



Asian Journal of Scientific Research

ISSN 1992-1454

science
alert
<http://www.scialert.net>

ANSI*net*
an open access publisher
<http://ansinet.com>



Research Article

Equivalence of Picard-type Hybrid Iterative Algorithms for Contractive Mappings

Kanayo Stella Eke and Hudson Akewe

Department of Mathematics, Covenant University, Canaan land, KM 10, Idiroko Road, P.M.B. 1023, Ota, Ogun State, Nigeria

Abstract

Background and Objective: Fixed point iterative algorithms are designed to be applied in solving equations arising in physical formulation but there is no systematic study of numerical aspects of these iterative algorithms. The Picard, Mann, Ishikawa, Noor and multi step iterative algorithms are the commonly used iterative algorithms in proving fixed point convergence and stability results of different classes of mappings. The objectives of this study therefore were: (1) To develop a Picard-type hybrid iterative algorithm called Picard-Mann, Picard-Ishikawa, Picard-Noor and Picard-multistep iterative algorithms, (2) Prove equivalence of convergence theorems using these algorithms for a general class of mappings in a normed linear space and (3) Provide numerical examples to justify the applicability of the algorithms. **Materials and Methods:** Analytical method was used to prove the main theorem, while numerical method was to demonstrate the application of the equivalence results. **Results:** Strong convergence, equivalence and numerical results constitute the main results of this study. **Conclusion:** The results obtained from this study showed that the Picard-type hybrid iterative algorithms have good potentials for further applications, especially in terms of rate of convergence.

Key words: Picard-multistep hybrid, convergence theorems, normed linear space, iterative algorithms, equivalence results, rate of convergence, general class of mappings, picard-Ishikawa

Received: August 14, 2018

Accepted: September 17, 2018

Published: June 15, 2019

Citation: Kanayo Stella Eke and Hudson Akewe, 2019. Equivalence of picard-type hybrid iterative algorithms for contractive mappings. Asian J. Sci. Res., 12: 298-307.

Corresponding Author: Kanayo Stella Eke, Department of Mathematics, Covenant University, Canaan land, KM 10, Idiroko Road, P. M. B. 1023, Ota, Ogun State, Nigeria

Copyright: © 2019 Kanayo Stella Eke and Hudson Akewe. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Competing Interest: The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

Data Availability: All relevant data are within the paper and its supporting information files.

INTRODUCTION

The application of fixed point theory play a vital role in many areas of mathematics and some of the methods therein are used in solving problems in various branches of biology, chemistry, economics and other mathematical sciences. The existence of solution in ordinary differential equation has a close link with the fixed point of a given iterative algorithm. The convergence to the fixed point of a given iterative algorithm under some contractive conditions correspond the solution of the ordinary differential equations. The commonly used iterative algorithms introduced by notable authors in proving the convergence and stability results of different classes of mappings are: Picard¹, Mann², Ishikawa³, Noor⁴ and multistep⁵ iterative schemes.

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a selfmap of X . Assume that $FT = \{p \in X: T_p = p\}$ is the set of fixed points of T . For $a_0 \in X$, the Picard iterative algorithm¹ $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ defined by:

$$a_{n+1} = Ta_n, n \geq 0 \tag{1}$$

has been employed to approximate the fixed points of mappings satisfying the inequality relation:

$$d(Ta, Tb) \leq \delta d(a, b), \delta \in [0, 1) \tag{2}$$

for every $a, b \in X$.

Khan⁶ introduced a different perspective to fixed point iteration algorithms by presenting the Picard-Mann hybrid iterative algorithm for a single non-expansive mapping. It was shown that this type of algorithm is independent of Picard¹, Mann² and Ishikawa³ iterative algorithms since $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are in $(0, 1)$. Furthermore, he proved that the Picard-Mann hybrid algorithm⁶ converges faster than Picard¹, Mann² and Ishikawa³ iterative algorithms in the form of the result of Berinde⁷ for contractions. It also proved strong convergence and weak convergence theorems with the help of his newly introduced iterative process for the class of non-expansive mappings in a general Banach space and applied it to obtain results in a uniformly convex Banach space.

It is worthy to remark here that many researchers have proved useful results on the equivalence of the various iterations, that is, they have shown that the convergence of any of the given iterative algorithm to the unique fixed point of the contractive operator for single mapping T is equivalent to the convergence of the other iterations. Chief among these are the results of Olaleru and Akewe⁸, Solutuz⁹ and Soltuz¹⁰.

However, only very few equivalence results are known of the Picard-type hybrid iterative algorithms. This study will address these areas.

The study of the Khan⁶ is the main motivation of this study. While the Khan⁶ worked on the rate of convergence of Picard-Mann iterative algorithm for non-expansive mappings, so the aim of present study was to prove the equivalence of convergence of Picard-multistep iterative algorithms for contractive mappings. Thus, this study was divided into three phases: Firstly, a Picard-multistep iterative algorithm was developed and a strong convergence result is proved for a general class of contractive mapping. Secondly, shown that the convergence of this Picard-multistep algorithm is equivalent to the convergences of Picard-Noor, Picard-Ishikawa, Picard-Mann and Picard iterative algorithms for the same class of contractive mappings. Finally, with help of numerical examples, the equivalence results were demonstrated to be applicable in the real sense.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Relevant materials from reputable journals are used to identify open problems and possible ways of solving them^{6,8,10}. The research methods employed in this study are both analytical and numerical. The analytical approach is used in proving the main theorem, while the numerical aspect is done in the examples. The following iterative algorithms are useful in proving the main results.

Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space and D a non-empty, convex, closed subset of E and $T: D \rightarrow D$ be a selfmap of D . Let $x_0 \in D$, then, the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= Ty_n^1 \\ y_n^i &= (1 - \alpha_n^i)x_n + \alpha_n^i Ty_n^{i+1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k-2 \\ y_n^{k-1} &= (1 - \alpha_n^{k-1})x_n + \alpha_n^{k-1} Ty_n^{k-2}, n > 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where, $\{\alpha_n^i\} \subset (0, 1)$, $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. Equation 3 is called Picard-multistep hybrid iterative algorithm.

