

# Asian Journal of Plant Sciences

ISSN 1682-3974





# Effect of Method and Time of Nitrogen Fertilizer Application on Growth, Development and Yield of Grain Sorghum

<sup>1</sup>Hosein Moghaddam, <sup>1</sup>Mohammad Reza Chaichi, <sup>1</sup>Hamid Rhimian Mashhadi, <sup>2</sup>Gholamreza Savagheby Firozabady and <sup>1</sup>Abdolhadi Hosein Zadeh <sup>1</sup>College of Agriculture, University of Tehran, Iran <sup>2</sup>College of Soil Science, University of Tehran, Iran

Abstract: A study was established for determining the effect of nitrogen (urea) fertilizer method and time of application on the growth and yield of Sepideh and Kimia grain sorghum varieties. The experiment was conducted as a factorial-split design with four replications in Karaj (Iran) during 2003 growing season. The main plots were allocated to variety and fertilizer application methods (banding and broadcasting) and the subplots were assigned to fertilizer application time viz., at sowing and eight-leaf stages, sowing and booting stages and sowing, eight-leaf and booting stages. There was also a nitrogen fertilizer free treatment. The results of the experiment revealed that in most of the cases, responses of the sorghums varieties to broadcasting method of N fertilizer application were better than its banding. The time of N fertilizer application had different effects on similar traits of the sorghums. Variety, interactions of variety × fertilizer application method and variety×fertilizer application time showed that for most traits Kimia variety was better than Sepideh.

Key words: Grain sorghum, nitrogen fertilizer, fertilizer application method, fertilizer application time

## INTRODUCTION

Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench) is a crop of world-wide importance and is unique in its ability to produce under a wide array of harsh environmental conditions (House, 1995). Sorghum is an important component in traditional farming systems in the semi-arid tropics of Africa and Asia, with mean grain yields of 863 and 1175 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (FAO, 1999).

Nitrogen is the essential element required for plant growth in relatively large amounts. However, deficiencies of nitrogen are common. Nitrogen deficiency can result in reduced dry matter, crude protein and grain yield (Jarvis, 1996; Ashiono *et al.*, 2005). Soil nutrients become depleted due to leaching of nitrogen, soil erosion and removal by crops (Zobeck *et al.*, 2000).

In soils with good aeration nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) is the dominant form of available nitrogen in higher plants. Its absorption and pattern of distribution in different parts of a plant is very important. Nitrate that is not absorbed by plants may contaminate underground or surface water by nitrate leaching or soil erosion. On the other hand, high absorption of nitrate causes its accumulation in plants which is one of main anxieties in recent years (Bao-ming et al., 2004). Increasing Nitrogen Use Efficiency (NUE) in plants is considered as a major way to decrease nitrate accumulation and its leaching in the soil. N source and method of fertilizer application have been identified as factors influencing NUE, as well as the

pathway of N loss from the soil-plant system (Raun and Johnson, 1999).

There is difference for NUE, among different sorghum hybrids. Genetic variation was observed for N utilization between early and late-maturity sorghum hybrids (Kasmshita *et al.*, 1998). Genotypic variation has been observed for utilization of absorbed N for biomass production and harvest index (Gardner *et al.*, 1994).

The best method and time of nitrogen fertilizer application will significantly improve both quantity and quality of crops as well as NUE (Almodares, 1996).

In a study for determination of suitable time of nitrogen fertilizer application for forage sorghum in Karaj, it was concluded that, if plants are sown in proper time, three-phase distribution of nitrogen fertilizer has the best effect on total dry weight, protein and height of plants (Kohanmoo and Mazahery, 1995). Sidedress application of nitrogen fertilizer at eight-leaf growth stage is feasible and would be beneficial for sorghum (Khosla *et al.*, 2000). Delaying N fertilization 40 days after sowing, rather than applying at sowing, increased dry matter and grain yield of sorghum (Joseph *et al.*, 1997). Grain yield of corn was 10.5 and 11.2 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> for nitrogen fertilization at planting and six-leaf stage, respectively (Sainz Rozas *et al.*, 2004).

