



# Asian Journal of Plant Sciences

ISSN 1682-3974

**science**  
alert

**ANSI***net*  
an open access publisher  
<http://ansinet.com>

## Effect of Gibberellic Acid and Cycocel on Growth, Yield and Protein Content of Pea

R.K. Bora and C.M. Sarma

Department of Botany, Gauhati University, Guwahati-781014, Assam, India

**Abstract:** A study on the effect of pre-soaking treatments of Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) and Cycocel [(2-Chloroethyl) trimethylammonium chloride] singly on growth, yield and protein content of pea (cv. Aparna and Azad-P-1) was carried out in a randomized block design with three replications. Fertilizers used at recommended doses and pH was adjusted at 6.0. Concentrations of PGRs used 10, 100, 250, 500 and 1000 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> with a control set. Length of shoots was measured at an interval of 3 days from 7 DAS. Number of branches was recorded at an interval of 7 days from 15 DAS. Chlorophyll estimation was done on 30 DAS. Yield attributing characters were recorded at proper time. Protein was estimated from harvested seeds. GA<sub>3</sub> irrespective of concentrations was most effective in promoting shoot growth while cycocel at all concentrations tried reduced shoot growth. Number of branches per plant was increased with both the hormones. In both the varieties chlorophyll contents were decreased by higher concentrations of GA<sub>3</sub> while cycocel increased it. Both the hormones significantly affected the yield characteristics. GA<sub>3</sub> at 250 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> produced maximum number of pods per plant, seed yield, seed index and protein content in seeds in both the varieties. Cycocel at 100 and 250 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> recorded maximum number of pods per plant and seed yield in cv. Azad-P-1 and cv. Aparna, respectively. Protein content in seeds was recorded highest at 500 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> of cycocel. The present study clearly shows that judicious application of GA<sub>3</sub> and cycocel can increase yield and protein content in seeds of pea.

**Key words:** Gibberellic Acid (GA<sub>3</sub>), Cycocel [(2-chloroethyl) trimethylammonium chloride], pea (*Pisum sativum* L.), yield, protein content

### INTRODUCTION

Pulses provide protein to the poor people at a very cheaper rate than animal protein and hence pulses are popularly called 'poor man's meat' in developing countries. Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) is an important pulse crop and widely grown over the world for its seeds, green pods, green foliage and young tender shoots. Though several well-tested and proven technologies to enhance the productivity of the crops are available, nutritional security continues to be a cause of concern for many developing countries. It is a paramount need to increase the productivity to feed hungry millions.

One of the most recent developments in the field of agriculture in boosting up crop production is the use of growth regulators. The applications of GAs on growth of various plants have been reported by Sarma and Deka (1977), Xu *et al.* (1997) and Matsukura *et al.* (1998). Several studies on different crops have shown that the exogenous application of GA<sub>3</sub>, an important GAs can enhance the productivity of crops affecting the vital physiological processes (Khan *et al.*, 2002; Bora and Sarma, 2003; Rahman *et al.*, 2004).

Cycocel is a synthetic growth retarding chemicals extensively used for dwarfing of plants or plant parts (Clark and Fedac, 1977; Sarma and Mishra, 1979; Bora and Sarma, 2004). Increasing Cycocel concentration increased yield of gobhi sarson (Grewal *et al.*, 1993), cotton (Prasad and Prasad, 1994), soybean (Bora and Sarma, 2004) and protein content in wheat (Afria *et al.*, 1998).

Therefore, an experiment was conducted to study the effect of GA<sub>3</sub> and cycocel on growth, yield and protein content of pea in two varieties namely cv. Aparna and cv. Azad-P-1.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted in the Department of Botany of Gauhati University during 2001-2003 on a randomised block design with three replications. A suitable plot was selected and ploughed till desired tilth was obtained. Farmyard manure was mixed properly at the rate of 10 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>. NPK was used at recommended doses (urea at the rate of 45 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, super phosphate at the rate of 245 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> applied during ploughing) and pH was adjusted at 6 with lime. Then the plot was divided

