

Asian Journal of Plant Sciences

ISSN 1682-3974





∂ OPEN ACCESS

Asian Journal of Plant Sciences

ISSN 1682-3974 DOI: 10.3923/ajps.2019.175.180



Research Article Effect of Nitrogen Fertilizer Source, Soil Type and Season on Growth Performance of Two Sorghum Cultivars

¹Erick Sebetha and ²Solofelang Modisapudi

¹Food Security and Safety Niche Area, Faculty of Agriculture, Science and Technology, North-West University, Mafikeng Campus, Private Bag X2046, 2735 Mmabatho, South Africa

²Department of Crop Science, North-West University, Mafikeng Campus, Private Bag X2046, 2735 Mmabatho, South Africa

Abstract

Background and Objective: The growth performance of sorghum is influenced by soil structure of location and the source of nitrogen fertilizer applied during planting. Greenhouse experiment was conducted during spring and repeated in autumn of 2017 planting season, to investigate the influence of nitrogen fertilizer source, soil type and cultivar on the growth parameters of sorghum. **Materials and Methods:** The experiment was a $2 \times 2 \times 4$ factorial experiment fitted into a complete randomised design. Two soil types used were loamy sandy and sandy soil. Two sorghum cultivars planted were PAN 8826 and PAN 8625 and 4 nitrogen fertilizer sources were ammonium sulphate, urea, LAN and control. The measured growth parameters were plant height, number of leaves per plant, leaf area, leaf chlorophyll content, stem diameter and root mass. **Results:** Nitrogen fertilizer source had significant effect ($p \le 0.05$) on leaf chlorophyll content, leaf area, stem diameter and fresh root mass. Sorghum fertilized with nitrogen fertilizer source, ammonium sulphate had significantly higher leaf chlorophyll content of 14.28 than other sources of nitrogen fertilizer. Sorghum fertilized with nitrogen fertilizer source, ammonium sulphate, LAN and urea had significantly larger stem diameter of 1.07 cm than control. Planting season also had significant effect ($p \le 0.05$) on plant height and number of leaves/plant. Sorghum planted during spring had significantly taller plant height of 72.0 cm and higher number of leaves/plant of 6.5 than sorghum planted in autumn. **Conclusion:** In this study, nitrogen fertilizer irrespective of source responded positively in terms of stem diameter and leaf chlorophyll content. This study indicated that, sorghum should be planted in spring to obtain higher plant height and number of leaves/plant.

Key words: Leaf area, plant height, soil type, stem diameter, fertilizer, chlorophyll, greenhouse effect

Citation: Erick Sebetha and Solofelang Modisapudi, 2019. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer source, soil type and season on growth performance of two sorghum cultivars. Asian J. Plant Sci., 18: 175-180.

Corresponding Author: Erick Sebetha, Food Security and Safety Niche Area, Faculty of Agriculture, Science and Technology, North-West University, Mafikeng Campus, Private Bag X2046, 2735 Mmabatho, South Africa Tel: +27 18389 2787

Copyright: © 2019 Erick Sebetha and Solofelang Modisapudi. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Competing Interest: The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

Data Availability: All relevant data are within the paper and its supporting information files.

INTRODUCTION

Sorghum is a warm-weather crop, which requires high temperatures for good germination and growth. Sorghum has been reported to have high stress tolerance, including temperature, water and salt stresses¹. Temperature is an important factor controlling the growth and development of the sorghum plant. Crops such as sorghum are likely to be grown under higher temperatures². Sorghum is considered to be hardier under extreme heat and drought conditions compared with other major crops³.

Sorghum seed germination requires warmer soil temperatures compared with crops such as soybean and maize³. Sorghum has a good prospect to be developed in marginal dry land that are not managed⁴. Grain sorghum can be grown with greater success than maize on less-fertile soils, shallow soils and heavy turf soils. High strength silty clay and clay horizons and a calcic horizon in the silty clay loam delay sorghum rooting and affect crop growth⁵. Slightly acidic loamy sand produces sorghum with higher plant height compared to sorghum grown on strongly acidic sandy clay loam⁶. It was further reported that slightly acidic loamy sand was able to support sorghum growth as much as strongly acidic sandy clay loam.

