

Asian Journal of Plant Sciences

ISSN 1682-3974





Genetic Analysis of Kernel Size and Kernel Weight in Bread Wheat (T. aestivum L.)

¹Faruk Toklu and ²Tacettin Yagbasanlar

¹Department of Seed Science and Technology, Vocational School of Kozan,

Cukurova University, 01550 Kozan/ADANA, Turkey

²Department of Field Crops, Faculty of Agriculture, Cukurova University, 01330 ADANA, Turkey

Abstract: In order to estimate genetic parameters, heterosis and heritability for the kernel size and kernel weight, three bread wheat genotypes 84 CZT 04 (large-kerneled), Panda (medium-kerneled) and Bow S/CrowS (small-kerneled) were reciprocal crossed in six combinations. Means of the six populations (P₁, P₂, F₁, F₂, BC₁ and BC₂) were used to estimate genetic parameters. Generation mean analyses of genetic effects indicated that large kernel ratio is dominant over thin kernel and high kernel weight is dominant over low. Heterosis ranged from 0.03 to 45.53% and 0.63 to 15.42% for large kernel ratio and kernel weight, respectively. Higher heterosis were detected in the crosses where large-kerneled parent used as female. Narrow-sense heritability estimates ranged from 60 to 99% for large kernel ratio and 23 to 100% for kernel weight. Additive (d) and dominance (h) effects were more consistent and important in determining large kernel ratio and also epistatic gene action is effective for kernel weight.

Key words: Bread wheat, heritability, gene effects, large kernel ratio, kernel weight

INTRODUCTION

Grain yield is a complex trait made up of the interaction between different yield components and environmental effects. Because of these complex interaction, yield components should also be investigated to improve yield (Novoselovic et al., 2004). This becomes very important for plant characters, directly related to production such as kernel size, kernel weight or grain yield in cereals. An understanding of genetic factors, determination of agronomic characters is a primary step for breeding studies. The magnitude of additive effects is particularly useful to the wheat breeder involved in developing pure line varieties. Mating designs developed and applied for investigation inheritance of quantitative traits have helped to understand the nature of genetic variation, which in turn were useful in formulating appropriate breeding methods and improving selection efficiency (Kearsey and Pooni, 1996). Working with bread wheat, gene action for kernel weight was predominantly additive in two spring wheat crosses (Bhatt, 1972; Ketata et al., 1976). Novoselovic et al. (2004) reported additive-dominance model was inadequate for single grain weight. Both the duration and rate of grain filling are positively associated with final grain weight (Gebeyehou et al., 1982; Darroch and Baker, 1990). Rasyad and van Sanford (1992), found significant additive

maternal effects for grain filling duration. Przulj and Mladenov (1999) indicated that additive genetic effect was predominated but epistatic dominance gene action was also important.

The present study was conducted to determine genetic architecture of large kernel ratio and 1000-kernel weight in the reciprocal crosses of three bread wheat genotypes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted during cropping season of 1997-98 and 1998-99 at the experimental field of Cukurova University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Field Crops, Adana, Turkey. This region has typical Mediterranean climatic conditions with an average annual rainfall of 700-750 mm for long term. The experiments were carried out under rainfed conditions.

Three bread wheat genotypes 84 CZT 04 (large-kerneled), Panda (medium-kerneled) and Bow"S"/Crow"S" (small-kerneled) was chosen on the basis of their kernel weight differences, were used as parents in this study.

Crossing procedure: The six basic generations $(P_1, P_2, F_1, F_2, BC_1 \text{ and } BC_2)$ were derived from three bread wheat reciprocal crosses at the end of 1997-98 growing season.

During the 1998-99 crop season, parents, F₁, F₂, BC₁ and BC₂ generations were sown in a randomized completeblock design with three replications, each containing 40 experimental rows as follows:

Population	No. of rows	No. of plants		
$\overline{P_1}$	5	50		
P_2	5	50		
\mathbf{F}_{1}	5	50		
\mathbf{F}_2	15	150		
BC_1	5	50		
F ₂ BC ₁ BC ₂	5	50		

Ten kernels were sown by hand in each 1 m row with a plant to plant distance of 10 cm. The rows were spaced 30 cm apart. Data were collected on each plant basis for the following characters.

Large kernel ratio (%): Determined from the total weight of grain harvested from each plant using grain sizer which have 2.8 mm openings. All kernels of each plant sifted and plump kernels are those which remained on top of sieve and thin kernels are those which pass through 2.8 mm openings both were collected and weighed and data expressed in percent.