into three blocks each containing 11 beds of 1.0 sqm size. Certified seeds of pea (*Pisum sativum* L. cv. Aparna and cv. Azad-P-1) were collected from State Seed Corporation, Assam. Seeds were sown in mid of October and harvested in last of January. The seeds were first surface sterilised with 0.01% HgCl<sub>2</sub> solution and then washed with sterile distilled water (DW) and air-dried. GA<sub>3</sub> and cycocel were applied at the concentrations of 10, 100, 250, 500 and 1000 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>. The seeds were soaked in specific concentrations of plant growth regulators separately for 12 h. Then seeds were air-dried for one hour and sown in the experimental beds. Thirty seeds were sown per bed, in lines 30 cm apart from line to line at a distance of 10 cm between seeds. Length of shoots was recorded at an interval of 3 days for 5 times and number of branches was counted at an interval of 7 days for 3 times. Chlorophyll contents were estimated at 30 Days After Sowing (DAS) (Arnon, 1949), Yield (Bora and Sarma, 2003) and yield contributing characters like number of flowers (Rahman *et al.*, 2004), number of pods per plant (Bora and Sarma, 2003; Rahman *et al.*, 2004), seed yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Bora and Sarma, 2004) were also recorded. Random samples were taken from thrashed seeds for determining 100 seed weight (seed index) (Bora and Sarma, 2003). Percent of protein was determined from harvested seeds (Lowry *et al.*, 1951). Data collected were analysed statistically (Panse *et al.*, 1985).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The plant growth regulators significantly affected the growth characteristics. Data recorded on growth parameters of pea (cv. Aparna and Azad-P-1) revealed that GA<sub>3</sub> enhanced the shoot growth and cycocel reduced the shoot growth at all the concentrations tried. The response to the PGRs varied according to the varieties. In cv. Aparna, the maximum shoot length (73.49 cm) was recorded at 250 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> of GA<sub>3</sub> while in cv. Azad-P-1, maximum shoot length (68.37 cm) was recorded at 500 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1). The process plant growth consists of two steps, cell divisions and subsequent cell elongation. GA<sub>3</sub> has been reported to increase cell wall extensibility leading to elongation growth (Matsukura *et al.*, 1998; Rahman *et al.*, 2004). The increase in plant height due to GA<sub>3</sub> application might be due to its effect on elongation of internodes (Krishnamoorthy, 1981). Huttly and Phillips (1995) suggested that GA<sub>3</sub> causes increase in cell number and size to produce a significant effect on growth. The application of gibberellins promote shoot elongation was also reported by Xu *et al.* (1997) and Yang *et al.* (1996). Fridborg *et al.* (2001) reported that exogenous application of GA<sub>3</sub> can suppress the activity of ‘short internode’ and ‘GA insensitive’ genes and hence leads to elongation growth of shoots. The present study is in the conformity

Table 1: Mean length of shoots (cm) of pea (cv. Aparna and Azad-P-1) seedlings treated with GA<sub>3</sub>

GA <sub>3</sub> conc. (µg mL <sup>-1</sup> )	cv. Aparna						cv. Azad-P-1					
	Length of shoots (cm)					Mean for GA <sub>3</sub>	Length of shoots (cm)					Mean for GA <sub>3</sub>
	Time in days after sowing						Time in days after sowing					
	7	10	13	16	19		7	10	13	16	19	
0	3.17	4.95	10.33	13.53	18.07	10.01	5.13	7.50	9.80	12.80	17.60	10.57
10	4.37	10.27	12.37	18.13	21.77	13.38	7.51	10.50	13.83	17.50	23.20	14.51
100	10.89	17.00	21.33	28.20	34.43	22.37	12.50	21.03	23.50	28.13	37.10	24.45
250	12.23	21.50	44.10	50.33	73.49	40.33	15.00	26.93	32.73	47.20	61.53	36.68
500	18.00	22.93	43.00	48.47	64.37	39.35	19.50	31.87	37.13	54.00	68.37	42.17
1000	16.40	17.83	33.50	43.20	54.30	33.05	21.47	34.17	35.07	45.50	54.40	38.12
Mean for time	10.84	15.75	27.44	33.64	44.40		13.52	22.00	25.34	34.19	43.70	

CD for GA<sub>3</sub> (n = 15), p(0.05) = 0.43, p(0.01) = 0.56, CD for GA<sub>3</sub>, p(0.05) = 1.05, p(0.01) = 1.38, CD for time (n = 18), p(0.05) = 0.39, p(0.01) = 0.51, CD for time, p(0.05) = 0.96, p(0.01) = 1.26