Application of nitrogen fertilizer enhances the growth and yield of sorghum⁷. They have reported that, the effect of nitrogen fertilizer on the height of sorghum become apparent from 6 weeks after sowing. Nitrogen fertilization increased number of leaves/plant and leaf area of cereal crop⁸. Amin⁹ reported that all growth parameters were affected by nitrogen fertilization from different sources. It was further reported that the increase in plant height attained by ammonium sulphate nitrate explained by the efficiency of nitrogen sources which composed of ammonium and nitrate. Nitrogen source, ammonium nitrate fertilizer increased number of days to mid-tasseling, mid-silking and shelling percentage in corn study¹⁰.

Earlier maturing sorghum cultivars are recommended under water stress conditions to promote timely physiological maturity¹¹. Medium to late maturity can be cropped to increase percentage emergence under low soil water availability¹². Medium maturity hybrids are better adapted to limited irrigation conditions than late maturing hybrids¹³. It was further reported that, late maturing sorghum types yield more than earlier maturing types, but with possibilities of not reaching maturity before occurrence of frost. The sorghum plant flowered and matured significantly earlier under drought condition¹⁴. Majority of sorghum farmers in South Africa are only suing one specific source of nitrogen fertilizer and have no information about the influence of other sources on production of sorghum. The selection of good performing cultivar and location with preferred soil type for sorghum production is still a challenge to most farmers. The objective of this study was to determine the effect of nitrogen fertilizer source, soil type and cultivar on growth performance of sorghum.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Description of the experimental site: The experiment was carried out in a greenhouse of the North-West University Research Farm (25°48'S, 45°38'E, 1012 m.a.s.l) during Autumn and repeated in Spring of 2017 plating seasons. It is located 8 km from the city of Mafikeng in the North-West province of South Africa towards the Botswana Boarder. The mean maximum temperature is 37°C while the mean minimum temperature is 7-11°C. Area is semi-arid tropical and receives summer rainfall with an annual mean of 571 mm¹⁵. Approximately 68% of annual precipitations in this area fall between November and January in relatively few heavy downpours with a pronounced dry season from April to September. The soil at the site belongs to Hutton series according to South Africa soil classification, with sandy loam texture^{15,16}. According to FAO-UNESCO¹⁷ soil of North-West University Research Farm is classified as Ferric luvisol.

Experimental design: The experiment was a $2 \times 2 \times 4$ factorial design fitted into a complete randomised design. Two soil types used were loamy sandy soil and sandy soil. Two sorghum cultivars used was PAN 8826 and PAN 8625 and the 3 nitrogen forms used are ammonium sulphate, urea and LAN and control pots. The two cultivars were planted in each soil type randomly. The experiment was replicated 3 times, in each replicate, there were 16 treatment combinations placed randomly. The total pots in the experiment were 48.

Soil sampling and chemical analysis: The soil was collected from separate locations of the North-West province. The loamy sandy soil was collected at Mafikeng and the sandy soil was collected at Taung. The soil was collected at a depth of 0-15 cm. The soil was sent to ARC-IIC for the analysis of chemical properties (N-NO₃, N-NH₄, Bray 1-P) and physical properties (sand, clay and silt percentage). Part of the soil collected was analysed for other chemical properties such as pH at NWU plant-soil laboratory. To determine pH according to Van Reeuwijk¹⁸ a pH meter readings reproducible to 0.05 pH unit must be used. The laboratory analyses for soil texture, chemical and physical properties before planting are as indicated in Table 1.

