



Asian Journal of Plant Sciences

ISSN 1682-3974

science
alert

ANSI*net*
an open access publisher
<http://ansinet.com>

Effect of Different Sowing Rates and Nitrogen Levels on Grain Yield, Yield Components and Some Quality Traits of Triticale

Zeki Mut, İsmail Sezer and Ali Gülümser

Department of Field Crops, Faculty of Agriculture, Ondokuzmayis University, Samsun, Turkey

Abstract: The study was carried out to determine the effects of nitrogen level (0, 60 120, 180 kg ha⁻¹), sowing rate (200, 350, 500, 650 seed m⁻²) on grain yield, yield components and some quality traits of two triticale cultivars under rainfed conditions in Samsun, Turkey, in the 2001-02 and 2002-03. Increasing nitrogen applications increased grain yield, plant height, number of ear m⁻², number of kernel ear⁻¹, thousand-grain weight, test weight and grain protein content. Plots treated with 500 number seed m⁻² recorded maximum grain yield. This study indicated that sowing rate should be 500 number seed per square meter and nitrogen dose should be between 120 and 180 kg ha⁻¹ to obtain high grain yield from triticale in Samsun located in the middle Blacksea region and similar ecological conditions.

Key words: Triticale, sowing rate, nitrogen application, grain yield

INTRODUCTION

Triticale (*X Triticosecale* Wittmack), a cross between wheat and rye, is gaining in popularity as an alternative to wheat and barley world-wide. The crossing of wheat and rye aims to combine the high yield potential and grain quality of wheat with the favourable characteristics of rye such as increased pest and disease resistance, winter hardiness, drought tolerance and adaptability to marginal conditions^[1,2]. Triticale is, therefore, a crop which is particularly suited for marginal environments or where disease pressure is high.

The majority of triticale production is destined for animal feed. However, it can be used for human consumption. While most of the varieties available are not suitable for leavened breadmaking on their own because of a weak and sticky gluten they can be used in leavened products when blended with wheat flour. Triticale is suitable for producing a range of unleavened products such as cakes, waffles, noodles, flour tortillas and spaghetti^[3].

Optimum sowing rate and fertilizer plays a vital role in increasing yield and quality of plants. Seed rates above the optimum level impose nutrients, light, moisture stresses and hence adversely affect crop yield while seed rate below optimum level usually have lower yield. Nitrogen is the most important nutrient and affects physiological events in plant development. If there is sufficient nitrogen in surroundings, plants grow healthy and turn a bold green colour. But in high concentration of

nitrogen the vegetation period will be longer and plants will ripe later. Also with high nitrogen doses, plants grow feeble and become susceptible to diseases; they grow very tall. With low concentrations of nitrogen, plant development is weak, flower, fruit and seed formations are low and root development is weak^[4,5]. The main purpose of nitrogen fertilization is to increase grain yield and quality^[6].

Compared to other mineral nutrients, N is required in relatively high quantities by cereals for optimum vegetative and reproductive growth^[5]. Ford *et al.*^[7] compared the nitrogen responses of two triticale breeding lines to the response of two winter wheat varieties and found little difference between the two species.

Graham *et al.*^[8] reported that a triticale variety and a wheat variety responded similarly to nitrogen. As triticale produces a larger biomass, it is responsive to nitrogen.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This field experiment was carried out at Ondokuz Mayis University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Field Crops in Samsun located in the Blacksea coastal area (41° 21' N; 36° 15' E; 190 m altitude) during 2001-02 and 2002-03 growing seasons.

Some soil characteristics were found to be as follows; in the first year, the soil texture was clay; organic matter was 3.10%; P content was 75.0 mg kg⁻¹; K content was 34.0 mg kg⁻¹. The soil was non-limy and non-salty, also

Table 1: Climatic data of the experimental area

| Months | Precipitation (mm) | | | Aver. temperature (°C) | | |
|----------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 1974-2002 | 2001-2002 | 2002-2003 | 1974-2002 | 2001-2002 | 2002-2003 |
| November | 78.6 | 94.0 | 29.7 | 11.9 | 12.5 | 14.1 |
| December | 73.3 | 138.1 | 71.3 | 8.9 | 8.0 | 6.6 |
| January | 59.5 | 105.4 | 28.1 | 6.8 | 4.5 | 9.3 |
| February | 47.8 | 35.2 | 77.8 | 6.6 | 8.7 | 4.8 |
| March | 52.0 | 34.1 | 73.5 | 7.8 | 9.8 | 5.0 |
| April | 58.7 | 61.9 | 45.0 | 11.2 | 10.2 | 8.7 |
| May | 50.5 | 10.9 | 54.7 | 15.3 | 15.8 | 16.2 |
| June | 49.4 | 53.8 | 3.3 | 20.0 | 20.8 | 20.7 |
| July | 31.1 | 79.9 | 37.2 | 23.1 | 25.6 | 23.7 |
| Total | 500.9 | 613.3 | 420.6 | - | - | - |
| Mean | - | - | - | 12.4 | 12.9 | 12.1 |