Table 2: Mean length of shoots (cm) of pea (cv. Aparna and Azad-P-1) seedlings treated with cycocel

Cycocel conc. (µg mL <sup>-1</sup> )	cv. Aparna						cv. Azad-P-1					
	Length of shoots (cm)					Mean for conc.	Length of shoots (cm)					Mean for conc.
	Time in days after sowing						Time in days after sowing					
	7	10	13	16	19		7	10	13	16	19	
0	3.77	6.13	10.43	12.97	18.73	10.41	5.1	7.5	9.80	12.80	17.60	10.57
10	3.40	5.50	10.07	12.17	17.60	9.75	4.5	7.2	9.70	12.50	17.50	10.28
100	3.13	4.87	9.47	11.73	14.43	8.73	3.8	6.2	7.20	11.10	14.90	8.64
250	2.70	4.40	8.57	11.03	13.37	8.01	3.4	5.2	6.30	10.00	12.90	7.56
500	2.43	3.77	7.27	9.37	11.37	6.84	3.2	4.3	5.40	8.70	11.00	6.52
1000	2.03	3.43	6.43	8.27	10.43	6.12	2.8	3.8	5.00	8.30	9.90	5.96
Mean for time	2.91	4.68	8.71	10.92	14.32		3.8	5.7	7.23	10.56	13.96	

CD for cycocel (n = 15), p(0.05) = 0.20, p(0.01) = 0.26, CD for cycocel, p(0.05) = 0.41, p(0.01) = 0.54, CD for time (n = 18), p(0.05) = 0.18, p(0.01) = 0.24, CD for time, p(0.05) = 0.29, p(0.01) = 0.38

Table 3: Effect of GA<sub>3</sub> on number of branches of pea (cv. Apama Azad-P-1) seedlings

GA <sub>3</sub> conc. ( $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ )	cv. Apama				cv. Azad-P-1			
	Number of branches per plant			Mean for GA <sub>3</sub>	Number of branches per plant			Mean for GA <sub>3</sub>
	Time in days after sowing				Time in days after sowing			
	15	21	28		15	21	28	
0	1.13	1.43	1.67	1.41	1.25	1.92	2.33	1.83
10	1.27	1.73	1.93	1.64	1.33	2	2.33	1.89
100	1.47	2.23	2.37	2.02	1.58	2.08	2.57	2.08
250	1.7	3.07	3.3	2.69	1.58	2.08	2.83	2.17
500	1.2	1.33	1.63	1.39	1.42	1.83	2.33	1.86
1000	1.07	1.2	1.33	1.2	1.08	1.17	1.75	1.33
Mean for Time	1.31	1.83	2.04		1.38	1.85	2.36	

CD for GA<sub>3</sub> (n = 9), p(0.05) = 0.13, p(0.01) = 0.17, CD for GA<sub>3</sub>, p(0.05) = 0.17, p(0.01) = 0.22, CD for time (n = 18), p(0.05) = 0.09, p(0.01) = 0.12, CD for time, p(0.05) = 0.12, p(0.01) = 0.16

Table 4: Effect of cycocel on number of branches of pea (cv. Apama and Azad-P-1) seedlings

Cycocel conc. ( $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ )	cv. Apama				cv. Azad-P-1			
	Number of branches per plant			Mean for cycocel	Number of branches per plant			Mean for Cycocel
	Time in days after sowing				Time in days after sowing			
	15	21	28		15	21	28	
0	1.13	1.43	1.67	1.41	1.25	1.92	2.33	1.83
10	1.3	1.67	1.9	1.62	1.25	1.92	2.42	1.86
100	1.57	2.33	2.57	2.16	1.58	2.42	2.92	2.31
250	1.97	3.3	3.47	2.91	2.08	2.58	3.33	2.67
500	1.93	3.23	3.43	2.87	2.42	2.92	3.58	2.97
1000	1.8	3.07	3.43	2.77	2.17	2.83	3.42	2.81
Mean for Time	1.62	2.51	2.74		1.79	2.43	2.82	

CD for cycocel (n = 9), p(0.05) = 0.11, p(0.01) = 0.14, CD for cycocel p(0.05) = 0.16, p(0.01) = 0.21, CD for time (n = 18), p(0.05) = 0.08, p(0.01) = 0.10, CD for time p(0.05) = 0.11, p(0.01) = 0.15

with these workers including Khan *et al.* (2002) and Rahman *et al.* (2004) who reported similar results.

