results:** Spraying Egyptian clover with pyridoxine significantly increased yield attributes, crude protein, Ash contents, however, crude fibre content decreased by 50 or 75 mg L⁻¹. Pyridoxine levels significantly decreased nitrogen, free extract contents. Pyridoxine with 100 mg L⁻¹ significantly increased gross, digestible, metabolizable and net energy, total digestible nutrients, digestible crude protein, neutral detergent fibre, acid detergent fibre, acid detergent lignin and cellulose contents in comparison with control and the other treatments. Cut stages affect the significantly chemical composition and their contents of both energetic and nutritive values and cell wall constituents. Moreover, there were significant interactions between pyridoxine supplementation and berseem cut stages on chemical composition and their contents of energetic and nutritive values and cell wall constituents, indole acetic acid, phenolic and flavonoids contents were increased gradually with increasing pyridoxine concentrations. **Conclusion:** In conclusion, different pyridoxine treatments have a promotive effect on increasing the forage yield of the berseem plant in addition to its nutritive values.

Key words: Pyridoxine, Egyptian clover, crop yield, chemical analysis, cell wall constituents, energetic, nutritive values, IAA, phenols

Citation: El Karamany, M.F., M.Sh. Sadak, G.Sh. Bakhoum, H.A.A. Omer and B.A. Bakry, 2022. Pyridoxine improving effect on yield, chemical and nutritional value of Egyptian clover plant. Asian J. Plant Sci., 21: XX-XX.

Corresponding Author: Mervat Sh. Sadak, Department of Botany, Agricultural and Biological Research Institute, National Research Centre, 33 El-Bohouth Street, P.O. Box 12622, Dokki, Giza, Egypt

Copyright: © 2022 M.F. El Karamany *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Competing Interest: The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

Data Availability: All relevant data are within the paper and its supporting information files.

INTRODUCTION

Egyptian clover (Trifolium alexandrinum L.) is an annual, cool-season forage crop grown in diverse conditions of Egypt. It gives several cuttings during its growing season and supplies nutritious and juicy forage for animals¹. Normally four to six cuttings of berseem are taken². It is used as animal feed either green or in hay form when seasonal conditions permit^{3,4}. It is famous for farmers due to its rapid growth, an excessive wide variety of harvests and clean forage manufacturing with correct high-satisfactory and quantity^{5,6}. The production rate of Egyptian clover depends on sowing date, climatic conditions, soil fertility, shrub height, number of crops and variety^{2,3}. Therefore, one of the most important goals of Egyptian crops is to increase forage production, especially Egyptian clover, by maintaining its properties as a vegetation cover and soil fertility⁴. It also plays an important role in increasing the intake of dairy products and animal protein. In the same context. Arif et al.7 cleared that Egyptian clover (Trifolium spp.) is one of the most important forage legumes and is known as the Forage King. Often used as green feed for all livestock. Dried Egyptian clover is also an important poultry feed. Due to its desirable properties, it has been suggested that it may be an inexpensive additional source of protein in cattle feed⁸.

Salem *et al.*⁹ also reported that Egyptian clover (*Trifolium alexandrinum* L.) can grow as the first forage plant under Egyptian conditions. The cultivated area is approximately 1.07 million ha/year. In addition, Egyptian clover is an important forage plant because it contains high levels of protein, phosphorus, calcium and various amino acids (valine, leucine, methionine, lysine, tryptophan, arginine and others) as well as 0.75 kg of energy units, provided in every kilogram of hay, so clover improves the structure of the soil. Moreover, the presence of bacteria in the roots of clover increases nitrogen in the soil (100-150 kg of nitrogen is produced per hectare of soil, which is equivalent to 400-500 kg of ammonium chloride). In addition, Egyptian clover plays an important role not only in reducing soil erosion and salt formation but also in cleaning the soil from weeds³.

Egypt is suffering from the loss of cropland due to erosion and desertification. Therefore, it is very important to increase the area of the agricultural land. The newly reclaimed sandy soil on the outskirts of the Nile has received a lot of attention in Egypt. Reclaimed sandy soils are affected by a combination of nutrient deficiencies and lack of available water as well as biological stresses such as temperature fluctuations and increased lighting¹⁰. So, to increase the resistance of plants to these destructive conditions, unusual methods are used. These strategies include selecting resistant varieties, using optimal growing methods and using natural compounds (amino acids, vitamins and antioxidants) through various treatments such as soaking seeds or treating leaves. Therefore, the use of various substances or vitamins that control growth has an important effect on the growth and yield of plants and affects various biochemical and physiological processes of plants. Amino acids are one of the precursors of these growth-promoting substances¹¹.

Vitamins are natural substances that are essential in trace quantities to sustain the normal growth and proper development of all organisms and they function as coenzyme systems and thus play an essential role in the regulation of metabolism. Vitamins are known as limiting factors in plant development¹². The diverse treatment of vitamins led to an effective role of plant bioregulators, which then influence various biochemical processes and protect the plant from the negative influences of abiotic stress¹³. Pyridoxine (vitamin B_6) is an essential coenzyme which incorporated in a wide range of physiological processes, among them glycogen metabolism and biosynthesis of amino acids. Pyridoxine can act as a co-enzyme for numerous metabolic enzymes¹⁴ and it is shown to be a potent antioxidant^{15,16}. It improved the efficiency of photosynthetic carbon reactions and increased dry matter production. In the meantime, treatment of different plants with pyridoxine enhanced cell division, improved growth and differentiation and increased nutrient uptake¹⁷. In seedling growth, exogenous treatments with optimal pyridoxine concentrations were beneficial via increasing availability of water and nutrient. Pyridoxine is highly recommended for use in various plants. It has been established that pyridoxine enhances the growth of the root system which helps in higher nutrient uptake and leads to higher economic yield¹⁸.

So, the objective of this search is to investigate the influence of pyridoxine in different amounts (0, 50, 75 and 100 mg L^{-1} of water) of supplementation on the yield of the crop in different stages of cutting forage of Egyptian clover, which is cultivated under sandy soil for its Increase the harvest as an added value in animal feed and improve its chemical, physiological, biochemical processes, composition and nutritional values.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area: The study was conducted in co-operation work among Department of Field Crops, Institute of Agriculture and Biological Researches, National Research Center, Dokki, Cairo, Egypt and Department of Animal Production, Institute of Agriculture and Biological Researches, National Research Center, Dokki, Cairo, Egypt.

The purpose of this study was to influence the amount of Egyptian clover (crop yield) and chemicals by including pyridoxine in various amounts (0, 50, 75 and 100 mg L^{-1} water) of supplements. It was to find out investigate composition at various cutting stages (4 cuts).

In the winter of 2019/2020 and 2020/2021, two field experiments were conducted at the Research and Production Station of National Research Centre (NRC), Al-Nubaria District, Al Behaira Governorate, Egypt. The experimental soil before sowing was analyzed according¹⁹. The analysis of the experimental soil was: Soil texture: Sand 91.2%, Silt 3.7%, Clay 5.1%, pH 7.3, Organic matter 0.3%, CaCO₃ 1.4%, E.C. dS/m 0.3, Soluble N, ppm 8.1, Available P 3.2 ppm, Exchangeable K, 20 ppm.

Experimental soil was ploughed twice and divided into plots 3×7 m, then made rows 20 cm² between. Egyptian clover cultivar (Meskawy) was inoculated with the appropriate (*Rhizobium trifolii*) in a commercial product produced by the Ministry of Agriculture, Egypt.

The recommended agricultural practices were applied. Pre-sowing, 150 kg/feddan of calcium super-phosphate (15.5% P_2O_5) was applied to the soil. Nitrogen was applied after emergence in the form of ammonium nitrate 33.5% at a rate of 75 kg/feddan in five equal doses before the 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th irrigation.

Potassium sulfate (48.52% K_2O) was added in two equal doses of 50 kg/feddan, before the 1st and 3rd irrigations. Irrigation was carried out using the new sprinkler irrigation system where water was added every 5 days.

The applied substance pyridoxine used in the present study was supplied from sigma chemical.

The plants were sprayed twice with pyridoxine at (50, 75 or 100 mg L^{-1}) while control plants were sprayed with distilled water during vegetative growth at 30 and 45 days after sowing.

Four cuts were taken from each of the two seasons. The first cut was obtained 60 days post-seeding date, the second cut was obtained after 50 days from the first one, while the third one was taken after 40 days from the second cut and the fourth was taken after 40 days from the third cut.

Fresh forage yields: Fresh forage yield of Egyptian clover determined in m² for each of the subsequent four cuts, in each experimental plot recorded and estimated in ton/feddan. This was done for each of the two growing seasons.

Biochemical determinations: Photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll a and b, carotenoids and total pigments) in fresh leaves were determined as the method described by Lichtenthaler and Buschmann²⁰, total carbohydrates was determined according²¹, total soluble sugars were extracted by the method²² and extracted by the method²¹, polysaccharides were determined according²¹, phenolic content was measured as the method described²³ and flavonoids contents were determined by the method²⁴.

Different samples of unsprayed Egyptian clover and sprayed Egyptian clover that sprayed by pyridoxine solution at different levels mentioned above were collected at different cuts and chemical analyses were determined for their contents of moisture, Dry Matter (DM), Organic Matter (OM), Crude Protein (CP), Crude Fibre (CF), Ether Extract (EE), Nitrogen-Free Extract (NFE) and Ash using methods that described²⁵.

On other hand, cell wall constituents include Neutral Detergent Fibre (NDF), Acid Detergent Fibre (ADF), Acid Detergent Lignin (ADL), hemicellulose and cellulose were evaluated in both unsprayed Egyptian clover and sprayed Egyptian clover as the method^{26,27}.

Energetic values composed of Gross Energy (GE), Digestible Energy (DE), Metabolizable Energy (ME) and Net Energy (NE), in addition to nutritive values of both Total Digestible Nutrients (TDN) and Digestible Crude Protein (DCP) were also calculated according to different equations that concluded by NRC²⁸.

Analytical procedures: Chemical analysis of unsprayed Egyptian clover and Egyptian clover includes moisture, Ash, Crude Protein (CP), Crude Fibre (CF) and Ether Extract (EE) contents were determined according to Talreja *et al.*²⁵.

Crude protein determination involved the use of routine Kjeldahl nitrogen assay (N \times 6.25).

Meanwhile, Nitrogen-Free Extract (NFE) or carbohydrate content was determined by the difference using the following equation:

NFE content = 100-(Moisture+CP+CF+EE+Ash)

On the other hand, cell wall constituents including Neutral Detergent Fiber (NDF), acid detergent fibre and Acid Detergent Lignin (ADL) were determined according to Samreen *et al.*²⁶ and Vishwakarma and Dubey²⁷. However, hemicellulose and cellulose contents were calculated by difference as follows:

- Hemicellulose = NDF-ADF
- Cellulose = ADF-ADL

Gross energy (Kcal Kg⁻¹ DM) was calculated according to Liu *et al.*²⁹.

where, each g crude protein = 5.65 Kcal, g fat = 9.40 Kcal and g (crude fibre and carbohydrate) = 4.15 Kcal.

Digestible energy (Kcal kg^{-1} DM) was calculated according to NRC²⁸.

Where:

Digestible Energy (DE) = Gross energy×0.76

Metabolizable energy (Kcal kg^{-1} DM) was calculated according to NRC²⁸.

Where:

Metabolizable Energy (ME) = Digestible energy×0.82

Net energy (Kcal kg⁻¹ DM) was calculated according to NRC²⁸ as follows:

Net Energy (NE) = Metabolizable energy×0.56

Total digestible nutrients (%) was calculated according to NRC²⁸.