pH value of soil was 7.00. It had N content 0.21%. In the second year, the soil texture was clay; organic matter was 2.90%; P content was 72.0 mg kg⁻¹; K content was 40.0 mg kg⁻¹. The soil was non-limy and non-salty, also pH value of soil was 6.90. It had N content 0.18%. The monthly precipitation and temperature of the experimental area are presented (Table 1).

Treatments applied consisted of two triticale cultivars, four sowing rates and four nitrogen levels. The two cultivars were Tatlıcak and Melez 2001, Sowing rates were calculated for each cultivar using percentage germination and thousand kernel weights to achieve plant densities of approximately 200, 350, 500 and 650 seed m⁻². Sowing rate treatments will then be indicated as 200, 350, 500 and 650. Nitrogen treatments was applied as 0, 60, 120 and 180 kg ha⁻¹. In each season, treatments were randomised in a split-split plot design with three replications. Within each replication, cultivars was assigned to the main plot, which was split for the sowing rate treatment and then for the four nitrogen application.

In case of fertilizer application, a basal dose of 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ was applied in the form of TSP (triple super phospahe) while N was applied in the form of ammonium nitrate.

The fields were sown on 2 November 2001 and 5 November 2002 with a cone seeder. Plots were 0.50 m apart and consisted of six rows 0.18 m apart, 6 m long in 2001 and 2002. Weeds were chemically controlled by an application of Bromoxynil + MCPA in post-emergence. Means of treatments were evaluated and ranged according to Duncan test. The software package program MSTAT-C program was used for all statistics.

In this study, the effects of increasing nitrogen application and sowing rates on grain yield, plant height, number of ear m⁻², number of grain ear⁻¹, thousand-grain weight, test weight and grain protein ratio of two triticale cultivars were investigated.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Grain yield: The results of combined variance analysis showed that the effects of factors and interactions, except for the cultivar, year x cultivar and year x sowing rate x nitrogen levels interaction effects, on grain yield were significant (Table 2).

While grain yield was 3.765 t ha⁻¹ in first year, it was 3.251 t ha⁻¹ in second year (Table 3). Climate and soil conditions might have caused differences among the years. Tatlıcak cultivar had higher grain yield than Melez 2001 cultivar as an average of two years (3.574 and 3.541 t ha⁻¹, respectively). The highest grain yield was obtained from Melez 2001 cultivar with 500 number seed ratio m⁻² and 120 kg Nitrogen application ha⁻¹, while the lowest grain yield was also obtained from the same cultivar (Melez 2001) with 200 number seed ratio m⁻² and without nitrogen (Table 3). Considering nitrogen application rates, the highest grain yield was 4.052 t ha⁻¹ with 120 kg N application ha⁻¹ and 4.079 t ha⁻¹ with 180 kg N application ha⁻¹ (Table 3). The lowest grain yield was obtained from the control (2.790 t ha⁻¹). Grain yield was increased by raising nitrogen applications in small grains^[9-14].

Considering sowing rates, the highest average grain yield was obtained from the 500 number seed ratio application, while the lowest seed yield was obtained from the 200 number seed ratio application. Considering N x sowing rate interaction, the highest average grain yield was obtained from 120 kg N ha⁻¹ application rate x 500 number sowing rate (4.521 t ha⁻¹) while the lowest average grain yield was obtained from the lowest sowing rate and without Nitrogen (2.392 t ha⁻¹) (Table 3). These findings are conformed by Yağbasanlar *et al.*^[11] and Çakır and Köycü^[15]

Plant height: The results of variance analysis showed that the effects of year, cultivar, nitrogen level, sowing rate, cultivar x sowing rate and nitrogen level x sowing rate interactions on plant height were significant (Table 2).

Averaged over 2 years, the plant height was 99.0 cm in 2002-2003 growing seasons, while the plant height was 102.8 cm in 2001-2002 growing seasons (Table 4).