1000 kernel weight (g): The mean weight in grams of 3×100 randomly selected kernels expressed as 1000 kernel weight.

Variance analysis test applied according to Randomized Completely Block Design (RCBD) (Steel and Torrie, 1980). Mean comparisons of the generations within each cross were made by using Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) for each character.

Genetic parameters: Heterosis was calculated as the percentage increase of F_1 performance, above the mean parental performance:

Heterosis =
$$[F_1-1/2(P_1+P_2)]/[\frac{1}{2}(P_1+P_2)]$$

Inbreeding depression was expressed as the percent reduction of the F_2 mean below performance:

Inbreeding depression =
$$(F_1-F_2)/F_1$$

Heritability: Generation variances were partitioned into additive (V_D) , dominance (V_H) and environmental (V_E) components as outlined by Mather and Jinks (1971).

$$V_E = 1/3 (V_{P1} + V_{P2} + V_{F1})$$

$$V_{D} = 2V_{E2} - (V_{BC1} + V_{BC2})$$

$$V_{H} = V_{BC1} + V_{BC2} - V_{F2} - V_{E}$$

Narrow sense heritability estimated using Warner (1952) equation:

$$H_{ns} = [2 V_{E2} - (V_{BC1} + V_{BC2})] / V_{E2}$$

Joint scaling test and six parameter model: In the joint scaling test, means of the six generations were used to estimate mean (m), additive (d) and dominance (h) effects for each characters in each cross by the method as described by Mather and Jinks (1971). These estimates were then used to obtain the expected values of the six generations. Observed and expected means were compared to test the validity of the model and significance control made using χ^2 test.

Since the additive-dominance model was inadequate to explain genetic architecture for researched plant characters, then six parameter model fitted to generation means to indicate genetical components, using methods outlined by Hayman (1958), Singh and Chaudhary (1985). Significance of the parameters was tested against their corresponding standard errors.

For the computing joint scaling test and six-parameter model values, Microsoft Excel Computer Software was used.

RESULTS

Parental and generation means (Table 1) were significantly different for large kernel ratio in all crosses. F₁ generation mean was closed to the greater parent in Panda X 84 CZT 04, 84 CZT 04 X Bow"S"/Crow"S", 84 CZT 04 X Panda, Bow"S"/Crow"S" X Panda and Bow"S"/Crow"S" X 84 CZT 04, whereas generation mean of F₁ for Panda X Bow"S"/Crow"S" was higher than that of the greater parent.

The F₂ mean was generally lower than the F₁'s except Bow"S"/Crow"S" X 84 CZT 04 cross. The backross means were tended to be intermediate between the F₁ and recurrent parent. These data suggest that large kernel ratio is dominant over low.

Generation means were significantly different for 1000 kernel weight in all crosses. These differences between parental genotypes for kernel weight was chief aim of this study. F₁ generation means were more or less closer or higher than that of greater parental genotypes for the trait as above said. These results showed that dominance and additive effects were consistent and important for kernel weight.

Table 1: Means and variances for large kernel ratio and 1000 kernel weight of three bread wheat and their reciprocal crosses

	Panda/ 84 ÇZT 04		Panda/ Bow''S''/Crow''S''		84 ÇZT 04/ Bow"S"/Crow"S"		84 ÇZT 04/ Panda		Bow"S"/Crow"S"/ Panda		Bow"S"/Crow"S"/ 84 ÇZT 04	
Generation	Mean	Variance	Mean	Variance	Mean	Variance	Mean	Variance	Mean	Variance	Mean	Variance
Large kern	el ratio (^e	%)										
\mathbf{P}_1	65.3d*	398.3	61.9a	127.3	90.1a	73.8	82.5a	85.1	30.8c	89.7	36.7d	159.8
P_2	87.5a	72.1	28.5b	96.1	27.1e	76.1	59.6b	322.9	65.8a	95.6	85.6a	66.5
F_1	81.3ab	73.5	64.9a	67.9	69.1bc	47.4	80.6a	124.2	56.2b	98.6	61.2bc	76.5
F_2	76.5bc	293.0	54.8a	424.3	60.8cd	437.3	65.7b	308.9	56.2b	267.1	67.7b	339.0
BC_1	71.5cd	208.1	58.4a	316.0	74.3b	414.6	68.7b	317.9	34.3c	289.8	55.2c	497.2
BC_2	86.0a	87.1	52.7a	278.1	53.8d	637.3	66.7b	432.8	58.7ab	264.7	81.1a	246.1
1000 kernel	weight (g)										
P_1	47.0c	57.7	46.4ab	39.5	59.1a	33.7	57.9a	15.1	38.3b	3.0	39.3d	19.9
P_2	59.7a	55.9	37.7c	6.9	37.7e	27.7	50.4b	40.3	48.4a	18.8	61.8a	22.1
F_1	59.8a	25.2	48.6a	16.9	51.5bc	26.2	59.0a	75.6	46.2a	28.2	50.8b	50.6
F_2	52.1b	113.5	44.5ab	39.7	47.3cd	67.9	49.6b	86.8	47.7a	70.2	47.6bc	53.5
BC_1	51.4b	68.5	47.8ab	51.1	54.9ab	54.3	51.8b	116.5	42.1ab	18.2	43.6cd	91.4
BC_2	59.2a	65.1	43.1b	40.8	45.8d	66.0	51.1b	68.7	48.0a	49.0	53.0b	63.9