In nitrogen fertilizer banding (as starter fertilizer) of sorghum, grain yield increased by 18% (Gordon and Whitney, 1995). Plant N uptake responses indicated better utilization of subsurface knifed N than surface-broadcast

N by wheat (Kelley and Sweeney, 2005). Although most reports show the positive effect of nitrogen fertilizer method on growth and yield of sorghum, there are still some reports about the little or no effect of nitrogen fertilizer application methods on crop yield. There was no significant effect of the method of N application in terms of dry matter yield, tillering and protein yield of sorghum-Sudangrass hybrid (Selahattin and Brohi, 2002). Compared with broadcasting, banding maintained com grain yield in 1988 and increased it by 11% (Lehrsh et al., 2000). In the absence of weeds, wheat yields were similar across three N application methods including broadcast, surface pool and point injected N (Blackshaw et al., 2003).

As there are some contradictory reports regarding the mechanism of the effect of nitrogen fertilizer application method and timing on the growth, development and yield of sorghum, this study was conducted to determine the effect of method and time of nitrogen (urea) fertilizer application on the growth, development and yield of grain sorghum; to investigates the responses of two different grain sorghum genotypes to applied nitrogen fertilizer in regard to better nitrogen utilization efficiency.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted in Karaj (Iran) in late May 2003. The experimental plots were prepared in the Research Farm of College of Agriculture, University of Tehran. The experimental design was a factorial-split arrangement within a Randomized Complete Block with four replications. The main plots were factorial combinations of variety and fertilizer application methods and the subplots were fertilizer application times. The subplots were 3×5 m and consisted of 5 rows of grain sorghum. In every replication there were four main plots and sixteen subplots.

Varieties of grain sorghum were Sepideh (Ss) and Kimia (Sk). Nitrogen (urea) fertilizer application methods were banding (Nb) and broadcasting (Ns). Fertilizer application times included fertilizer application at seed sowing and eight-leaf stages  $(T_1)$ , sowing and booting stages  $(T_2)$  and sowing and eight-leaf and booting stages  $(T_3)$ . There was a treatment with no fertilizer  $(T_0)$ .

The field of the study was under fallow in the previous year and in the year before it was sown to barley. Sorghum was sown at a population of 166000 plant ha<sup>-1</sup> with row spacing of 60 cm and seeds 12 cm apart on each row. In band fertilizer application method, half of the main plot area was banded by 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of urea fertilizer 5 cm apart from seeds and 5 cm deep in the soil. In broadcasting system urea was broadcasted by hand on the other half of the plots (except to  $T_0$  plots).

After application, the nitrogen fertilizer was covered with a thin layer of soil. In two partitioning phase of nitrogen fertilizer application  $(T_1 \text{ and } T_2)$ , one half of urea was applied each time and in three partitioning  $(T_3)$ , one third of urea was applied. Furrow irrigation was established and weekly irrigation was performed.

Before sowing and after harvesting time of sorghum, soil samples were taken from 30 cm soil depth, using five cores for every subplot. Important physical and chemical characteristics of soil samples were determined in laboratory including soil texture, EC, pH, macronutrients (N, P, K, Ca and Mg), micronutrients (Mn, Cu, Fe and Zn), CaCO<sub>3</sub>, CO<sub>3</sub>, Cl<sup>-</sup> and Mo.

From sowing to harvesting time emerging time, flowering time, plant height, leaf dry weight, leaf area, specific leaf area, total dry weight and grain yield were measured.

SPSS and SAS programs were used for analysis of variance, Duncan's Multiple Range Test and correlations of traits.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Nitrogen Fertilizer application Method (NFM) had a significant effect on Specific Leaf Area (SLA), Total Dry Weight (TDW) and Grain Yield (GY). For the mentioned traits Ns had a better effect than Nb (Table 1).