On the other hand cycocel, irrespective of concentrations inhibited the elongation growth of shoots in both the varieties and intensity of inhibition gradually increased with the rise of concentrations. Maximum inhibition in shoot growth was recorded at 1000  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  (Table 2). The retardation in plant height caused by cycocel might be due to shortening of internodes by decreasing cell division and cell numbers. Child (1984) reported marked reductions in growth rate immediately following application of cycocel on oilseed rape. It antagonizes the biosynthesis of GAs and hence elongation growth is inhibited (Setia and Setia, 1990). The results are in conformity with some early reports (Bora and Sarma, 2004; Prasad and Prasad, 1994)

In both the varieties, GA<sub>3</sub> upto 250  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  was highly stimulatory on number of branches per plant and declined at higher concentrations (Table 3). On the other hand cycocel irrespective of concentrations tried was superior to the control in enhancing the number of branches per plant in both the varieties. In cv. Apama mean number of branches per plant increased upto the concentration 250  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  of cycocel and then decreased at higher concentrations (Table 4). But in cv. Azad-P-1 cycocel upto the concentration 500  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  increased the number of branches per plant (Table 4).

Chlorophyll-b content was higher over the chlorophyll-a in all the concentrations tried. In cv. Apama chlorophyll content decreased gradually with the rise of GA<sub>3</sub> concentration except in cv. Azad-P-1 where 10  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  of GA<sub>3</sub> was slightly stimulatory (Table 5). Similar results were observed in cotton (Bhatt and Ramanujam, 1970) and pea (Bora and Sarma, 2003). It was suggested that the increase in cell volume caused by GA<sub>3</sub> was not correlated with an increase in synthesis of chlorophyll content and thus a dilution of the chlorophyll content of the leaves was obtained. On the other hand cycocel increased the chlorophyll content in both the varieties upto 500 and 1000  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  was slightly inhibitory in the cv. Apama (Table 6). The effect of cycocel in increasing chlorophyll contents may be due to the reduction in cell size resulting in denser cytoplasm (Appleby *et al.*, 1966). The results are in conformity with early reports in soybean (Bora and Sarma, 2004).

At maturity, plant growth regulators significantly affected yield characteristics. GA<sub>3</sub> upto 500  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  was highly stimulatory in increasing the number of flowers and pods per plant for both the varieties. But highest number of flowers and pods were recorded at GA<sub>3</sub> 250  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  and GA<sub>3</sub> at 1000  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  was slightly inhibitory (Table 7). On the other hand, both the varieties showed a varied response to cycocel. Cycocel, irrespective of concentrations was superior over the

Table 5: Chlorophyll content in pea leaves developed from GA<sub>3</sub> treated seeds

GA <sub>3</sub> conc. (µg mL <sup>-1</sup> )	Chlorophyll content (mg g <sup>-1</sup> ±SE)					
	cv. Aparna			cv. Azad-P-1		
	Chl-a	Chl-b	Total (a+b)	Chl-a	Chl-b	Total (a+b)
0	1.115±0.027	1.335±0.109	2.450±0.133	1.118±0.029	1.341±0.053	2.459±0.082
10	1.102±0.023	1.237±0.057	2.339±0.075	1.131±0.017	1.351±0.059	2.490±0.072
100	1.075±0.029	1.196±0.053	2.271±0.082	1.086±0.029	1.309±0.053	2.394±0.082
250	1.010±0.034	1.191±0.049	2.201±0.062	1.054±0.025	1.233±0.054	2.287±0.079
500	1.006±0.029	1.163±0.059	2.169±0.074	1.038±0.029	1.195±0.053	2.233±0.081
1000	0.925±0.033	0.952±0.111	1.877±0.141	0.992±0.020	1.175±0.052	2.167±0.067