 Table 1: Soil chemical and physical properties of loamy sand and sandy soil used during planting

Loamy sand	Sandy	
soil	soil	Method
6.90	6.80	Saturated water paste
3.90	3.75	Extraction 0.1 N K ₂ SO ₄
0.75	0.75	Extraction 0.1 N K ₂ SO ₄
4.00	1.00	Bray 1
76.00	89.00	Texture hydrometer
7.00	2.00	Texture hydrometer
17.00	9.00	Texture hydrometer
	Loamy sand soil 6.90 3.90 0.75 4.00 76.00 7.00 17.00	Loamy sand soil Sandy soil 6.90 6.80 3.90 3.75 0.75 0.75 4.00 1.00 76.00 89.00 7.00 2.00 17.00 9.00

Planting and planting method: The 1st experiment was conducted during autumn, 2017. The 2nd experiment was conducted during spring, 2017. The 48 pots were filled with 5 kg of different soil types. The numbers of seeds sown per pot were 3 seeds. The planting depth of seeds in sandy and loamy sandy soil was 5 cm. The quantity of water to be applied was 500 mL, 3 times/week using a measuring cylinder.

Cultural practices: After emergence plants were thinned to maintain 1 plant/pot. In the case where germination did not occur, replanting was done. Due to similarities at early stages of the plants, thinning was delayed to properly identify the true plant. Weeding was done by hands to control weeds in each pot. The quantity of the irrigation water was measured each time when irrigating the pots.

Fertilizer application: Different forms of nitrogen fertilizer were applied based on the recommended application during planting. The rate of nitrogen forms that was applied in pots was 120 kg ha⁻¹. However, the amount of nitrogen forms applied in each pot was calculated and reduced to grams since well its pot trail. Calculations were done according to the soil type and the results analysed for each soil type at the ARC-IIC. Phosphorus fertilizer was applied in the soil where there was insufficient amount based on the laboratory soil analysis.

Data collection: The plant height was measured from the base of the stem to the tip of the highest leaf using a measuring tape. Stem diameter was measured by an aid of an instrument called Vernier calliper. Chlorophyll was measured using the chlorophyll meter. The measurement will be taken in the middle of a fully developed leave. Leaf area was measured from the length and width of the leaf¹⁹ $(L \times W) \times 0.75$. Number of fully developed leaves was counted from sorghum plants until the appearance of panicle. The roots were separated from the shoots by cutting them, then washed thoroughly. Length was measured using a measuring tape and mass was measured using a scale. The roots were dried for 72 h in temperatures of 70°C.

Statistical analysis: The analysis of variance was performed using Genstat, 11th edition²⁰. The least significant difference (LSD) was used to separate the means. The probability level of <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

Plant height: According to analysis of variance, nitrogen fertilizer source, soil type and cultivar had no significant effect (p>0.05) on sorghum plant height (Table 2). Season had significant effect (p<0.001) on sorghum plant height. Based on LSD sorghum planted during spring had significantly higher plant height of 72.0 cm than other season. The interactions of treatment factors had no significant effect (p>0.05) on sorghum plant height.

Stem diameter: Analysis of variance showed that, soil type, cultivar and season had no significant effect (p>0.05) on sorghum stem diameter (Table 2). Nitrogen fertilizer source had significant effect (p<0.001) on sorghum stem diameter. Stem diameter of sorghum fertilized with ammonium sulphate, LAN and urea had significantly larger stem diameter of 1.07 cm than control. The interaction of nitrogen fertilizer source × cultivar × soil type had significant effect (p<0.001) on sorghum stem diameter. The interaction of nitrogen fertilizer source × cultivar had significant effect (p<0.001) on sorghum stem diameter.

Fresh root mass: Based on analysis of variance, cultivar, soil type and season had no significant effect (p>0.05) on sorghum fresh root mass (Table 2). Nitrogen fertilizer source had significant effect (p = 0.01) on sorghum fresh root mass. Sorghum fertilized with ammonium sulphate, LAN and urea had significantly higher fresh root mass of 50.6, 52.5 and 52.8 g, respectively than control. The interaction of nitrogen fertilizer source × season had significant effect (p = 0.004) on sorghum fresh root mass.

Number of leaves/plant: Analysis of variance indicated that, nitrogen fertilizer source and soil type had no significant effect (p>0.05) on number of leaves/plant (Table 3). Cultivar had significant effect (p = 0.002) on sorghum number of leaves. Sorghum cultivar, PAN 8816 had significantly higher number of leaves of 5.92 than other cultivar. Analysis of variance also indicated that, season had significant effect (p<0.001) on sorghum number of leaves. Sorghum number of leaves. Sorghum number of leaves for the season had significant effect (p<0.001) on sorghum number of leaves. Sorghum planted during spring had significantly higher number of leaves of 6.33 than other season. The interactions of treatment factors had no significant effect (p>0.05) on number of leaves/plant.

