



# International Journal of Botany

ISSN: 1811-9700

**science**  
alert

**ANSI***net*  
an open access publisher  
<http://ansinet.com>

## Performance of Yield and Other Agronomic Characters of Four Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Genotypes under Natural Heat Stress

Muhammad Irfaq, Tila Muhammad, Muhammad Amin and Abdul Jabbar  
Nuclear Institute for Food and Agriculture, P.O. Box 446, Tarnab, Peshawar, Pakistan

**Abstract:** Four wheat genotypes viz., CT-0231, CT-99187, Bakhtawar-92 and Saleem-2000 were planted at NIFA experimental field on four different planting dates in order to test their performance against heat stress. The influence of heat stress was studied on yield and some other agronomic characters viz., days to 50% emergence, days to 50% heading, days to 50% maturity, plant height (cm), Biological yield ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) grain yield (g/plot), grain yield ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ), harvest index (%) and lodging percentage. A gradual decrease in all the parameters was observed as a result of the late planting dates except for number of days taken to 50% emergence which was increased for all the genotypes in correlation with late planting. However, increase in grain yield (g/plot), grain yield ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) and harvest index was observed for all the four genotypes at planting date 2. Which was considered to be the optimum sowing date for the genotypes under consideration

**Key words:** Wheat genotypes, different planting dates, heat stress

### INTRODUCTION

Wheat is the world primer crop in regard to its antiquity, acreage and importance as an international item of food. At present total area under wheat cultivation is 8033.9 thousand hectare with annual yield 19183.3 tons and annual production of 2388  $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ [1]. This yield is far below to those of the leading wheat producing countries in the world (Table 1).

Beside other constraints of low wheat yield in Pakistan, late planting associated with late or terminal heat stress is one of the main reason caused by late picking of cotton and late harvesting of sugarcane, rice and maize crop in different agro climatic zones of Pakistan. Numerous other factors as lack and untimely availability of irrigation water, farm machinery (tractors, seed drills etc.) fertilisers and any rain after harvesting of the preceding crop (sugarcane, rice, cotton etc.) may further delay wheat sowing[2].

Terminal or late heat stress especially during anthesis and grain filling stage of the late planted wheat is considered one of the major environmental factors drastically reducing wheat production throughout most of the wheat growing areas in different agro-ecologies of the country[3].

The effects of late heat stress on yield and other growth parameters have been widely reported. The obvious effects of high temperatures on wheat growth include reduced crop stand, shorter life cycle, reduced tillering, lesser biomass production, reduced head size,

Table 1: Area production and yield of some world leading wheat-producing countries during 2002-2003

| Countries          | Area (ha) | Production (tones) | Yield ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) |
|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| India              | 25000     | 69320              | 2773                          |
| China              | 22040     | 86100              | 3907                          |
| USA                | 21383     | 63590              | 2974                          |
| Russian Federation | 22000     | 34030              | 1547                          |
| France             | 4909      | 30705              | 6255                          |
| Australia          | 12456     | 24078              | 1933                          |
| Canada             | 10467     | 23552              | 2250                          |
| Pakistan           | 8089      | 19210              | 2381                          |
| Turkey             | 9400      | 1900               | 2021                          |

Source: Agriculture Statistics of Pakistan 2002-2003

reduced number of grains/spike, lower grain weight, lower test weight and ultimately reduced grain yield[4]. In Pakistan, wheat yields are quite sensitive to late planting because the late planted wheat is exposed to high temperature in the later part of the growth cycle, generally from flowering to maturity[5]. With shortening of the growth period, the late sown wheat crop is severely damaged by high temperature at reproductive and grain filling stages forcing premature ripening and thus resulting in substantial yield loss and grain quality deterioration[6-8]. Khan[9] reported a yield loss of 42  $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$  per day or 1% loss per day if planting is delayed from 10th November until 10th January. Genetic variability regarding tolerance to high temperature does exist among existing wheat germplasm. Kanani and Jadon[10] assessed 110 genotypes of bread wheat in India and found 18 genotypes including Hindi-62, C-306, K-65 and NP-876 to be suitable for growing under high temperature.