Table 6: Chlorophyll content in pea leaves developed from cycocel treated seeds

Cycocel conc. (µg mL <sup>-1</sup> )	Chlorophyll content (mg g <sup>-1</sup> ±SE)					
	cv. Aparna			cv. Azad-P-1		
	Chl-a	Chl-b	Total (a+b)	Chl-a	Chl-b	Total (a+b)
0	1.106±0.030	1.448±0.075	2.553±0.094	1.118±0.029	1.341±0.053	2.459±0.081
10	1.149±0.022	1.490±0.085	2.639±0.090	1.120±0.035	1.347±0.046	2.467±0.076
100	1.159±0.031	1.508±0.086	2.667±0.099	1.176±0.029	1.363±0.053	2.539±0.082
250	1.173±0.031	1.598±0.050	2.771±0.075	1.180±0.029	1.537±0.053	2.717±0.081
500	1.213±0.038	1.642±0.039	2.854±0.076	1.203±0.033	1.616±0.051	2.819±0.084
1000	1.076±0.069	1.532±0.040	2.607±0.106	1.120±0.046	1.428±0.038	2.548±0.076

Table 7: Effect of GA<sub>3</sub> on number of flowers, number of pods per plant, seed yield, seed index and protein content in seeds of pea

GA <sub>3</sub> conc. (µg mL <sup>-1</sup> )	No. flowers plant <sup>-1</sup>		No. of pods plant <sup>-1</sup>		Seed yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Seed index (g)		Protein (%)	
	cv. Aparna	cv. Azad-P-1	cv. Aparna	cv. Azad-P-1	cv. Aparna	cv. Azad-P-1	cv. Aparna	cv. Azad-P-1	cv. Aparna	cv. Azad-P-1
0	17.25	17.33	17.20	17.10	11.77	11.16	21.64	22.04	21.84	21.61
10	17.38	17.50	17.33	17.23	12.32	11.20	21.76	22.10	22.44	22.20
100	19.41	19.52	19.37	19.30	13.29	13.00	22.51	23.22	27.75	27.67
250	21.83	21.93	21.73	21.79	13.79	14.05	23.05	23.35	28.90	28.90
500	17.96	17.19	17.92	17.83	11.97	11.17	22.29	22.31	24.76	24.76
1000	16.70	16.77	16.65	16.58	11.78	10.40	20.79	21.93	22.27	23.88
Sig. Level	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
CD										
p = 0.05	0.602	0.619	0.648	0.607	0.524	0.408	0.687	0.474	0.128	0.121
p = 0.01	0.855	0.88	0.921	0.862	0.745	0.58	0.974	0.674	0.183	0.172

Table 8: Effect of cycocel on number of flower, pods per plant, seed yield, seed index and protein content in seeds of pea

Cycocel conc. (µg mL <sup>-1</sup> )	No. flowers plant <sup>-1</sup>		No. of pods plant <sup>-1</sup>		Seed yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Seed index (g)		Protein (%)	
	cv. Aparna	cv. Azad-P-1	cv. Aparna	cv. Azad-P-1	cv. Aparna	cv. Azad-P-1	cv. Aparna	cv. Azad-P-1	cv. Aparna	cv. Azad-P-1
0	17.25	17.20	17.20	17.10	11.76	11.16	21.85	22.04	21.93	21.61
10	17.33	17.34	17.27	17.17	12.31	11.50	22.06	22.07	22.28	22.00
100	19.32	19.30	19.26	19.23	13.19	13.53	23.01	22.99	23.73	22.28
250	22.07	18.60	22.02	18.43	14.15	11.72	23.41	23.3	25.83	23.73
500	20.94	18.49	20.88	18.37	12.56	11.5	23.14	23.37	26.01	25.83
1000	17.23	18.25	17.11	18.03	11.47	10.9	22.68	21.52	24.55	24.55
Sig. level	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
CD										
p = 0.05	0.448	0.476	0.357	0.488	0.681	0.651	0.475	0.545	0.121	0.077
p = 0.01	0.638	0.664	0.507	0.694	0.968	0.926	0.676	0.775	0.172	0.110

control in enhancing the number of flowers and pods per plant in cv. Azad-P-1 while in cv. Aparna 1000 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> was inhibitory (Table 8). Santes and Garcia (1995) reported that GA<sub>3</sub> controls the pod development in pea. Goto and Pharis (1999) reported that Gas not only act to normalise plant height but also stimulates development of floral organs. Cycocel increased number and length of siliqua in Indian mustard was also reported.