^{*}Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different based on Duncan's New Multiple Range Test (p = 0.05)

Table 2: Genetic parameters for large kernel ratio and 1000 kernel weight of three bread wheat and their reciprocal crosses

Genetic	Panda/	Panda/	84 ÇZT 04/	84 ÇZT 04/	Bow"S"/Crow"S"/	Bow'S'/Crow'S'/	
parameters	84 ÇZT 04	Bow"S"/Crow"S"	Bow"S"/Crow"S"	Panda	Panda	84 ÇZT 04	
Large kernel ratio (%)							
Heterosis	6.37	43.53	17.86	13.46	16.34	0.03	
Inbreeding depression	5.90	15.56	12.01	18.49	0.00	-10.62	
Narrow sense heritability	99.00	60.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
1000 kernel weight (g)							
Heterosis (%)	12.21	15.42	6.44	9.06	6.33	0.63	
Inbreeding depression (%)	12.88	8.44	8.16	15.93	-3.24	6.30	
Narrow sense heritability (%)	82.00	0.00	23.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	

Table 3: Joint scaling test and six-parameter results for large kernel ratio and 1000 kernel weight of three bread wheat and their reciprocal crosses

	Panda/	Panda/	84 ÇZT 04/	84 ÇZT 04/	Bow"S"/Crow"S"/	Bow's"/Crow's"/
Parameters	84 ÇZT 04	Bow"S"/Crow"S"	Bow"S"/Crow"S"	Panda	Panda	84 ÇZT 04
Large kernel rat	io (%)					
m	75.47±2.605	45.17±2.162	58.30±1.844	68.12±2.794	47.64±2.009	63.12±2.187
d	12.73±2.496**	14.99±2.167**	30.79±1.868**	11.22±2.803**	18.39±2.007**	24.33±2.204**
h	6.04±4.069	19.97±3.510**	10.37±2.908**	7.00±4.686	7.15±3.710	1.15±3.603
$\chi^{2}_{(3)}$	0.86	3.50	2.55	10.68	6.66	5.73
p	0.80-0.90	0.30-0.50	0.30-0.50	< 0.01	0.05-0.10	0.05-0.10
m	76.47±3.828	54.77±4.606	60.83±4.676	65.67±3.930	56.17±3.655	67.73±4.117
d	-14.50±3.842**	5.73±5.450	20.53 ± 7.252	2.07±6.127	-24.37±5.265**	-25.90±6.096**
h	14.00±17.679	22.75±21.695	23.27±23.847	17.70 ± 20.500	-30.83±18.411	1.55±20.813
I	9.13±17.130	3.07±21.408	12.80±23.669	8.13±19.932	-38.73±18.017*	1.53±20.490
J	-3.40±5.150	-10.98±5.941	-11.00±7.506	-9.40±6.935	-6.83±5.677	-1.42±6.544
1	-8.80±23.388	-4.97±29.399	-13.60±35.004	24.47±30.653	61.93±26.735*	-29.37±30.316
1000 kernel weig	ht (g)					
m	51.48±0.911	41.90±0.574	47.75±0.726	50.96±0.955	44.14±0.625	48.88±0.865
d	6.80±0.950**	4.27±0.596**	10.43±0.760**	4.33±0.971**	5.74±0.623**	10.82±0.918**
h	7.43±1.340**	6.47±0.921**	3.19±1.151**	3.94±1.693*	2.73±1.051**	0.04±1.512
$\chi^{2}_{(3)}$	13.41	1.513	8.24	37.68	9.57	9.78
p	< 0.01	0.50-0.70	< 0.05	< 0.001	< 0.05	< 0.05
m	52.15±1.018	44.53±0.599	47.34 ± 0.840	49.56±0.890	47.74±0.822	47.62±0.703
d	-7.80±1.793**	4.71±1.525**	9.12±1.859**	0.72 ± 1.983	-5.90±1.267**	-9.39±2.064**
h	19.16±5.597**	10.19±3.993*	14.99±5.141**	12.59±5.599*	-7.96±4.293	3.19±5.219
I	12.65±5.425*	3.70 ± 3.880	11.88±5.011*	7.69 ± 5.329	-10.71±4.151**	2.87±4.994
J	-1.45±2.116	0.37±1.680	-1.54±2.038	-3.02±2.306	-0.73±1.473	1.86±2.305
1	-7.58±8.696	-4.18±6.822	-13.31±8.478	12.76±9.349	9.73±6.426	6.53±9.232