**Corresponding Author:** Dr. Muhammad Amin, Nuclear Institute for Food and Agriculture,  
P.O. Box 446, Tarnab, Peshawar, Pakistan  
Fax: +92 912964059

Hue and Rajaram<sup>[11]</sup> studied 16 bread wheat genotypes for two years in the late planting and one year under normal planting at Ciudad, Obregon, Sonora. They concluded that grains/spike, biomass, harvest index and test weight could be considered potential selection criteria for grain yield under high temperature.

In light of the yield losses and low grain quality caused by heat stress in wheat as a result of late planting, the present study was organized in order to select genotypes best studied for heat stress, under late planting conditions.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experimental was conducted at NIFA experimental field Tarnab, Peshawar during rabi 2003-2004. Four wheat genotypes viz., CT-00231, CT-99187, Bakhtawar-92 and saleem-2000 were planted at four different sowing dates (10-11-2003, 21-11-2003, 08-12-2003 and 22-12-2003) in four replications using split plot design with main plots for sowing dates and sub plots for genotypes. Thirty grams seeds were planted in four rows per entry with plot size of  $2.5 \times 1.2 = 3 \text{ m}^2$ . For data recording of different parameters as days to emergence, days to maturity, plant height, days to heading and 1000 grain weight; ten plants at random were selected from each sub plot. For recording biological yield, grain yield ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) and 1000 grain weight, two central rows of each sub plot were separately harvested. The recorded data were statistically analyzed using computer software MSTAT-C. For significant F ratios, New Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT)<sup>[12]</sup> was applied for comparison among the treatment means.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Days to 50% emergence:** A gradual increase in the number of days to 50% emergence was observed for all the genotypes, in association with delay in sowing. The mean values regarding days to emergence ranged from 8.3-15.0. Maximum increase (87.5%) in days to emergence was observed for CT-99187, CT-00231 and Saleem-2000 while minimum increase (72.2%) was observed for Bakhtawar-92 (Table 2). The present results resemble to those of Micanovic<sup>[13]</sup> who investigated effects of sowing date on several growth parameters in wheat including days to emergence and found that emergence was delayed with late sowing. In general, number of days to emergence was increased for all the genotypes with late sowing. Highly significant differences in the mean values for days to emergence were observed due to sowing dates and genotypes whereas, non significant differences were observed due to interaction between sowing dates and genotypes (Table 3).

**Days to 50% heading:** In general, decrease in days to 50% heading was observed for all the genotypes with delay in sowing (Table 2). The mean values for days to 50% heading were ranged between 90.8-118.5, 91.8-119, 91.5-120.3 and 91.3-120.3 for CT-00231, CT-99187, B-92 and Saleem-2000, respectively (Table 2). Highly significant differences in the mean values for the character under consideration were observed as a result of sowing dates as well as genotypes. Whereas, non-significant differences in the mean values for the character was observed due to interaction between sowing dates and genotypes (Table 3). The present results are in agreement with those of Yadav and Singh<sup>[14]</sup> who also found decrease in days to heading associated with late sowing.

**Days to maturity:** A gradual decrease in days to maturity was observed when sowing was delayed. The range between the mean values for the character was 156.8-128.8, 155.5-128.5, 158.5-128.8 and 157.5-129.5 for CT-00231, CT-99187, B-92 and Saleem-2000, respectively (Table 2). Whereas, the percent decrease in days to maturity for the genotypes was observed to be 18, 17, 19 and 18%, respectively. Highly significant differences in the mean values for days to maturity were observed because of sowing dates as well as the genotypes whereas, the differences in the mean values for interaction between sowing dates and the Genotypes were significant (Table 3). The present results coincide with those of Begum and Saifuzzaman<sup>[15]</sup> who also found enhancement in physiological maturity with delay in sowing. The encasement in physiological maturity was brought by the late heat stress caused by late sowing.

