



Asian Journal of Plant Sciences

ISSN 1682-3974

science
alert

ANSI*net*
an open access publisher
<http://ansinet.com>

Inheritance of Response to Cotton Leaf Curl Virus (CLCuV) Infection in Cotton

Muhammad Iqbal, ¹Muhammad Ali Chang, ²Abid Mahmood,
¹Mula Bux Khumber, Abdul Nasir and Mahmood-ul-Hassan
Cotton Research Station Multan, Punjab, Pakistan
¹Sindh Agriculture University Tandojam, Sindh, Pakistan
²Barani Agriculture Research Institute Chakwal, Punjab, Pakistan

Abstract: To study inheritance of CLCuV, nine selected varieties/lines (four resistant five susceptible) of upland cotton (*G. hirsutum* L.) were used for five F₁ combinations. The F₁ was sown for raising F₂ and back crossing purpose. Subsequently P₁, P₂ F₁, F₂, BC₁ and BC₂ population were established and to ensure the inoculation of CLCuV no pesticide was used to control insect population. The results indicated that duplicate dominant epistasis was involved in control of resistance of CLCuV. Virus resistance was controlled by two dominant duplicate genes as F₂ ratio was modified to 15:1 from 9:4:4:1 and the test cross ratio was modified to 3:1 instead of 1:1.

Key words: Cotton, leaf curl virus, inheritance, genetic resistance

Introduction

During the year 1987-88, a virus like disease appeared in cotton which gradually increased and became epidemic from the year 1992-93 and caused heavy damage to the crop during the year 1994-95 when the cotton production declined from 12.8 to 7.9 million bales (Anonymous, 1997). This disease was then identified and named as cotton leaf curl virus (CLCuV). The yield loss has become a constant phenomenon every year due to CLCuV and about 7.1 million bales have been lost during last decade (Mahmood, 1999).

Similar type of damage to cotton (*G. hirsutum* L.) has been done by the CLCuV in Nigeria, Sudan and Tanzania. The disease caused a reduction in number of bolls by 87.4 and 38.8% in boll weight and 92.2% in seed cotton yield (Singh *et al.*, 1999). The crop management strategies proved ineffective against CLCuV attack and the only option was to build genetic resistance in varieties to provide the solution of this disease (Khan and Khan, 1995). Much attention has been paid towards breeding for resistant varieties in Pakistan and as a result eleven CLCuV resistant varieties have been approved until now for general cultivation which provided genetic barrier against CLCuV along with other desirable traits. The country is still under constant threat as many of the farmers are still growing old varieties. However, CLCuV resistant varieties has become an essential integral component of the national cotton breeding program. Therefore, inheritance studies on CLCuV were also carried out in the present research.

Leaf curl disease of cotton (*G. hirsutum* L.) is characterized by vein thickening, enation formation and

curling of leaves. Generally, the virus induces two types of leaf curling i.e., upward (Up) or downward (Dw). Both types of symptoms are observed in almost all commercially cultivated cotton varieties in naturally infected as well as artificially inoculated plants. In addition, mixed type (Dw and Up) can also be seen in same plants (Khalid and Shah, 1999; Khalid and Masood, 1999).

Wilson and Brown (1991) studied the inheritance of cotton leaf crumple virus (CLCV) infection in cotton. The results indicated that factor controlling symptoms/expression (Susceptibility) were inherited as duplicate factors and that the susceptible phenotype (genotype c₁c₁ c₂c₂) was recessive to the phenotype i.e., resistant phenotype (genotype c₁-, c₂-), which mean that at least one dominant gene must be present in a genotype for resistance to CLCV.

Ali (1997) studied that the inheritance of CLCuV in upland cotton and reported that resistance was monogenic and dominant in nature. Mansoor *et al.* (1999) reported that cotton genotypes, susceptible to CLCuV accumulated several fold higher level of viral DNA, as compared to the tolerant varieties.