Seed index gradually increased with the treatment of GA<sub>3</sub> upto 250 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> and then declined in both the varieties. At 250 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> of GA<sub>3</sub> the seed index was recorded as 23.05 and 23.35g in cv. Aparna and cv. Azad-P-1, respectively (Table 7). On the other hand, 250 and 500 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> of cycocel were emerged as the best concentration in cv. Aparna and cv. Azad-P-1, respectively recording seed index 23.41 and 23.37 g accordingly. One value at 250 and one from 500 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>

which are considered here (Table 8). The results are in conformity with some earlier reports by Bora *et al.* (2003) and Prasad and Prasad (1994).

Present study clearly indicated that PGRs have the potentiality to increase the yield of pea in both the varieties. The highest yield was recorded as 13.79 and 14.05 q ha<sup>-1</sup> at 250 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> of GA<sub>3</sub> as against 11.77 and 11.16 q ha<sup>-1</sup> at the control in cv. Aparna and cv. Azad-P-1, respectively (Table 7). At this concentration number of branches, pods per plant and seed index were also highest. Hence, yield increased as a manifestation of increased number of branches and pods per plant along with seed index. Different concentration of cycocel differed significantly in their inherent characters to produce yield per hectare. Cycocel increased the yield upto 250 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> (14.15 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 100 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> (13.53 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) in cv. Aparna and cv. Azad-P-1, respectively (Table 8). The increased in seed yield might be due to increase in number of branches and pods per plant.

Among the plant growth processes, seed germination and early seedling growth are considered critical for raising a successful crop as these indirectly determine the yield of the resultant crop (Gelmond, 1978) That GA<sub>3</sub> enhanced the yield by better utilisation of photosynthates and metabolic machinery was also reported (Khan *et al.*, 2002). Growth regulators increase the actual productivity when the plant growth is stimulated or the photosynthates are diverted to the harvested products (Setia and Setia, 1990). Also the seed production is the culmination of a number of developmental phases requiring specific nutrients to maintain the metabolic status of the flowering and seed development stages (Bhatt and Mishra, 2001). The increase in shoot length due to GA<sub>3</sub> treatment led to bear more leaves and thus better chance to trap more sunlight and produce more dry matter (Khan *et al.*, 2002). The increase in yield in GA<sub>3</sub> treated plants in the present investigation corroborates such findings.

It has now been established that control of excessive vegetative growth might be beneficial for synchronized flower initiation and development of pods. Cycocel reduced the vegetative growth pertaining to the better environment for seed formation (Pando and Srivastava, 1985). Cycocyl has been used to check the abscission of flower and modify the crop canopy for improving the yield in gram (Bangal *et al.*, 1982), pigeon pea (Vikhi *et al.*, 1983) and soybean (Sing *et al.*, 1987).

Growth regulators also caused an increase on protein content in the seeds. Irrespective of concentrations tried, the protein content increased with the application of GA<sub>3</sub> and cycocel. GA<sub>3</sub> at 250 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> and cycocel at

500 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> emerged as best concentrations in enhancing the protein content in seeds of pea in both varieties (Table 7 and 8).

It is established that plant hormones acted solely or in part by controlling transcription of genes (Baulcombe, 1987; MacMillan and Phinney, 1987) and thus levels of mRNA (Wu *et al.*, 1993), which would, in turn regulate rates of synthesis of specific hormone induced proteins. Huizen *et al.* (1996) reported change in the polypeptide in pea fruit between molecular weight 20 and 60 kD with gibberellin treatment. On the other hand GA<sub>3</sub> possibly either releases the activity or inactivates some inhibitory gene, which leads to accumulation of more proteins in the seed. On the other hand cycocel reduces the elongation growth of shoots and as a result less photosynthates are utilized for vegetative growth. Grewal *et al.* (1993) reported that cycocel improves the translocation of photosynthates. More protein content stored in the seeds might be due to improvement of translocation of photosynthates to the seeds. Afria *et al.* (1998) reported that cycocel resulted in a significant increase in protein content in wheat.

In conclusion, experimental results mentioned here revealed that both GA<sub>3</sub> and cycocel brought about an improvement in morphological and yield attributes of pea. Highest yield and protein content under the influence of growth regulators might be due to activation of various internal mechanisms related with plant growth and metabolism. The findings of the present investigation are also in agreement with the earlier reports in soybean, *Brassica napus* (Grewal *et al.*, 1993), cotton (Prasad and Prasad, 1994), mustard (Khan *et al.*, 2002).