**Plant height (cm):** It is evident from Table 3 that mean values for plant height were decreased for all the genotypes as a result of late sowing. The range between the mean values was 122.7-106.5, 110.3-100, 97.2-80.31 and 99.5-83.4 whereas, the percent decrease in plant height was observed as 13, 9, 17 and 16%, respectively (Table 2). Highly significant differences in the mean values were observed due to sowing dates as well as due to the genotypes whereas, the differences for interaction between sowing dates and genotypes were significant (Table 3). Yadav *et al.*<sup>[16]</sup> also found decrease in plant height with late sowing. It seems to be caused mainly by shortening of life cycle as a result of terminal heat stress associated with late planting.

**Biological yield (kg/plot):** The biological yield (kg/plot) for all the genotypes was reduced with late sowing. The range in the mean values for biological yield was 2.30-01.17, 2.10-1.65, 2.25-1.83 and 1.83-1.80 while the percent decrease in the character was 24.8, 21.4, 18.7 and

Table 2: Effects of various sowing dates on different parameters of four wheat genotypes

| Characters                     | Genotypes    | Sowing dates |            |            |            | Mean   | Range       | % increase | % decrease |
|--------------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|--------|-------------|------------|------------|
|                                |              | 10-11-2003   | 21-11-2003 | 08-12-2003 | 22-12-2003 |        |             |            |            |
| Days to 50% emergence          | CT-00231     | 8            | 11         | 9.8        | 14.8       | 10.9B  | 08-14.8     | 85.0       | 0.0        |
|                                | CT-99187     | 8            | 12         | 10.5       | 15         | 11.4B  | 08-15       | 87.5       | 0.0        |
|                                | Bakhtawar-92 | 9            | 13.3       | 11         | 15.5       | 12.2A  | 09-15.5     | 72.2       | 0.0        |
|                                | Saleem-2000  | 8            | 12.8       | 10         | 14.8       | 11.4B  | 08-14.8     | 85.0       | 0.0        |
|                                | Mean         | 8.3D         | 12.3B      | 10.3C      | 15.0A      | ----   | 8.3-15      | 80.7       | 0.0        |
| Days to 50% heading            | CT-00231     | 118.5        | 110.5      | 99.8       | 90.8       | 104.9B | 90.8-118.5  | 30.5       | 0.0        |
|                                | CT-99187     | 119.0        | 111.3      | 100.8      | 91.8       | 105.7A | 91.8-119    | 29.6       | 0.0        |
|                                | Bakhtawar-92 | 120.3        | 111.5      | 100.5      | 91.5       | 105.9A | 91.5-120.3  | 31.5       | 0.0        |
|                                | Saleem-2000  | 120.3        | 111.5      | 100.5      | 91.3       | 105.9A | 91.3-120.3  | 31.8       | 0.0        |
|                                | Mean         | 119.5A       | 111.2B     | 100.4C     | 91.3D      | ----   | 91.3-119.5  | 30.9       | 0.0        |
| Days to 50% maturity           | CT-00231     | 156.8BC      | 148.0D     | 136.8E     | 128.8F     | 142.6A | 156.8-128.8 | 0.0        | 17.9       |
|                                | CT-99187     | 155.5C       | 146.5D     | 136.5E     | 128.5F     | 141.8B | 156-129     | 0.0        | 17.3       |
|                                | Bakhtawar-92 | 158.5A       | 147.5D     | 137.5E     | 128.8F     | 143.1A | 158-128     | 0.0        | 19.0       |
|                                | Saleem-2000  | 157.5AB      | 147.0D     | 137.8E     | 129.5F     | 142.9A | 157-129     | 0.0        | 17.8       |
|                                | Mean         | 157.1A       | 147.3B     | 137.1C     | 128.9D     | ----   | 157-129     | 0.0        | 17.8       |