Sanz *et al.* (1999) studied the genetic variability of natural population of cotton leaf curl "Gemini virus" and suggested that cotton leaf curl virus (CLCuV) of Pakistan belongs to "Gemini virus" group of genus Begomovirus. They further reported that whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci*) transmitted "Gemini virus" in cotton.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted at Central Cotton

Research Ansated, Multan on clay loam soil. Four CLCuV resistant varieties viz. S-III, MNH554, CIM448 and CIM1100 and five CLCuV susceptible varieties viz. 124F, MNH516, S-14, MNH465 and NIAB Karishma were crossed as: S-111 X 124F, MNH564 x MNH516, CIM448 X S-14, CIM1100 X MNH465, NIAB KARISHMA X MNH465.

All these crosses were attempted during the year 1996. The F₁ generation along with their parents was raised during the 1997. Plants of the F₁ generation were self pollinated and subsequently back crossed to the two parents. Parents were also crossed during the subsequent years (1997, 1998) in above-mentioned fashion.

The parents P₁, P₂, F₁, F₂ and back cross (BC₁, BC₂) populations of each cross were planted on 15th June, 1998. One row of P₁, P₂ and F₁, six rows of F₂ and three rows of each back cross were planted keeping row to row distance 75 cm and plant to plant 25 cm, while the length of row was 6 m. The F₁ seed of year 1998 was again sown in next sowing season 1999 as F₂ generation, keeping 8 rows of each cross. Techniques regarding artificial infestation were not available and observations were taken in the environment of natural infestation (Ali, 1997). As the whitefly is vector for transmission of CLCuV (Sanz *et al.*, 1999). Keeping in view this point, no pesticide was applied before 15th September, providing opportunity to whitefly and other sucking insects of cotton to breed and multiply frequently for transmission of CLCuV inoculum. All plants of all generations were observed periodically and rated during the growing season for CLCuV symptoms, following the method of Wilson and Brown (1991). Plants were rated as "A" for asymptomatic (resistant) and "S" for virus affected symptoms (CLCuV susceptible).

Symptom ratings obtained from the final data collection (Sept., 1998 to 1999) were used for further analysis. The data of six generations P₁, P₂, F₁, F₂, BC₁ and BC₂ of each cross for the year 1998 and F₂ generation during 1999 were used for the goodness of fit by the chi-square test as discussed by Gomez and Gomez (1983).

Results and Discussion

It is revealed from data presented in Table 1 that all the parent plants of 124F, MNH516, S-14, MNH465, NIAB-Karishma and F₁ of NIAB- Karishma X MNH465 showed CLCuV symptoms. While plants of varieties S-111, MNH564, CIM448, CIM1100 and F₁ plants of following crosses (1) S111 X 124F, (2) MNH564 x MNH516, (3) CIM448 X S-14, (4) CIM1100 X MNH465 were asymptomatic (A phenotype). The F₂ population segregated in 1:15, S:A (CLCuV diseased plants : healthy plants) of all crosses except the cross NIAB-Karishma X

MNH465, as the whole F₂ population of this cross was disease affected (S phenotype). It was due to the reason that both parents used in crossing were CLCuV susceptible and as a result the F₁, BC₁, BC₂ and F₂ generations of this cross were also CLCuV susceptible.

The data regarding the back cross populations (BC₁ and BC₂) raised from the first four F₁ hybrids from serial number one to four presented in Table 1 revealed that the BC₁ population (F₁ x resistant parents) did not show any segregation as all plants were resistant. The back cross (BC₂) populations of respective susceptible parents segregated into 1:3 ratio, S:A (CLCuV diseased plants : Healthy plants). The 1:15 ratio in F₂ population of all the four crosses except NIAB- Karishma x MNH465 between S:A were also observed during the following year 1999-2000, which confirmed the previous year results. Chi Square test completely advocated the goodness of fit for F₂ and back cross ratios (Table 2).