Table 2: Effect of nitrogen fertilizer source, cultivar, soil type and season on sorghum plant height (cm), stem diameter (cm) and fresh root mass (g/plant)

Treatments	Plant height	Stem diameter	Fresh root mass	
Nitrogen sources				
Control	62.8ª	0.49 ^b	33.9b	
Ammonium sulphate	63.4ª	1.07ª	50.6ª	
LAN	62.1ª	1.07ª	52.5ª	
Urea	62.9ª	1.07ª	52.8ª	
LSD _(0.05)	5.69	0.12	10.58	
Cultivar				
PAN 8625	61.3ª	0.91ª	45.2ª	
PAN 8816	64.3ª	0.93ª	49.2ª	
LSD _(0.05)	4.02	0.08	7.48	
Soil type				
Loamy sandy	64.5ª	0.94ª	49.4ª	
Sandy	61.2ª	0.92ª	45.4ª	
LSD (0.05)	4.02	0.08	7.48	
Season				
Autumn	53.6 ^b	0.92ª	47.4ª	
Spring	72.0ª	0.92ª	47.4ª	
LSD _(0.05)	4.02	0.08	4.48	

Means followed by the same letter in each column are not significantly different $(p \le 0.05)$

Table 3: Effect of nitrogen fertilizer source, cultivar, soil type and season on sorghum number of leaves/plant, leaf area (cm²) and leaf chlorophyll content

Treatments	Number of leaves	Leaf area	Chlorophyll content
Nitrogen sources			
Control	5.54ª	178.4 ^{bc}	6.83 ^b
Ammonium sulphate	5.63 ^{ab}	162.50 ^c	14.28ª
LAN	5.38 ^{ab}	217.90ª	14.25ª
Urea	5.92 ^b	233.80ª	12.70ª
LSD (0.05)	0.53	38.09	3.28
Cultivar			
PAN 8625	5.31 ^b	203.60ª	11.69ª
PAN 8816	5.92ª	192.70ª	12.34ª
LSD _(0.05)	0.38	26.93	2.32
Soil type			
Loamy sandy	5.75ª	201.60ª	12.17ª
Sandy	5.48ª	194.70ª	11.85ª
LSD _(0.05)	0.38	26.93	2.32
Season			
Autumn	4.90 ^b	198.10ª	12.06ª
Spring	6.33ª	198.10ª	11.96ª
LSD (0.05)	0.38	26.93	2.32

Means followed by the same letter in each column are not significantly different $(p \leq 0.05)$

Leaf area: Based on analysis of variance, cultivar, soil type and season had no significant effect (p>0.05) on sorghum leaf area (Table 3). Nitrogen fertilizer source had significant effect (p = 0.001) on sorghum leaf area. Leaf area of sorghum fertilized with LAN and urea had significantly larger leaf area of 217.9 and 233.8 cm², respectively that leaf area of sorghum fertilized with other nitrogen fertilizer sources. Interaction of nitrogen form× season had significant effect (p<0.001) on sorghum leaf area.

Leaf chlorophyll content: According to analysis of variance, cultivar, soil type and season had no significant effect (p>0.05) on leaf chlorophyll content (Table 3). Nitrogen fertilizer source had significant effect (p = 0.001) on sorghum leaf chlorophyll content. Sorghum fertilized with ammonium sulphate, LAN and urea had significantly higher leaf chlorophyll content of 14.28, 14.25 and 12.70 respectively than control. The interaction of nitrogen form×season had significant effect (p = 0.010) on the chlorophyll content of sorghum leaves.

DISCUSSION

Sorghum planted in spring produced higher plant height and number of leaves/plant in this study. This might be attributed to favourable temperatures for sorghum growth during spring season. This result agreed with similar findings by Hatfield and Prueger²¹, who reported that vegetative development increases as temperatures rise to the species optimum level. It was further reported that vegetative development usually has a higher optimum temperature than for reproductive development.