For an initial point $c_0 \in D$, the sequence $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{n+1} &= Tv_n^1 \\ v_n^1 &= (1 - \alpha_n^1)c_n + \alpha_n^1 Tv_n^2 \\ v_n^2 &= (1 - \alpha_n^2)c_n + \alpha_n^2 Tv_n^3 \\ v_n^3 &= (1 - \alpha_n^3)c_n + \alpha_n^3 Tc_n, n > 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where, $\{\alpha_n^1\}, \{\alpha_n^2\}, \{\alpha_n^3\} \subset (0, 1)$. Equation 4 is called Picard-Noor hybrid iterative algorithm.

For an initial point $b_0 \in D$, the sequence $\{b_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} b_{n+1} &= Tw_n^1 \\ w_n^1 &= (1 - \alpha_n^1)b_n + \alpha_n^1 Tw_n^2 \\ w_n^2 &= (1 - \alpha_n^2)b_n + \alpha_n^2 Tb_n, n > 0 \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where, $\{\alpha_n^1\}, \{\alpha_n^2\} \subset (0,1)$. Equation 5 is called Picard-Ishikawa hybrid iterative algorithm.

For any initial point $u_0 \in D$ the sequence $\{b_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} u_{n+1} &= Tz_n^1 \\ z_n^1 &= (1 - \alpha_n^1)u_n + \alpha_n^1 Tu_n, n > 0 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where, $\{\alpha_n^1\} \subset (0,1)$. Equation 6 is called Picard-Mann hybrid iterative algorithm⁶.

It shall now consider some of the contractive mappings useful in proving our main results.

Let E be a normed linear space and D a non-empty, convex, closed subset of E and $T: D \rightarrow D$ be a selfmap of. There exists a real number $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and all $x, y \in D$ such that:

$$\|x, Ty\| \leq \delta \|x-y\| \tag{7}$$

Zamfirescu¹¹ discussed mappings T satisfying the following contractive condition:

$$\|Tx-Ty\| \leq \delta \|x-y\| + 2\delta \|x-Tx\| \tag{8}$$

where, $\delta \in (0,1)$.

Inequality Eq. 8 becomes Eq. 7 if x is a fixed point of T .

Osilike¹² proved several stability results by employing the following contractive definition: For each $x, y \in E$, there exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $L \geq 0$ such that:

$$\|Tx-Ty\| \leq \alpha \|x-y\| + L \|x-Tx\| \tag{9}$$

Imoru and Olatinwo¹³ proved some stability results using the following general contractive definition: For each $x, y \in E$, there exists $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and a monotone increasing function $\varphi: R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ with $\varphi(0) = 0$ such that:

$$\|Tx-Ty\| \leq \delta \|x-y\| + \varphi(\|x-Tx\|) \tag{10}$$

Bosede and Rhoades¹⁴ made an assumption implied by Eq. 7 and one which attempted to put an end to all generalizations of the form Eq. 10. That is if $x = p$ (is a fixed point) then Eq. 10 becomes inequality Eq. 7.

Chidume and Olaleru¹⁵ gave several examples to show that the class of mappings satisfying Eq. 7 is more general than that of Eq. 8-10 provided the fixed point exists. It was proved in Chidume and Olaleru¹⁵ study that every contraction map with a fixed point satisfies inequality Eq. 7 in the following example:

Example 2.1: Let $E = l_\infty, B := \{x \in l_\infty : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ and let $T: E \rightarrow B \subset E$ be defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} Tx &= \frac{11}{12}(0, x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2, L), \text{ if } \|x\|_\infty \leq 1 \\ Tx &= \frac{11}{12\|x\|_\infty^2}(0, x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2, L), \text{ if } \|x\|_\infty > 1 \text{ for } x_0 = (x_1, x_2, x_3, L) \in l_\infty \end{aligned}$$

Then $Tp = p$ if and only if $p = 0$. It compute as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - p\|_\infty &= \frac{11}{12} \|(0, x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2, L)\|_\infty, \text{ if } \|x\|_\infty \leq 1 \\ \|Tx - p\|_\infty &= \frac{11}{12\|x\|_\infty^2} \|(0, x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2, L)\|_\infty, \text{ if } \|x\|_\infty > 1, \text{ So that} \\ \|Tx - p\|_\infty &= \frac{11}{12} \|x\|_\infty^2 \leq \frac{11}{12} \|x\|_\infty, \text{ if } \|x\|_\infty \leq 1 \\ \|Tx - p\|_\infty &= \frac{11}{12} C1, \text{ if } \|x\|_\infty > 1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it obtained that:

$$\|Tx - p\|_\infty = \frac{11}{12} \|x - p\|_\infty$$

for every $x \in l_\infty, p = 0$.

Hence, satisfies contractive condition Eq. 9. But the map T is not a contraction. To see this, take:

$$\delta \geq \frac{220}{192} > 1, \quad x = \left(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, L\right), y = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, L\right)$$

Then:

$$\|x - y\|_\infty = \frac{1}{4}, \|Tx - Ty\|_\infty = \frac{11}{12} \left|0, \frac{5}{16}, \frac{5}{16}, L\right|_\infty = \frac{55}{192}$$

Suppose there exists $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that $\|Tx-Ty\|_\infty < \delta \|x-y\|_\infty$ for every $x, y \in E$, then $\frac{55}{192} \leq \frac{\delta}{4}$ yields $\delta \geq \frac{220}{192} > 1$, a contradiction. So, T is not a contraction map.

Several generalizations can occur in the form of Eq. 9 and 10, even in the form of Zamfirescu operators¹¹ (for example Olaleru and Akewe⁸, Osilike¹², Akewe¹⁶, Akewe and Olaoluwa¹⁷, Akewe *et al.*¹⁸, Berinde¹⁹ and Akewe and Okeke²⁰).