For the six-parameter model (i): Stands additive x additive x dominance, (l) Dominance x dominance epistatic gene effects; *Significant; **Highly significant

Heterosis, inbreeding depression and heritability: Different heterotic values were detected for the large kernel ratio (Table 2). The percentage of heterosis over the mid-parents, ranged from 6.37 to 43.53% according to crosses. Higher narrow sense heritability calculated in Panda x 84 ÇZT 04 and Panda x Bow"S"/Crow"S" crosses

were 99.0 and 60.0%, respectively. Unrealistic (very low narrow sense heritability) values obtained for other crosses.

Estimates of heterosis ranged from 0.63 to 15.42% for 1000-kernel weight for the crosses. Higher heterotic effect was obtained for all crosses except Bow"S"/Crow"S" X 84 CZT 04. Higher inbreeding depression found in all crosses except Bow"S"/Crow"S" X Panda. Higher narrow sense heritability was obtained for Panda X 84 CZT 04 and Bow"S"/Crow"S" X Panda crosses as 82 and 100%, respectively. While narrow sense heritability obtained for 84 CZT 04 X Bow"S"/Crow"S" was moderate.

The joint scaling test and six-parameter model: For the large kernel ratio, significant additive gene effect was found in all crosses, while in Panda x Bow"S"/Crow"S" and 84 CZT 04 x Bow"S"/Crow"S" crosses dominance gene effect was significant (Table 3), Significant additive x additive (1) and dominance x dominance (1) epistatic gene actions was detected in Bow"S"/Crow"S" x Panda cross for the large kernel ratio.

Significant additive and dominance gene effect detected in all crosses according to joint scaling test for 1000-kernel weight. Significant additive x additive (i) epistatic effect was known in three combinations.

DISCUSSION

This study was arranged to investigate genetical architecture of grain size and grain weight in bread wheat. To estimate genetic parameters and gene action types; generation means and the joint scaling test (based on three and six-parameter model) were used. Scaling, the joint scaling (based on a three-parameter model) and estimates of the additive, dominance and interactive effects (based on six-parameter model) were useful to indicate genetical mechanism of some plant characters and many researchers used this methods for inheritance studies (Esparza and Foster, 1998; Zhang et al., 1999; Przulj and Mladenov, 1999; Malik et al., 1999; Sharma et al., 2002; Akhtar and Chowdhry, 2006).

The findings of this research showed that; differences for large kernel ratio and 1000 kernel weight between six basic generation (P₁, P₂, F₁, F₂, BC₁ and BC₂) means were significant which indicates that these characters were heritable. High narrow-sense heritability estimates for some crosses in present study is supporting these results. Higher heritability was pointed by several researchers for grain size (Esparza and Foster, 1998) and grain weight (Ketata *et al.*, 1976; Novoselovic *et al.*, 2004).

Estimates of three and six-parameters showed that additive-dominance model was adequate for large kernel

ratio in all crosses exception of 84 CZT 04/Panda. Additive effects were main factor affecting the large kernel ratio. So early generation selection for this character should be effective for wheat breeding programs. These results are in accordance with the previous findings of Esparza and Foster (1998). However, for 1000-kernel weight, additive-dominance model was inadequate for five out of six cross combinations except Panda/Bow"S"/Crow"S". Epistatic gene actions are important expression of this character in addition to additive-dominant gene effects. Therefore for this character, selection should be relatively slow and continuous for next generations. In a range of studies related to inheritance of 1000-kernel weight over dominance and dominance x dominance effects were noticed (Kashif and Khalig, 2003; Akhtar Chowdhry, 2006). Shamsuddin and Rahman (1998) indicated that kernel weight was controlled by additive, dominance and epistatic gene action.