Tatlıcak cultivar had higher plant height than Melez 2001 cultivar. The highest plant height was obtained from the 180 kg Nitrogen application in both cultivars. As sowing ratio increased, plant height increased as well. While plant height was measured 98.1 cm with 200 number seed ratio application, plant height reached 103.9 cm when seed ratio was 650 numbers m⁻². According to Nitrogen x sowing rate interaction, the highest plant height was

Table 2: Analysis of variances for triticale cultivars grown in Samsun during 2001-2003

| Source of variation | df | Grain yield | Plant height | No. of ear m ⁻² | No. of grain ear ⁻¹ | 1000 grain weight | Test weight | Grain protein ratio |
|---------------------|----|-------------|--------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Year (Y) | 1 | 82253** | 678** | 3754 | 1417** | 140** | 105** | 0.2 |
| Replication x (Y) | 4 | 738 | 20 | 1553 | 11 | 2 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| Cultivar © | 1 | 520 | 822** | 5281* | 75* | 21** | 138** | 0.1 |
| Y x C | 1 | 1716 | 51 | 1906 | 51* | 12** | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Error 1 | 4 | 317 | 7 | 638 | 5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Sowing rate (S) | 3 | 70379** | 291** | 171749** | 688** | 82** | 34** | 0.9** |
| Y x S | 3 | 1201** | 16** | 282 | 24** | 7** | 0.9* | 0.2* |
| C x S | 3 | 610* | 9* | 132 | 37** | 0.7 | 2** | 0.03 |
| Y x C x S | 3 | 1223** | 25** | 326 | 4 | 9** | 1.4** | 0.2* |
| Error 2 | 24 | 185 | 2 | 256 | 3 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.06 |
| Nitrogen (N) | 3 | 186878** | 1793** | 27474** | 1417** | 201** | 106** | 76.0** |
| Y x N | 3 | 991** | 29** | 2041** | 20** | 17** | 15** | 0.68** |
| C x N | 3 | 7059** | 106** | 419* | 76** | 4** | 2.7** | 1.6** |
| Y x C x N | 3 | 254** | 7 | 91 | 52** | 4** | 2.6** | 0.65** |
| S x N | 9 | 1382** | 11** | 580** | 49** | 1.7** | 1.3** | 0.26** |
| Y x S x N | 9 | 362 | 4 | 669** | 16** | 1.3** | 0.9** | 0.06 |
| C x S x N | 9 | 824** | 3 | 157 | 28** | 0.9* | 0.6* | 0.05 |
| Y x C x S x N | 9 | 538** | 3 | 173 | 6 | 0.9* | 0.5 | 0.14** |
| Error 3 | 96 | 196 | 4 | 181 | 4 | 0.4 | 0.27 | 0.05 |

*, ** significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively

Table 3: Grain yield (t ha⁻¹) of triticale as affected by Cultivars (C), Sowing rate (S) and Nitrogen application (N)

| | | Applied N (kg ha ⁻¹) | | | | |
|------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|--------|
| | | ----- | | | | |
| | | (CxSxN) | | | | |
| | | ----- | | | | CxS |
| Cultivars | Sowing rate seed m ⁻² | 0 | 60 | 120 | 180 | Means |
| Tathcak | 200 | 2.508r | 3.063m-o | 3.278lm | 3.418j-l | 3.067e |
| | 350 | 2.91.7op | 3.208lm | 3.892fg | 3.827gh | 3.461d |
| | 500 | 3.26.7lm | 3.772gh | 4.500ab | 4.350a-d | 3.972a |
| | 650 | 3.150mn | 3.417j-l | 4.208de | 4.408a-d | 3.796b |
| Melez 2001 | 200 | 2.275s | 2.792pq | 3.520i-k | 3.617h-j | 3.051e |
| | 350 | 2.592qr | 3.100m-o | 4.053ef | 4.297b-d | 3.510d |
| | 500 | 2.970n-p | 3.733g-l | 4.542a | 4.475a-c | 3.930a |
| | 650 | 2.642qr | 3.383kl | 4.425a-d | 4.242c-e | 3.673c |
| | | SxN | | | | S |
| | 200 | 2.392i | 2.928 g | 3.399e | 3.518e | 3.060d |
| | 350 | 2.754h | 3.154f | 3.973c | 4.062c | 3.486c |
| | 500 | 3.118f | 3.753d | 4.521a | 4.413ab | 3.951a |
| | 650 | 2.896gh | 3.400e | 4.317b | 4.325b | 3.734b |
| | | CxN | | | | C |
| Tathcak | | 2.960e | 3.365c | 3.970b | 4.001b | 3.574 |
| Melez 2001 | | 2.620f | 3.252d | 4.135a | 4.158a | 3.541 |
| | Means (N) | 2.790c | 3.309b | 4.052a | 4.079a | |

