



Asian Journal of Plant Sciences

ISSN 1682-3974

science
alert

ANSI*net*
an open access publisher
<http://ansinet.com>

Weeds Management in Broadcasted Onion (*Allium cepa*)

Syed Waseem-ul-Hassan and ¹Muhammad Faheem Malik

Agriculture Research Institute, ¹Agriculture Training Institute, Sariab, Quetta, Balochistan, Pakistan

Abstract: The experiment was conducted for the three consecutive years (1995-97) at three different locations (Agriculture Research Institute, Sariab, Quetta and private farms at Kanak and Kalat in Balochistan) simultaneously. The objective was to manage weeds by the conventional hand weeding (two hand and four hand) and weedicides; 2,4-D (Phenoxy acid), Ronstar (Oxadiazon) and Tribunil (Methabenzthiazuron) application in onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Morphological characters of plant (number, size and weight of bulbs) were considered for measuring the crop yield in broadcasted onion. The >14 weeds of different families were recorded from the experimental plots. *Cyperus rotundus* at ARI, Quetta and *Convolvulus arvensis* at the other two locations were the most destructive. *Ameranthus hybridus*, *Convolvulus arvensis*, *Chenopodium album*, *Echinochloa spp.* and *Sophora alopecuroides* also caused significant damage to the crop yield. All the weeds were significantly controlled by the cultural and chemical control methods. Four hand weeding provided; minimum weed density (11.67) at Kalat, maximum number of bulbs (793) at Kanak, maximum bulb size and bulb weight (21.23 cm and 320 gm, respectively) at ARI, Quetta and the best yield (29.50 tons ha⁻¹) from the experimental plot at Kalat. Cultural control (four hand weeding) is recommended as the best weeds control treatment in broadcasted onion in the province of Balochistan.

Key words: Weed, Broadcasted onion, cultural control, Pakistan

Introduction

According to the Research Diagnostic Survey of Vegetables, by a team of Agriculture Research Institute (ARI), Balochistan, Quetta, weeds were ranked at the top as the most serious problem in onion production in the province. Weeds are becoming worse specially in the irrigated crops. Cropping intensity and fixed crop rotation is rapidly increasing the problem (Aness, 1994). Onion is an important irrigated crop of Balochistan and is cultivated over 20,047 ha (Anonymous, 1996-97). Hashmi *et al.* (1995), reported that not only the field crops but forests and hydro bodies in Pakistan are heavily (>35%) infested by more than 250 weed species, 8 of them are world's worst. Keeping the land free of weeds is essential to get maximum crop production (Upadhyay *et al.*, 1980). Weeds are one of the major factor responsible for the potential yield of onion in Balochistan (Aness, 1994). Weeds not only compete with the host crop but also provides shelter to the insect pests. The local farmers of the Balochistan are not aware to the proper weed control methods (Aness, 1994). Keeping in view, the study was conducted not only to discover the promising weed flora in broadcasted onion but also to evaluate a proper guide line for their management in the particular environment of Balochistan.

Materials and Methods

The experiments were conducted during 1995-97 at three different location of Balochistan (Quetta, Kanak & Kalat) simultaneously, during Kharif season. Agriculture Research Institute, Sariab, (ARI) in Quetta and private farmer's fields at Kanak and Kalat were used as experimental sites. The sowing was done, in the 1st week of March by broadcasting the seeds on well prepared seed beds of 3 x 6 m² size, at all three locations. Onion variety, Sariab Red, was used as test crop. Two cultural control methods (two hand weeding, THW and four hand weeding, FHW) and chemical control by three weedicides (2,4-D Phenoxy acid, @ 1.00 L ha⁻¹, Ronstar; Oxadiazon, @ 1.25 L ha⁻¹ and Tribunil; Methabenzthiazuron, @ 2.00 kg ha⁻¹) with a control were the treatments. The experiment was replicated three times using randomized complete block design (RCBD). The hand weeding were done 25 days after weed emergence and continued with 25 days interval till harvest. All the herbicides were applied at 2-3 leaf stage after weed emergence with Knapsack. The mortality percentage of weeds was counted randomly from 1 m² area after 15 days of treatment's application. The harvesting was done in the 1st week of September during all three years at all locations. The effectiveness of weed control methods were determined by measuring the yield of the crop by determining the plant morphological characters (number, size and weight of onion bulbs

