



Asian Journal of Plant Sciences

ISSN 1682-3974

science
alert

ANSI*net*
an open access publisher
<http://ansinet.com>

Profitability of Cabbage Production as Main and Ratoon Crop

M. O. Hoque, ¹A. M. Farooque, M. Robiul Alam, M. Akhtar Hossain, F. Islam and M. S.H. Molla
On-Farm Research Division, Agricultural Research Station,
Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI), Pabna, Bangladesh
¹Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Abstract: The research work was conducted to determine the economic return with integrated use of manure and fertilizer on cabbage cultivation as main and ratoon crop. The highest total gross return (Tk. 181365 ha⁻¹) were obtained from T₁₁ treatment with cowdung (3.75 t ha⁻¹), mustard oil cake (0.65 t ha⁻¹) and poultry manure (2.13 t ha⁻¹) plus N₁₃₀ P₂₈ K₁₀₈ kg ha⁻¹ i.e., ¹/₄ (CD + MOC+PM+NPK) in both main and ratoon crop. While the lowest total gross return (Tk. 69610 ha⁻¹) was found in control treatment. The treatment T₁₁ was economically profitable than other treatment in respect of net return (Tk. 91648 ha⁻¹ and Tk. 25953 ha⁻¹) and benefit cost ratio (2.79 and 3.08) for main and ratoon crop, respectively. Total net return (Tk. 117601 ha⁻¹) and benefit cost ratio (2.84) were also found to be higher in T₁₁ treatment. While the lowest total net return (Tk. 17993 ha⁻¹) and benefit cost ratio (1.33) were recorded in control (T₀) treatment.

Key words: Profitability, cabbage, main and ratoon crop, gross return

Introduction

Cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var *capitata* L.) is an important member of the cole crops and belongs to the family Brassicaceae. It is one of the most popular leafy vegetables and extensively grown in Bangladesh mainly in winter months. As vegetable cabbage has high nutritive value as well as high market demand. In Bangladesh about 10000 ha of land is under cultivation with annual production 107000 metric tons (Anonymous, 1998). The average yield of cabbage is very low (10.25 t ha⁻¹) in Bangladesh compared to that of many other developed countries of the world (Anonymous, 1999). In economic point of view, significantly higher yield (73.44 t ha⁻¹) of cabbage and more profit (1796 MRR) was found when organic manures applied in combination with mineral fertilizers (Farid *et al.*, 1998).

Very little information is available on economic return of cabbage cultivation. Due to absence of information the policy makers also can not adopt appropriate policy regarding its extension and development. Considering the above facts, the study was therefore, undertaken to estimate the costs and returns from cabbage cultivation as main and ratoon crop.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted at the Horticulture Farm of Bangladesh Agricultural University (BAU), Mymensingh during November 1999 to April 2000. The soil of the experimental area was silty loam, medium high land belonging to the Agro-Ecological Zone-9 (AEZ-9), which was originated from Old Brahmaputra deposits, having

non-calcareous dark grey flood plain soil (Anonymous, 1988). The variety of cabbage was K-K Cross (developed by T. Sakata Corporation, Japan). There were twelve treatments taking i.e., T₁, cowdung (CD); T₂, mustard oil cake (MOC); T₃, poultry manure (PM) and T₄, NPK fertilizer in various combinations. The doses for nutrients were N₁₃₀ P₂₈ K₁₀₈ kg ha⁻¹ (Anonymous, 1997) from urea, TSP and MP, respectively. Cowdung, mustard oil cake and poultry manure were used at the rate of 15, 2.6 and 8.5 t ha⁻¹, respectively. The entire quantity of cowdung, mustard oil cake, poultry manure, TSP and half of MP were applied during final land preparation. The total amount of urea and rest half of MP were applied in three equal installments at 15, 30 and 45 days after transplanting in the specific (T₄, T₇, T₈, T₉, T₁₀ and T₁₁) plots but T₀, control plots received no manure or fertilizer.