There are different reports about relation between SLA and leaf nitrogen content. In a study on ten annual and nine perennial grass species, the correlation between specific leaf area and leaf nitrogen content expressed on a dry matter basis was negative in annuals and positive in perennials (Garnier *et al.*, 1997).

The organic N content per unit leaf area was lower for the high-SLA species of ten dicotyledonous species that differed inherently in SLA (Poorter and Evans, 1998).

Soil factors including pH, EC, soil temperature, macro and micro nutrients as well as irrigation methods all can be affected by NFM. Best solubility and availability of  $\mathrm{NO_3}^-$  for many plants is in pH = 6.5-7.5. Soil pH of the experimental site was more than 8 and it could possibly caused decreasing of N fertilizer solubility and availability, so urea had less effect on some sorghum traits.

Banding N one side of a row, rather than broadcasting, maintained or increased grain yield, increased silage yield up to 26% (Lehrsh *et al.*, 2000). Final wheat grain yield and grain N content were not affected by N placement in plowed plots (Rao and Dao, 1996).

Variety effect was significant for emerging time, plant height, Leaf Dry Weight (LDW), Leaf Area (LA) and TDW. Emerging time for Ss was more than Sk, may be

Table 1: Means comparison of main effects of treatments on vegetative and generative traits of grain sorghum\*

	Emerging	Flowering	Plant	LDW	LA	SLA	TDW	GY
Treatments	time (day)	time (day)	height (cm)	(g cm <sup>-2</sup> )	(cm <sup>2</sup> ·m <sup>-2</sup> )	$(m^2 \cdot g^{-1})$	(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
Nb	12.9a	82.1a	105.3a	324.9a	44552a	0.0115b	4367.2b	2115.2b
Ns	13.5a	82.5a	105.5a	323.3a	45921a	0.0134a	5271.3a	3049.9a
Sk	11.0b	82.3a	101.3b	347.6a	48273a	0.0128a	5359.3a	2710.3a
Ss	15.4a	82.2a	109.6a	300.6b	42200b	0.0121a	4279.2b	2454.8a
$T_0$	14.7a	79.7c	101.2b	247.8c	38429c	0.0156a	4252.0b	1983.8b
$T_1$	13.1b	84.1a	105.9a	326.2b	44254b	0.0112b	5537.8a	2611.0a
$T_2$	12.9b	82.7b	105.4a	354.6a	45601b	0.0112b	4422.4b	3006.5a
$T_3$	12.1c	82.6b	104.8a	367.7a	52662a	0.0118b	5064.8a	2728.9a

<sup>\*</sup>DMRT= Duncan's Multiple Range Test, Means with the same letter(s) have no significant difference at 5% level probability

Table 2: Means comparison of different vegetative and generative traits of grain sorghum varieties at different methods of N fertilizer application\*

	Emerging	Flowering	Plant	LDW	LA	SLA	TDW	GY
Treatments	time (day)	time (day)	height (cm)	(g cm <sup>-2</sup> )	$(cm^2 m^{-2})$	$(m^2 g^{-1})$	(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
SkNb	10.6b	82.3ab	101.3b	358.3a	49533a	0.010a	4550.3a	1924.7b
SsNb	15.3a	81.9b	109.4a	291.5b	39572c	0.010a	4184a	2305.8ab
SkNs	11.4b	82.4ab	101.2b	336.8a	47013ab	0.010a	4447.7a	3495.9a
SsNs	15.6a	82.6a	109.9a	309.7b	44828b	0.010a	4374.3a	2603.8ab

<sup>\*</sup>DMRT= Duncan's Multiple Range Test, Means with the same letter(s) have no significant difference at 5% level probability

Table 3: Coefficients of correlation between the traits of grain sorghum

	Emerging time	Flowering time	Leaf area	Leaf dry weight	Specific leaf area	Total dry weight	Plant height	Grain yield
Emerging time	1	0.505*	0.783**	0.561*	0.708**	0.290*	0.123	0.188
Flowering time		1	0.547*	0.692**	0.775**	0.670**	0.717**	0.444*
Leaf area			1	0.508**	0.628**	0.424*	-0.174	0.180
Leaf dry weight				1	0.835**	0.661*	-0.561*	0.046
Specific leaf area					1	0.286	-0.593*	0.010
Total dry weight						1	0.402*	0.611*
Plant height							1	0.041
Grain yield								1