The following lemmas are needed in proving the main results.

Lemma 2.2: Let δ be a real number satisfying $0 \leq \delta < 1$ and $\{\epsilon_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ a sequence of positive numbers such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_n = 0$, for any sequence of positive numbers $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ satisfying $u_{n+1} \leq \delta u_n + \epsilon_n$, $n \geq 0$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = 0$.

Lemma 2.3: Let $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ and $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be non-negative real sequences satisfying the following inequality⁹:

$$a_{n+1} \leq (1-\gamma_n) a_n + e_n$$

where, $\gamma_n \in (0, 1)$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \gamma_n = \infty$, $e_n = 0$ (γ_n). Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Convergence results: In this section, it is proved that the Picard-multistep hybrid iterative scheme converges strongly to the unique fixed point P of T in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1: Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space, D a non-empty, convex, closed subset of E and $T: D \rightarrow D$ a self map satisfying the contractive condition:

$$\|Tx - Tp\| \leq \delta \|x - p\| \tag{11}$$

where, $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in F_T$. For $x_0 \in D$, let $\{x_n\}$ be the Picard-multistep iterative algorithm defined by Eq. 3 and satisfying $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \alpha_n = \infty$ then:

- Fixed point p of T defined by Eq. 11 is unique
- Picard-multistep iterative algorithm Eq. 3 converges strongly to p of T

Proof:

- First, the unique fixed point of the mapping T satisfying the contractive condition Eq. 11 will be proved
Suppose there exist $p_1, p_2 \in F_T$ and that $p_1 \neq p_2$, with $\|p_1 - p_2\| > 0$, then, $(1-\delta)\|p_1 - p_2\| \leq 0$

Since, $\delta \in (0, 1)$, then $1-\delta > 0$ and $\|p_1 - p_2\| \leq 0$. Since norm is non-negative, it follows that $\|p_1 - p_2\| = 0$. That is, $p_1 = p_2 = p$ (say). Thus, T has a unique fixed point p :

- Strong convergence of iterative algorithm Eq. 3 to the fixed point p is proved. Using Eq. 3 and contractive condition Eq. 11, gives:

$$\|x_{n+1} - p\| = \|Ty_n^1 - Tp\| \leq \delta \|Ty_n^1 - p\| \tag{12}$$

From Eq. 12, the following is obtained:

$$\|y_n^1 - p\| \leq (1 - \alpha_n^1) \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n^1 \|Ty_n^2 - Tp\|$$

$$\leq (1 - \alpha_n^1) \|x_n - p\| + \delta \alpha_n^1 \|y_n^2 - p\|$$

$$\leq (1 - \alpha_n^1) \|x_n - p\| + \delta \alpha_n^1 [(1 - \alpha_n^2) \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n^2 \|Ty_n^3 - p\|]$$

$$\leq (1 - \alpha_n^1) \|x_n - p\| + \delta \alpha_n^1 (1 - \alpha_n^2) \|x_n - p\| + \delta^2 \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 \|y_n^3 - p\|$$

$$\leq (1 - \alpha_n^1) \|x_n - p\| + \delta \alpha_n^1 (1 - \alpha_n^2) \|x_n - p\| + \delta^2 \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 (1 - \alpha_n^3) \|x_n - p\| + \delta^3 \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 \alpha_n^3 \|Ty_n^4 - p\|$$

$$\leq (1 - \alpha_n^1) \|x_n - p\| + \delta \alpha_n^1 (1 - \alpha_n^2) \|x_n - p\| + \delta^2 \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 (1 - \alpha_n^3) \|x_n - p\| + \delta^3 \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 \alpha_n^3 \|y_n^4 - p\|$$

$$\leq (1 - \alpha_n^1) \|x_n - p\| + \delta \alpha_n^1 (1 - \alpha_n^2) \|x_n - p\| + \delta^2 \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 (1 - \alpha_n^3) \|x_n - p\| + \dots + \delta^{k-2} \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 \alpha_n^3 \dots \alpha_n^{k-2} \|y_n^{k-1} - p\|$$

$$\leq (1 - \alpha_n^1) \|x_n - p\| + \delta \alpha_n^1 (1 - \alpha_n^2) \|x_n - p\| + \delta^2 \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 (1 - \alpha_n^3) \|x_n - p\| + \dots + \delta^{k-2} \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 \alpha_n^3 \dots \alpha_n^{k-2} [(1 - \alpha_n^{k-1}) \|x_n - p\| + \delta \alpha_n^{k-1} \|x_n - p\|]$$

$$\leq [1 - (1 - \delta)\alpha_n^1 - (1 - \delta)\alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 - (1 - \delta)\delta^2 \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 \alpha_n^3 - \dots - (1 - \delta)\delta^{k-2} \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 \alpha_n^3 \dots \alpha_n^{k-1}] \|x_n - p\| \tag{13}$$

Substituting Eq. 13 into Eq. 12, yields:

$$\|x_{n+1} - p\| \leq \delta [1 - (1 - \delta)\alpha_n^1 - (1 - \delta)\alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 - (1 - \delta)\delta^2 \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 \alpha_n^3 - \dots -$$

$$(1 - \delta)\delta^{k-2} \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 \alpha_n^3 \dots \alpha_n^{k-1}] \|x_n - p\|$$

$$\leq [1 - (1 - \delta)\alpha_n^1] \|x_n - p\| \tag{14}$$

Using the fact that $\delta \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha_n^1 \in (0, 1)$, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - p\| = 0$.

That is, $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ converges strongly to p . This ends the proof.