Sorghum fertilized with nitrogen sources, ammonium sulphate, LAN and urea had large stem diameter, higher fresh root mass and leaf chlorophyll content. All three sources of nitrogen in this study showed positive influence on the selected measured parameters. The large stem diameter under nitrogen fertilizer sources corroborated with the findings by Carpici *et al.*²², who reported that stem diameter of cereal crop increased under nitrogen fertilizer application. Sebetha and Modi²³ also reported that nitrogen fertilization had significant influence on the growth of cereal crop. According to studies conducted by Yoder and Pettigrew-Crosby²⁴ ammonium sulphate contributed more to chlorophyll content of corn compared to nitrogen form urea.

Cultivar had an influence on number of leaves per sorghum plant. The higher number of leaves/plant of cultivar PAN 8816 might be attributed to genetic makeup of the cultivar. This observation corroborates the findings by Meena and Mann²⁵, who reported that the differential behaviour of sorghum varieties in respect of growth parameters could have explained by the variation in the genetic constitution of the cultivar. This shows that PAN 8816 thrive best under higher temperatures of spring better than late maturing cultivars of PAN 8625. According to PANNAR²⁶, it was revealed that PAN 8816 has wide adaptability to environments whether planted early or late in the season. The soil type in this study did not influence on the sorghum growth parameters measured. The interaction of nitrogen fertilizer source×season contributed significantly to the growth of sorghum and affected leaf area, leaf chlorophyll content and fresh root mass.

CONCLUSION

In this study, nitrogen fertilizer irrespective of source responded positively in terms of stem diameter and leaf chlorophyll content. In terms of sorghum leaf area, two sources of nitrogen (LAN and urea) responded positively as compared to ammonium sulphate. Sorghum cultivar PAN 8816 performed well in terms of leaf production per plant. This study indicated that, sorghum should be planted in spring to obtain higher plant height and number of leaves/plant. Soil type in this study did not have any influence on the measured sorghum growth parameters.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

This study discovered that, sorghum cultivar PAN 8816 is well performing cultivar in terms of growth performance. Nitrogen fertilizer irrespective of source is important on growth performance of sorghum under different soil types. This study also indicated that, spring should be considered as a good season for sorghum planting.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to the Department of Crop Science at North-West University, Mafikeng for funding the project.

REFERENCES

- Ejeta, G. and J.E. Knoll, 2007. Marker-Assisted Selection in Sorghum. In: Genomic-Assisted Crop Improvement, Vol. 2: Genomics Applications in Crops, Varshney, R.K. and R. Tuberosa (Eds.). Springer, New York, USA., ISBN: 978-1-4020-6297-1, pp: 187-205.
- Wu, G., S.K. Johnson, J.F. Bornman, S.J. Bennett, V. Singh, A. Simic and Z. Fang, 2016. Effects of genotype and growth temperature on the contents of tannin, phytate and *in vitro* iron availability of sorghum grains. PLoS ONE, Vol. 11. 10.1371/journal.pone.0148712.
- Tack, J., J. Lingenfelser and S.K. Jagadish, 2017. Disaggregating sorghum yield reductions under warming scenarios exposes narrow genetic diversity in US breeding programs. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA., 114: 9296-9301.
- 4. Simarmata, M., M.F. Barchia and S.N. Simatupang, 2017. Prospect for growing sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) at marginal dry land in coastal area retrieved with organic soil amendments. Asian J. Crop Sci., 9: 118-124.
- Tolk, J.A., T.A. Howell, J.L. Steiner and S.R. Evett, 1997. Grain sorghum growth, water use and yield in contrasting soils. Agric. Water Manage., 35: 29-42.