For production of ratoon crop of cabbage, three additional top dressing were done with only half of N of recommended dose of N₁₃₀ kg ha⁻¹ i.e., N₆₅ kg ha⁻¹ at 7, 21 and 35 days after harvesting of the main crop. Each top dressing was followed by irrigation. Twenty five day old healthy and uniform sized seedlings were transplanted in the experimental plots on 20 November, 1999 maintaining a spacing of 60x50 cm² accommodating 30 plants in each unit plot. The unit plot size was 3x3 m². Irrigation, earthing up, pest management and weeding were done as and when required. The main crop was harvested during 8-15th February, 2000. The heads of main crop was cut with a sharp knife leaving two loose leaves at the base of the stump for the production of ratoon crop. Ratoon crop was harvested during the period from 5-13th April, 2000.

Information relative inputs use as well as return was collected on plot basis, then converted into per hectare and were done according to the procedure of Alam *et al.* (1989). Interest on inputs cost was calculated on the basis of commercial bank rate. In this study, however, land rental value was for the period from planting of seedlings to harvesting of the ratoon crop.

Results and Discussion

Cabbage is a labor intensive crop, which required a number of man day per hectare from transplanting to harvesting. Moreover, it required more labor for production of ratoon crop. All the labors were hired and distributed in different operations among the treatments and counted in Taka.

Table 1: Production cost of cabbage per hectare due to use of inorganic fertilizers, organic manure and its combination

| A. Material cost (Tk) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|----------|---------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| Treatments | Seed cost (Tk) | Bamboo and Chatai cost (Tk) | Cost of inorganic fertilizer | | | Cost of organic manure | | | Cost of irrigation (Tk) | Cost of pesticides (Tk) | Sub total (A) |
| | | | Urea (Tk) | TSP (Tk) | MP (Tk) | Cowdung (Tk) | Mustard oil cake (Tk) | Poultry manure (Tk) | | | |
| T ₀ | 1200* | 800* | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3000* | 2000* | 7000 |
| T ₁ | 1200 | 800 | - | - | - | 6000 | - | - | 3000 | 2000 | 13000 |
| T ₂ | 1200 | 800 | - | - | - | - | 20800 | - | 3000 | 2000 | 27800 |
| T ₃ | 1200 | 800 | - | - | - | - | - | 3825 | 3000 | 2000 | 10825 |
| T ₄ | 1200 | 800 | 1974 | 1536 | 1944 | - | - | - | 3000 | 2000 | 12454 |
| T ₅ | 1200 | 800 | - | - | - | 3000 | 10400 | - | 3000 | 2000 | 20400 |
| T ₆ | 1200 | 800 | - | - | - | 3000 | - | 1912 | 3000 | 2000 | 11912 |
| T ₇ | 1200 | 800 | 987 | 768 | 972 | 3000 | - | - | 3000 | 2000 | 12727 |
| T ₈ | 1200 | 800 | 987 | 768 | 972 | - | 10400 | - | 3000 | 2000 | 20127 |
| T ₉ | 1200 | 800 | 987 | 768 | 972 | - | - | 1912 | 3000 | 2000 | 11639 |
| T ₁₀ | 1200 | 800 | 658 | 512 | 648 | 2000 | 6933 | - | 3000 | 2000 | 17751 |
| T ₁₁ | 1200 | 800 | 493 | 384 | 486 | 1500 | 5200 | 956 | 3000 | 2000 | 16019 |