Theorem 3.1 leads to the following corollary:

Corollary 3.2: Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space, D a non-empty, convex, closed subset of E and $T: D \rightarrow D$ a self map satisfying the contractive condition:

$$\|Tx - Tp\| \leq \delta \|x - p\| \tag{15}$$

where, $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in F_T$. Let $c_0, b_0, u_0 \in D$ and define $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^\infty, \{b_n\}_{n=0}^\infty, \{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ as iterative schemes satisfying Eq. 4, 5 and 6, respectively. Then, the:

- Fixed point p of T defined by Eq. 15 is unique
- Picard-Noor iterative algorithm Eq. 4 converges strongly to p of T
- Picard-Ishikawa iterative algorithm Eq. 5 converges strongly to p of T
- Picard-Mann iterative algorithm Eq. 6 converges strongly to p of T

The convergence of Picard-multistep hybrid algorithm Eq. 3 is proved to be equivalent to the convergences of Picard-Noor, Picard-Ishikawa and Picard-Mann hybrid iterative algorithms for a general class of mapping satisfying Eq. 7, using the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1: Let $E, \|\cdot\|$ be a normed linear space, D a non-empty, convex, closed subset of E and $T: D \rightarrow D$ a self map satisfying the contractive condition

$$\|Tx - Tp\| \leq \delta \|x - p\| \tag{16}$$

where, $\delta \in (0, 1)$ Let p be the unique fixed point of T if $a_0 = u_0$ and define $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty, \{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ as iterative schemes satisfying Eq. 1 and 6, respectively. Then, the following are equivalent:

- Picard-Mann iterative algorithm Eq. 6 converges strongly to p of T
- Picard iterative algorithm Eq. 1 converges strongly to p of T

Proof: It is proved that (i) Implies (ii) Assume $u_n \rightarrow p$ using contractive condition Eq. 16 in 1 and 6, then the following can be obtained:

$$\|u_{n+1} - a_{n+1}\| = \|Tz_n - Ta_n\| \leq \delta \|z_n - a_n\| \tag{17}$$

Also, applying Eq. 16 in 1 and 6, the following is obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n - a_n\| &= \|(1 - \alpha_n^1)u + \alpha_n^1 Tu_n - a_n\| \\ &= \|(1 - \alpha_n^1)u_n + \alpha_n^1 Tu_n - \alpha_n^1 a_n + \alpha_n^1 a_n - a_n\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (1 - \alpha_n^1) \|u_n - a_n\| + \alpha_n^1 \|Tu_n - a_n\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n^1) \|u_n - a_n\| + \alpha_n^1 \|Tu_n - u_n\| + \alpha_n^1 \|u_n - a_n\| \\ &= \|u_n - a_n\| + \alpha_n^1 \|Tu_n - u_n\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \|u_n - a_n\| + \alpha_n^1 \|Tu_n - Tp\| + \alpha_n^1 \|u_n - p\|$$

$$\leq \|u_n - a_n\| + \delta \alpha_n^1 \|u_n - p\| + \alpha_n^1 \|u_n - p\|$$

$$\leq \|u_n - a_n\| + (1 + \delta) \alpha_n^1 \|u_n - p\| \tag{18}$$

Substituting Eq. 18 in 17, gives:

$$\|u_{n+1} - a_{n+1}\| \leq \delta \|u_n - a_n\| + \delta(1 + \delta) \alpha_n^1 \|u_n - p\| \tag{19}$$

Set:

$$\delta = 1 - \lambda, e_n = \delta(1 + \delta) \alpha_n^1 \|u_n - p\|$$

Then, Eq. 19 becomes:

$$\|u_{n+1} - a_{n+1}\| \leq [1 - \lambda] \|u_n - a_n\| + e_n \tag{20}$$

By using Lemma 2.3 in Eq. 20, it follows that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - a_n\| = 0$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n \rightarrow p$ by assumption, then:

$$\|a_n - p\| \leq \|u_n - a_n\| + \|u_n - p\| \rightarrow 0$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$ which implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \rightarrow p$.

It is proved that (ii) implies (i).

Assume $a_n \rightarrow p$:

$$\|a_{n+1} - u_{n+1}\| = \alpha_n \|Ta_n - Tz_n\| \leq \delta \|a_n - z_n\| \tag{21}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_n - z_n\| &= \|a_n - ((1 - \alpha_n^1)u + \alpha_n^1 Tu_n)\| \\ &= \|a_n - \alpha_n^1 a_n + \alpha_n^1 a_n - ((1 - \alpha_n^1)u_n + \alpha_n^1 Tu_n)\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq (1 - \alpha_n^1) \|a_n - u_n\| + \alpha_n^1 \|Tu_n - a_n\|$$

$$\leq (1 - \alpha_n^1) \|a_n - u_n\| + \alpha_n^1 \|Tu_n - Ta_n\| + \alpha_n^1 \|Ta_n - a_n\|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (1-\alpha_n^1) \|a_n - u_n\| + \delta \alpha_n^1 \|a_n - u_n\| + \alpha_n^1 \|Ta_n - Tp\| + \alpha_n^1 \|p - a_n\| \\ &\leq (1-\alpha_n^1 + \delta \alpha_n^1) \|a_n - u_n\| + (1+\delta)\alpha_n^1 \|a_n - p\| \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Substituting Eq. 22 in 21, gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_{n+1} - u_{n+1}\| &\leq \delta[(1-(1-\delta)\alpha_n^1)] \|a_n - u_n\| + \delta(1+\delta)\alpha_n^1 \|a_n - p\| \\ &\leq [(1-(1-\delta)\alpha_n^1)] \|a_n - u_n\| + \delta(1+\delta)\alpha_n^1 \|a_n - p\| \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Set:

$$\lambda_n = (1-\delta)\alpha_n^1, e_n = \delta(1+\delta)\alpha_n^1 \|a_n - u_n\| + e_n \quad (24)$$

By using Lemma 2.3 in Eq. 24, it follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a_n - u_n\| = 0$.

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \rightarrow p$ by assumption, then:

$$\|u_n - p\| \leq \|a_n - u_n\| + \|a_n - p\| \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ which implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n \rightarrow p$.

Therefore, the convergence of Picard-Mann hybrid iterative scheme (6) is equivalent to the convergence of Picard iterative scheme (1) for the class of mapping under consideration. This ends the proof.