These results indicated that inheritance of CLCuV susceptibility was conditioned by duplicate factors, with the S conferring alleles recessive and hypostatic to A (healthy plant from CLCuV). The data F₁, F₂, BC₁ and BC₂ populations of cross NIAB-Karishma x MNH465 showed those resistant plants (phenotype) could not be achieved in F₁ by crossing the susceptible parents with each other. It was suspected that at least one copy of each of the two factors (designated VR₁, VR₂) must be present for the A (resistant to CLCuV) response and plant bearing genotype VR₁⁻, VR₂⁻, VR₁⁻VR₂VR₂, VR₁VR₁ and VR₂⁻ will also be resistant to CLCuV and only genotypes having VR₁VR₁VR₂VR₂ will be susceptible.

The present studies revealed that virus resistant (A) in S111, MNH564, CIM448, CIM1100 was dominant over susceptibility in 124F, MNH516, 3-14, MNH465 and that the character was controlled by two dominant genes. Segregation ratio of F₂ 9:3:3:1 based on two genes was modified to 1:15 as a result of duplicate dominant epistasis in this experiment. The pattern of segregation of F₂ is as under:

$$VR_1VR_1VR_2VR_2 \times VR_1VR_1VR_2VR_2$$

F ₁	VR ₁ VR ₁ VR ₂ VR ₂	Resistant
F ₂	9=VR ₁ ⁻ VR ₂ ⁻	Resistant
	3=VR ₁ ⁻ VR ₂ VR ₂	Resistant
	3=VR ₁ VR ₁ VR ₂ ⁻	Resistant
	1=VR ₁ VR ₁ VR ₂ VR ₂	Susceptible

F₂ ratio changes to 1:15 (Susceptible : resistant)

Table 1: Inheritance of CLCuV during 1998

Cross	Total plants	Observed		Expected		χ^2
		S	A	S	A	
124F	26	26	0	-	-	
S-111	27	0	27	-	-	
S-111 X 124F (F ₁)	59	0	59	0	59	
F ₁ X S-111(BC ₁)	84	0	84	0	84	
F ₁ X124F (BC ₂)	88	21	67	22	66	0.06
S-111 X124F(F ₂)	185	13	172	11.6	173.4	0.18
MNH564	27	0	27	-	-	
MNH516	26	26	0	-	-	
MNH564 X MNH516 (F ₁)	56	0	56	0	56	
F ₁ X MNH564 (BC ₁)	83	0	83	0	83	
F ₁ X MNH516 (BC ₂)	85	24	61	21.25	63.75	0.47
MNH564 X MNH516 (F ₂)	172	10	162	10.75	161.25	0.06
CIM448	26	0	26	-	-	
S-14	21	21	0	-	-	
CIM448 X S-14 (F ₁)	55	0	55	0	55	
F ₁ X CIM448 (BC ₁)	79	0	79	0	79	
F ₁ X S-14 (BC ₂)	81	23	58	20.25	60.75	0.50
CIM448 X S-14 (F ₂)	175	8	167	10.9	164.1	0.82
MNH465	29	29	0	-	-	
CIM1100	25	0	25	-	-	
CIM1100X MNH465(F ₁)	56	0	56	0	56	
F ₁ X CIM1100 (BC ₁)	74	0	74	0	74	
F ₁ X MNH516 (BC ₂)82	82	19	63	20.5	61.5	0.15
CIM1100 X MNH465(F ₂)	171	8	163	10.7	160.3	0.73
NIAB Krishma	26	26	0			
MNH465	23	23	0			
N. Krishma X MNH465(F ₁)	52	52	0			
F ₁ X NIAB Krishma(BC ₁)	81	80	1			
F ₁ X MNH465 (BC ₂)	73	73	0			
N. Krishma X MNH465(F ₂)	172	171	1			

Table 2: Inheritance of CLCuV in F₂ during 1999

Cross	Total plants	Observed		Expected		χ^2
		S	A	S	A	
S111 X124F	229	16	213	14.3	214.7	0.22
MNH564 X MNH516	207	14	193	12.9	194.1	0.10
CIM448 X S14	212	11	201	13.25	198.75	0.41
CIM1100 X MNH465	209	15	194	13.1	195.9	0.29
NIAB Krishma X MNH465	215	214	1	-	-	-

P ≤ 0.05, S = CLCuV effected(Susceptible), A = Asymptomatic(Resistant)

Test cross ratio (F_1 x Susceptible parent)

$VR_1VR_1VR_2VR_2$ (resistant F_1) x $Vr_1Vr_1Vr_2Vr_2$ Susceptible parent

1 = $VR_1Vr_1VR_2Vr_2$ Resistant

1 = $Vr_1Vr_1VR_2Vr_2$ Resistant

1 = $VR_1Vr_1Vr_2Vr_2$ Resistant

1 = $Vr_1Vr_1Vr_2Vr_2$ Susceptible

As a result the test cross ratio was modified to 3:1 from 1:1.