B. Non-material cost (Tk)

| Treatments | Cost of seed bed preparation (Tk) | Cost for land preparation and fertilization (Tk) | Cost of layout, transplanting, shading (Tk) | Cost of intercultural operation including top dressing (Tk) | Cost of harvesting and marketing (Tk) | Sub total (B) |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| T ₀ | 250* | 2500 | 2250* | 3000 | 8000* | 16000 |
| T ₁ | 250 | 3500 | 2250 | 3000 | 8000 | 17000 |
| T ₂ | 250 | 3800 | 2250 | 3000 | 8000 | 17300 |
| T ₃ | 250 | 3500 | 2250 | 3000 | 8000 | 17000 |
| T ₄ | 250 | 3600 | 2250 | 3600 | 8000 | 17700 |
| T ₅ | 250 | 3650 | 2250 | 3000 | 8000 | 17150 |
| T ₆ | 250 | 3600 | 2250 | 3000 | 8000 | 17100 |
| T ₇ | 250 | 3550 | 2250 | 3300 | 8000 | 17350 |
| T ₈ | 250 | 3700 | 2250 | 3300 | 8000 | 17500 |
| T ₉ | 250 | 3550 | 2250 | 3300 | 8000 | 17350 |
| T ₁₀ | 250 | 3635 | 2250 | 3250 | 8000 | 17385 |
| T ₁₁ | 250 | 3750 | 2250 | 3200 | 8000 | 17450 |

Urea @ Tk 7 kg⁻¹ Cowdung @ Tk 0.40 kg⁻¹ Seed rate 600 g ha⁻¹ @ Tk.2000 kg⁻¹ TSP @ Tk 12 kg⁻¹
 Mustard oil cake @ Tk 8 kg⁻¹ MP @ Tk 9 kg⁻¹ Poultry manure @ Tk 0.45 kg⁻¹ Labour @ Tk 50 day⁻¹

C. Over head cost (Tk)

| Treatments | Over head cost (c) | | | Total cost of production (A+B+C) | | Gross return (t) ha ⁻¹ | Net return (Tk ha ⁻¹) | Benefit cost ratio (BCR) |
|-----------------|---|---|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Cost of lease of land (For 6 months) (Tk) | Interest (12%) on running capital (For 6 months) (Tk) | Miscellaneous cost (5% of input cost) (Tk) | (Tk ha ⁻¹) | Marketable yield (t) ha ⁻¹ | | | |
| T ₀ | 15000* | 1380 | 350 | 39730 | 18.42 | 46050 | 6320 | 1.16 |
| T ₁ | 15000 | 1800 | 650 | 47450 | 26.81 | 67025 | 19575 | 1.41 |
| T ₂ | 15000 | 2706 | 1390 | 64196 | 53.59 | 133975 | 69779 | 2.09 |
| T ₃ | 15000 | 1669 | 541 | 45035 | 43.73 | 109325 | 64290 | 2.43 |
| T ₄ | 15000 | 1809 | 622 | 47585 | 48.99 | 122475 | 74890 | 2.57 |
| T ₅ | 15000 | 2253 | 1020 | 55823 | 47.38 | 118450 | 62627 | 2.12 |
| T ₆ | 15000 | 1740 | 595 | 46347 | 38.98 | 97450 | 51103 | 2.10 |
| T ₇ | 15000 | 1804 | 636 | 47517 | 45.93 | 114825 | 67308 | 2.42 |
| T ₈ | 15000 | 2257 | 1006 | 55890 | 55.69 | 139225 | 83335 | 2.49 |
| T ₉ | 15000 | 1739 | 582 | 46310 | 48.33 | 120825 | 74515 | 2.61 |
| T ₁₀ | 15000 | 2108 | 887 | 53131 | 48.45 | 121125 | 67994 | 2.28 |
| T ₁₁ | 15000 | 2008 | 800 | 51277 | 57.17 | 142925 | 91648 | 2.79 |

*Cost Tk ha⁻¹ remained same due to use of same amount inputs in main crop
 Sale of cabbage @ Tk 2500/t Gross return = Marketable yield x Tk 2500/t
 Cd= Cowdung, MOC= Mustard oil cake, PM = Poultry manure
 BCR= Gross return/cost of production