Theorem 4.2: Let $E, \|\cdot\|$ be a normed linear space, D a non-empty, convex, closed subset of E and $T: D \rightarrow D$ a self map satisfying the contractive condition:

$$\|Tx - Tp\| \leq \delta \|x - p\| \quad (25)$$

where, $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Let p be the unique fixed point of T . If $u_0 = x_0 \in D$ and define $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty, \{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ as iterative algorithms 6 and 3, respectively. Then, the following are equivalent:

- Picard-Mann hybrid iterative algorithm 6 converges strongly to p of T
- Picard-multistep hybrid iterative algorithm 3 converges strongly to p of T

Proof: First, it is proved that (i) implies (ii): Assume $u_n \rightarrow p$ using contractive condition Eq. 25 in 6 and 3, gives:

$$\|u_{n+1} - x_{n+1}\| = \|Tu_n - Ty_n^1\| \leq \delta \|z_n - y_n^1\| \quad (26)$$

Also, using contractive condition Eq. 25 in 6 and 3, gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n - y_n^1\| &\leq (1-\alpha_n^1) \|u_n - x_n\| + \alpha_n^1 \|Tu_n - Ty_n^1\| \leq (1-\alpha_n^1) \|u_n - x_n\| + \delta \alpha_n^1 \|z_n - y_n^1\| \\ &\leq (1-\alpha_n^1) \|u_n - x_n\| + \delta \alpha_n^1 [(1-\alpha_n^2) \|u_n - x_n\| + \alpha_n^2 \|u_n - Tu_n\| + \delta \alpha_n^2 \|z_n - y_n^3\|] \\ &= (1-\alpha_n^1) \|u_n - x_n\| + \delta \alpha_n^1 (1-\alpha_n^2) \|u_n - x_n\| + \delta \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 \|u_n - Tu_n\| + \delta^2 \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 \|z_n - y_n^3\| \leq (1-\alpha_n^1) \|u_n - x_n\| + \delta \alpha_n^1 (1-\alpha_n^1) \|u_n - x_n\| \\ &\quad + \delta \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 \|u_n - Tu_n\| + \delta^2 \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 (1-\alpha_n^3) \|u_n - x_n\| \\ &\quad + \alpha_n^3 \|u_n - Tu_n\| + \delta \alpha_n^3 \|z_n - y_n^4\| = (1-\alpha_n^1) \|u_n - x_n\| + \delta \alpha_n^1 (1-\alpha_n^2) \|u_n - x_n\| + \delta \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 (1-\alpha_n^3) \|u_n - x_n\| + \delta \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 \|u_n - Tu_n\| \\ &\quad + \delta^2 \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 \alpha_n^3 \|u_n - Tu_n\| + \delta^3 \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 \alpha_n^3 \|z_n - y_n^4\| \\ &\leq (1-\alpha_n^1) \|u_n - x_n\| + \delta \alpha_n^1 (1-\alpha_n^2) \|u_n - x_n\| + \delta^2 \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 (1-\alpha_n^3) \|u_n - x_n\| + \dots + \delta^{k-3} \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 \dots \alpha_n^{k-3} (1-\alpha_n^{k-2}) \|u_n - x_n\| \\ &\quad + \delta \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 \|u_n - Tu_n\| + \delta^2 \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 \alpha_n^3 \|u_n - Tu_n\| + \dots + \delta^{k-4} \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 \dots \alpha_n^{k-3} \|u_n - Tu_n\| + \delta^{k-3} \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 \alpha_n^3 \dots \alpha_n^{k-2} \|u_n - Tu_n\| \\ &\quad + \delta^{k-2} \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 \dots \alpha_n^{k-2} \|u_n - y_n^{k-1}\| \\ &\leq (1-\alpha_n^1) \|u_n - x_n\| + \delta \alpha_n^1 (1-\alpha_n^2) \|u_n - x_n\| + \delta^2 \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 (1-\alpha_n^3) \|u_n - x_n\| + \dots + \delta^{k-3} \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 \dots \alpha_n^{k-3} (1-\alpha_n^{k-2}) \|u_n - x_n\| \\ &\quad + \delta^{k-1} \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 \alpha_n^3 \dots \alpha_n^{k-1} \|u_n - x_n\| + \delta \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 \|u_n - Tu_n\| + \delta^2 \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 \alpha_n^3 \|u_n - Tu_n\| + \dots + \delta^{k-3} \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 \alpha_n^3 \dots \alpha_n^{k-2} \|u_n - Tu_n\| \\ &\quad + \delta^{k-2} \alpha_n^1 \alpha_n^2 \alpha_n^3 \dots \alpha_n^{k-2} \|u_n - Tu_n\| \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Substituting Eq. 27 in 26, yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{n+1} - x_{n+1}\| &\leq \delta [(1-(1-\delta)\alpha_n^1 - (1-\delta)\delta\alpha_n^1\alpha_n^2 - (1-\delta)\delta^2\alpha_n^1\alpha_n^2\alpha_n^3 - \dots - (1-\delta)\delta^{k-3}\alpha_n^1\alpha_n^2\dots\alpha_n^{k-3}\alpha_n^{k-2} - (1-\delta)\delta^{k-2}\alpha_n^1\alpha_n^2\alpha_n^3\dots\alpha_n^{k-2}\alpha_n^{k-1}) \|u_n - x_n\| \\ &\quad + (\delta^2\alpha_n^1\alpha_n^2 + \delta^3\alpha_n^1\alpha_n^2\alpha_n^3 + \dots + \delta^{k-2}\alpha_n^1\alpha_n^2\dots\alpha_n^{k-2}\alpha_n^{k-2} + \delta^{k-1}\alpha_n^1\alpha_n^2\alpha_n^3\dots\delta^{k-2}\alpha_n^{k-1}) \|u_n - Tu_n\| \leq \delta [(1-(1-\delta)\alpha_n^1) \|u_n - x_n\| + \delta^2\alpha_n^1\alpha_n^2 + \delta^3\alpha_n^1\alpha_n^2\alpha_n^3 + \dots \\ &\quad + \delta^{k-2}\alpha_n^1\alpha_n^2\dots\alpha_n^{k-2}\alpha_n^{k-2} + \delta^{k-1}\alpha_n^1\alpha_n^2\alpha_n^3\dots\alpha_n^{k-2}\alpha_n^{k-1}] (1+\delta) \|u_n - p\| \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Set:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n &= (1-\delta)\alpha_n^1, \\ e_n &= [\delta^2\alpha_n^1\alpha_n^2 + \delta^3\alpha_n^1\alpha_n^2\alpha_n^3 + \dots + \delta^{k-2}\alpha_n^1\alpha_n^2\dots\alpha_n^{k-3}\alpha_n^{k-2} \\ &+ \delta^{k-1}\alpha_n^1\alpha_n^2\alpha_n^3\dots\alpha_n^{k-2}\alpha_n^{k-1}](1+\delta)\|u_n - p\| \end{aligned}$$