Table 4: Plant height (cm) of triticale as affected by Cultivars (C), Sowing rate (S) and Nitrogen application (N)

| | | Applied N (kg ha ⁻¹) | | | | |
|------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|--------|-----------|
| | | C×S×N | | | | |
| Cultivars | Sowing rate seed m ⁻² | 0 | 60 | 120 | 180 | C×S Means |
| Tathcak | 200 | 95.3 | 99.1 | 101.2 | 104.1 | 99.9d |
| | 350 | 95.8 | 100.5 | 104.2 | 106.2 | 101.7c |
| Melez 2001 | 500 | 98.1 | 102.2 | 104.9 | 110.0 | 103.8b |
| | 650 | 99.3 | 105.3 | 108.1 | 113.3 | 106.5a |
| | 200 | 88.0 | 94.1 | 99.3 | 103.4 | 96.2f |
| | 350 | 89.9 | 94.8 | 101.7 | 107.1 | 98.4e |
| | 500 | 91.8 | 94.4 | 102.2 | 109.9 | 99.6d |
| | 650 | 93.0 | 96.7 | 104.6 | 110.6 | 101.2c |
| | | S x N | | | | S |
| | 200 | 91.7i | 96.6gh | 100.3ef | 103.7c | 98.1d |
| | 350 | 92.9i | 97.7g | 102.9cd | 106.6b | 100.0c |
| | 500 | 95.0h | 98.3fg | 103.6c | 109.9a | 101.7b |
| | 650 | 96.1gh | 101.0de | 106.3b | 111.9a | 103.9a |
| | C×N | | | | | |
| Tatlicak | | 97.1d | 101.8c | 104.6b | 108.4a | 103.0a |
| Melez 2001 | | 90.7f | 95.0e | 102.0c | 107.7a | 98.8b |
| Means (N) | | 93.9d | 98.4c | 103.3b | 108.1a | |

Mean in the same column followed by the same letter(s) were not significantly different at the 0.05 level

measured with 180 kg N ha⁻¹ application and 500-650 number seed ratio m⁻² application, whereas the lowest plant height was measured without N and with 200 number seed ratio m⁻² application.

These findings are conformed by Woodward^[16], Bishnoi and Hughes^[17], Ahmad *et al.*^[13] and Çakır and Köycü^[15].

Number of ear m⁻²: Cultivar, sowing rate, Nitrogen dose, year x nitrogen dose interaction, cultivar x nitrogen dose interaction, sowing rate x nitrogen dose interaction and year x sowing rate x nitrogen dose interaction significantly affect the number of plant m⁻² (Table 2). Plant number means were close to each others in both years, in first year 304.3 number per square meter, in second year 295.4 number m⁻² (Table 5). Plant number mean of Tatlıcak cultivar (305.1 numbers m⁻²) was higher than plant number mean of Melez 2001 cultivar (295.4 numbers m⁻²). Mazurek and Kus^[18], Piench and Stankowski^[12] and Şekeroğlu and Yılmaz^[14] reported that nitrogen application increases the number of ears m⁻² in cereals. In present study, As Nitrogen dose increased, ear number increased m⁻² and the highest ear number m⁻² (317.3 and 320.1) was counted with the application of 120 and 180 kg N ha⁻¹, respectively.

Considering sowing rate, with increased sowing rate application increased ear number m⁻². The highest ear number m⁻² was counted with plots treated with higher rates of sowing. Similar results were also indicated in the literatures^[11,15].

Considering sowing rate x N interaction, the lowest number of ear m⁻² was counted with 200 number kernel m⁻² x without N and the highest one was at 500-650 number m⁻² x 120- 180 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen application rate (Table 5).

Present findings are in agreement with the results of Yağbasanlar *et al.*^[11] and Çakır and Köycü^[15].

Number of kernel ear⁻¹: Statistical analysis of the data revealed that kernel ear⁻¹ were significantly affected by year, cultivar, sowing rates, N levels, cultivar x sowing rate interaction, sowing rate x N levels interaction and cultivar x sowing rate x nitrogen levels interaction (Table 2).

While average kernel number ear⁻¹ was 45.0 number in first year, it was 39.5 number in second year (Table 6). Tatlıcak cultivar had higher grain number ear⁻¹ than Melez 2001 cultivar as an average of two years (respectively, 42.9 and 41.6 grain numbers ear⁻¹). There is close relationship among kernels ear⁻¹, ear height and ear^[14,16].

With relation to Nitrogen applications, the highest kernel numbers ear⁻¹ was 46.4 numbers with 120 kg N ha⁻¹

and 46.8 numbers t ha⁻¹ with 180 kg N ha⁻¹ (Table 6). The lowest kernel numbers ear⁻¹ was obtained from the control (35.3 numbers). Piench and Stankowski^[12], Yağbasanlar *et al.*^[11], Şekeroğlu and Yılmaz^[14] observed that the number of kernels ear⁻¹ were increased with increasing N application.