## REFERENCES

- Afria, B.S., N.S. Nathawat and M.L. Yadav, 1998. Effect of Cycocel and saline irrigation of physiological attributes, yield and its components in different varieties of guar (*Cymopsis tetragonoloba* L. Taub). *Ind. J. Plant Physiol.*, 3: 46-48.
- Appleby, A.P., W.E. Kronstadt and C.R. Rhode, 1966. Influence of (2-chloroethyl) trimethyl ammoniumchloride (CCC) on wheat when applied as seed treatment. *Agron. J.*, 58: 435-437.
- Aron, D.I., 1949. Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplast: Polyphenol oxidase in *Beta vulgaris*. *Plant Physiol.*, 24: 1-15.
- Bangal, D.B., S.N. Deshmukh and V.A. Patil, 1982. Note on the effects of growth regulators and urea on yield attributes of gram (*Cicer arietinum*). *Legume Res.*, 5: 54-56.

- Baulcombe, D.C., 1987. Do plant hormones regulate gene expression during development? In: Hoard, G.V., J.R. Lenton, M.B. Jackson, R.K. Atkin (Eds.) Hormone Action in Plant Development: a Critical Appraisal. In Butterworths, Boston, pp: 63-70.
- Bhatt, J.G. and T. Ramanujam, 1970. Effect of cycocel on yield, chlorophyll content and fiber properties of lint of MCU cotton. *Ind. J. Plant Physiol.*, 13: 80-84.
- Bhatt, R.K. and L.P. Mishra, 2001. Strategies for Improving Seed Production in Forge Crops-Physiological Approach. In: Dwivedi, R.S. and V.K. Singh (Eds.) Plant physiological Paradigm for Fostering Agro and Biotechnology and Augmenting Environmental Productivity, ISPP. New Delhi, pp: 35-42.
- Bora, R.K. and C.M. Sarma, 2003. Effect of plant growth regulators on growth, yield and protein content of pea (cv. Azad-P-1). *Ind. J. Plant Physiol.*, 8: 672-676.
- Bora, R.K. and C.M. Sarma, 2004. Effect of GA<sub>3</sub> and CCC on growth, yield and protein content of soybean (cv. Ankur). *Environ. Biol. Conserv.*, 9: 59-65.
- Child, R.D., 1984. Effect of growth retardants and ethaphon on growth and yield formation of oilseed rape. *Aspects of Applied Biology* (6). Agronomy, Physiology, Plant Breeding and Crop Protection of Oilseed Rape, pp: 127-136.
- Clark, R.V. and G. Fedac, 1977. Effect of chlormequat on plant height, disease development and chemical constituents of cultivars of barley, oat and wheat. *Canad. J. Plant Sci.*, 57: 31-36.
- Fridborg, I., K. Sandra, M. Robertson and E. Sundberg, 2001. The Arabidopsis protein SHI represses Gibberellin response in Arabidopsis and Barley. *Plant Physiol.*, 127: 937-948.
- Gelmond, H., 1978. Problems in Crop Seed Germination. In: U.S. Gupta (Ed.), Crop Physiology Oxford and IBH Publishing Company. New Delhi, pp: 1-78.
- Goto, N. and R.P. Pharis, 1999. Role of gibberellins in the development of floral organs of the gibberellins-deficient mutant, gal-1, of Arabidopsis thaliana. *Can. J. Bot./Rev. Can. Bot.*, 77: 944-954.
- Grewal, H.S., J.S. Kolar, S.S. Cheema and G. Sing, 1993. Studies on the use of growth regulators in relation to nitrogen for enhancing sink capacity and yield of gobhi sarson (*Brassica napus*). *Ind. J. Plant Physiol.*, 36: 1-4.
- Huizen, R. van., J.A. Ozga and D.M. Reinecke, 1996. Influence of Auxin and Gibberellin on *in vivo* protein synthesis during pea fruit development. *Plant Physiol.*, 112: 53-59.
- Huttly, A.K. and A.L. Phillips, 1995. Gibberellin regulated plant genes. *Physiol. Plant.*, 95: 310-317.
- Khan, A., M. Ansari, R. Mir and Samiullah, 2002. Effect of phytohormones on growth and yield of Indian mustard. *Ind. J. Plant Physiol.*, 7: 75-78.
- Krishnamoorthy, H.N., 1981. Plant Growth Substances. McGraw Hill, New Delhi, pp: 1-82.
- Lowry, O.H., N.J. Rosebrough, A.L. Farr and R.J. Randall, 1951. Protein measurement with Folin-phenol reagent. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 193: 265-272.
- MacMillan, J. and B.O. Phinney, 1987. Biochemical, genetics and the regulation of stem elongation by gibberellins. In: Cosgrove, D.J. and D.P. Knievel (Eds.) Physiology of Cell Expansion During Plant Growth. Am. Soc. Plant Physiol., Rockville, Md.
- Matsukura, C., S. Itoh, K. Nemoto, E. Tanimoto and J. Yamaguchi, 1998. Promotion of leaf sheath growth by gibberellic acid in a dwarf mutant of rice. *Planta*, 205: 145-152.
- Pando, S.B. and G.C. Srivastava, 1985. Physiological studies on seed set in sunflower III. Significance of dwarfing the plant size using growth regulator. *Ind. J. Plant Physiol.*, 28: 72-80.
- Panse, V.G. and P.V. Sukhatme, (Revised) Sukhatme, P.V. and V.N. Amble, 1985. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. ICAR, New Delhi.
- Prasad, M. and R. Prasad, 1994. Effect of some plant growth regulators in cotton. *Ind. J. Plant Physiol.*, 37: 109-110.
- Rahman, Md.S., Md.N. Islam, A. Tahar and M.A. Karim, 2004. Influence of GA<sub>3</sub> and MH and their time of spray on morphology, yield contributing characters and yield of soybean. *Asian J. Plant. Sci.*, 3: 602-609.
- Saini, J.S., R.S. Jolly and O.S. Singh, 1987. Influence of chlormequat on growth and yield of irrigated and rainfed Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) in the field. *Exp. Agric.*, 23: 319-324.
- Santes, C.M. and J.L. Garcia-Martinez, 1995. Effect of the growth retardant 3, 5-dioxo-4-butyryl-cyclohexane carboxylic acid ethyl ester, an acylcyclohexanedione compound, on fruit growth and gibberellin content of pollinated and unpollinated ovaries in pea. *Plant Physiol.*, 108: 517-523.
- Sarma, C.M. and G. Deka, 1977. Antagonism between gibberellic acid and (2-Chloroethyl) trimethylammonium Chloride on the extension growth of hypocotyl segments of bean. *J. Assam Sci. Soc.*, 20: 50-54.
- Sarma, C.M. and B.D. Mishra, 1979. Effect of growth retardant on the elongation of cucumber hypocotyls *in vivo*. *J. Assam Sci.*, 22: 63-67.
- Setia, N. and R.C. Setia, 1990. Brassica yields: rate limiting factors and opportunities in plant growth regulation. In: SS Purohit (Ed.) Hormonal Regulation of Plant Growth and Development. Vol. V. Agro Botanical Publishers (India), Bikaner, pp: 107-124.