Then, Eq. 28 becomes:

$$\|u_{n+1} - x_{n+1}\| \leq (1-\lambda_n)\|u_n - x_n\| + e_n \quad (29)$$

By using Lemma 2.3 in Eq. 29, it follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - x_n\| = 0$.

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n \rightarrow p$ by assumption, then:

$$\|x_n - p\| \leq \|u_n - x_n\| + \|u_n - p\| \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ which implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \rightarrow p$.

It is proved that (ii) implies (i). Assume $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \rightarrow p$, then the following is proved:

$$\|x_{n+1} - u_{n+1}\| = \|Ty_n^1 - Tz_n\| \leq \delta \|y_n^1 - z_n\| \quad (30)$$

Also, using contractive condition Eq. 25 in 6 and 3, gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n^1 - z_n\| &\leq (1-\alpha_n^1)\|x_n - u_n\| + \alpha_n^1\|Ty_n^2 - Tu_n\| \\ &\leq (1-\alpha_n^1)\|x_n - u_n\| + \delta\alpha_n^1\|y_n^2 - u_n\| \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Applying, contractive condition Eq. 25 in 6 and 3, gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n^2 - u_n\| &\leq (1-\alpha_n^2)\|x_n - u_n\| + \alpha_n^2\|Ty_n^3 - u_n\| \\ &\leq (1-\alpha_n^2)\|x_n - u_n\| + \alpha_n^2\|Ty_n^3 - x_n\| + \alpha_n^2\|u_n - x_n\| \\ &= \|x_n - u_n\| + \alpha_n^2\|Ty_n^2 - x_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - u_n\| + \alpha_n^2\|Ty_n^2 - Tp\| + \alpha_n^2\|x_n - p\| \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Substituting Eq. 32 in 31, gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n^1 - z_n\| &\leq (1-\alpha_n^1)\|x_n - u_n\| + \delta\alpha_n^1\|x_n - u_n\| \\ &+ \delta\alpha_n^1\alpha_n^2\|x_n - p\| + \delta^2\alpha_n^1\alpha_n^2\|y_n^3 - p\| \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

From Eq. 33:

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n^3 - p\| &\leq (1-\alpha_n^3)\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n^3\|Ty_n^4 - p\| \\ &\leq (1-\alpha_n^3)\|x_n - p\| + \delta\alpha_n^3\|y_n^4 - p\| \\ &\leq (1-\alpha_n^3)\|x_n - p\| + \delta\alpha_n^3[(1-\alpha_n^4)\|x_n - p\| + \delta\alpha_n^4\|y_n^5 - p\|] \\ &= (1-\alpha_n^3)\|x_n - p\| + \delta\alpha_n^3(1-\alpha_n^4)\|x_n - p\| + \delta^2\alpha_n^3\alpha_n^4\|y_n^5 - p\| \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Continuing this process up to (k-2), yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n^{k-2} - p\| &\leq (1-\alpha_n^{k-2})\|x_n - p\| + \delta\alpha_n^{k-2}\|y_n^{k-1} - p\| \\ &\leq (1-\alpha_n^{k-2})\|x_n - p\| + \delta\alpha_n^{k-2}[(1-\alpha_n^{k-1})\|x_n - p\| + \delta\alpha_n^{k-1}\|x_n - p\|] \\ &= (1-\alpha_n^{k-2})\|x_n - p\| + \delta\alpha_n^{k-2}(1-\alpha_n^{k-1})\|x_n - p\| + \delta^2\alpha_n^{k-2}\alpha_n^{k-1}\|x_n - p\| \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Substituting Eq. 35 in 34, gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n^3 - p\| &\leq (1-\alpha_n^3)\|x_n - p\| + \delta\alpha_n^3(1-\alpha_n^4)\|x_n - p\| \\ &+ \delta^2\alpha_n^3\alpha_n^4(1-\alpha_n^5)\|x_n - p\| + \dots \\ &+ \delta^2\alpha_n^3\alpha_n^4\dots\alpha_n^{k-3}\alpha_n^{k-2}(1-\alpha_n^{k-1})\|x_n - p\| \\ &+ \delta^2\alpha_n^3\alpha_n^4\dots\alpha_n^{k-2}\alpha_n^{k-1}\|x_n - p\| \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Substituting Eq. 36 into 33, yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n^1 - z_n\| &\leq (1-\alpha_n^1)\|x_n - u_n\| + \delta\alpha_n^1\|x_n - u_n\| + \delta\alpha_n^1\alpha_n^2\|x_n - p\| \\ &+ \delta^2\alpha_n^1\alpha_n^2[1 - (1-\delta)\alpha_n^3 - (1-\delta)\delta\alpha_n^3\alpha_n^4 - (1-\delta)\delta^2\alpha_n^3\alpha_n^4\alpha_n^5 \\ &- \dots - (1-\delta)\delta^{k-3}\alpha_n^3\alpha_n^4\alpha_n^5\dots\alpha_n^{k-3}\alpha_n^{k-2} \\ &- (1-\delta)\delta^{k-3}\alpha_n^3\alpha_n^4\alpha_n^5\dots\alpha_n^{k-2}\alpha_n^{k-1}]\|x_n - p\| \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Substituting Eq. 37 into 30 and simplifying gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - u_{n+1}\| &\leq \delta[(1 - (1-\delta)\alpha_n^1)\|x_n - u_n\| + \delta^2\alpha_n^1\alpha_n^2\|x_n - p\| \\ &+ \delta^3\alpha_n^1\alpha_n^2[1 - (1-\delta)\alpha_n^3]\|x_n - p\| \\ &\leq (1 - (1-\delta)\alpha_n^1)\|x_n - u_n\| + [\delta^2\alpha_n^1\alpha_n^2 \\ &+ \delta^3\alpha_n^1\alpha_n^2(1 - (1-\delta)\alpha_n^3)]\|x_n - p\| \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Set:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n &= (1-\delta)\alpha_n^1, \\ e_n &= [\delta^2\alpha_n^1\alpha_n^2 + \delta^3\alpha_n^1\alpha_n^2(1 - (1-\delta)\alpha_n^3)]\|x_n - p\| \end{aligned}$$