Regarding seed ratio applications, kernel number ear⁻¹ was decreased by increasing sowing rate. As the highest kernel number ear⁻¹ was 45.8 numbers for the 200 seed numbers m⁻², the lowest kernel number ear⁻¹ was 38.2 numbers for the 650 seed number m⁻² (Table 6). According to sowing rate x nitrogen level interaction, the highest kernel number ear⁻¹ was obtained with 120 kg N ha⁻¹ application with 200 number seed ratio m⁻² application and 180 kg N ha⁻² application with 200-350 number seed ratio m⁻² application. Present findings are in accord with the results of Yağbasanlar *et al.*^[11], Şekeroğlu and Yılmaz^[14] and Çakır and Köycü^[15].

Thousand-grain weight: The results of combined variance analysis showed that the effects of factors and interactions, except for year x cultivar interaction and year x cultivar x sowing rate x nitrogen levels interaction effects, on 1000 grain weight were significant (Table 2).

While mean 1000 grain weight was 39.5 g in first year, it was 37.8 g in second year. Melez-2001 cultivar had higher 1000-grain weight mean than that of Tatlıcak cultivar as an average of two years (Table 7). By increasing nitrogen levels, 1000 grain weight is increased in small grain cereals. With 0, 60, 120 and 180 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen applications, 1000 grain weight mean was 36.0, 38.0, 40.3 and 40.1 g, respectively. Mazurek and Kus^[18] and Çakır and Köycü^[15] indicated that raising nitrogen doses increased the 1000 grain weight in small grains.

Regarding sowing ratio applications, As the highest mean 1000 grain weight was 39.5 g for the 200 and 350 seed numbers m⁻², the lowest mean 1000 grain weight was 36.7 g for the 650 seed number m⁻². Piech and Stankowski^[12] found that 1000 grain weight of cereals decreased by increasing seed ratio m⁻² application.

In this study, while 1000 grain weight increased raising the nitrogen levels, it decreased by increasing seed ratio m⁻² application (Table 7). The highest 1000 grain weight was obtained with of 120-180 kg nitrogen ha⁻¹ and with 200-350 number seed ratio application.

Test weight: The results of combined variance analysis showed that the effects of factors and interactions, except for cultivar x sowing rate interaction and year x cultivar x sowing rate x nitrogen levels interaction effects, on test weight were significant (Table 2).

Table 5: Number of ear m⁻² of triticale as affected by Cultivars (C), Sowing rate (S) and Nitrogen application (N)

| | | Applied N (kg ha ⁻¹) | | | | |
|------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|--------|---------|-----------|
| | | C×S×N | | | | |
| Cultivars | Sowing rate seed m ⁻² | 0 | 60 | 120 | 180 | C×S Means |
| Tathcak | 200 | 195.5 | 223.0 | 254.2 | 261.3 | 277.9 |
| | 350 | 247.5 | 265.3 | 301.0 | 307.3 | 295.3 |
| Melez 2001 | 500 | 321.2 | 341.7 | 365.0 | 367.8 | 321.3 |
| | 650 | 347.5 | 351.0 | 365.0 | 367.2 | 325.9 |
| | 200 | 186.7 | 215.3 | 238.7 | 240.8 | 259.8 |
| | 350 | 231.8 | 263.2 | 288.3 | 298.3 | 291.1 |
| | 500 | 298.3 | 332.0 | 360.8 | 352.8 | 313.2 |
| | 650 | 322.5 | 353.8 | 365.0 | 365.2 | 314.3 |
| | | S×N | | | | S |
| | 200 | 191.1h | 219.2g | 246.4f | 251.1ef | 226.9d |
| | 350 | 239.7f | 264.3e | 294.7d | 302.8cd | 275.4c |
| | 500 | 309.8c | 336.8b | 362.9a | 360.3a | 342.5b |
| | 650 | 335.0b | 352.4a | 365.0a | 366.2a | 354.6a |
| | | C×N | | | | C |
| Tathcak | | 233.5d | 280.3c | 348.9b | 357.7a | 305.1a |
| Melez 2001 | | 220.4d | 270.4cd | 336.0b | 351.6a | 294.6b |
| | Means (N) | 268.9c | 293.2b | 317.3a | 320.1a | |