Where:

Total digestible nutrients (%) =
$$\frac{\text{Digestible energy}}{44.3}$$

Digestible crude protein (%) was calculated according to NRC²⁸.

Where:

Digestible crude protein (%) =
$$0.85 X_1$$
-2.5

where, X_1 = Crude protein (%) on DM basis.

Statistical analysis: Except for data of indole acetic acids the others collected data of chemical composition that includes (Moisture, Dry Matter (DM), Organic Matter (OM), Crude Protein (CP), Crude Fibre (CF), Ether Extract (EE), Nitrogen-Free Extract (NFE) and Ash), cell wall constituents includes (Neutral Detergent Fibre (NDF), Acid Detergent Fibre (ADF), Acid Detergent Lignin (ADL), Hemicellulose and Cellulose), energetic values include (Gross Energy (GE), Digestible Energy (DE), Metabolizable Energy (ME) and Net Energy (NE)) and

nutritive values includes Total Digestible Nutrients (TDN) and Digestible Crude Protein (DCP), fresh forage yields, photosynthetic pigments includes (chlorophyll a and b, carotenoids and total pigments), total carbohydrates, total soluble sugars, polysaccharides, phenolic and flavonoids contents were statistically analyzed as two factors-factorial analysis of variance using the general linear model procedure of Salkind³⁰ was used to examine the significance between means.

The following model was used as the following:

$$Y_{ijk} = \mu + L_i + C_j + (LC)_{ij} + e_{ijk}$$

Where:

- Y_{iik} = Observation
- μ = Overall mean
- L_i = Effect of levels of pyridoxine solution sprayed for i = 1-4, 1 = 0 pyridoxine, 2 = 50 mg pyridoxine/L water, 3 = 75 mg pyridoxine/L water and 4 = 100 mg pyridoxine/L water
- C_j = Effect of Egyptian Clover (EC) Cut stages (C) for j = 1-4, 1= First cut stage of EC, 2 = Second cut stage of EC, 3 = Third cut stage of EC and 4 = Fourth cut stage of EC
- (LC)_{ij} = Interaction between pyridoxine supplementation levels and EC cut stages

e_{ijk} = Experimental error

Meanwhile, data of indole was statistically analyzed as one-way analysis of variance using the general linear model procedure of Boghdady³¹ that used to examine the significance between means, using the following model:

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + L_i + e_{ij}$$

Where:

- Y_{ij} = Observation
- μ = Overall mean

e_{ii} = Experimental error

RESULTS

Changes in fresh forage yield: Table 1, 2 cleared that, sprayed Egyptian clover forage with various pyridoxine levels (50, 75 or 100 mg L^{-1}) realized a significantly (p<0.05) increasing in yield quantity compared with control plants. Meanwhile, cut stages

Table 1: Main consequences of nutritional remedies on forage yield

| | | Pyridoxine treatme | nt levels (mg L ⁻¹) | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|-------|
| ltems | 0 | 50 | 75 | 100 | SEM |
| Forage yield (ton/feddan) | 2.946 ^d | 3.117° | 3.701 ^b | 4.539ª | 0.115 |
| | Egyptian clover cut | stages | | | |
| | First cut | Second cut | Third cut | Fourth cut | SEM |
| Forage yield (ton/feddan) | 2.968 ^d | 3.530° | 3.870ª | 3.786 ^b | 0.115 |

^{a-d}Means in the same row within each treatment having different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05) and SEM: Standard error of the mean

Table 2: Interactions between pyridoxine treatment levels and Egyptian clover forage cut stages on forage yield

| | | Pyridoxine trea | atment (mg L ⁻¹) | |
|------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| Treatments | 0 | 50 | 75 | 100 |
| First cut | 2.223 ⁿ | 2.350 ^m | 3.123 ^k | 4.424 ^d |
| Second cut | 3.122 ^k | 2.867 ⁱ | 3.600 ^g | 4.530 ^c |
| Third cut | 3.320 ⁱ | 3.400 ^h | 4.100 ^e | 4.660 ^b |
| Fourth cut | 3.120 ^k | 3.300 ^j | 3.982 ^f | 4.740 ^a |
| SEM | 0.115 | | | |

^{a-n}Means in the same row having different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05) and SEM: Standard error of the mean

Table 3: Chemical analysis of pyridoxine treatment on energetic and nutritive values and cell wall constituents

| | | Pyridoxine treat | ment levels mg L ⁻¹ water | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-------|
| Items | 0 | 50 | 75 | 100 | SEM |
| 1-Chemical analysis | | | | | |
| Moisture | 9.39 ^b | 9.10 ^c | 10.16ª | 10.14 ^a | 0.26 |
| Dry Matter (DM) | 90.61 ^b | 90.90ª | 89.84 ^c | 89.86 ^c | 0.26 |
| Chemical analysis on DM basis | | | | | |
| Organic Matter (OM) | 88.01ª | 86.81° | 87.52 ^b | 88.03ª | 0.18 |
| Crude Protein (CP) | 17.09 ^d | 17.83 ^c | 18.53 ^b | 18.64ª | 0.15 |
| Crude Fiber (CF) | 21.04 ^b | 20.45 ^d | 20.88 ^c | 22.04ª | 0.45 |
| Ether Extract (EE) | 3.03 ^b | 2.31 ^d | 2.85° | 3.46ª | 0.14 |
| Nitrogen-Free Extract (NFE) | 46.85ª | 46.22 ^b | 45.26 ^c | 43.89 ^d | 0.48 |
| Ash | 11.99 ^c | 13.19ª | 12.48 ^b | 11.97° | 0.18 |
| 2-Energetic and nutritive values | | | | | |
| Gross Energy (GE) | 4068 ^b | 3992 ^d | 4059 ^c | 4114ª | 10.83 |
| Digestible Energy (DE) | 3092 ^b | 3034 ^d | 3085° | 3127ª | 8.22 |
| Metabolizable Energy (ME) | 2535 ^b | 2488 ^d | 2530° | 2564ª | 6.73 |
| Net Energy (NE) | 1420 ^b | 1393 ^d | 1417 ^c | 1436ª | 3.79 |
| 3-Nutritive values (%) | | | | | |
| Total Digestible Nutrients (TDN) | 69.79 ^b | 68.48 ^d | 69.63° | 70.59ª | 0.19 |
| Digestible Crude Protein (DCP) | 12.03 ^d | 12.66 ^c | 13.25 ^b | 13.34ª | 0.13 |
| 4-Cell wall constituents | | | | | |
| Neutral Detergent Fibre (NDF) | 42.75 ^b | 42.36 ^d | 42.64 ^c | 43.40 ^a | 0.30 |
| Acid Detergent Fibre (ADF) | 28.62 ^b | 28.09 ^d | 28.48 ^c | 29.53ª | 0.41 |
| Acid Detergent Lignin (ADL) | 5.09 ^b | 4.99 ^d | 5.06 ^c | 5.26ª | 0.08 |
| Hemicellulose* | 14.13 ^c | 14.27ª | 14.16 ^b | 13.87 ^d | 0.12 |
| Cellulose** | 23.53 ^b | 23.10 ^d | 23.42° | 24.27ª | 0.34 |

^{a-d}Means in the same row within each treatment having different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05), SEM: Standard error of the mean, *Hemicellulose: NDF-ADF and **Cellulose: ADF-ADL

were also significantly (p<0.05) affected by sprayed Egyptian clover forage with pyridoxine solution with various levels as mentioned above (50, 75 or 100 mg L⁻¹). Moreover, the above-mentioned treatments caused significant (p<0.05) increases in Egyptian clover forage yield quantity compared with control plants (Table 2). Furthermore, the foliar treatment of pyridoxine accompanied with cut stages show significant

increases compared with their corresponding controls throughout cut stages of Egyptian clover forage yield.

Changes in chemical attributes: Table 3 showed that treating Egyptian clover with 75 and 100 mg L^{-1} pyridoxine significantly decreased DM content compared to untreated plants, while, 50 mg L^{-1} significantly increased DM

| Table 4: Forage cuts and chemical analysis | on energetic and nutritive | values and cell wall constitu | ients | | |
|--|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|
| | | Egyptian clover f | orage cut stages | | |
| Items | First cut | Second cut | Third cut | Fourth cut | SEM |
| 1-Chemical analysis | | | | | |
| Moisture | 10.70ª | 9.88 ^b | 9.35° | 8.85 ^d | 0.26 |
| Dry Matter (DM) | 89.30 ^d | 90.12 ^c | 90.65 ^b | 91.15° | 0.26 |
| Chemical analysis on DM basis | | | | | |
| Organic Matter (OM) | 88.15ª | 87.60 ^b | 87.21 ^d | 87.41° | 0.18 |
| Crude Protein (CP) | 17.40 ^d | 17.85 ^b | 19.11ª | 17.72° | 0.15 |
| Crude Fibre (CF) | 21.77 ^b | 17.57 ^d | 20.26° | 24.81ª | 0.45 |
| Ether Extract (EE) | 3.32ª | 2.16 ^c | 3.35ª | 2.81 ^b | 0.14 |
| Nitrogen-Free Extract (NFE) | 45.66 ^b | 50.02ª | 44.49° | 42.07 ^d | 0.48 |
| Ash | 11.85 ^d | 12.40 ^c | 12.79ª | 12.59 ^b | 0.18 |
| 2-Energetic and nutritive values | | | | | |
| Gross Energy (GE) | 4094ª | 4017 ^d | 4082 ^b | 4041 ^c | 10.83 |
| Digestible Energy (DE) | 3111ª | 3053 ^d | 3102 ^b | 3071 ^c | 8.22 |
| Metabolizable Energy (ME) | 2551ª | 2504 ^d | 2544 ^b | 2515 ^c | 6.73 |
| Net Energy (NE) | 1429ª | 1402 ^d | 1425 ^b | 1411 ^c | 3.79 |
| 3-Nutritive values (%) | | | | | |
| Total Digestible Nutrients (TDN) | 70.23ª | 68.91 ^d | 70.03 ^b | 69.32° | 0.19 |
| Digestible Crude Protein (DCP) | 12.29 ^d | 12.68 ^b | 13.74ª | 12.56° | 0.13 |
| 4-Cell wall constituents | | | | | |
| Neutral Detergent Fibre (NDF) | 43.23 ^b | 40.47 ^d | 42.23° | 45.22ª | 0.30 |
| Acid Detergent Fibre (ADF) | 29.29 ^b | 25.46 ^d | 27.91° | 32.06ª | 0.41 |
| Acid Detergent Lignin (ADL) | 5.21 ^b | 4.50 ^d | 4.96 ^c | 5.73ª | 0.08 |
| Hemicellulose* | 13.94 ^c | 15.01ª | 14.32 ^b | 13.16 ^d | 0.12 |
| Cellulose** | 24.08 ^b | 20.96 ^d | 22.95° | 26.33ª | 0.34 |

*dMeans in the same row within each treatment having different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05), SEM: Standard error of the mean, *Hemicellulose: NDF-ADF and **Cellulose: ADF-ADL

content in comparison with the other treatments. Different treatments of pyridoxine occurred significantly (p<0.05) increasing in their CP content, the highest CP content was obtained from 100 mg L^{-1} pyridoxine (18.64%).