Then, Eq. 38 becomes:

$$\|x_{n+1} - u_{n+1}\| \leq (1-\lambda_n)\|x_n - u_n\| + e_n \quad (39)$$

By using Lemma 2.3 in Eq. 39, it follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - u_n\| = 0$.

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \rightarrow p$ by assumption, then:

$$\|u_n - p\| \leq \|x_n - u_n\| + \|x_n - p\| \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ which implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n \rightarrow p$.

Therefore, the convergence of Picard-multistep hybrid iterative algorithm 3 is equivalent to the convergence of

Picard-Mann hybrid iterative algorithm 6 for the class of mapping under consideration. This ends the proof.

Theorem 4.2 leads to the following corollaries:

Corollary 4.3: Let $E, \|\cdot\|$ be a normed linear space, D a non-empty, convex, closed subset of E and $T: D \rightarrow D$ a self map with $p \in F_T$ satisfying the contractive condition:

$$\|Tx - Tp\| \leq \delta \|x - p\| \tag{40}$$

where, $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Let p be the unique fixed point of T . If $u_0 = c_0 = b_0 \in D$ and define $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty, \{b_n\}_{n=0}^\infty, \{c_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ as iterative algorithms 6, 5 and 4, respectively. Then, the following are equivalent:

- (a) • Picard-Mann hybrid iterative algorithm $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ 6 converges strongly to p of T
 - Picard-Noor hybrid iterative algorithm $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ 4 converges strongly to p of T
- (b) • Picard-Mann hybrid iterative algorithm $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ 6 converges strongly to p of T
 - Picard-Ishikawa hybrid iterative algorithm $\{b_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ 5 converges strongly to p of T

Proof: The proof of Corollary 4.3 is similar to that of Theorem 4.2. This ends the proof.

Corollary 4.4: Let $E, \|\cdot\|$ be a normed linear space, D a non-empty, convex, closed subset of E and $T: D \rightarrow D$ a self map with $p \in F_T$ satisfying the contractive condition:

$$\|Tx - Tp\| \leq \delta \|x - p\| \tag{41}$$

where, $\delta \in (0,1)$. Let p be the unique fixed point of T . If $u_0 = b_0 = c_0 = x_0 \in D$ and define

$\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty, \{b_n\}_{n=0}^\infty, \{c_n\}_{n=0}^\infty, \{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ as iterative algorithms 6, 5, 4 and 3, respectively. Then, the following are equivalent:

- Picard-Mann hybrid iterative algorithm $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ 6 converges strongly to p of T
- Picard-Ishikawa hybrid iterative algorithm $\{b_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ 5 converges strongly to p of T
- Picard-Noor hybrid iterative algorithm $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ 4 converges strongly to p of T
- Picard-multistep hybrid iterative algorithm $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ 3 converges strongly to p of T

NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

In this section, some numerical examples are constructed to demonstrate the applicability of equivalence of convergence between Picard-multistep hybrid (PMTH) iterative algorithm 3, other Picard hybrid-type [Picard-Noor hybrid (PNH) (4), Picard-Ishikawa hybrid (PIH) (5) and Picard-Mann hybrid (PMH)(6)] and Picard (PD) (1) iterative algorithms with the help of a computer program called PYTHON 2.5.4. The examples are considered for increasing and decreasing functions. The results are shown in Table 1 and 2.

Example of increasing function: Let $T: [6,8] \rightarrow [6,8]$ be defined by $T(x) = \frac{x}{2} + 3$. Then T is an increasing function with fixed point $p = 6.000000$. By taking initial approximation as $x_0 = c_0 = b_0 = u_0 = 7.000000$ and $\alpha_n^i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5n+1}}$, (for $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, k-2$). The equivalence of convergence results to the fixed point $p = 6.000000$ are shown in Table 1.

Example of decreasing function: Let $T: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ be defined by $T(x) = (1-x)^2$. Then T is a decreasing function with fixed point $p = 0.381955$. By taking initial approximation as $x_0 = c_0 = b_0 = u_0 = 7.000000$. And $\alpha_n^i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+4}}$, (for $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, k-2$).