Table 6: Number of grain ear⁻¹ of triticale as affected by Cultivars (C), Sowing rate (S) and Nitrogen application (N)

| | | Applied N (kg ha ⁻¹) | | | | |
|------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| | | C×S×N | | | | |
| Cultivars | Sowing rate seed m ⁻² | 0 | 60 | 120 | 180 | C×S Means |
| Tathcak | 200 | 35.0j | 43.1cd | 53.6a | 53.4a | 46.3a |
| | 350 | 35.2ij | 44.4c | 49.7b | 49.1b | 44.6b |
| Melez 2001 | 500 | 34.6j | 39.6e-g | 45.2c | 44.8c | 41.1c |
| | 650 | 31.6k | 39.0f-h | 42.9c-e | 45.1c | 39.6cd |
| | 200 | 38.5f-I | 42.7c-e | 49.0b | 51.3ab | 45.4ab |
| | 350 | 37.2g-j | 43.3cd | 48.3b | 53.8a | 45.7ab |
| | 500 | 34.8j | 37.1g-j | 42.5c-e | 40.6d-f | 38.8d |
| | 650 | 35.8h-j | 35.0j | 40.2d-g | 36.0h-j | 36.7e |
| | | S×N | | | | S |
| | 200 | 36.7ef | 42.9c | 51.3a | 52.3a | 45.8a |
| | 350 | 36.2ef | 43.9c | 49.0b | 51.4a | 45.1a |
| | 500 | 34.7fg | 38.3e | 43.8c | 42.7cd | 39.9b |
| | 650 | 33.7g | 37.0e | 41.6cd | 40.5d | 38.2c |
| | | C×N | | | | C |
| | Tathcak | 34.1f | 41.5c | 47.8a | 48.1a | 42.9a |
| | Melez 2001 | 36.6e | 39.5d | 45.0b | 45.4b | 41.6b |
| | Means (N) | 35.3c | 40.5b | 46.4a | 46.8a | |

Table 7: Thousand grain weight (g) of triticale as affected by Cultivars (C), Sowing rate (S) and Nitrogen application (N)

| | | Applied N (kg ha ⁻¹) | | | | |
|------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|--------|---------|-----------|
| | | CxSxN | | | | |
| Cultivars | Sowing rate seed m ⁻² | 0 | 60 | 120 | 180 | CxS Means |
| Tathcak | 200 | 36.5i-k | 39.0fg | 40.6cd | 41.1bc | 39.3 |
| | 350 | 36.8ik | 38.2gh | 40.7cd | 40.3cd | 39.0 |
| | 500 | 36.0k | 38.0h | 39.9de | 39.5ef | 38.4 |
| | 650 | 34.3l | 36.5i-k | 38.2gh | 36.9ij | 36.5 |
| Melez 2001 | 200 | 36.3jk | 38.9f-h | 42.2a | 41.9ab | 40.0 |
| | 350 | 37.2i | 38.7f-h | 42.3a | 41.8ab | 39.8 |
| | 500 | 36.2jk | 38.4gh | 40.6cd | 40.6cd | 39.0 |
| | 650 | 34.5 l | 36.6i-k | 38.2gh | 38.8f-h | 37.0 |
| | | SxN | | | | S |
| | 200 | 36.4ef | 38.9c | 41.4a | 41.5a | 39.5a |
| | 350 | 37.0e | 38.4cd | 41.5a | 41.1a | 39.5a |
| | 500 | 36.1f | 38.2cd | 40.3b | 40.0b | 38.7b |
| | 650 | 34.4g | 36.5ef | 38.2cd | 37.9d | 36.7c |
| | | CxN | | | | C |
| Tathcak | | 35.9d | 37.9c | 39.9b | 39.4b | 38.3b |
| Melez 2001 | | 36.0d | 38.1c | 40.8a | 40.8a | 38.9a |
| | Means (N) | 36.0c | 38.0b | 40.3a | 40.1a | |

Means in the same column followed by the same letter(s) were not significantly different at the 0.05 level

Table 8: Test weight of triticale as affected by Cultivars (C), Sowing rate (S) and Nitrogen application (N)