Table 2: Production cost of ratoon crop of cabbage per hectare (Tk) and benefit cost ratio

| Treatments | Cost of fertilizer (Tk) | Cost of irrigation (Tk) | Cost of pesticides (Tk) | Cost of intercultural operation (Tk) | Cost of harvesting & marketing (Tk) | Total cost of production (Tk) | Marketable yield (t) ha ⁻¹ | Gross return (Tk ha ⁻¹) | Net return (Tk ha ⁻¹) | BCR (Tk ha ⁻¹) |
|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| T ₀ | 987* | 2000* | 1500* | 2000* | 6000* | 12487* | 11.78 | 23560 | 11073 | 1.89 |
| T ₁ | 987 | 2000 | 1500 | 2000 | 6000 | 12487 | 13.02 | 26040 | 13553 | 2.09 |
| T ₂ | 987 | 2000 | 1500 | 2000 | 6000 | 12487 | 18.51 | 37020 | 24533 | 2.96 |
| T ₃ | 987 | 2000 | 1500 | 2000 | 6000 | 12487 | 18.22 | 36440 | 23953 | 2.92 |
| T ₄ | 987 | 2000 | 1500 | 2000 | 6000 | 12487 | 16.57 | 33140 | 20653 | 2.65 |
| T ₅ | 987 | 2000 | 1500 | 2000 | 6000 | 12487 | 18.64 | 37280 | 24793 | 2.99 |
| T ₆ | 987 | 2000 | 1500 | 2000 | 6000 | 12487 | 16.44 | 32880 | 20393 | 2.63 |
| T ₇ | 987 | 2000 | 1500 | 2000 | 6000 | 12487 | 16.00 | 32000 | 19513 | 2.56 |
| T ₈ | 987 | 2000 | 1500 | 2000 | 6000 | 12487 | 16.56 | 33120 | 20633 | 2.65 |
| T ₉ | 987 | 2000 | 1500 | 2000 | 6000 | 12487 | 16.18 | 32360 | 19873 | 2.59 |
| T ₁₀ | 987 | 2000 | 1500 | 2000 | 6000 | 12487 | 15.96 | 31920 | 19433 | 2.56 |
| T ₁₁ | 987 | 2000 | 1500 | 2000 | 6000 | 12487 | 19.22 | 38440 | 25953 | 3.08 |

*: Cost Tk ha⁻¹ remained same due to use of same amount inputs in ratoon crop

T₀ (Control) T₁ (Cowdung) T₂ (Mustard oil cake) T₃ (Poultry manure) T₄ (NPK)
 T₅ ½(Cd+MOC) T₆ ½(Cd+PM) T₇ ½(Cd+NPK) T₈ ½(MOC+NPK) T₉ ½(PM + NPK)
 T₁₀ 1/3(Cd+MOC+NPK) T₁₁ 1/4(Cd+MOC+PM+NPK)