| Plant height (cm)              | CT-00231     | 122.7A       | 112.4B     | 104.8DE    | 106.5CD    | 111.6A | 122-106     | 0.0        | 13.1       |
|                                | CT-99187     | 110.3BC      | 101.3EF    | 99.6EF     | 100.0EF    | 102.8B | 110-100     | 0.0        | 9.1        |
|                                | Bakhtawar-92 | 97.2F        | 86.0GH     | 84.4HI     | 80.3I      | 87.0D  | 97-80       | 0.0        | 17.5       |
|                                | Saleem-2000  | 99.5EF       | 90.8G      | 85.4HI     | 83.4HI     | 89.8C  | 100-83      | 0.0        | 17.0       |
|                                | Mean         | 107.4A       | 97.6B      | 93.5C      | 92.5C      | ----   | 107-93      | 0.0        | 13.1       |
| Biological yield (kg/plot)     | CT-00231     | 118.5        | 110.5      | 99.8       | 90.8       | 118.5  | 2.30-1.73   | 0.0        | 24.8       |
|                                | CT-99187     | 119.0        | 111.3      | 100.8      | 91.8       | 119.0  | 2.10-1.65   | 0.0        | 21.4       |
|                                | Bakhtawar-92 | 120.3        | 111.5      | 100.5      | 91.5       | 120.3  | 2.25-1.83   | 0.0        | 18.7       |
|                                | Saleem-2000  | 120.3        | 111.5      | 100.5      | 91.3       | 120.3  | 1.83-1.80   | 0.0        | 1.6        |
|                                | Mean         | 2.12A        | 2.08A      | 2.02A      | 1.75B      | ----   | 2.12-1.75   | 0.0        | 17.5       |
| Grain yield (kg/ha)            | CT-00231     | 4383.5       | 5299.8     | 4150       | 3900       | 4433.3 | 4383-3900   | 0.0        | 11.0       |
|                                | CT-99187     | 4200         | 4533.3     | 4200       | 4516.8     | 4362.5 | 4516-4200   | 0.0        | 7.0        |
|                                | Bakhtawar-92 | 4983.3       | 4483.3     | 4416.8     | 4333.5     | 4554.2 | 4983-4333   | 0.0        | 13.0       |
|                                | Saleem-2000  | 3933.3       | 4900       | 4700.3     | 4100       | 4408.4 | 3933-4100   | 4.2        | 0.0        |
|                                | Mean         | 4375         | 4804.1     | 4366.8     | 4212.6     | ----   | 4804-4213   | 0.0        | 12.3       |
| Harvest index (%)              | CT-00231     | 28.50        | 34.42      | 28.83      | 33.97      | 31.43  | 28.5-33.97  | 19.2       | 0.0        |
|                                | CT-99187     | 30.13        | 34.58      | 31.25      | 41.03      | 34.24  | 30.13-41.0  | 36.1       | 0.0        |
|                                | Bakhtawar-92 | 33.25        | 35.75      | 34.50      | 35.70      | 34.80  | 33.25-35.7  | 7.4        | 0.0        |
|                                | Saleem-2000  | 32.47        | 35.15      | 36.00      | 34.20      | 34.46  | 32.47-34.2  | 5.3        | 0.0        |
|                                | Mean         | 31.09A       | 34.97A     | 32.64A     | 36.22A     | ----   | 31.9-37.97  | 19.0       | 0.0        |
| Lodging percentage at maturity | CT-00231     | 70.0A        | 25.0BC     | 27.5BC     | 15.0C      | 34.4A  | 70-15       | 0.0        | 78.6       |
|                                | CT-99187     | 70.0A        | 15.0C      | 32.5ABC    | 12.5C      | 32.5A  | 70-12.5     | 0.0        | 82.1       |
|                                | Bakhtawar-92 | 25.0BC       | 5.0C       | 20.0BC     | 2.5C       | 13.1B  | 25.0-2.5    | 0.0        | 90.0       |
|                                | Saleem-2000  | 67.5A        | 0.0C       | 60.0AB     | 10.0C      | 34.4A  | 67.5-10     | 0.0        | 85.2       |
|                                | Mean         | 58.1A        | 11.3AB     | 35.0AB     | 10.0B      | ----   | 58.8-10.0   | 0.0        | 83.0       |

Means sharing same alphabets are not significantly different according to DMRT. Capital alphabets represent significance at 5% level of probability