per plot). Yield of the crop was recorded by weighing all bulbs from each plot in kg and then was converted into tons ha⁻¹. The average figures for bulb number, size and weight were recorded by uprooting of 30 randomly selected bulb from each plot. Data was analyzed by M-Stat computer programme using Least Significant Difference Test (LSD) to determine statistical differences among the treatment means.

Results and Discussion

A total number of 16 weeds from different families were recorded from the experimental plots at ARI and Kalat while 15 from Kanak (Table 1). *Ameranthus viridis*, *Alhagi maurarum*, *Malva neglecta*, *Poa annua* and *Phalaris minor* were the least destructive at ARI, Quetta. *Cynadan dactylon* was not found at Kanak while *Adonis aestivalis*, *Descurainia sophia* and *Malva neglecta* were found least harmful. At Kalat *Adonis aestivalis*, *Chenopodium murale*, *Malva neglecta* and *Phalaris minor* were negligible (Table 1). *Ameranthus hybridus*, *Convolvulus arvensis*, *Chenopodium album*, *Echinochloa spp.* and *Sophora alopecuroides* were the main weed species infested to the experimental plots. Marwat *et al.* (1992), also discovered few species from genera *Ameranthus*, *Convolvulus*, *Cyperus* and *Sophora* from Quetta valley. They reported that the environmental conditions, climate and soil texture & structure, affects on the presence of flora in certain areas. All the three experimental location were in same ecological zone thus having almost same vegetation. *Cyperus rotundus* was the most destructive at ARI while *Convolvulus arvensis* on the other two locations.

weed's population was significantly decreased, compared to the control plots, at all the three locations by the treatments, cultural and chemical control (Table 2, 3 and 4). Treated plots provided high yield than control plots. Singh and Chandel (1995), reported that the presence of weeds lowers the yield of the crop. Smith *et al.* (1992) and Aness (1994), observed a significant interaction between crop and weeds for survival. Cultural control by hand weeding out ranked chemical control (Table 2, 3 and 4). Yadav *et al.* (1988), and Rathore *et al.* (1990), also reported that the hand weeding has outer edge on chemical control methods of weeds. Singh and Chandel (1995), reported the same in Soybean. Four hand weeding checked the weeds population significantly higher than two hand weeding and herbicide's applications (Table 2, 3 and 4). Singh and Chandel (1995) and Rathore *et al.* (1990), also observed that hand weeding maximized the crop yield by minimizing the weed population. The results of Ronstar and Tribunil were almost same for all tested factors, weed's density, number, size, and weight of bulbs and crop yield, at all three experimental locations. The 2,4-D had low effect than Ronstar and Tribunil (Table 2, 3 and 4).

Hassan and Malik: Weed management in Broadcasted onion

Table 1: Different Weeds recorded in broadcasted onion from three experimental locations