<sup>\*:</sup> Significant at 5% level probability, \*\*: Significant at 1% level probability

Table 4: Means comparison of different vegetative and generative traits of grain sorghum at different times and methods of N fertilizer application\*

	Emerging	Flowering	Plant	LDW	LA	SLA	TDW	GY
Treatment	time (day)	time (day)	height (cm)	(g cm <sup>-2</sup> )	(cm <sup>2</sup> ·m <sup>-2</sup> )	$(m^2 g^{-1})$	$(kg ha^{-1})$	(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
$NbT_1$	14.8a	80d	107.5ab	256.5c	40149de	0.018ab	4221.7a	2390.6bc
$NbT_2$	12.5de	83.9ab	105.8ab	344.9a	47501bc	0.010d	4709.4a	2256.1bc
$NbT_3$	13.8bc	84.3a	106ab	307.6b	41007de	0.013cd	4421a	2965.1ab
$NsT_1$	13.3cd	82.9bc	102.3b	357.2a	47102bc	0.010d	4559.3a	3353.2a
$NsT_2$	14e	82.5c	103.2ab	363.6a	49899b	0.010d	4191.5a	2104.6cd
$NsT_3$	12.1e	82.8bc	106.5ab	371.9a	55425a	0.015bc	4442.1a	3489.8a

<sup>\*</sup>DMRT= Duncan's Multiple Range Test, Means with the same letter(s) have no significant difference at 5% level probability

Table 5: Means comparison of different vegetative and generative traits of grain sorghum varieties at different times of N fertilizer application\*

	Emerging	Flowering	Plant	LDW	LA	SLA	TDW	Gy
Treatments	time (day)	time (day)	height (cm)	(g cm <sup>-2</sup> )	(cm <sup>2</sup> ·m <sup>-2</sup> )	$(m^2 g^{-1})$	$(kg ha^{-1})$	(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
$S_kT_1$	11.1d	84.5a	101.2b	310.3cd	40874de	0.010d	4921.4a	2949.7ab
$S_kT_2$	10.8d	82.1c	101.3b	390.2a	53550a	0.010d	4249.1ab	3057.1a
$S_kT3$	9.5e	82.7bc	101.9b	404.0a	54492a	0.010d	4732.1ab	2853.3ab
$S_sT_1$	15.1b	83.6ab	109.5a	342.1b	47634bc	0.012cd	4410.5ab	2272.2bc
$S_sT_2$	15.1b	83.3bc	109.4a	319.0bc	37653e	0.010d	4595.7ab	2956ab
$S_sT_3$	14.6b	82.5bc	107.7a	331.4bc	50832ab	0.015bc	3901.5b	2604.6abc

<sup>\*</sup>DMRT= Duncan's Multiple Range Test, means with the same letter (s) have no significant difference at 5% level probability

because of smaller seed size of Ss in compared to Sk. For LDW, LA and TDW, Sk had a better performance than Ss, but for plant height, Ss was superior (Table 1). This could be better explained by genetically differences rather than environmental factors (Ss is genetically a taller sorghum than Sk). Interaction of variety by NFM was significant for emerging time, flowering time, plant height, LDW, LA and GY (Table 2).

The most and the least emerging time was for NbSk and NsSs, respectively. Ns in comparison with Nb caused later flowering of Ss. The least and the most LA and LDW were observed in Nb for Ss and Sk, respectively. It was known that the sorghum varieties had reverse response to NFM. There was a significant difference for the effect of Ns on LDW of each variety. Ns had a better effect on GY of Sk in comparison with Nb (Table 2). From these

comparisons it is concluded that in broadcasting method, Sk is more efficient in nitrogen utilization of urea for seed production.