Table 3: Mean square values of analysis of variance (ANOVA) for various plant characters in wheat genotypes planted at different sowing dates

| S.O.V         | D.F | Days to emergence     | Days to heading       | Days to maturity     | Plant height (cm)    | Biological yield (kg/plot) | Grain yield (kg/ha)   | Harvest index (%)    | Lodging percentage at maturity |
|---------------|-----|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| Replication   | 3   | 0.224                 | 1.063                 | 0.641                | 6.605                | 0.099                      | 722414                | 3.567                | 876.56                         |
| Dates (A)     | 3   | 132.141 <sup>HS</sup> | 2431.27 <sup>HS</sup> | 2395.3 <sup>HS</sup> | 735.58 <sup>HS</sup> | 0.444 <sup>HS</sup>        | 1033915 <sup>NS</sup> | 85.001 <sup>NS</sup> | 8318.23 <sup>HS</sup>          |
| Error (a)     | 9   | 0.168                 | 3.160                 | 3.863                | 8.85                 | 0.054                      | 457561                | 28.27                | 1140.45                        |
| Genotypes (B) | 3   | 4.724 <sup>HS</sup>   | 3.854 <sup>HS</sup>   | 5.599 <sup>HS</sup>  | 2116.6 <sup>HS</sup> | 0.156 <sup>S</sup>         | 107142 <sup>NS</sup>  | 38.91 <sup>NS</sup>  | 1714.06 <sup>HS</sup>          |
| (AXB)         | 9   | 0.613 <sup>NS</sup>   | 0.563 <sup>NS</sup>   | 1.543 <sup>S</sup>   | 14.28 <sup>S</sup>   | 0.087 <sup>NS</sup>        | 601178 <sup>NS</sup>  | 22.29 <sup>NS</sup>  | 689.06 <sup>NS</sup>           |
| Error (b)     | 36  | 0.377                 | 0.316                 | 0.668                | 6.67                 | 0.054                      | 384910                | 20.13                | 366.15                         |
| Total         | 63  | 138.247               | 2440.226              | 2407.614             | 2888.58              | 0.45                       | 3307120               | 198.168              | 13104.51                       |

<sup>S</sup> = Significant at 5% level of probability, <sup>HS</sup> = Significant at 1% level of probability, <sup>NS</sup> = Non-significant

1.6% for CT-00231, CT-99187, B-92 and Saleem-2000, respectively (Table 2). Highly significant differences in the mean values were observed as a result of different sowing dates as well as genotypes. However, the differences in the mean values because of interaction between sowing dates and genotypes were non-significant (Table 3). Since biological yield is directly correlated with the grain yield, therefore, the present results in regard to biological yield are in support to those of Reynolds *et al.*<sup>[6]</sup> and Midmore *et al.*<sup>[7]</sup> who also found

reduction in grain yield as a result of the terminal heat stress associated with late sowing.

**Grain yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>):** Mean values regarding grain yield reveal that yield of all the genotypes was increase when planted on 21st October except for B-92 which was decreased by 10% in comparison to that of early planting data (10th October). It reveals that 21st October is the optimum sowing date for all the genotypes except B-92 and the range between the mean values regarding grain

yield was recorded to be 4338-3900, 4516-4200, 4983-4333 and 3933-4100 in comparison between early sowing date 10th October and late sowing date 22nd December for CT-0231, CT-99187, B-92 and Saleem-2000, respectively. The percent decrease in grain yield was 11, 07 and 13 for CT-0231 and B-92, respectively while the percent increase in grain yield was recorded as 4.2 for Saleem-2000, only Table 2. In general, grain yield was declined with delay in sowing. Non-significant differences in the mean values for grain yield were observed due to sowing dates, genotypes and interaction between genotypes and sowing dates (Table 3). Loss of grain yield due to late sowing has also been reported by Cooper<sup>[17]</sup> and Naik *et al.*<sup>[18]</sup>.

**Harvest index:** In general, mean values regarding harvest index were gradually increased for all the genotypes as a results of late sowing. Maximum increase in harvest index was observed for all the genotypes when sown on 21st October which is seemed to be the optimum sowing date for all the genotypes. The range between the mean values for harvest index was 28.5-33.97, 30.13-41.0, 33.25-35.7 and 32.47-34.20 whereas, the percent increase was 19.2, 36.1, 7.4 and 5.3% for CT-00231, CT-99187, B-92 and Saleem-2000, respectively (Table 2). Non-significant differences in the mean values were found as a result of dates of sowing, genotypes and interaction between dates and genotypes (Table 3).