Despite, CF contents were significantly (p<0.05) reduced by treating Egyptian clover forage with 50 or 75 mg L^{-1} pyridoxine (20.45 and 20.88%) as compared with control and 100 mg L^{-1} water (21.04 and 22.04% CF, respectively). Pyridoxine foliar treatment with 100 mg L^{-1} caused a significant (p<0.05) increase in EE content of Egyptian clover compared to control and the other two levels. The corresponding values of EE content were (3.03, 2.31, 2.85 and 3.46%) for 0, 50, 75 and 100 mg pyridoxine/L water, respectively. All spraying levels of pyridoxine (50, 75 and 100 mg L^{-1} water) significantly (p<0.05) decreased their contents of NFE (46.22, 45.26 and 43.89%) in comparison with the control (46.85% NFE). In addition, treating Egyptian clover with pyridoxine with (50 or 75 mg L^{-1} water) significantly increased their content of Ash compared to control, meanwhile, 100 mg pyridoxine was noticed at the same range of Ash content with the control.

Table 4 revealed the changes in chemical attributes of Egyptian clover forage all over different cut stages. Results showed significant (p<0.05) differences between the first, second, third and fourth cut stages. Results show the progressive increases in DM throughout the first, second,

third and fourth cuts (89.30%, 90.12, 90.65 and 91.15% DM), respectively. Organic Matter (OM) content was significantly (p<0.05) decreased throughout the different cut stages of Egyptian clover forage (second, third and fourth cuts) compared to control (first cut). Crude Protein (CP), Ether Extract (EE) and Ash contents showed significantly (p<0.05) increases in the third cut stages compared to the others cut stages. Meanwhile, Nitrogen-Free Extract (NFE) content showed a significant (p<0.05) increase in the second cut in comparison with the others cuts. Also, Crude Fibre (CF) content showed a significant (p<0.05) increase in the fourth cut in comparison with the others cuts.

For the interaction of different levels of pyridoxine treatments and cut stages, Table 5 and 6 show the promotive effect of different concentrations of pyridoxine (50, 75 and 100 mg L^{-1}) in DM, OM, CP, EE, CF and NEF as compared with the corresponding controls in the four cuts first, second, third and fourth cuts in most treatments except, Ash content of the first cut, OM and EE of the second and third cuts and DM, OM, CF and NFE of the fourth cut different pyridoxine levels decreased plant contents (Table 5).

Changes in energetic and nutritive values: Table 3 revealed the enhancing effect of treating Egyptian clover forage with 100 mg L^{-1} water caused significant (p<0.05) increases in Gross Energy (GE), Digestible Energy (DE),