| | | Applied N (kg ha ⁻¹) | | | | |
|------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| | | C×S×N | | | | |
| Cultivars | Sowing rate seed m ⁻² | 0 | 60 | 120 | 180 | C×S Means |
| Tathcak | 200 | 70.5pq | 72.9e-h | 74.6bc | 75.3a | 73.3b |
| | 350 | 72.4g-j | 73.6de | 75.0ab | 75.4a | 74.1a |
| | 500 | 71.0n-p | 72.8f-I | 75.1ab | 74.1cd | 73.2b |
| | 650 | 69.7rs | 71.6k-n | 72.2i-k | 73.0e-g | 71.6d |
| Melez 2001 | 200 | 69.6s | 71.4l-o | 72.9f-I | 72.3h-k | 71.5d |
| | 350 | 70.5pq | 71.9j-m | 73.3ef | 72.9f-I | 72.1c |
| | 500 | 69.6rs | 70.8o-q | 73.0e-g | 71.8j-m | 71.3d |
| | 650 | 68.7t | 70.2qr | 72.0j-l | 71.3m-o | 70.6e |
| | | S×N | | | | S |
| | 200 | 70.0f | 72.2c | 73.7a | 73.8a | 72.4b |
| | 350 | 71.5de | 72.7b | 74.1a | 74.1a | 73.1a |
| | 500 | 70.3f | 71.8cd | 74.0a | 72.9 b | 72.2b |
| | 650 | 69.2g | 70.9e | 72.1c | 72.1c | 71.1c |
| | | C×N | | | | C |
| Tathcak | | 70.9d | 72.7b | 74.2a | 74.4a | 73.1 |
| Melez 2001 | | 69.6e | 71.1d | 72.8b | 72.1c | 71.4 |
| Means (N) | | 70.3c | 71.9b | 73.5a | 73.2a | |

Table 9: Grain protein ratio (%) of triticale as affected by Cultivars (C), Sowing rate (S) and Nitrogen application (N)

| | | Applied N (kg ha ⁻¹) | | | | |
|------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|--------|---------|-----------|
| | | C×S×N | | | | |
| Cultivars | Sowing rate seed m ⁻² | 0 | 60 | 120 | 180 | C×S Means |
| Tatlıcak | 200 | 9.7 | 10.5 | 11.5 | 11.9 | 10.9 |
| | 350 | 9.6 | 10.7 | 11.8 | 12.0 | 11.0 |
| | 500 | 9.7 | 10.5 | 12.0 | 12.1 | 11.1 |
| | 650 | 9.7 | 10.2 | 11.6 | 11.8 | 10.8 |
| Melez 2001 | 200 | 9.3 | 10.1 | 11.6 | 12.4 | 10.8 |
| | 350 | 9.4 | 10.4 | 12.0 | 12.3 | 11.0 |
| | 500 | 9.5 | 10.3 | 12.3 | 12.4 | 11.1 |
| | 650 | 9.1 | 9.7 | 12.0 | 12.2 | 10.7 |
| | | S×N | | | | S |
| | 200 | 9.5g | 10.3e | 11.6d | 12.1a | 10.9b |
| | 350 | 9.5g | 10.6e | 11.9bc | 12.2a | 11.0a |
| | 500 | 9.6g | 10.4e | 12.1ab | 12.3a | 11.1a |
| | 650 | 9.4g | 9.9f | 11.8cd | 12.0a-c | 10.8b |
| | | C×N | | | | C |
| Tatlıcak | | 9.7f | 10.5d | 11.7c | 12.0b | 11.0 |
| Melez 2001 | | 9.3g | 10.1e | 12.0b | 12.3a | 10.9 |
| Means (N) | | 9.5d | 10.3c | 11.8b | 12.1a | |

Mean in the same column followed by the same letter(s) were not significantly different at the 0.05 level

Tatlıcak cultivar (73.1 kg) had higher test weight than that of Melez-2001 (71.4 kg) cultivar as an average of two years (Table 8). Yağbasanlar *et al.*^[11] reported that test weight in small grains were increased by raising nitrogen levels.

The 2 year average test weight from the 0, 60, 120, 180 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen applications were 70.3, 71.9, 73.5 and 73.2 kg, respectively (Table 8). The highest test weight was obtained from 120 and 180 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen levels (73.5 and 73.2 kg, respectively). Regarding sowing rate applications, As the highest mean test weight was 73.1 kg for the 350 seed numbers m⁻², the lowest mean test weight was 71.1 kg for the 650 seed number m⁻² (Table 8).

Grain protein ratio: As seen Table 2 that nitrogen levels, sowing rates and nitrogen level x sowing rate interaction were significant for grain protein ratio. Grain protein ratio were close to each others in both years. Considering N application rates, grain protein ratio ranged from 9.5% (control) to 12.1% (180 kg ha⁻¹). Increased nitrogen application increased grain protein ratio. Mahdi^[19] Mazurek and Kus^[18], Şekeroğlu and Yılmaz^[14], Hunter and Stanford^[20] and Altenbach *et al.*^[21] reported that grain protein ratio in small grains were increased by raising nitrogen levels.

Considering sowing rates, The 2 year average grain protein ratio from the 200, 350, 500 and 650 seed numbers m⁻² were 10.9, 11.0, 11.1 and 10.8%, respectively

(Table 9). Considering N x sowing rate interaction, the highest grain protein ratio was obtained with of 180 kg nitrogen ha⁻¹ and with 200, 350 and 500 number seed ratio application.