- Hamza, A. and E.A. Akinrinde, 2016. Response of Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L.) to residual phosphate in soybean-Sorghum and maize-Sorghum crop rotation schemes on two contrasting Nigerian alfisols. Int. J. Agron., Vol. 2016. 10.1155/2016/6945024.
- Olugbemi, O. and Y.A. Ababyomi, 2016. Effects of nitrogen application on growth and ethanol yield of sweet sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench] varieties. Adv. Agric., Vol. 2016. 10.1155/2016/8329754
- Gasim, S.A., 2001. Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and seed rate on growth, yield and quality of forage maize (*Zea mays* L.). M.Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Khartoum, Sudan.
- Amin, M.E.M.H., 2011. Effect of different nitrogen sources on growth, yield and quality of fodder maize (*Zea mays* L.). J. Saudi Soc. Agric. Sci., 10: 17-23.
- Kalifa, M.A., E.S. Shokr, R.M. Abdella and A.A. Ismail, 1981. Effect of time of nitrogen application on an open-pollinated variety of corn (*Zea mays* L.). Ann. Agric. Sci., 15: 23-30.
- 11. Kansas State University, 1998. Grain sorghum production handbook. Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service, Manhattan, KS., USA., May 1998. http://www.ksre.ksu.edu/bookstore/pubs/ c687.pdf
- Hadebe, S.T., T. Mabhaudhi and A.T. Modi, 2017. Water use of sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) in response to varying planting dates evaluated under rainfed conditions. Water SA, 43: 91-103.
- 13. Allen, R.R. and J.T. Musick, 1993. Planting date, water management and maturity length relations for irrigated grain sorghum. Trans. ASAE, 36: 1123-1129.
- Ajeigbe, H.A., F.M. Akinseye, K. Ayuba and J. Jonah, 2018. Productivity and water use efficiency of sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench] grown under different nitrogen applications in Sudan Savanna zone, Nigeria. Int. J. Agron., Vol. 2018. 10.1155/2018/7676058.
- 15. Kasirivu, J.B.K., S.A. Materechera and M.M. Dire, 2011. Composting ruminant animal manure reduces emergence and species diversity of weed seedlings in a semi-arid environment of South Africa. S. Afr. J. Plant Soil, 28: 228-235.
- 16. Molope, M.B., 1987. Common soils in the Molopo district. Tikilogo, 1: 65-76.
- 17. FAO-UNESCO., 1994. FAO-UNESCO soil map of the world. Technical Paper No. 20, FAO/Rome and ISRIC/Wageningen, The Netherlands.
- Van Reeuwijk, L.P., 2002. Procedures for Soil Analysis. 6th Edn., International Soil Reference and Information Centre, Wageningen, The Netherlands, ISBN: 90-6672-044-1, Pages: 120.
- 19. Otegui, M.E., M.G. Nicolini, R.A. Ruiz and P.A. Dodds, 1995. Sowing date effects on grain yield components for different maize genotypes. Agron. J., 87: 29-33.

- 20. GenStat, 2008. GenStat for Windows. 11th Edn., VSN International Ltd., Hemel Hempstead, UK.
- 21. Hatfield, J.L. and J.H. Prueger, 2015. Temperature extremes: Effect on plant growth and development. Weather Clim. Extremes, 10: 4-10.
- 22. Carpici, E.B., N. Celik and G. Bayram, 2010. Yield and quality of forage maize as influenced by plant density and nitrogen rate. Turk. J. Field Crops, 15: 128-132.
- 23. Sebetha, E. and A. Modi, 2017. Maize growth in response to cropping system, site and nitrogen fertilization. Romanian Agric. Res., 34: 311-318.
- 24. Yoder, B.J. and R.E. Pettigrew-Crosby, 1995. Predicting nitrogen and chlorophyll content and concentrations from reflectance spectra (400-2500 nm) at leaf and canopy scales. Remote Sens. Environ., 53: 199-211.
- 25. Meena, L. and J. Mann, 2007. Response of forage sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) varieties to different levels of nitrogen with and without farm manure in a semi-arid region. Int. J. Trop. Agric., 25: 105-109.
- 26. PANNAR., 2013. Product catalogue 2013. PANNAR (Pty) Ltd., Greytown, South Africa. http://www.pannar.com/assets/ countries/pannar_sa_PRODUCTCAT_eng.pdf