Table 1: Numerical example for increasing function $T(x) = \frac{x}{2} + 3$

PMTH	PNH	PIH	PMH	PD
7.000000	7.000000	7.000000	7.000000	7.000000
6.000013	6.192401	6.313213	6.459671	6.469772
6.000000	6.018812	6.042245	6.136763	6.148764
6.000000	6.000232	6.010524	6.102431	6.123436
6.000000	6.000016	6.000032	6.002324	6.062621
6.000000	6.000000	6.000002	6.000212	6.004231
6.000000	6.000000	6.000000	6.000016	6.000242
6.000000	6.000000	6.000000	6.000001	6.000102
6.000000	6.000000	6.000000	6.000000	6.000013
6.000000	6.000000	6.000000	6.000000	6.000002
6.000000	6.000000	6.000000	6.000000	6.000000

Table 2: Numerical example for decreasing function $T(x) = (1-x)^2$

PMTH	PNH	PIH	PMH	PD
0.700000	0.700000	0.700000	0.700000	0.700000
0.381976	0.582132	0.584209	0.591652	0.592761
0.381955	0.401967	0.438209	0.488394	0.489944
0.381955	0.381961	0.419722	0.428332	0.439283
0.381955	0.381957	0.381969	0.382217	0.384238
0.381955	0.381955	0.381962	0.382011	0.383241
0.381955	0.381955	0.381959	0.381972	0.382463
0.381955	0.381955	0.381955	0.381961	0.382002
0.381955	0.381955	0.381955	0.381956	0.381997
0.381955	0.381955	0.381955	0.381955	0.381958
0.381955	0.381955	0.381955	0.381955	0.381955

The equivalence of convergence results to the fixed point $p = 0.381955$ are shown in Table 2.

- From Table 1, it is observed that for increasing function $T(x) = \frac{x}{2} + 3$, the convergence of Picard-multistep hybrid iterative scheme (3) to the fixed point 6.000000 is equivalent to the convergence of other Picard hybrid-type [Picard-Noor hybrid (PNH)(4), Picard-Ishikawa hybrid (PIH)(5), Picard- Mann hybrid (PMH)(6)] and Picard (1) iterative algorithms to the same fixed point 6.000000
- From Table 2, it is observed that for decreasing function $T(x) = (1-x)^2$ the convergence of Picard-multistep hybrid iterative scheme (3) to the fixed point 0.381955 is equivalent to the convergence of other Picard hybrid-type [Picard-Noor hybrid (PNH) (4), Picard-Ishikawa hybrid (PIH) (5), Picard-Mann hybrid (PMH) (6)] and Picard (1) iterative algorithms to the same fixed point 0.381955

CONCLUSION

The equivalence of convergence of Picard-multistep iterative algorithm was proved analytically and numerically in this study. The numerical examples considered in this paper demonstrated the applicability of the equivalence results obtained. These results showed that the Picard hybrid-type iterative algorithms have good potentials for further applications.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

This study proves significant relationship Picard-multistep iterative algorithm and other Picard-type [Picard-Noor hybrid (4), Picard-Ishikawa hybrid (5), Picard-Mann hybrid (6)] iterative algorithms. Particularly, the new significance of this research is the applicability of the equivalence results through numerical examples which has not been paid enough attention.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are Thankful to Covenant University for financially supporting this research study.

REFERENCES

- Picard, E., 1893. Sur l'application des methodes d'approximations successives a l'etude de certaines equations differentielles ordinaires. J. Math. Pures Appli., 9: 217-272.
- Mann, W.R., 1953. Mean value methods in iteration. Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 4: 506-510.
- Ishikawa, S., 1974. Fixed points by a new iteration method. Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 44: 147-150.
- Noor, M.A., 2000. New approximation schemes for general variational inequalities. J. Math. Anal. Applic., 251: 217-229.
- Rhoades, B.E. and S.M. Soltuz, 2004. The equivalence between Mann-Ishikawa iterations and multistep iteration. Nonl. Anal.: Theory Methods Applic., 58: 219-228.
- Khan, S.H., 2013. A picard-mann hybrid iterative process. Fixed Point Theory Applic. 10.1186/1687-1812-2013-69.
- Berinde, V., 2002. On the stability of some fixed point procedures. Bul. Stiintif. Univ. Baia Mare Ser. B: Matematica-Informatica, 18: 7-14.
- Olaleru, J.O. and H. Akewe, 2011. The equivalence of Jungck-type iterations for generalized contractive-like operators in a Banach space. Fasciculi Mathem., 47: 47-61.
- Soltuz, S.M., 2005. The equivalence of picard, mann and ishikawa iterations dealing with quasi-contractive operators. Math. Commun., 10: 81-88.
- Soltuz, S.M., 2007. The equivalence between Krasnoselskij, Mann, Ishikawa, Noor and multistep iterations. Math. Commun., 12: 53-61.
- Zamfirescu, T., 1972. Fix point theorems in metric spaces. Arch. Mathematik (Basel), 23: 292-298.
- Osilike, M.O., 1995. Stability results for the Ishikawa fixed point iteration procedure. Indian J. Pure Applied Math., 26: 937-945.

13. Imoru, C.O. and M.O. Olatinwo, 2003. On the stability of Picard and Mann iteration processes. *Carpathian J. Math.*, 19: 155-160.
14. Bosede, A.O. and B.E. Rhoades, 2010. Stability of Picard and Mann iteration for a general class of functions. *J. Adv. Math. Stud.*, 3: 23-25.
15. Chidume, C.E. and J.O. Olaleru, 2014. Picard iteration process for a general class of contractive mappings. *J. Niger. Math. Soc.*, 33: 19-23.
16. Akewe, H., 2010. Approximation of fixed and common fixed points of generalized contractive-like operators. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Lagos, Lagos, Nigeria.
17. Akewe, H. and H. Olaoluwa, 2012. On the convergence of modified three-step iteration process for generalized contractive-like operators. *Bull. Math. Anal. Applic.*, 4: 78-86.
18. Akewe, H., G.A. Okeke and A.F. Olayiwola, 2014. Strong convergence and stability of Kirk-multistep-type iterative schemes for contractive-type operators. *Fixed Point Theory Applic.*, Vol. 2014. 10.1186/1687-1812-2014-45.
19. Berinde, V., 2004. On the convergence of the Ishikawa iteration in the class of quasi contractive operators. *Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae*, 73: 119-126.
20. Akewe, H. and G.A. Okeke, 2015. Convergence and stability theorems for the Picard-Mann hybrid iterative scheme for a general class of contractive-like operators. *Fixed Point Theory Applic.*, Vol. 2015. 10.1186/s13663-015-0315-4.