| First cut First cut Second cut Thind cut Fourth cut Fourth cut tensos 0 0 50 75 100 0 50 75 100 50 75 100 50 50 75 100 50 50 75 100 50 50 75 100 50 50 75 100 50 50 75 100 50 50 75 100 50 50 75 100 50 50 75 100 50 50 75 100 50 50 75 100 50 50 75 100 50 50 75 100 50 | Egyptian clover forage cuts | | | | | | | | | בטאטומון בוטיבו וטומשב בענט | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------|
| 0 50 75 100 0 50 75 100 0 50 75 100 9,71' 785' 10.73 14,51' 10.52' 87,1' 55,6' 10.75' 87,7' 87,0' 93,8' 9,16' 93,8' 9,13' 91,3'' 91,3'' 91,3'' 91,3'' 91,3'' 91,3'' 91,3'' 91,3''' 91,3''' 91,3''' 91,3''' 91,3''' 91,3''' 91,3'''' 91,3'''' 91,3''''' 91,3'''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''' | | | Fir | st cut | | | Secol | nd cut | | | Third c | ut | | | Fourt | h cut | | |
| Pyridoxine treatment levels mg L ⁻¹ water Pyridoxine treatment levels mg L ⁻¹ water 971' 7.85' 10.73' 1451' 10.55' 871' 9.38' 9.34' 9.33' 9.16' 9.33' 9.16' 9.33' 9.16' 9.03' 9.03' 9.04' 9.03' 9.04' <t< th=""><th></th><th>0</th><th>50</th><th>75</th><th>100</th><th>0</th><th>50</th><th>75</th><th>100</th><th>0</th><th>50</th><th>75</th><th>100</th><th>0</th><th>50</th><th>75</th><th>100</th><th></th></t<> | | 0 | 50 | 75 | 100 | 0 | 50 | 75 | 100 | 0 | 50 | 75 | 100 | 0 | 50 | 75 | 100 | |
| 971' 7.85' 10.73' 1451' 10.52' 8.77' 9.56' 9.38' 9.37' 936' 90.67' 90.66' 90.67' 90.84' Mbasis 86.18 88.17' 77.47' 12.74' 12.47' 17.24' 13.47' 13.74'''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''' | ltems | | | | | | Py | ridoxine t | reatment | levels mg | L ⁻¹ water | | | | | | | SEM |
| 971' 7.85' 10.73' 14.51' 10.52' 871' 5.49' 90.65' 93.8' 9.37' 93.4' 93.4'' 91.2'' 90.2'' 93.4'' 10.5'' 10.5'' 91.2'' 90.2'' 93.4'' 91.2'' 90.2'' 93.4'' 91.2'' 90.2'' 93.4'' 91.2'' 90.2'' 93.4'' 91.2'' 90.2'' 93.4'' 91.2'' 90.2'' 93.4'' 91.2'' 90.2'' 93.4'' 91.8'' 17.4''' 17.4''' 17.2'' 91.8'' 17.4''' 12.4''' 12.4'''' 12.4''' 12.4''' < | 1-Chemical analysis | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 90.29 ⁽ⁱ⁾ 92.15 89.24 ^(j) 89.48 91.29 ⁽ⁱ⁾ 90.21 ⁽ⁱ⁾ 89.45 90.24 ⁽ⁱ⁾ 89.45 90.24 ⁽ⁱ⁾ 90.64 ⁽ⁱ⁾ 90.67 ⁽ⁱ⁾ 90.64 ⁽ⁱ⁾ 91.64 ⁽ⁱ⁾ 17.89 ⁽ⁱ⁾ 88.73 ⁽ⁱ⁾ 88.44 ⁽ⁱ⁾ 86.91 ⁽ⁱ⁾ 81.73 ⁽ⁱ⁾ 81.26 ⁽ⁱ⁾ 81.64 ⁽ⁱ⁾ 16.41 ⁽ⁱ⁾ 17.89 ⁽ⁱ⁾ 81.33 ⁽ⁱ⁾ 23.76 ⁽ⁱ⁾ 23.76 ⁽ⁱ⁾ 23.86 ⁽ⁱ⁾ 33.64 ⁽ⁱ⁾ 16.31 ⁽ⁱ⁾ 18.10 ⁽ⁱ⁾ 23.76 ⁽ⁱ⁾ <th< th=""><th>Moisture</th><th>9.71^f</th><th>7.85^k</th><th>10.73^c</th><th>14.51^a</th><th>10.52^d</th><th>8.71^j</th><th>9.569</th><th>10.72€</th><th>9.79€</th><th>10.45^d</th><th>11.00^b</th><th>6.16^m</th><th>7.54</th><th>9.37^h</th><th>9.33^h</th><th>9.16</th><th>0.26</th></th<> | Moisture | 9.71 ^f | 7.85 ^k | 10.73 ^c | 14.51 ^a | 10.52 ^d | 8.71 ^j | 9.569 | 10.72€ | 9.79€ | 10.45 ^d | 11.00 ^b | 6.16 ^m | 7.54 | 9.37 ^h | 9.33 ^h | 9.16 | 0.26 |
| OM basis Solution 85.57 90.82 87.57 90.82 87.57 90.82 87.57 90.82 87.57 90.82 87.57 80.41 85.31 87.53 86.89 87.47 89.41 85.31 87.53 86.89 87.47 89.41 85.41 87.53 86.83 87.47 89.41 87.57 90.82 87.57 90.82 87.57 90.82 87.57 90.82 87.57 86.92 87.73 87.06 86.58 87.47 89.41 67.41 77.39 18.24 18.24 18.24 18.26 18.26 21.367 23.66 23.74 13.322 396 182.1 365 3.86 3.28 11.60 13.08 12.27 12.94 43.595 45.07 42.44 45.953 43.14 44.42 50.38 511.60 13.06 13.44 40.57 40.57 40.57 40.57 40.57 40.57 40.57 40.57 40.57 40.57 40.57 25.56 41.57 <t< th=""><th>Dry Matter (DM)</th><th>90.29^h</th><th>92.15^c</th><th>89.27^k</th><th>85.49^m</th><th>89.48^j</th><th>91.29^d</th><th>90.449</th><th>89.28^k</th><th>90.21</th><th>89.55^j</th><th>89.00</th><th>93.84ª</th><th>92.46^b</th><th>90.63^f</th><th>90.67^f</th><th>90.84^e</th><th>0.26</th></t<> | Dry Matter (DM) | 90.29 ^h | 92.15 ^c | 89.27 ^k | 85.49 ^m | 89.48 ^j | 91.29 ^d | 90.449 | 89.28 ^k | 90.21 | 89.55 ^j | 89.00 | 93.84ª | 92.46 ^b | 90.63 ^f | 90.67 ^f | 90.84 ^e | 0.26 |
| | Chemical analysis on DM basis | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16.00 16.00 16.00 16.00 16.00 16.00 16.00 16.01 16.01 16.01 16.01 16.01 16.01 16.01 16.01 16.01 16.01 16.01 16.01 16.01 12.03 336 32.73 17.15 16.71 18.10 22.56 24.16 23.73 24.16 23.70 23.87 23.09 23.87 23.09 23.87 23.09 23.86 23.86 23.86 23.86 23.86 23.86 23.86 23.86 23.87 309 23.67 309 23.66 23.87 23.96 23.87 23.96 23.87 23.96 23.87 23.99 23.96 23.87 23.96 23.87 23.99 23.96 23.87 23.99 23.97 40.92 23.87 13.99 12.47 13.49 12.47 13.49 12.47 13.49 12.47 13.49 12.47 13.99 13.99 13.99 13.99 13.99 13.99 13.99 <th13.99< th=""> <th13.99< th=""> <th13.99< t<="" td=""><td>Organic Matter (OM)</td><td>86.18^m</td><td>88.02^e</td><td>87.579</td><td>90.82ª</td><td>88.73^c</td><td>86.34</td><td>88.40^d</td><td>86.92^j</td><td>87.73^f</td><td>87.06</td><td>86.58^k</td><td>87.47^h</td><td>89.41^b</td><td>85.81ⁿ</td><td>87.53^{gh}</td><td>86.89</td><td>0.18</td></th13.99<></th13.99<></th13.99<> | Organic Matter (OM) | 86.18 ^m | 88.02 ^e | 87.579 | 90.82ª | 88.73 ^c | 86.34 | 88.40 ^d | 86.92 ^j | 87.73 ^f | 87.06 | 86.58 ^k | 87.47 ^h | 89.41 ^b | 85.81 ⁿ | 87.53 ^{gh} | 86.89 | 0.18 |
| | Crude Protein (CP) | 16.00 | 16.60 | 18.20 ^f | 18.81 ^c | 17.42 ^h | 17.29€ | 17.919 | 18.79⊆ | 18.53 ^d | 19.54 ^b | 19.75ª | 18.61 ^d | 16.41 ^k | 17.899 | 18.24 ^f | 18.34 ^e | 0.15 |
| | Crude Fibre (CF) | 18.10 | 22.67 ^f | 22.589 | 23.73 ^d | 17.15 ⁿ | 16.71° | 18.68 | 17.74 ^m | 21.34 ^h | 18.26 ^k | 18.56 | 22.87 ^e | 27.56ª | 24.16 ^b | 23.70 ^d | 23.82 ^c | 0.45 |
| (NE) 48.12 ^d 46.93 ^d 43.14 ^k 44.42 ^l 50.88 ^b 51.31 ^o 50.71 ^c 47.15 ^c 44.24 ^l 13.66 ^s 11.27 ^l 13.66 ^s 11.60 ^k 13.06 ^s 11.27 ^l 13.66 ^s 11.27 ^l 13.66 ^s 11.27 ^l 13.66 ^s 11.27 ^l 13.66 ^s 11.60 ^k 13.08 ^s 12.34 ^l 12.37 ^s 10.59 ^m 14.19 ^s 12.47 ^m 13.11 ^s 4024 ^l 3997 ^l 3099 ^l 4077 ^l 402 ^l 3092 ^l 3091 ^l 3097 ^l 3091 ^l 3091 ^l 3007 ^l 3091 ^l 3001 ^l 3091 ^l 3001 ^l 300 | Ether Extract (EE) | 3.96ª | 1.82 | 3.65 ^c | 3.86 ^b | 3.28 ^f | 1.03 ^m | 1.10 | 3.24 ^{gh} | 3.62℃ | 3.31 ^{ef} | 3.27 ^{fg} | 3.19 ^h | 1.25 ^k | 3.09 ⁱ | 3.36 ^e | 3.54 ^d | 0.14 |
| 13.82 ^b 11.98 ^j 12.43 ^j 9.18 ^v 11.27 ^j 13.66 ^s 11.60 ^k 13.06 ^s 12.27 ^j 12.53 ^j 10.59 ^m 14.19 ^s 12.47 ^j ^m 13.11 ^s tive values 4024 ⁱ 3997 ^j 4099 ^j 4254 ^a 4116 ^b 3897 ^s 3995 ^j 4069 ^j 4077 ^j 4027 ^j 3034 ^j 3102 ^j 3091 ^s 3058 ^s 3038 ^s 3115 ^s 3233 ^s 3128 ^s 3057 ^j 3101 ^d 3086 ^s 3099 ^d 3057 ^s 3091 ^s 3091 ^s 3058 ^s 3038 ^s 3115 ^s 2333 ^s 3128 ^s 3123 ^s 3101 ^d 3086 ^s 3099 ^d 3057 ^s 3091 ^s 3091 ^s 1404 ^a 1395 ^s 1436 ^s 1437 ^j 1437 ^j 1437 ^d 1417 ^j 1423 ^d 1404 ^s 1393 ^j 1425 ^d 1426 ^s 160 69.03 ^s 68.58 ^s 70.50 ^s 1437 ^j 1323 ^d 1142 ^d 1417 ^j 1423 ^d 140 ^d 1393 ^j 1425 ^d 1426 ^s </td <td>Nitrogen-Free Extract (NFE)</td> <td>48.12^d</td> <td>46.93^f</td> <td>43.14^k</td> <td>44.42</td> <td>50.88^b</td> <td>51.31^a</td> <td>50.71^c</td> <td>47.15^e</td> <td>44.24</td> <td>45.959</td> <td>45.00^h</td> <td>42.80</td> <td>44.19</td> <td>40.67°</td> <td>42.23^m</td> <td>41.19ⁿ</td> <td>0.48</td> | Nitrogen-Free Extract (NFE) | 48.12 ^d | 46.93 ^f | 43.14 ^k | 44.42 | 50.88 ^b | 51.31 ^a | 50.71 ^c | 47.15 ^e | 44.24 | 45.959 | 45.00 ^h | 42.80 | 44.19 | 40.67° | 42.23 ^m | 41.19 ⁿ | 0.48 |
| tive valuestive values 4024^{4} 3997^{1} 4099^{4} 4254^{4} 4116^{4} 3897^{4} 3995^{1} 4059^{1} 4107^{7} 4022^{1} 3992^{2} 4082^{7} 4067^{9} 4024^{1} 3038^{1} 3115^{2} 3233^{3} 3112^{2} 3036^{4} 3097^{2} 3034^{1} 3102^{2} 3099^{2} 3058^{9} 3038^{1} 3115^{2} 2265^{1} 2265^{1} 2922^{1} 3086^{4} 3099^{4} 3079^{2} 3034^{1} 3102^{2} 3091^{4} 1404^{9} 1395^{1} 1436^{2} 1437^{2} 1417^{1} 1424^{2} 1417^{1} 1423^{2} 1420^{2} 1324^{2} 1420^{2} 1104^{9} 1395^{1} 1436^{2} 1360^{1} 1344^{2} 117^{1} 1423^{2} 1417^{2} 1426^{2} 2544^{2} 2567^{2} 1100^{1} 11.61^{1} 12.97^{1} 1336^{2} 12.71^{9} 13.47^{2} 13.47^{2} 13.22^{9} 14.11^{2} 14.29^{2} 14.29^{2} 14.29^{2} 11.10^{1} 11.61^{1} 12.97^{1} 12.21^{1} 12.20^{2} 13.47^{2} 13.47^{2} 13.22^{9} 14.12^{2} 10002^{1} 68.69^{1} 68.53^{1} 20.50^{1} 25.61^{1} 25.41^{2} 25.61^{2} 25.41^{2} 25.61^{2} 25.41^{2} 25.61^{2} 10002^{1} 69.66^{1} 69.56^{6} 69.56^{6} 69.01^{9} 69.66^{9} 69.26^{9} 2002^{9} 2002^{9} < | Ash | 13.82 ^b | 11.98 | 12.43 ^h | 9.18 ⁿ | 11.27 | 13.66⁰ | 11.60 ^k | 13.08€ | 12.27 | 12.94 ^f | 13.42 ^d | 12.539 | 10.59 ^m | 14.19ª | 12.47 ^{gh} | 13.11€ | 0.18 |