**Lodging percentage:** Mean values regarding lodging percentage were decreased in association with late sowing. It was probably because of the decrease in plant height in correlation with late sowing. However, maximum decrease in lodging percentage for all the genotypes was observed when they were sown on 21st October (Table 2). Highly significant differences in the mean values for lodging percentage were observed as a result of sowing dates as well as genotypes whereas, the differences in the mean values for interaction between different sowing dates and genotypes were significant (Table 3).

#### REFERENCES

1. Anonymous, 2003. Agriculture Statistic of Pakistan.
2. Byerlee, D., P. Hobbs, B.R. Khan, A. Majid, M.R. Akhtar and N.I. Hashmi, 1986. Increasing wheat productivity system: A view from the farmer's field. PARC News, 7: 21-23.
3. Reynolds, M.P., M. Balota, M.I.B. Delgado, I. Amani and R.A. Fischer, 1994. Physiological and morphological traits associated with spring wheat yields under hot, irrigated conditions. Aust. J. Plant Physiol., 21: 717-30.
4. Rajaram, S., 1988. Breeding and Tasting Strategies to Develop Wheat for Rice-Wheat Rotation Areas. Klatt, A.R., (Ed.), Wheat Production Constraints in Tropical Environments. Mexico, D.F.: CIMMYT, pp: 187-196.
5. Hobbs, P., 1985. Regional and in-country programs. CIMMYT Report on Wheat Improvement 1985-86. 1988. Mexico, D.F., CIMMYT, pp: 284-296.
6. Reynolds, M.P., S. Nagarajan, M.A. Razzaque and O.A.A. Ageeb, 1997. Using canopy temperature depression to select for yield potential of wheat in heat-stressed environments. Wheat Special Report No. 42. Mexico, D.F.: CIMMYT.
7. Midmore, D.J., P.M. Cartwright and R.A. Fisher, 1984. Wheat in tropical environments II. Crop growth and grain yield. Field Crop Res., 8: 207-227.
8. Shipler, L. and H. Blum, 1986. Differential reaction of wheat cultivars to hot environments. Euphytica, 35: 483-392.
9. Khan, M.A., 2003. Wheat Crop management for yield maximization. Pakistan, 22: 128-134.
10. Kanani, P.K. and B.S. Jadon, 1985. Variability for high temperature tolerance in bread wheat. Ind. J. Agric. Sci., 55: 63-66.
11. Hu, H.Z. and S. Rajaram, 1994. Differential responses of bread wheat characters. Euphytica, 66: 830-835.
12. Leclarg, R.L., W.H. Leonard and H.G. Clark, 1962. Field Plot Techniques. 2nd Edn., Burgess Publish, Co. South Minnesota, pp: 144-146.
13. Micanovic, D., 1994. Effect of sowing date on the length of growing stages of wheat. Savremena Poljoprivreda (Yugoslavia), 42: 165-169. (Literature Update on Wheat, Barley and Triticale, 1: 24-28; 1995.
14. Yadav, M.S. and I. Singh, 1988. Combining ability analysis over environments in spring wheat. Wheat Infor. Service, Japan, 67: 21-24.
15. Begum, F. and M. Saifuzzaman, 1987. Studies on the grain development of wheat as affected by dates of sowing. Proc. Ann. Bangladesh Sci. Conf., Dhaka, Bangladesh BAAS, pp: 25.
16. Yadav, M.S. and I. Singh, S.K. Sharma and K.P. Singh, 1988. Combining ability analysis over environments in spring wheat. Intl. J. Trop. Agric., 6: 102-105.
17. Cooper, J.L., 1992. Effect of time of sowing and genotype on the development and grain yield of irrigated wheat in the Macquarie Valley, New South Wales. Aust J. Exp. Agric., 32: 345-353.
18. Naik, P.L., B.A. Patel and K.K. Kalaria, 1991. Response of wheat varieties to sowing date and seed rate. Ind. J. Agron., 36 Suppl: 225-226.