The research results indicated that for high yield, yield components and quality 500 number seed m⁻² and 120-180 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen application would be optimal for triticale grown in regions similar to the area in Samsun where this study was conducted.

REFERENCES

1. Karpenstein-Machan M. and J. Heyn, 1992. Yield structure of the winter cereals wheat and triticale at climatically marginal sites in North Hessen. *Agribiol. Res.*, 45: 88-96.
2. Varughese, G., 1996. Triticale: Present Status and Challenges Ahead. In: (Guees-Pinto, H., N. Darvey, V.P. Carnide, Ed.). *Triticale: Today and Tomorrow*, Kluwer Acad. Pub., Netherlands, pp: 13-20.
3. Skovmand, B., P.N. Fox and R.L. Villareal, 1984. Triticale in commercial agriculture: Progress and promise. *Adv. Agron.*, 37: 1-45.
4. Kacar, B., 1984. Plant physiology Lesson Book. Ankara University, Fac. Agric. Pub., 1153: 323-424.
5. Eyupoglu, F., 1986. Plant nutrients in soil, functions and deficiency symptoms. Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs Publications No. 57 pp: 13.
6. Zabunoglu, S. and I. Karacal, 1992. Fertilizers and fertilization. Ankara Univ., Fac. Agric. Pub., 1279: 329.
7. Ford, M.A., R.B. Austin, R.S. Gregory and C.L. Morgan, 1984. A comparison of the grain and biomass yield of winter wheat, rye and triticale. *J. Agric. Sci., Cambridge*, 103: 395-403.
8. Graham, R.D., P.E. Geytenbeck and B.C. Radcliffe, 1983. Response of triticale, wheat, rye and barley to nitrogen fertiliser. *Aust. J. Exp. Agric. Ani. Husb.*, 23: 73-79.
9. Lafever, H.N. and W.H. Schmidt, 1972. Triticale. *Ohio Rep. Res. Dev.*, 57: 3-5.
10. Dziamba, S.Z., 1987. Effect of CCC and fertilization on yielding, yield structure elements, protein and lysine content in the grain of Triticale, rye and wheat. *Biul. IHAR*, 161: 105-112.
11. Yagbasanlar, T., I. Genc and A.C. Ulger, 1988. Effect of the different nitrogen doses and seed rates on yield and yield components of triticale in Çukurova Conditions. *Çukurova Univ., J. Agric. Fac.*, 3: 23-36.
12. Piench, M. and S. Stankowski, 1986. The effect of sowing date and N fertilizer rate on yield and grain quality of two winter triticale cultivars on sandy soil. I. Grain Yield and Yield Components; *Biul. Inst. Hodowli-i-Aklimatyzacji Roslin*, 159: 15-25.
13. Ahmad, K., M. Qasim and S. Zahir, 1994. Effect of fertility levels on the performance of wheat varieties in Dera Ismail Khan. *Sarhad J. Agric.*, 10: 121-124.
14. Şekeroğlu, N. and N. Yılmaz, 2001. Effects of increasing nitrogen doses on yield and yield components in some triticale lines under dry conditions in Eastern Anatolia. *Pak. J. Biol. Sci.*, 4: 672-673.
15. Çakır, S. and C. Köycü, 2001. A research on effect of different nitrogen doses and row spaces on yield, yield components and some quality properties of triticale. M.Sc Thesis, Ondokuzmayıs Univ., Sci. Inst.
16. Woodward, R.W., 1996. Response of semi dwarf spring wheat to N and P fertilizers. *Agron. J.*, 58: 65-66.
17. Bishnoi, U.R. and J.L. Hughes, 1979. Agronomic performance and protein content of fall-planted triticale, wheat and rye. *Agron. J.*, 71: 59-60.
18. Mazurek, J. and J. Kus, 1991. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer application and sowing date and rate on yield and quality of grain of spring wheat cultivars grown after different preceding crops. *Biul. Inst. Hodowli Aklimatyzacji Roslin*, 177: 123-136.
19. Mahdi, L.A., 1985. Effect of row spacing and nitrogen fertilizer on grain yield, yield components and protein content in durum wheat, barley and triticale. M.Sc Thesis, Agro. Dep., Fac. Agric., Univ. Aleppo-Syria.
20. Hunter, S.A. and G. Sranford, 1973. Protein content of winter wheat in relation to rate and time of nitrogen fertilizer application. *Agron. J.*, 65: 772-774.
21. Altenbach, S.B., F.M. DuPont, K.M. Kothari, R. Chan, E.L. Johnson and D. Lieu, 2003. Temperature, water and fertilizer influence the timing of key events during grain development in a US spring wheat. *J. Cereal Sci.*, 37: 9-20.