Nitrogen Fertilizer application Time (NFT), had significant effect on emerging time, days to flowering, LDW, LA and TDW (Table 1). In plots with no N fertilizer  $(T_0)$ , longer emerging time was observed. Considering positive effect of nitrogen on seed germination, this could be expected. Flowering time had a positive correlation of 0.547\*, 0.692\*\* and 0.670\*\* with LA, LDW and TDW, respectively (Table 3). These correlations show the importance of flowering time and its role in sorghum grain yield.

GY in  $T_2$  was more than the other treatments but it had not significant difference with other times except  $T_0$  treatment (Table 1). A positive correlation of 0.611\* was observed between GY and TDW (Table 3). If favorable environmental conditions at seed formation period exist, more vegetative organs of a plant can be a positive factor in increasing of grain yield.

Interaction of NFM\*NFT was significant at 5% level for emerging time, flowering time, LDW, LA and GY. Flowering time of NbT<sub>1</sub> was shorter than NsT<sub>1</sub>, but NbT<sub>2</sub> and NbT<sub>3</sub> treatments in comparison with NsT<sub>2</sub> and NsT<sub>3</sub> postponed flowering time (Table 4).

In similar fertilizer application times, in broadcasting method of N fertilizer there was more increase in LDW and LA compared to banding system. SLA was significantly more in NbT<sub>1</sub> treatment compared to NsT<sub>1</sub>. In both NFM, the least and the most GY was in T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub>, respectively. NsT<sub>1</sub> and NsT<sub>3</sub> produced better GY than NbT<sub>1</sub> and NbT<sub>3</sub> and on the other hand, GY was more in NbT<sub>2</sub> than NsT<sub>2</sub> (Table 4).

Interaction of variety\*NFT was significant for emerging time, flowering time, plant height, LDW, LA, SLA and TDW. The shortest and the longest emerging time were observed in SkT<sub>3</sub>, SsT<sub>1</sub> and SsT<sub>2</sub>, respectively. SkT<sub>1</sub> and SsT<sub>1</sub> had the longest flowering time. The least and the most plant heights were observed in SkT<sub>1</sub> and SsT<sub>1</sub> treatments (Table 5).

These results indicate that the response of Sepideh and Kimia sorghum varieties to NFT is adverse for their height. SkT<sub>3</sub> treatment produced the most LA and LDW. The most LA for both varieties was produced in T<sub>3</sub>; however, the most LDW for Ss was obtained in T<sub>1</sub>. The least LDW and LA were in SkT<sub>1</sub> and SsT<sub>2</sub>, respectively. A significant difference was observed for SLA between SsT<sub>2</sub> and SsT<sub>3</sub> (Table 5). Correlation between SLA with LDW and LA was 0.835\*\* and 0.628\*, respectively (Table 3).

Different NFT had different significant effect on TDW of every variety. The most and the least amount of TDW was in SkT<sub>1</sub> and SsT<sub>3</sub>, respectively (Table 5). Regarding the high importance of TDW in sorghum and its relation

to grain yield, enough attention should be paid to the time of nitrogen fertilizer application. Concluding from the results of this experiment, it is known that in most cases the response of the sorghum varieties to broadcasting of urea fertilizer was better than its banding. The sorghum varieties had different responses to NFT for similar traits. Interactions of variety\* NFM and variety\* NFT indicate that for most traits Kimia sorghum variety was better than Sepideh.