| Botanical Name | Local Name | % Infestation at Different Locations | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| | | ARI | Kanak | Kalat |
| <i>Ameranthus hybridus</i> | Cholai | ++ | +++ | +++ |
| <i>Ameranthus viridis</i> | Cholai | + | +++ | ++ |
| <i>Alhagi maurarum</i> | Shinz/Kandiaro | + | ++ | +++ |
| <i>Adonis aestivalis</i> | -- | ++ | + | + |
| <i>Convolvulus arvensis</i> | Lehli/Vakarwali | ++ | ++++ | ++++ |
| <i>Cynadan dactylon</i> | Jangli gahss | ++ | -- | ++ |
| <i>Cyperus rotundus</i> | Malangi | ++++ | ++ | ++ |
| <i>Chenopodium album</i> | Maririo/Bathu | ++ | +++ | +++ |
| <i>Chenopodium murale</i> | Maririo/Bathu | ++ | ++ | + |
| <i>Descurainia sophia</i> | -- | ++ | ++ | + |
| <i>Echinochloa spp.</i> | Bahia/Swanki | +++ | ++ | +++ |
| <i>Lepidium draba</i> | Drub | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| <i>Malva neglecta</i> | Uthpair | + | + | + |
| <i>Poa annua</i> | Neli ghash | + | ++ | +++ |
| <i>Phalaris minor</i> | Dumbi | + | ++ | + |
| <i>Sophora alopecuroides</i> | Sondak | +++ | ++ | +++ |

*% infestation was calculated from the randomly selected samples (n = 50) of weed from each plot; ++++ > 75%; +++ 51-75%; ++ 25-50%; + < 25%; -- not found.

Table 2: Effect of various weed control treatments on weed's density, different morphological characters of onion and crop yield in broadcasted onion at ARI during 1995-97

| Treatments | Weed's Density (per m ²) | Morphological Characters of Onion Plant | | | Crop yield (tons ha ⁻¹) |
|------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | Number of Bulbs/Plot | Bulb Size(cm) | Bulb Weight(gm) | |
| Control | 180.7a ¹ | 300.0d | 08.71c | 116.7c | 14.00d |
| THW | 36.67c | 632.3a | 17.53b | 261.7b | 22.00b |
| FHW | 17.67d | 636.3a | 21.23a | 320.0a | 24.20a |
| 2,4-D | 50.67b | 500.0b | 15.75c | 257.0b | 17.57c |
| Ronstar | 51.67b | 478.3b | 15.41c | 242.7b | 17.00c |
| Tribunil | 36.33c | 548.3b | 14.78c | 262.0b | 20.70b* |

*1Lower case letters indicate significant difference down the column using the LSD test. LSD values for weed density, no. of bulbs, bulb size, bulb weight and crop yield were 7.63, 56.78, 1.06, 21.53 and 1.75, respectively at significance level of 0.01%.

Table 3: Effect of various weed control treatments on weed's density, different morphological characters of onion and crop yield in broadcasted onion at Kanak during 1995-97

| Treatments | Weed Density (per m ²) | Morphological Characters of Onion Plant | | | Crop yield (tons ha ⁻¹) |
|------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | Number of Bulbs/Plot | Bulb Size (cm) | Bulb Weight (gm) | |
| Control | 178.0a ¹ | 422.0c | 08.04e | 87.00c | 10.93e |
| THW | 41.67c | 741.7b | 15.62b | 225.0a | 24.30b |
| FHW | 19.67d | 793.3a | 16.76a | 290.7a | 26.70a |
| 2,4-D | 55.33b | 743.3b | 12.62d | 260.0b | 17.90d |
| Ronstar | 54.33b | 750.3b | 12.52d | 246.0b | 19.07d |
| Tribunil | 40.67c | 742.3b | 13.54c | 251.0b | 21.93c* |

*1Lower case letters indicate significant difference down the column using the LSD test. LSD values for weed density, no. of bulbs, bulb size, bulb weight and crop yield were 7.76, 40.22, 0.39, 29.62 & 2.07 respectively at significance level of 0.01%.