| 4024i 3997i 4099d 4254 ^a 4116 ^b 3897 ^b 3995 ^c 4059 ^d 4077 ⁱ 4027 ⁱ 3992 ⁱ 4080 ^j 4077 ⁱ 4022 ⁱ 3992 ^j 4087 ^j 4067 ^j 4077 ⁱ 4022 ⁱ 3992 ^j 4067 ^j 4077 ^j 4022 ^j 3092 ^j 3057 ^j 3092 ^j 3097 ^j 4067 ^j 3097 ^j 3087 ^j 3101 ^j 3096 ^j 3057 ^j 3097 ^j 3107 ^j 3107 ^j 3107 ^j 3107 ^j 3107 ^j 31.47 ^j 13.27 ^j 14.17 ^j 14.22 ^j 14.27 ^j 14.27 ^j 14.27 ^j 14.27 ^j 14.27 ^j 14.27 ^j | 2-Energetic and nutritive values | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Gross Energy (GE) | 4024 | 3997 | 4099 ^d | 4254ª | 4116 ^b | 3897 ^k | 3995 | 4059 ^h | 4109⁰ | 4080^{f} | 4061 ^{gh} | 4077 ^f | 4022 | 3992 | 4082 ^f | 40679 | 10.83 |
| Include Fiergy (ME) 2568° 2491° 2565° 2490° 2530° 2561° 2543° 2531° 2571° 2507° 2488° 2544° 2537° (NE) 14049 13957 1436° 1360' 1394' 1417' 1434' 1417' 1423' 14049' 1393'' 1426'' 1360'' 1394'' 1417' 1423'' 1404'' 1393'' 1426'' 1400''' 1417'' 1423'' 1404''' 1393''' 1426''' 1423''' 1404''' 1393''' 1426''' 1400''''' 1426'''' 1400''''' 1420'''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''' | Digestible Energy (DE) | 30589 | 3038 ^h | 3115 ^c | 3233ª | 3128 ^b | 2962 ⁱ | 3036 ^h | 3085 ^f | 3123 ^b | 3101 ^d | 3086 ^{ef} | 3099 ^d | 30579 | 3034^{h} | 3102 ^d | 3091€ | 8.22 |
| (NE) 1404 ^a 1395 ^b 1436 ^b 1364 ^b 1417 ^c 1423 ^d 1404 ^a 1393 ^b 1425 ^d 1424 ^a 1417 ^c 1423 ^d 1404 ^a 1393 ^b 1426 ^a 1303 ^b 1420 ^a 1303 ^b 1303 ^b 1300 ^a 69:07 ^a 69:07 ^a 69:07 ^a 69:07 ^a 69:07 ^a 69:07 ^a 1300 ^a 13.06 ^a | Metabolizable Energy (ME) | 25089 | 2491 ^h | 2554 ^c | 2651 ^a | 2565 ^b | 2429 ⁱ | 2490 ^h | 2530 ^f | 2561 ^b | 2543 ^d | 2531 ^{ef} | 2541 ^d | 25079 | 2488 ^h | 2544 ^d | 2535 ^e | 6.73 |
| values (%) values (%) <thvalues (%)<="" th=""> values (%) <thvalues (%)<="" th=""></thvalues></thvalues> | Net Energy (NE) | 14049 | 1395 ^h | 1430⁰ | 1485 ^a | 1436 ^b | 1360 ⁱ | 1394 ^h | 141 <i>7</i> ^r | 1434 ^b | 1424 ^d | 141 <i>7</i> ^f | 1423 ^d | 14049 | 1393 ^h | 1425 ^d | 1420€ | 3.79 |
| ible Nutrients (TDN) 69.03° 68.58° 70.32° 72.98° 70.61° 66.86′ 68.53° 69.64′ 70.50° 70.00′ 69.66′ 69.95′ 69.01° 68.49° 70.02′ 69.77° 71.01 11.61′ 12.97′ 13.49° 12.31′ 12.20′ 12.72° 13.47′ 13.25′ 14.11′ 14.29° 13.32′ 11.45′ 12.71° 13.00′ 13.09° 13.09° rude Protein (DCP) 11.10′ 11.61′ 12.97′ 13.49° 12.31′ 12.20′ 12.72° 13.47′ 13.25′ 14.11′ 14.29° 13.32′ 11.45′ 12.71′ 13.00′ 13.09° rotein (DCP) 40.82′ 43.76′ 30.02° 31.07′ 25.07′ 26.47′ 25.61′′ 26.36′′ 20.32° 21.72′ 21.47′ 13.74′ 13.74′ 15.12° 15.23° 14.77′ 14.05′′ 14.85′′ 14.86′′ 13.66′′ 13.33′′ 13.47′′ 13.61′′ 13.61′′ 13.61′′ 25.61′′ 25.61′′ 26.47′ 25.61′′ 25.61′′ 25.61′′ 26.09′′ 26.36′′ 20.32° 21.79′′ 25.61′′ 25.61′′ 25.61′′ 26.09′′ 26.36′′ 20.32° 21.79′′ 21.61′′ 25.61′′ 26.36′′ 20.32° 21.48°′′ 20.32° 21.79′′ 25.61′′ 25.61′′ 26.09′′ 26.36′′ 20.26′′ 25.46′′ 25.22°′′ 26.64′′ 25.61′′ 26.61′′ 25.61′′ 26.09′′ 26.36′′ 20.32° 21.47°′ 25.61′′ 21.61′′ 21.61′′ 21.65′′ 26.36′′ 20.25°′′ 25.61′′ 21.61′′ | 3-Nutritive values (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| rude Protein (DCP) 11.10 ¹ 11.61 ¹ 12.97 ¹ 13.49 ^c 12.31 ^b 12.20 ¹ 12.72 ⁹ 13.47 ^c 13.25 ^d 14.11 ^b 14.29 ^a 13.32 ^d 11.45 ^k 12.71 ⁹ 13.00 ⁱ 13.09 ^e 11.01 ^e 14.59 ^a 13.32 ^d 11.45 ^k 12.71 ⁹ 13.00 ⁱ 13.09 ^e 13.09 ^e 14.57 ⁱ 13.09 ^e 14.57 ⁱ 13.01 ⁱ 13.09 ^e 14.59 ⁱ 13.01 ⁱ 13.01 ⁱ 13.00 ⁱ 13.09 ^e 14.59 ⁱ 13.01 ⁱ 13.01 ⁱ 13.00 ⁱ 13.09 ^e 11.16 ⁱ ent Lignin (ADL) 4.59 ⁱ 5.36 ⁱ 5.35 ⁱ 5.54 ^d 4.43 ⁱ 4.35 ⁱ 4.55 ⁱ 14.73 ⁱ 14.97 ⁱ 14.05 ⁱ 14.88 ⁱ 14.76 ⁱ 13.66 ^k 12.46 ^o 13.33 ⁱ 13.44 ⁱ 13.41 ^m 30.4 ⁱ s ^{e^k} 13.71 ⁱ 13.74 ⁱ 13.71 ⁱ 13.74 ⁱ 15.12 ⁱ 15.23 ⁱ 14.73 ⁱ 14.97 ⁱ 14.05 ⁱ 14.05 ⁱ 14.88 ⁱ 14.76 ⁱ 13.66 ^k 12.46 ^o 13.33 ⁱ 13.44 ⁱ 13.41 ^m s ^{e^k} 21.35 ⁱ 21.35 ⁱ 24.75 ⁱ 22.53 ⁱ 20.64 ⁱ 20.32 ⁱ 21.79 ⁱ 21.09 ⁱ 21.08 ⁱ 23.75 ⁱ 21.48 ⁱ 21.69 ⁱ 26.58 ⁱ 25.83 ⁱ 25.83 ⁱ 25.51 ^d 25.51 ^d 25.51 ^d 25.61 ⁱ 21.35 ⁱ 14.75 ⁱ 13.61 ^k 12.66 ⁱ 12.46 ^o 13.33 ⁱ 13.44 ⁱ 13.41 ^m 13.41 ^m 13.41 ^m 21.35 ⁱ 14.75 ⁱ 21.35 ⁱ 21.55 ⁱ 21.55 ⁱ 21.55 ⁱ 21.70 ⁱ 20.56 ⁱ 21.36 ⁱ 21.35 ⁱ 21.48 ⁱ 21.65 ⁱ 21.35 ⁱ 21.55 ⁱ 21.55 ⁱ 25.51 ^d 25.51 ^d 25.51 ^d 25.56 ⁱ 25.56 ⁱ 21.35 ⁱ 21.55 ⁱ 21.55 ⁱ 21.55 ⁱ 21.65 ⁱ 21.55 ⁱ 21.55 ⁱ 21.55 ⁱ 21.55 ⁱ 21.55 ⁱ 21.65 ⁱ 21.55 ⁱ 21.55 ⁱ 21.55 ⁱ 21.55 ⁱ 21.55 ⁱ 21.55 ⁱ 25.51 ^d 25.56 ⁱ 25.56 ⁱ 21.55 ⁱ 25.55 ⁱ 25.56 ⁱ 25.56 ⁱ 26.56 ⁱ 26.5 | Total Digestible Nutrients (TDN) | 69.03 ⁹ | 68.58 ^h | 70.32 ^c | 72.98ª | 70.61 ^b | 66.86 | 68.53 ^h | 69.64 ^f | 70.50 ^b | 70.00 ^d | 69.66 ^{ef} | 69.95 ^d | 69.019 | 68.49 ^h | 70.02 ^d | 69.77 ^e | 0.19 |
| constituents constituents ergent Fibre (NDF) 40.82 ¹ 43.76 ⁹ 44.51 ^d 40.58 ^m 42.94 ^h 40.92 ^k 41.12 ⁱ 43.95 ^e 44.80 ^b 44.49 ^d 44.57 ^c ergent Fibre (NDF) 25.94 ⁱ 30.11 ^f 30.02 ^g 31.07 ^d 25.07 ^m 24.67 ^o 26.47 ⁱ 25.61 ^m 28.89 ⁱ 26.09 ^k 26.36 ^j 30.29 ^e 31.47 ^b 31.05 ^d 31.16 ^c ent Lignin (ADL) 4.59 ^j 5.36 ^j 5.54 ^d 4.43 ⁱ 4.35 ^s 5.14 ^s 4.62 ^j 4.67 ^h 5.40 ^e 6.19 ^a 5.54 ^d 5.56 ^d ent Lignin (ADL) 4.59 ^j 5.36 ^j 5.51 ^d 15.23 ^s 14.97 ^s 14.05 ^s 14.88 ^s 14.76 ^j 13.33 ⁿ 13.44 ^l 13.41 ^m se [*] 21.35 ^j 22.53 ^d 20.64 ^s 20.32 ^s 21.79 ^j 21.08 ^m 23.75 ^s 21.48 ^s 21.48 ^s 21.47 ^s 21.69 ^j 28.38 ^s 25.51 ^d 25.51 ^d 25.6 ^s 21.35 ^j 22.45 ^s 20.64 ^s 20.32 ^s 21.79 ^s 21.08 ^s 21.47 ^s 21.6 | Digestible Crude Protein (DCP) | 11.10 | 11.61 ^j | 12.97 ^f | 13.49∈ | 12.31 ^h | 12.20 | 12.729 | 13.47⁰ | 13.25 ^d | 14.11 ^b | 14.29ª | 13.32 ^d | 11.45 ^k | 12.719 | 13.00 ^f | 13.09 ^e | 0.13 |
| ergent Fibre (NDF) 40.82 ¹ 43.82 ² 43.76 ⁹ 44.51 ^d 40.19 ⁿ 39.90 ^o 41.20 ⁱ 40.58 ^m 42.94 ^h 40.92 ^k 41.12 ⁱ 43.95 ^e 47.03 ^a 44.80 ^b 44.49 ^d 44.57 ⁱ ent Fiber (ADF) 25.94 ⁱ 30.11 ⁱ 30.02 ⁹ 31.07 ^d 25.07 ⁿ 24.67 ^o 26.47 ⁱ 25.61 ^m 28.89 ⁱ 26.09 ^k 26.36 ⁱ 30.29 ^e 34.57 ^a 31.47 ^b 31.05 ^d 31.16 ⁱ ent Lignin (ADL) 4.59 ⁱ 5.36 ⁱ 5.35 ⁱ 5.54 ^d 4.43 ⁱ 4.35 ^m 4.68 ^h 4.53 ^k 5.14 ^a 4.62 ⁱ 4.67 ^h 5.40 ^e 6.19 ^a 5.62 ^b 5.54 ⁱ 5.56 ⁱ se [*] 14.88 ^d 13.71 ⁱ 13.74 ⁱ 13.74 ⁱ 15.12 ^b 15.23 ^a 14.73 ^a 14.97 ⁱ 14.05 ⁱ 14.83 ^e 14.76 ⁱ 13.66 ^k 12.46 ^o 13.33 ⁿ 13.44 ⁱ 13.41 ^m se [*] 21.35 ⁱ 24.75 ⁱ 24.67 ^a 25.53 ^d 20.64 ⁿ 20.32 ^o 21.79 ⁱ 21.08 ^m 23.75 ^h 21.47 ^k 21.69 ^j 24.89 ^e 28.38 ^a 25.85 ^b 25.51 ^d 25.60 ^c | 4-Cell wall constituents | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ent Fiber (ADF) 25.94 30.11 ^f 30.02 ^g 31.07 ^d 25.07 ⁿ 24.67° 26.47 ^j 25.61 ^m 28.89 ^j 26.09 ^k 26.36 ^j 30.29 ^e 34.57 ^a 31.47 ^b 31.05 ^d 31.16 ^c ent Lignin (ADL) 4.59 ^j 5.36 ^f 5.35 ^f 5.54 ^d 4.43 ^j 4.35 ^m 4.68 ^k 4.53 ^k 5.14 ^g 4.62 ^j 4.67 ^k 5.40 ^e 6.19 ^a 5.62 ^b 5.54 ^b 5.56 ^c se [*] 14.88 ^d 13.71 ^j 13.74 ^j 13.74 ^j 15.12 ^b 15.23 ^a 14.73 ^g 14.97 ^c 14.05 ^k 14.83 ^e 14.76 ^f 13.66 ^k 12.46 ^o 13.33 ⁿ 13.44 ^l 13.41 ^m se [*] 21.35 ^j 24.75 ^f 24.67 ^g 25.53 ^d 20.64 ^m 20.32 ^o 21.79 ^j 21.08 ^m 23.75 ^k 21.47 ^k 21.69 ^j 24.89 ^e 28.38 ^a 25.85 ^b 25.51 ^d 25.60 ^c | Neutral Detergent Fibre (NDF) | 40.82 | 43.82 ^f | 43.769 | 44.51 ^d | 40.19 ⁿ | 39.90° | 41.20 | 40.58 ^m | 42.94 ^h | 40.92 ^k | 41.12 ^j | 43.95€ | 47.03ª | 44.80 ^b | 44.49 ^d | 44.57 ^c | 0.30 |
| ent Lignin (ADL) 4.59 ¹ 5.36 ⁷ 5.35 ⁷ 5.54 ² 4.43 ¹ 4.35 ^m 4.68 ¹ 4.53 ¹ 5.14 ⁹ 4.62 ¹ 4.67 ¹ 5.40 ^e 6.19 ^a 5.62 ^b 5.54 ⁴ 5.56 ^c se [*] 14.05 ¹ 14.05 ¹ 14.83 ^e 14.76 ⁱ 13.66 ^k 12.46 ^o 13.33 ¹ 13.44 ⁱ 13.41 ^m 13.41 ^m 21.35 ¹ 24.75 ⁱ 24.67 ³ 25.53 ^d 20.64 ⁿ 20.32 ^o 21.79 ⁱ 21.08 ^m 23.75 ¹ 21.47 ^k 21.69 ⁱ 24.89 ^e 28.38 ^a 25.85 ^b 25.51 ^d 25.60 ^c | Acid Detergent Fiber (ADF) | 25.94 | 30.11 ^f | 30.029 | 31.07 ^d | 25.07 ⁿ | 24.67° | 26.47 | 25.61 ^m | 28.89 ^h | 26.09 ^k | 26.36 | 30.29 ^e | 34.57ª | 31.47 ^b | 31.05 ^d | 31.16 ^c | 0.41 |
| se* 14.05 ^h 14.88 ^d 13.71 ^j 13.74 ^j 13.44 ^j 15.12 ^b 15.23 ^a 14.73 ^g 14.97 ^c 14.05 ^h 14.83 ^e 14.76 ^j 13.66 ^k 12.46 ^o 13.33 ⁿ 13.44 ^j 13.41 ^m 23.41 ^m 21.35 ^j 21.35 ^j 24.55 ^j 25.53 ^d 20.64 ⁿ 20.32 ^o 21.79 ^j 21.08 ^m 23.75 ^h 21.47 ^k 21.69 ^j 24.89 ^e 28.38 ^a 25.85 ^b 25.51 ^d 25.60 ^c | Acid Detergent Lignin (ADL) | 4.59 | 5.36 ^f | 5.35 ^f | 5.54 ^d | 4.43 | | 4.68 ^h | 4.53 ^k | 5.149 | 4.62 ⁱ | 4.67 ^h | 5.40 ^e | 6.19ª | 5.62 ^b | 5.54 ^d | 5.56 ^c | 0.08 |
| 21.35 ¹ 24.75 ² 24.67 ³ 25.53 ^d 20.64 ⁿ 20.32 ^o 21.79 ^j 21.08 ^m 23.75 ^h 21.47 ^k 21.69 ^j 24.89 ^e 28.38 ^a 25.85 ^b 25.51 ^d 25.60 ^c | Hemicellulose* | 14.88 ^d | 13.71 ^j | 13.74 | 13.44 | 15.12 ^b | | 14.739 | 14.97∈ | 14.05 ^h | 14.83€ | 14.76 ^f | 13.66 ^k | 12.46° | 13.33 ⁿ | 13.44 | 13.41 ^m | 0.12 |
| | Cellulose** | 21.35 | 24.75 ^f | 24.679 | 25.53 ^d | 20.64 ⁿ | | 21.79 | 21.08 ^m | 23.75 ^h | 21.47 ^k | 21.69 ^j | 24.89 ^e | 28.38ª | 25.85 ^b | 25.51 ^d | 25.60℃ | 0.34 |