### REFERENCES

- Almodares, A., 1996. Effect of genotype and nitrogen content on protein of grain sorghum. J. Res. Construct., 32: 60-65.
- Ashiono, G.B., S. Gatuiku, P. Mwangi and T.E. Akuja, 2005. Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus application on growth and yield of dual-purpose sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L) Moench), E1291, in the dry highlands of Kenya. Asian J. Plant Sci., 4: 379-382.
- Bao-ming, Ch., W. Zhao-Hui, L. Sheng-Xiu and G. Xuan, 2004. Effect of nitrate supply on plant growth, nitrate accumulation, metabolic nitrate concentration and nitrate reductase activity in three leafy vegetables. Plant Sci. 67: 635-643.
- Blackshaw, R.E., S. Gregory and J.H. Henry, 2003. Fertilizer application method affects nitrogen uptake in weeds and wheat. Weed Sci., 50: 634-641.
- FAO., 1999. FAO Quart. Bull. Statis, 12: 33.
- Gardner, J.C., J.W. Maranville and E.T. Paparozzi, 1994. Nitrogen use efficiency among diverse sorghum cultivars. Crop Sci., 34: 728-733.
- Garnier, E., P. Cordonnier, J.L. Guillerm and L. Sonie, 1997.
  Specific leaf area and nitrogen concentration in annual and perennial grass species growing in Mediterranean old-fields. Oecologia, 111: 490-498.
- Gordon, W.B. and D.A. Whitney, 1995. Starters bump up sorghum yield 18%. Fluid. J., 3: 11-13.
- House, L.R., 1995. Sorghum: One of the world's great cereals. Afr. Crop Sci. J., 3: 135-142.
- Jarvis, S.C., 1996. Future trends in nitrogen research. Plant and Soil, 181: 47-56.
- Joseph, J., Adu-Gyam Fi, O. Ito, T. Yoneyama, D. Gayatri and K. Katayama, 1997. Timing of N fertilization on N<sub>2</sub> fixation, N recovery and soil profile nitrate dynamics on sorghum/pigeon pea intercrops on Alfisols on the semi-arid tropics. Nutrient cycling in Agroecosys., 48: 197-208.
- Kasmshita, A., S. Fukai, R.C. Muchow and M. Cooper, 1998. Sorghum hybrid differences in grain yield and nitrogen concentration under low soil nitrogen availability. II. Hybrids with contrasting phenology. Aust. J. Agric. Res., 49: 1277-86.

- Kelley, K.W. and D.W. Sweeney, 2005. Tillage and urea ammonium nitrate fertilizer rate and placement affects winter wheat following grain sorghum and soybean. Agron. J., 97: 690-697.
- Khosla, R., M.M. Alley and P.H. Davis, 2000. Nitrogen management in no-tillage grain sorghum production. Agron. J., 92: 321-328.
- Kohanmoo, M. and D. Mazahery, 1995. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer distribution and irrigation period (time) on yield and protein of forage sorghum. 5th Iranian Congress of Crop Production and Plant Breeding, Karaj, Iran, pp. 311.
- Lehrsh, G.A., R.E. Sojka and D.T. Westermann, 2000. Nitrogen placement, row spacing and furrow irrigation water positioning effects on corn yield. Agron. J., 92: 1266-1275.
- Poorter, H. and J.R. Evans, 1998. Photosynthetic nitrogen-use efficiency of species that differ inherently in specific leaf area. Oecologia., 116: 26-37.

- Rao, S.C. and T.H. Dao, 1996. Nitrogen placement and tillage effects on dry matter and nitrogen accumulation and redistribution in winter wheat. Agron. J., 88: 365-371.
- Raun, W.R. and G.V. Johnson, 1999. Improving nitrogen use efficiency for cereal production. Agron. J., 91: 357-363.
- Sainz Rozas, H.R., H.E. Echeverria and P.A. Barbieri, 2004. Nitrogen balanced as affected by application time and nitrogen fertilizer rate in irrigated no-tillage maize. Agron. J., 96: 1622-1631.
- Selahattin, I. and A.R. Brohi, 2002. Effect of nitrogen rates and method of nitrogen application on dry matter yield and some characters of sorghum-sudangrass hybrids. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B-Plant Soil Sci., 52: 96-100.
- Zobeck, T.M, N.C. Parker, S. Haskell and K. Guoding, 2000. Scaling up from field to region for wind erosion prediction using a field-scale wind erosion model and GIS. Agric. Ecosys. Environ., 82: 247-259.