Table 4: Effect of various weed control treatments on weed's density, different morphological characters of onion and crop yield in broadcasted onion at Kalat during 1995-97

| Treatments | Weed Density (per m ²) | Morphological Characters of Onion Plant | | | Crop yield (tons ha ⁻¹) |
|------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | Number of Bulbs/Plot | Bulb Size(cm) | Bulb Weight(gm) | |
| Control | 182.0a ¹ | 430.0b | 07.60f | 90.00d | 10.46 c |
| THW | 34.75c | 750.0a | 15.24b | 210.0c | 24.00 b |
| FHW | 11.67d | 735.0a | 16.51a | 300.0a | 29.50 a |
| 2,4-D | 55.00b | 760.0a | 12.70d | 261.0b | 23.00 b |
| Ronstar | 52.00b | 750.0a | 11.43e | 250.0b | 23.66 b |
| Tribunil | 42.00b | 740.0a | 13.97c | 250.0b | 22.00 b ¹ |

*1Lower case letters indicate significant difference down the column using the LSD test. LSD values for weed density, no. of bulbs, bulb size, bulb weight and crop yield were 7.50, 60.0, 0.40, 30.0 & 4.00 respectively at significance level of 0.01%.

Hassan and Malik: Weed management in Boardcasted onion

2,4-D has greater leaching property (Thomson, 1986). The structure of soil at all three locations are porous that might effect on the performance of 2,4-D. Through Four hand weeding; minimum weed density was observed at Kalat (Table 4), maximum number of bulbs were obtained at Kanak (Table 3), maximum bulb size and bulb weight were at ARI, Quetta (Table 2) and the best yield was obtained from the experimental plot at Kalat (Table 4). Nair *et al.* (1980), reported that inverse relation are found between weeds and bulb size in onion. Anes (1994), reported that weeds could reduce almost 50% crop yield in onion. The interval of hand weeding also affects positively to the crop yield (Yadav *et al.*, 1988). Hand weeding was done after 25 days interval in this study which provided good results as reported by Yadav *et al.* (1988).

In view of the above discussion it was proved that in the weed management programme of onion four hand weeding should be prefer. The study dose not disavow the effectiveness of chemical control, as Ronstar and Tribunil had also showed their significant potential in the control of onion weeds, but keeping in view the hazards of environmental pollution by herbicides hand weeding (FHW) is recommended.

References

- Anes, S. M., 1994. Weed situation in Balochistan, An over view, Agriculture Research Institute, Balochistan, Quetta, Pakistan.
- Anonymous, 1996-97. Agriculture Statistics Balochistan, Statistical Wing, Directorate of Agriculture Extension, Balochistan, Quetta, Pakistan.
- Hashmi, A. L., M. H. Qazi and P. Khaliq, 1995. Food production in Pakistan. *Progressive Far.*, 15: 7-15.
- Marwat, Q. D., M. Nisar and F. Hussain, 1992. Vegetation studies of Chiltan Nation Park-Hazargangi, Quetta. *Pak. J. Agric. Res.*, 13:71-79.
- Nair, P. K. R., Heriwaltabh and P. L. Bhalla, 1980. Study on the effect of certain herbicides on weed flora in onion. ISWA/MAU Weed Sci. Conf., Parbhani.
- Rathore P. S., D. S. Bhati and A. L. Mali, 1990. Effect of weed control measures on growth and yield of cumin. *Indian J. Agron.*, 35:304-305.
- Smith, C. A., D. R. Shaw and C. J. Newsom, 1992. Arrowleaf (*Sida rhombifolia*) and prickly Sida (*Sida spinosa*): germination and emergence. *Weed Res.*, 32:103-109.
- Singh, M. and A. S. Chandel, 1995. Effect of weed control method on Soybean (*Glycine max*). *Indian J. Agron.*, 40:55-58.
- Thomson, W. T., 1986. *Agricultural Chemicals: Book II, Herbicides.* Thomson Publications, Fresno, CA, USA. pp: 301.
- Upadhyay, U. C., K. Naderullah and V. R. Chakrawar, 1980. Weed management studies in onion (*Allium cepa* L.). ISWA/OUAT WeedSci. Conf., Bhubaneswar.
- Yadav, D. S. and S. B. Singh, 1988. Efficacy of mechanical and chemical weed control in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Ann. Agric. Res.*, 9:256-258.