Table 3: Total benefit cost ratio of main and ratoon crop of cabbage

| Treatments | Marketable yield ha ⁻¹ (t) | | Total marketable yield (t) ha ⁻¹ | Gross return | | Total gross return | Cost of production | | Total cost of production | Total net return | BCR |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------------|------------------|------|
| | Main crop | Ratoon crop | | Main crop | Ratoon crop | | Main crop | Ratoon crop | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| T ₀ | 18.42 | 11.78 | 30.20 | 46050 | 23560 | 69610 | 39730 | 12487 | 52217 | 17993 | 1.33 |
| T ₁ | 26.81 | 15.17 | 41.98 | 67025 | 26040 | 93065 | 47450 | 12487 | 59937 | 33128 | 1.55 |
| T ₂ | 53.59 | 18.51 | 72.10 | 133975 | 37020 | 170995 | 64196 | 12487 | 76683 | 94312 | 2.23 |
| T ₃ | 43.73 | 18.22 | 61.95 | 109325 | 36440 | 145765 | 45035 | 12487 | 57522 | 88243 | 2.53 |
| T ₄ | 48.99 | 16.57 | 65.56 | 122475 | 33140 | 155615 | 47585 | 12487 | 60072 | 95543 | 2.59 |
| T ₅ | 47.38 | 18.64 | 66.02 | 118450 | 37280 | 155730 | 55823 | 12487 | 68310 | 87420 | 2.28 |
| T ₆ | 38.98 | 16.44 | 55.42 | 97450 | 32880 | 130330 | 46347 | 12487 | 58834 | 71496 | 2.22 |
| T ₇ | 45.93 | 16.00 | 61.93 | 114825 | 32000 | 146825 | 47517 | 12487 | 60004 | 86821 | 2.45 |
| T ₈ | 55.69 | 16.56 | 72.25 | 139225 | 33120 | 172345 | 55890 | 12487 | 68377 | 104968 | 2.52 |
| T ₉ | 48.33 | 16.18 | 64.51 | 120825 | 32360 | 153185 | 46310 | 12487 | 58797 | 94388 | 2.61 |
| T ₁₀ | 48.45 | 15.96 | 64.41 | 121125 | 31920 | 153045 | 53131 | 12487 | 65618 | 87427 | 2.33 |
| T ₁₁ | 57.17 | 19.22 | 76.39 | 142925 | 38440 | 181365 | 51277 | 12487 | 63764 | 117601 | 2.84 |

and Tk. 11073/-) was recorded from the control, T_0 (Table 2). The benefit cost ratio also the highest (3.08) was recorded in T_{11} and the lowest (1.89) was obtained from the control (T_0) treatment of ratoon crop of cabbage. In case of total benefit cost ratio of main and ratoon crop of cabbage the highest benefit cost ratio (2.84) was obtained from T_{11} treatment (Table 3). The second and third highest benefit cost ratio (2.61 and 2.59) was obtained from T_9 and T_4 treatments respectively. Benefit cost ratio was the lowest (1.33) in control (T_0) treatment. From the economic point of view, the above result indicated that treatment T_{11} i.e., $\frac{1}{4}$ (cowdung+mustard oil cake+poultry manure+NPK) was more profitable than other treatments for the main as well as ratoon crop of cabbage.

From the study, it is apparent that among the different fertilizer management practices, the T_{11} treatment showed the best performance (57.17 t ha^{-1}) for main as well as ratoon crop (19.22 t ha^{-1}) of cabbage. The second best results (55.69 t ha^{-1}) was observed in $\frac{1}{2}$ (MOC+NPK) (T_8) for main crop and treatment $\frac{1}{2}$ (Cd+MOC) (T_5) for ratoon crop including yield of 18.64 t ha^{-1} . But cost of production was comparatively higher.

Therefore, among the 12 treatments the combined application of $\frac{1}{4}$ (Cd+MOC+PM+NPK) may be suggested for the production of main and application of half the said amount for ratoon crop of cabbage.

References

- Alam, M.S., T.M.T. Iqbal, M.Amin and M.A. Gaffar, 1989. Krishitattik Fasaler Utpadan O Unnayan (in Bengali). T.M. Jubair Bin Iqbal, Sirajgonj, pp: 231-39.
- Anonymous, 1997. Fertilizer Recommendation Guide. Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council, Farmgate, Dhaka, Bangladesh, pp: 196.
- Anonymous, 1999. Monthly Statistical Bulletin of Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, Ministry of Planning Govt. of the People's Republic of Bangladesh. Dhaka, pp: 191.
- Anonymous, 1988. Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations, Soil Survey Project of Bangladesh. Soil Resources Technical Report, pp: 101-159.
- Anonymous, 1998. Quarterly Bulletin of Statistics. Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy, 10: 76-77.
- Farid, A.T.M., M. Rahman, K.H. Talukder, M. Shahidullah and M. S. Islam, 1998. Efficiency of poultry manure and cowdung alone and in combination with mineral fertilizers on the yield of cabbage. Bangladesh J. Agril. Res., 23: 157-165.