Metabolizable Energy (ME), Net Energy (NE) and Total Digestible Nutrients (TDN) contents compared with control and the other treatments (50 and 75 mg L⁻¹). Meanwhile, spraying Egyptian clover by 50 or 75 mg L⁻¹ significantly (p<0.05) decreased all energetic values (GE, DE, ME and NE) and nutritive value of TDN as compared to control plants. Meanwhile, Digestible Crude Protein (DCP%) were increased with different levels of pyridoxine treatment to Egyptian clover forage. The most effective concentration was 100 mg L⁻¹ pyridoxine as it gave 13.34%, in DCP% compared with 12.03% of control, 12.66% and 13.25%, for 50 and 75 mg treating plants.

Table 4 revealed show that values of Gross Energy (GE), Digestible Energy (DE), Metabolizable Energy (ME), Net Energy (NE) and Total Digestible Nutrients (TDN) in Egyptian clover forage decreased significantly in the second, third and fourth cut stages in comparison with the first cut. Meanwhile, digestible crude protein showed an increase in the second, third and fourth cut stages compared to the first cut stage.

Table 5 and 6, the presented data showed the interactive effect of different pyridoxine levels and cut stages. Exogenous treatment of 50, 75 and 100 mg L^{-1} pyridoxine significantly increased the various energy and

nutritional values studied in the first and fourth cut, meanwhile, in the second and third cuts, it caused significant decreases in most of the studied parameters as compared with their corresponding values of controls (Table 5).

Changes in cell wall constituents: Table 3 showed that exogenous treatment of Egyptian clover with 100 mg L⁻¹ significantly (p<0.05) increased NDF, ADF, ADL and cellulose contents in comparison with the control plants. Meanwhile, the above-mentioned level (100 mg L⁻¹) significantly (p<0.05) decreased the hemicellulose content of Egyptian clover forage.

Data of different cell wall constituents of Egyptian clover as affected by different cut stages are presented in Table 4. The obtained results stated that Neutral Detergent Fibre (NDF), Acid Detergent Fibre (ADF), Acid Detergent Lignin (ADL) and cellulose showed significant (p<0.05) increases in fourth cut stages over the other cut stages of Egyptian clover forage. On the other hand, hemicellulose content showed a significant (p<0.05) decrease in the fourth cut stages compared to the other cut stages.

Table 5 and 6 showed that there were significantly (p<0.05) interactions between pyridoxine levels and berseem

Table 6: ANOVA for chemical analysis on energetic and nutritive values and cell wall constituents

| | | Main effects | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| ltems | Pyridoxine treatment levels | Egyptian clover cuts | Interaction |
| 1-Chemical analysis | | | |
| Moisture | * | * | * |
| Dry Matter (DM) | * | * | * |
| Chemical analysis on DM basis | | | |
| Organic Matter (OM) | * | * | * |
| Crude Protein (CP) | * | * | * |
| Crude Fibre (CF) | * | * | * |
| Ether Extract (EE) | * | * | * |
| Nitrogen-Free Extract (NFE) | * | * | * |
| Ash | * | * | * |
| 2-Energetic and nutritive values | | | |
| Gross Energy (GE) | * | * | * |
| Digestible Energy (DE) | * | * | * |
| Metabolizable Energy (ME) | * | * | * |
| Net Energy (NE) | * | * | * |
| 3-Nutritive values (%) | | | |
| Total Digestible Nutrients (TDN) | * | * | * |
| Digestible Crude Protein (DCP) | * | * | * |
| 4-Cell wall constituents | | | |
| Neutral Detergent Fiber (NDF) | * | * | * |
| Acid Detergent Fiber (ADF) | * | * | * |
| Acid Detergent Lignin (ADL) | * | * | * |
| Hemicellulose* | * | * | * |
| Cellulose** | * | * | * |

*Significant (p<0.05), *Hemicellulose: NDF-ADF and **Cellulose: ADF-ADL

| | | Pyridoxine treatment levels mg L ⁻¹ water | eatment le | evels mg L ⁻¹ | water | | | | | | Egyptian clover cut stages | over cut st | ages | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------|---|--------------------|------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------|
| Items | 0 | 50 | | 75 | 100 | | SEM | | First cut | Sec | Second cut | Third cut | cut | Fourth cut | nt | SEM |
| Total carbohydrates (%) | 15.48 ^c | 16.20 ^b | | 16.64^{a} | 16. | 16.75 ^a | 0.13 | | 15.52 ^c | - | 16.09 ^b | 17.32 ^a | Za | 16.15 ^b | | 0.13 |
| Total soluble sugars (%) | 3.89 ^d | 4.34℃ | | 5.06 ^a | 4.5 | 4.59 ^b | 0.09 | | 3.93 ^d | 5 | 5.03ª | 4.68 ^b | | 4.22℃ | | 0.09 |
| Polvsaccharides (%) | 11.59 ^c | 11.86 ^b | | 11.58 ^c | 12 | 12,16 ^a | 0.10 | | 11.59 | - | 11.06 ^d | 17.64 ^a | ta ta | 11_93 ^b | | 0.10 |
| | 10776 | 1165b | | 1100a | 110 | 1162b | | | pc yo | - ,- | 401/C1 | 1791a | | 11000 | | |
| | 101 | | | 1170 | | 70 | 60.02 | | 202 | - ` | 2 4 7 7 4 5 | 1071 | | 102 | | 20.02 |
| Chlorophyll b | 625 | 639° | | 651 ^ª | 653ª | χa | 3.12 | | 634 | Q | 654° | 661 ^a | | 619ª | | 3.12 |
| Carotenoids | 304∘ | 312 ^b | | 318ª | 318ª | Sa | 1.54 | | 310⊆ | ſ | 319 ^b | 323ª | | 301 ^d | | 1.54 |
| Total pigments | 2006 ^d | 2116 ^c | | 2167ª | 213 | 2133 ^b | 23.43 | | 1906 ^d | 2 | 2222 ^b | 2265 ^a | e | 2029 ^c | | 23.43 |
| Phenolic compounds | 77.39 ^d | 84.29 ^c | | 92.79ª | 85. | 85.34 ^b | 2.03 | | 71.40 ^d | 2 | 75.73 ^c | 88.88 ^b | °₽ | 103.81ª | a | 2.03 |
| Flavonoids | 33.85 ^d | 36.86 ^c | | 40.59ª | 37. | 37.32 ^b | 0.89 | | 31.22 ^d | ŝ | 33.12 ^c | 38.87 ^b | Zb | 45.40ª | | 0.89 |
| adMeans in the same row within each treatment having different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05) and SEM: Standard error of the mean | hin each treatmen | t having diffe | rent super. | scripts diffe | r significan | tly (p<0.05 |) and SEM: | Standard (| error of the | mean | | | | | | |
| lable 8: Interactions between pyridoxine treatment levels and Egy | n pyridoxine treatr | nent levels an | id Egyptiar | i clover cut | stages on p | bhotosynth Eavetian | Totosynthetic pigmer Equation clover cuts | ints (µg g [_] | ybtian clover cut stages on photosynthetic pigments (µg g ⁻¹ fresh wt.) of the Egyptian clover plant under sandy soil conditions Equivies clover cuts | of the Egyl | otian clove | r plant unc | ter sandy s | soil conditi | ons | |
| | | | | | | Egypulari | רוסגבו רמוז | - | | | | | | | | |
| | | First cut | | | Second cut | d cut | | | Third cut | cut | | | Fourth cut | cut | | |
| | 0 50 | 75 | 100 | 0 | 50 | 75 | 100 | 0 | 50 | 75 | 100 | 0 | 50 | 75 | 100 | |
| ltems | | | | | | Pyridoxi | ne treatme | nt levels m | Pyridoxine treatment levels mg L ⁻¹ water | | | | | | | SEM |
| Total carbohydrates (%) | 14.54 ^f 15.30 ^e |) ^e 16.25 ^c | 16.08ౕ | 15.59 ^d | 15.78 ^d | 16.20⊆ | 16.78 ^b | 16.72 ^b | 17.50 ^a | 17.58 ^a | 17.46 ^a | 15.17 ^e | 16.21⁰ | 16.54 ^b | 16.66 ^b | 0.13 |
| Total soluble sugars (%) | 3.45 ^f 3.52 ^f | 4.60 ^d | 4.16 ^e | 4.27 ^e | 4.83℃ | 5.78ª | $5.24_{ m b}$ | 4.20€ | 4.68 ^{cd} | 5.19 ^b | 4.65 ^{cd} | 3.63 ^f | 4.32€ | 4.65 ^{cd} | 4.29 ^e | 0.09 |
| Polysaccharides (%) | ч- | p | | 11.32€ | 10.95 ^f | 10.429 | 11.54 ^{de} | 12.52 ^{ab} | 12.82 ^a | 12.39 ⁵ | 12.81ª | 11.54 ^{de} | 11.89 ^{cd} | 11.89 ^{cd} | 12.37 ^b | 0.10 |
| Chlorophyll a | | | 972 ^{fg} | 1150 ^{de} | 1273 ^c | 1308 ^{ab} | 1263 ^c | 1256 ^c | 1275 ^{bc} | 1317ª | 1277 ^{bc} | 974 ^{fg} | 1155 ^{de} | 1173 ^d | 1134 ^e | 20.09 |
| Chlorophyll b | | | 665 ^{bc} | 6389 | 651 ^e | 668 ⁵ | 659 ^d | 643 ^f | 663° | 672 ^a | 667 ^b | 606 | 619 ⁱ | 629 ^h | 620 ⁱ | 3.12 |
| Carotenoids | 299 ^h 304 ^g | | 324 ^{bc} | 311 ^e | 317 ^d | 326 ^{ab} | 322 ^c | 313 ^e | 324 ^{bc} | 328ª | 325 ^b | 295 | 3029 | 307 ^f | 3029 | 1.54 |
| Total pigments | 1838 ^h 1883 ^g | | 1961 ^f | 2099 ^d | 2241 ^{bc} | 2302ª | 2244 ^{bc} | 2212 ^c | 2262 ^b | 2317ª | 2269 ^b | 18759 | 2076 ^{de} | 2109 ^d | 2056 ^e | 23.43 |
| Phenolic compounds | 63.15 ^m 70.39 ^k | | 73.27 | 69.08 ¹ | 73.90 | 83.299 | 76.65 | 83.209 | 90.39 ^f | 98.47 ^d | 83.449 | 94.14€ | 102.48 ^c | 110.62 ^a | 107.98 ⁵ | 2.03 |
| Flavonoids | 27.62 ^m 30.78 ^k | 3 ^k 34.45 ^h | 32.04 | 30.21 | 32.32 ^j | 36.489 | 32.52 | 36.389 | 39.53 ^f | 43.06 ^d | 36.499 | 41.17 ^e | 44.82 ^c | 48.38 ^a | 47.22 ^b | 0.89 |
| ***Means in the same row having different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05) and SEM: Standard error of the mean | ving different supe | erscripts differ | · significan | tly (p<0.05) | and SEM: S | itandard er | ror of the n | nean | | | | | | | | |
| Table 9: ANOVA for photosynthetic pigments (µg g $^{-1}$ fresh wt.) of | thetic pigments (| ug g ^{_1} fresh w | | Egyptian clover plant under sandy soil conditions | lant under | sandy soil | conditions | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Main effects | cts | | | | | | |
| ltems | | Pyri | doxine tre | Pyridoxine treatment levels | sl | | | Eg | Egyptian clover cuts | er cuts | | | | | Interaction | ction |
| Fotal carbohydrates (%) | | | * | | | | | | * | | | | | | * | |
| Total soluble sugars (%) | | | * | | | | | | * | | | | | | * | |
| Polysaccharides (%) | | | * | | | | | | * | | | | | | * | |
| Chlorophyll a | | | * | | | | | | * | | | | | | * | |
| Chlorophyll b | | | * | | | | | | * | | | | | | * | |
| Carotenoids | | | * | | | | | | * | | | | | | * | |
| Total pigments | | | * | | | | | | * | | | | | | * | |
| Phenolic compounds | | | * | | | | | | * | | | | | | * | |
| | | | 2 | | | | | | ; | | | | | | | |

green forage cut stages on all parameters of chemical analysis, energetic and nutritive values and cell wall constituents.