These results are in according to Wilson and Brown (1991) and are contrasting with Siddig (1968) and Ali (1997). As the *G. hirsutum* L. is an allotetraploid species, an example of duplicate factor inheritance in cotton has been documented by Wilson (1987). It is therefore, concluded that for future improvement it might be necessary to identify lines that breed true for the resistant A. Following evidences support the presence of two dominant genes hypothesis.

The test cross ratio 1:3 confirms digenic model as in monogenic, it must be 1:1 ratio. All the F_1 test cross ratio, back cross ratio and F_2 ratio confirmed the homozygosity of the parents.

References

Ali, M., 1997. Breeding of cotton varieties for resistance to cotton leaf curl virus. Pak. J. Phyto., 9: 1-7.
 Anonymous, 1997. Economic survey. Govt. of Pakistan Finance Division. Islamabad, Pakistan.
 Gomez, K.A. and A.A. Gomez, 1984. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research II Edition John Wiley and Sons. Inc. New York, USA.
 Khalid, S. and H. Shah, 1999. Cotton leaf curl virus induced symptoms and their relation with virus Titer and Epitope profile. Proc. ICAC-CCRI- Reg. Consult. on insecticide resist. manag. in cotton. June 28 – July 1, 1999, CCRI., Multan (Absts), pp: 33.

Khalid, S.H. and M.A. Masood, 1999. Relationship of cotton leaf curl virus symptoms with virus concentration and epitope profile. In Khalid, S. (Ed.) Research on Plant viral Disease in Pakistan. Agha Jee Printers, Islamabad, Pakistan, pp: 33.
 Khan. W.S. and A. G. Khan, 1995. Cotton situation in Punjab. An overview Proc. Natl. Seminar on Strategies for increasing cotton production. Govt. of Punjab Agric. Dept. April, 26-27, pp: 1–29.
 Mahmood, N.T., 1999 Cotton leaf curl virus disease and its status in Pakistan. Proc. ICAC-CCRI- Reg. Consult. Insecticide Resist. Manag. Cotton, June 28 – July 1, pp: 234.
 Mansoor, S., S.H. Khan, M. Hussain, A. Bashir, M. Saeed, Y. Zafar, J. Stanley, R. Bridon, P. Markham and K.A. Malik, 1999. DNA variants among Pakistan isolates of cotton leaf curl virus. Proc. ICAC-CCRI- Reg. Consult. Insecticide Resist. Manag. Cotton, June 28 – July 1, pp: 35.
 Sanz, A.I., A. Fraile, J.M. Gallego, J.M. Malpica and F. Garcia-Arenal, 1999. Genetic variability of natural populations of cotton leaf curl Gemini virus. A single stranded DNA virus. In: Khalid, S. (Ed.) Research on Plant Viral Diseases in Pakistan. Agha Jee Printers, Islamabad, Pakistan, pp: 33.
 Singh. J.A., S. Sohi, D.S. Brar, I. Denhdm, D. Russell and R. Briddon, 1999. Management of cotton leaf curl virus disease, in India. Proc. ICAC Regional consultation Insecticide Resistance management in cotton. C.C.R.I., Multan, Pakistan, pp: 277-284.
 Wilson, F.D., 1987. Inheritance of Pink filament in cotton. J. Heredity, 78: 223-224.
 Wilson, F.D. and J.K. Brown, 1991. Inheritance of response to cotton leaf crumple virus infection in cotton. J. Heredity, 82: 508-509.