For the large kernel ratio and kernel weight, maternal effect may be important because of the different hetrosis values according to reciprocal crosses. Higher heterosis was detected in the crosses where large kerneled parent used as female. These results suggested that to increase kernel size or weight, parental genotype with large-kerneled should be used as female. For an efficient breeding program, choosing the suitable parent is very important for the researched plant trait. Maternal effects, the contributions of the mother to her offspring above and beyond the equal parental genetic contributions are expected to be substantial in Genetic maternal effects are potentially seeds. considerable because the seed coat is genotypically maternal and the endosperm has a larger maternal than paternal nuclear contribution (Platenkamp et al., 1993). Kearsey and Pooni (1996) and Akhtar and Chowdhry (2006) pointed out that different parent can also effect the phenotype of their progeny either directly or indirectly that was called as maternal effect.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This manuscript includes a part of Ph.D study which was financial supported by the Cukurova University, Research Fund.

REFERENCES

Akhtar, N. and M.A. Chowdhry, 2006. Genetic analysis of yield and some other quantitative traits in bread wheat. Int. J. Agric. Biol., 8: 523-527.

- Bhatt, G.M., 1972. Inheritance of heading date, plant height and kernel weight in two spring wheat crosses. Crop Sci., 12: 95-98.
- Darroch, B.B. and R.J. Baker, 1990. Grain filling in three spring wheat genotypes: Statistical analysis. Crop Sci., 30: 525-529.
- Esparza, M.J.H. and A.E. Foster, 1998. Genetic analysis of heading date and other agronomic characters in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). Euphytica, 99: 145-153.
- Gebeyehou, G., D.R. Knott and R.J. Baker, 1982. Rate and duration of grain filling in durum wheat varieties. Crop Sci., 22: 337-340.
- Hayman, B.I., 1958. The theory and analysis of diallel crosses. III. Genetics, 45: 155-172.
- Kashif, M. and I. Khalig, 2003. Mechanism of genetic control of some quantitative traits in bread wheat. Pak. J. Biol. Sci., 6: 1586-1590.
- Kearsey, M.J. and H.S. Pooni, 1996. The Genetical Analysis of Quantitative Traits Maternal Effects and Non-diploids. 1st Edn., Chapman and Hall Press, London, pp. 266-274.
- Ketata, H., L.H. Edwards and E.L. Smith, 1976. Inheritance of eight agronomic characters in a winter wheat cross. Crop Sci., 16: 19-22.
- Malik, T.A., D. Wright and D.S. Wirk, 1999. Inheritance of photosynthesis and transpiration efficiency in spring wheat, *Triticum aestivum* L., under drought. Plant Breed., 118: 93-95.
- Mather, K. and J.L. Jinks, 1971. Biometrical Genetics. Chapman and Hall, London.

- Novoselovic, D., M. Baric, G. Drezner, J. Gunjaca and A. Lalic, 2004. Quantitative inheritance of some wheat plant traits. Genet. Mol. Biol., 27: 92-98.
- Platenkamp, G.A.J. and R.G. Shaw, 1993. Environmental and genetic maternal effects on seed characters in *Nemophila menziesii*. Evolution, 47: 540-555.
- Przulj, N. and N. Mladenov, 1999. Inheritance of grain filling duration in spring wheat. Plant Breed., 118: 517-521.
- Rasyad, A. and D.A. Van Sanvord, 1992. Genetic and maternal variances and covariances of kernel growth traits in winter wheat. Crop Sci., 32: 1139-1143.
- Shamsuddin, A.K.M. and L. Rahman, 1998. Gene actions for grain yield and yield contributing characters in spring wheat. Bangladesh J. Plant Breed. Genet., 11: 07-12.
- Sharma, S.N., R.S. Sain and R.K. Sharma, 2002. Genetic control of quantitative traits in durum wheat under normal and late-sowing environments. J. Breed. Genet., 34: 35-43.
- Singh, R.K. and B.D. Chaudhary, 1985. Biometrical Methods in Quantitative Genetic Analysis. Kalyami Publishers, New Delhi, Ludhiana.
- Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie, 1980. Principles and Procedures of Statistics. McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York.
- Warner, J.N., 1952. A method for estimating heritability. Agron J., 44: 427-430.
- Zhang, X., R.S. Jessop and F. Ellison, 1999. Inheritance of root regrowth as an indicator of apparent aluminum tolerance in triticale. Euphytica, 108: 97-103.