Table 5 and 6 showed that different concentrations of pyridoxine treatment of Egyptian clover caused significant increases in different cell wall constituents in the first, second and third cuts, meanwhile, decreased them in the fourth cut as compared with untreated control plants throughout the cut stages.

Changes in some biochemical and physiological attributes Changes in photosynthetic pigments: The role of foliar treatment of pyridoxine with different concentrations (50, 75 and 100 mg L⁻¹) on various photosynthetic pigments constituents of Egyptian clover forage are tabulated in Table 7. Foliar treatments of various levels of pyridoxine caused significant (p<0.05) increases in chlorophyll a and b, carotenoids and total pigments) throughout first, second, third and fourth cuts. The increases in different photosynthetic pigments were concurrent within pyridoxine concentrations increases. 75 mg L⁻¹ followed by 100 mg L⁻¹ gave the highest increases in the studied pigments compared with other treatments in the studied cut stages (Table 7).

Regarding cut stages, the studied photosynthetic pigments constituents (chlorophyll a and b, carotenoids and total pigments showed gradual and significant increases throughout the studied cut stages from the first cut to the second cut to the third cut then decreased in the fourth cut but still more than first cut.

The interactive effect of different pyridoxine treatments and the four tested cut stages showed the promotive effects of pyridoxine treatments throughout the four cut stages (Table 8) as they caused significant increases in chlorophyll a and b, carotenoids and total pigments).

Changes in carbohydrates constituents: The effect of various treatments of pyridoxine treatment on Egyptian clover is shown in Table 7. The obtained results showed the promotive effects of the used concentrations (50, 75 and 100 mg L⁻¹) on improving total carbohydrates (%), Total Soluble Sugars (TSS%) and polysaccharides (%) of Egyptian clover cultivated in sandy soil. These increases were significantly and gradually in general concurrent with increasing pyridoxine concentrations compared to the control (untreated plants).

The changes in carbohydrate components throughout the four tested cuts of the Egyptian clover forage plant are tabulated in Table 7. Total carbohydrates (%) and TSS (%) were increased significantly in the second, third and fourth cuts as compared with those of the first cut. While polysaccharides content in the first cut was reduced significantly in the second cut than increased in the third and fourth cuts over the first cut (Table 7).

Concerning the interactive effects of exogenous treatments of pyridoxine different levels and cut stages the results in Table 8 show that different pyridoxine treatments (50, 75 and 100 mg L^{-1}) increased significantly various carbohydrates components of Egyptian clover forage throughout the different cut stages as compared with untreated controls in all the tested cut stages.

Changes in phenolic and flavonoids contents: Also, the tabulated results obtained in Table 7 stated that different pyridoxine levels used as foliar treatments (50, 75 and 100 mg L^{-1}) on Egyptian clover plants cultivated in sandy soil caused significant increases in both total phenol and flavonoids contents. Increasing in phenolic and flavonoid are gradually in all cut stages.

Table 9 showed the significant (p<0.05) increases in phenolic and flavonoids contents of Egyptian clover as affected by the interactions between pyridoxine treatment levels and the four cut stages.

DISCUSSION

The obtained results of the promotive effect of pyridoxine treatments on forage yield (ton/feddan) Table 1. These promotive effects of pyridoxine are in harmony with those obtained by Zamanipour¹⁵ on tomato, Boghdady³¹ on Egyptian lupine and Nassar *et al.*³² on sesame plant. Barakat¹⁷ found that application of pyridoxine on wheat plants enhanced cell division, increased the root growth and nutrient uptake enhanced efficiency of photosynthetic surface and increased dry matter production. The efficiency of pyridoxine on the growth, yield and quality of berseem was similar to those results investigated by Nassar et al.³² and Younis et al.³³. The treated plants were characterized by the longer main stem which developed more primary leaves number of high specific weight. The positive effect of vitamin B_6 (pyridoxine) was confirmed on the plant's development. In addition, vitamin B could act as an antioxidant in improving plant production³⁴. It was confirmed that pyridoxine treatments improve the growth of the root system³⁵ which causes increases in different nutrient absorption and improved economic productivity³⁶.

The promotive effect of pyridoxine on the Egyptian clover forage photosynthetic pigments components are presented

in Table 7. These effects might be caused by the increased activities of various enzymes associated with the biosynthesis of these pigments or the preservation of chromoproteins³⁷. Foliar spraying of lupine plants with pyridoxine vitamin with different concentrations improved all fractions of photosynthetic pigments, especially in plants subjected to salt stress. Our obtained results of pyridoxine are in good harmony with those obtained by Hamada and Khulaef³⁸, they concluded that treatment of bean plants with 100 ppm pyridoxine stimulated biosynthesis of photosynthetic pigments fractions and net photosynthetic rate. Moreover, Soltani et al.39 confirmed the increased contents of photosynthetic pigments of Calendula officinalis L. by treatments of vitamins, they referred these increases to the role of vitamin B as co-enzymes in the enzymatic reactions in carbohydrates, fats and protein metabolism and used in respiration and photosynthesis. Also, Nassar et al.³² on sesame plant. Moreover, the increases in different photosynthetic pigments components were reflected in increasing different physiological parameters as carbohydrates constituents of Egyptian clover plants. These increases in carbohydrate constituents could have resulted from the key effect of vitamins on chlorophyll biosynthesis which in turn increased the biosynthesis of carbohydrates and phenolic as well as flavonoids contents. These results are in agreement with those noticed by Rady et al.40, El-Metwally and Sadak41 and Younis et al.33 on different plant species using vitamins treatments. In addition, An increase in total phenols and flavonoids (Table 7) could reduce or inhibit IAA oxidase enzyme activity, thereby increasing IAA levels leading to improved Egyptian clover growth and yield⁴².

Regarding the effect of forage yield throughout the four cuts, the obtained results are in harmony with those obtained earlier by Juskiw⁴³, Gaballah⁴⁴, Patel and Rajagopal⁴⁵, Yucel et al.46 and Shahrajabian47. In this content, Soleymani and Shahrajabian⁴⁸ and Thalooth et al.⁴⁹ reported that the highest fresh yield was recorded for the 2nd cut followed by the 1st cut then the 3rd one and dry weight (q/m^2) increased from the 1st to the 2nd cut up to 3rd cut. Moreover, El-Karamany et al.50 noted that the production of dry forge increased from 1st-2nd and 3rd harvest after treatment with bioorganic+mineral fertilizers (1.1432.026 and 3.093 ton/fd.) followed by the mineral fertilization treatments that produced 0.934, 1.838 and 2.746 ton/fad., for the successive three cuts of Egyptian berseem clover. Moreover, this is in agreement with the results obtained by El Karamany et al.⁵⁰, Omer et al.⁵¹, Abdel-Magid et al.52 and Salama53, who noticed that clover hay on a dry matter basis (in average) contained 92.00, 87.17,

13.40, 26.03, 4.03, 43.71, 43.20, 30.06, 5.54%, 4153 and 2661 kca kg⁻¹ DM of DM, OM, CP, CF, EE, NFE, Ash, NDF, ADF and ADL, Gross Energy (GE) and Digestible Energy (DE), respectively.

From the results of our study, we found that all pyridoxine levels realized significant increases in Egyptian clover yield quantity as well as their crude protein content while crude fibre content was significantly decreased by spraying Egyptian clover by 50 or 75 mg L⁻¹ compared with control and 100 mg L⁻¹. Furthermore, all levels of pyridoxine significantly decreased the contents of nitrogen-free extract. Therefore, we recommend from our study, the cultivation of Egyptian clover and treatment with peroxide in sandy soils. So we can be concluded that pyridoxine can be safely used without adversely affecting the cultivated plants and this has led to an increase in feed yield and an improvement in nutritional value.

CONCLUSION

This study discovers the promotive role of exogenous pyridoxine as natural vitamin on plants especially on Egyptian clover that can be beneficial for increasing yield and yield component as well as improving its nutritive value. Pyridoxine as a natural and safely plant component affect plant growth and productivity without adversely affecting the cultivated plants, through increasing photosynthetic pigments, IAA contents as well as, increasing content of DM, OM, CP, CF, EE, NFE, Ash, NDF, ADF and ADL, Gross Energy (GE) and Digestible Energy (DE). So, this study will help the researcher to uncover the critical areas of pyridoxine as a natural to improve plant growth and productivity under sandy conditions.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

This study discovers the possible promotive role of vitamins especially Pyridoxine in increasing growth and foraging yield quantity of Egyptian clovers all over the four cuts this study will help the researcher to uncover the critical areas of pyridoxine as a natural to improve plant growth and productivity under sandy conditions.

REFERENCES

 Leghari, S.J., A.A. Soomro, G.M. Laghari, K.H. Talpur, F.A. Soomro, M.H. Mangi and N. Mahmood, 2018. Effect of NPK rates and irrigation frequencies on the growth and yield performance of *Trifolium alexandrium* L. AIMS Agric. Food, 3: 397-405.