- Sing, H., S. Chandra and R.S. Jolly, 1987. Effect of growth regulators in relation to time of sowing and yield of soybean cultivars. *Ann. Biol.*, 3: 36-43.
- Taylor, A. and D.J. Cosgrove, 1989. Gibberellic acid stimulation of cucumber hypocotyl elongation. *Plant Physiol.*, 90: 1335-1340.
- Vikhi, S.V., D.B. Bangal and V.A. Patil, 1983. Effect of growth regulators and urea on pods number of pigeonpea cv. 148. *Intl. Pigeonpea Newsle.*, 2: 39-40.
- Wu, L.L., P.J. Mitchell, N.S. Cohn and P.B. Kaufman, 1993. Gibberellin (GA<sub>3</sub>) enhances cell wall invertase activity and mRNA levels in elongating dwarf pea (*Pisum sativum*) shoots. *Intl. J. Plant Sci.*, 154: 280-289.
- Xu, Y.L., D.A. Gage and J.A.D. Zeevaart, 1997. Gibberellins and stem growth in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Physiol.* 114: 1471-1476.
- Yang, T., P.J. Davies and J.B. Reid, 1996. Genetic dissection of the relative roles of auxin and gibberellin in the regulation of stem elongation in intact light-grown peas. *Plant Physiol.*, 110: 1029-1034.