- Bakheit, B.R., E.A. Teama, Asmaa, A.A. Mohamed and F.M. Fathy, 2017. Forage yield stability of some Egyptian clover genotypes (*Trifolium lexandrinum* L.) under different sowing dates. Assiut J. Agric. Sci., 48: 22-33.
- Muhammad D., B. Misri, M. El-Nahrawy, S. Khan and A. Serkan, 2014. Egyptian clover (*Trifolium alexandrinum* L.): King of forage crops. FAO., Canada, ISBN-13: 9787-108004-5-92, Pages: 127.
- Bakheit, B.R., 2013. Egyptian clover (*Trifolium alexandrinum* L.) Breeding in Egypt: A review. Asian J. Crop Sci., 5: 325-337.
- Rouhi, H.R., R.T. Afshari, S.A. Moosavi and M.H. Gharineh, 2010. Effects of osmopriming on germination and vigour traits of bersim clover (*Trifolium alexandrinum* L.). Notulae Sci. Biol., 2: 59-63.
- Salem, A.K.M. and S.M.H. Eissa 2020. Effect of some soil amendments on Egyptian clover productivity and its economic under El-serw conditions. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 35: 1-22.
- Arif, M., M.A. Mian and F.R. Durrani, 2000. Effect of different dietary levels of Egyptian clover on broiler performance. Pak. J. Biol. Sci., 3: 1086-1087.
- Kandil, A.A., A.M. Salama, S.A. El-Moursy and W.A. Abido, 2005. Productivity of egyptian clover as affected by seeding rates and cutting schedules ii-chemical dry matter analysis. Pak. J. Biol. Sci., 8: 1766-1770.
- 9. Salem, A.K.M., M.R.I. Sayed, S.A. Aboelgoud and F.S.H. Ismail, 2019. Influence of sowing dates and seeding rates on Egyptian clover forage and seed yield under El-Serw environment. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 34: 214-232.
- Bakhoum, G.S., E.A.E. Badr, M.S. Sadak, M.O. Kabesh and G.A. Amin, 2018. Improving growth, some biochemical aspects and yield of three cultivars of soybean plant by methionine treatment under sandy soil condition. Int. J. Environ. Res., 13: 35-43.
- 11. Dawood, M.G., M.E.S. El-Awadi, Y.R. Abdel-Baky, and M.S. Sadak, 2017. Physiological role of ascobin on quality and productivity of sunflower plants irrigated with sodium chloride solution. Agric. Eng. Int.: CIGR J., Special Issue: 16-26.
- 12. El-Bassiouny, H.M.S. and M.S. Sadak, 2015. Impact of foliar application of ascorbic acid and α-tocopherol on antioxidant activity and some biochemical aspects of flax cultivars under salinity stress. Acta Biol. Colomb., 20: 209-222.
- 13. Akram, N.A., F. Shafiq and M. Ashraf, 2017. Ascorbic acid-a potential oxidant scavenger and its role in plant development and abiotic stress tolerance. Front. Plant Sci., Vol. 8. 10.3389/ fpls.2017.00613.
- di Salvo, M.L., R. Contestabile and M.K. Safo, 2011. Vitamin B₆ salvage enzymes: Mechanism, structure and regulation. Biochim. Biophys. Acta (BBA) Proteins Proteomics, 1814: 1597-1608.
- 15. Zamanipour, M., 2021. Effect of pyridoxine, thiamine and folic acid on growth, reproduction and biochemical characteristics of delphus tomato. J. Hortic. Sci., 35: 283-300.

- Vanderschuren, H., S. Boycheva, K.T. Li, N. Szydlowski, W. Gruissem and T.B. Fitzpatrick, 2013. Strategies for vitamin B6 biofortification of plants: A dual role as a micronutrient and a stress protectant. Front. Plant Sci., Vol. 4. 10.3389/fpls. 2013.00143.
- Barakat, H., 2003. Interactive effects of salinity and certain vitamins on gene expression and cell division. Int. J. Agric. Biol., 5: 219-225.
- Farrokhi, G. and B. Paykarestan, 2010. The effect of pyridoxine and different levels of nitrogen on physiological indices of corn (*Zea mays* L.var.sc 704). Inter J Biol, Biomol. Agric. Food Biotechnol. Eng., 4: 396-398.
- 19. Carter, M.R. and E.G. Gregorich, 2007. Soil Sampling and Methods of Analysis. 2nd Edn., CRC Press, Boca Raton, ISBN: 9780429126222, Pages: 1264.
- Lichtenthaler, H.K. and C. Buschmann, 2001. Chlorophylls and Carotenoids: Measurement and Characterization by UV-VIS Spectroscopy. In: Current Protocols in Food Analytical Chemistry, Wrolstad, R.E., T.E. Acree, H. An, E.A. Decker and M.H. Penner *et al.* (Eds.)., John Wiley and Sons, New York, USA, pp: F4.3.1-F4.3.8.
- 21. Albalasmeh, A.A., A.A. Berhe and T.A. Ghezzehei, 2013. A new method for rapid determination of carbohydrate and total carbon concentrations using UV spectrophotometry. Carbohydr. Polym., 97: 253-261.
- 22. Chow, P.S. and S.M. Landhausser, 2004. A method for routine measurements of total sugar and starch content in woody plant tissues. Tree Physiol., 24: 1129-1136.
- 23. Gonzalez, M., B. Guzman, R. Rudyk, E. Romano and M.A.A. Molina, 2003. Spectrophotometric determination of phenolic compounds in propolis. Lat. Am. J. Pharm., 22: 243-248.
- 24. Chang, C., M. Yang, H. Wen and J. Chen, 2002. Estimation of total flavonoid content in propolis by complementary colorimetric methods. J. Food Drug Anal., 10: 178-182.
- 25. Talreja, T., P. Sirohi and T. Sharma, 2015. Proximate composition analysis of two medicinally important plants *Achyranthes aspera* and *Cissus quadrangularis*. Int. J. Pharm. Pharm. Sci., 7: 416-418.
- 26. Samreen, U., M. Ibrar, L. badshah and B. Ullah, 2016. Nutritional and elemental analysis of some selected fodder plants of Darazinda FRDI Khan, Pakistan. Adv. Plants Agric. Res., 4: 232-240.
- 27. Vishwakarma, K.L. and V. Dubey, 2011. Nutritional analysis of indigenous wild edible herbs used in eastern Chhattisgarh, India. Emir. J. Food Agric., 23: 554-560.
- 28. NRC., 2000. Nutrient Requirements of Beef Cattle. 7th Rev. Edn., National Academic Press, Washington, DC., USA., Pages: 248.
- 29. Liu, D.W., L. Liu, D.F. Li and F.L. Wang, 2015. Determination and prediction of the net energy content of seven feed ingredients fed to growing pigs based on chemical composition. Anim. Prod. Sci., 55: 1152-1163.

- 30. Salkind, N.J., 2010. Encyclopedia of Research Design. 1st Edn., SAGE, England, ISBN: 9781412961271, Pages: 1776.
- 31. Boghdady, M.S., 2013. Efficiency of pyridoxine on the growth, yield, seed quality and anatomy of Egyptian lupine (*Lupinus termis* Forssk.). Aust. J. Basic Appl. Sci., 7: 448-456.
- Nassar, R.M.A., S.A. Arafa and S. Farouk, 2017. Effect of foliar spray with pyridoxine on growth, anatomy, photosynthetic pigments, yield characters and biochemical constituents of seed oil of sesame plant (*Sesamum indicum* L.). Middle East J. Appl. Sci., 7: 80-91.
- Younis, A.S.M., M.S.H. Sadak, B.A. Bakry and A.A. Ramadan, 2020. Foliar application influence of pyridoxine and thiamine on growth, qualitative and quantitative traits of faba bean grown in sandy soil. Am. Eurasian J. Agron., 13: 30-38.
- 34. Chen, H. and L. Xiong, 2005. Pyridoxine is required for postembryonic root development and tolerance to osmotic and oxidative stresses. Plant J., 44: 396-408.
- 35. Shimasaki, K. and Y. Fukumoto, 1998. Effects of B vitamins and benzylaminopurine on adventitious shoot formation from hypocotyl segments of snapdragon (*Antirrhinum majus* L.). Plant Biotechnol., 15: 239-240.
- Hadif, W.M., M.S. Ali, S.A. Manhal and I. Ibrahim, 2021. Effect of pyridoxine (vitamin B6) and saline stress on the growth and antioxidant enzymes of wheat *Triticum aestivum* L. Ann. Rom. Soc. Cell Biol., 25: 4470-4476.
- de A. Silva, M., J.L. Jifon, J.A.G. de Silva and V. Sharma, 2007. Use of physiological parameters as fast tools to screen for drought tolerance in sugarcane. Braz. J. Plant Physiol., 9: 193-201.
- Hamada, A.M. and E.M. Khulaef, 2000. Stimulative effects of ascorbic acid, thiamin or pyridoxine on *Vicia faba* growth and some related metabolic activities. Pak. J. Biol. Sci., 3: 1330-1332.
- Soltani, Y., V.R. Saffari, A.A.M. Moud and M. Mehrabani, 2012. Effect of foliar application of α-tocopherol and pyridoxine on vegetative growth, flowering, and some biochemical constituents of *Calendula officinalis* L. plants. Afr. J. Biotechnol., 11: 11931-11935.
- Rady, M.M., M.S. Sadak, H.M.S. El-Bassiouny and A.A.A. El-Monem, 2011. Alleviation the adverse effects of salinity stress in sunflower cultivars using nicotinamide and α-tocopherol. Aust. J. Basic Appl. Sci., 5: 342-355.
- El-Metwally, I.M. and M.S. Sadak, 2019. Physiological role of thiamine and weed control treatments on faba bean and associated weeds grown under salt affected soil. Bull. Natl. Res. Centre, Vol. 43. 10.1186/s42269-019-0142-6.

- 42. Aminifard, M.H., A. Jorkesh, H.R. Fallahi and K. Alipoor, 2018. Foliar application of thiamin stimulates the growth, yield and biochemical compounds production of coriander and fenugreek. J. Hortic. Res., 26: 77-85.
- 43. Juskiw, P.E., 2000. Forage yield and quality for mono crops and mixtures of small grain cereals. Crop Sci., 40: 138-147.
- 44. Gaballah, E.S., 2006. Effect of sowing method and cutting system on forage and seed production of some Egyptian clover cultivars (*Trifolum alexandrinum* L.). J. Prod. Dev., 11: 279-295.
- 45. Patel, J.R. and S. Rajagopal, 2003. Response of berseem (*Trifolium alexandrium*) to nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization. Indian J. Agron., 48: 133-135.
- Yucel, C., I. Inal, D. Yucel and R. Hatipoglu, 2018. Effects of mixture ratio and cutting time on forage yield and silage quality of intercropped berseem clover and Italian ryegrass. Legume Res. Int. J., 48: 133-135.
- Shahrajabian, M.H., M. Khoshkharam, W. Sun and Q. Cheng, 2019. Exploring responses of berseem clover cultivars in low input cultivation management for agricultural sustainability. World Sci. News, 131: 197-206.
- 48. Soleymani, A. and M.H. Shahrajabian, 2012. Influence of nitrogen fertilizer on ash, organic carbon, phosphorus, potassium and fiber of forage corn intercropped by three cultivars of Berseem clover as cover crops in semi arid region of Iran. Int. J. Biol., 4: 38-43.
- 49. Thalooth, A.T., G.A.L. Sary, H.M. El-Nagar, M.F. El-Kramany, M.O. Kabesh and G.S.H. Bakhoum, 2015. Yield and quality response of ryegrass, Egyptian clover and their mixtures to different sources of fertilizers. Agric. Sci., 6: 137-145.
- El Karamany, M.F., H.A.A. Omer, B.A. Bakry, G.S. Bakhoum and M.S. Sadak, 2018. Impact of tryptophan treatment on yield and chemical composition of Berseem green fodder. Biosci. Res., 15: 3692-3707.
- 51. Omer, H.A.A.A., M.F. El Karamany, S.M. Ahmed, S.S. Abdel-Magid and B.A. Bakry, 2017. Using field crop by-products for feeding rabbits. Biosci. Res., 14: 224-233.
- 52. Abdel-Magid, S.S., H.A.A. Omer, M.I. Mohamed and I.M. Awadalla, 2015. Influence of substituting clover hay by onion haulms on growth performance of growing sudanese dromedary camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) calves. World Appl. Sci. J., 33: 312-325.
- 53. Salama, H.S.A., 2020. Mixture cropping of berseem clover with cereals to improve forage yield and quality under irrigated conditions of the mediterranean basin. Ann. Agric. Sci., 65: 159-167.