



International Journal of Botany

ISSN: 1811-9700

science
alert

ANSI*net*
an open access publisher
<http://ansinet.com>

Effect of Biofertilizer and Plant Growth Regulators on Growth of Summer Mungbean

¹Muhammad Kamrul Islam, ²Shah Md. Asrafur Islam, ³Md. Harun-or-Rashid,
²A.F.M.G. Faruque Hossain and ²Mohammed Mahamodul Alom
¹Department of Crop Botany, ²Department of Plant Pathology,
Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh
³Breeding Division, Bangladesh Jute Research Institute, Dhaka-1207, Bangladesh

Abstract: The experiment was carried out at the field laboratory of the Department of Crop Botany, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh during the period from March 2002 to June 2002 to evaluate the effect of biofertilizer (*Bradyrhizobium*) and plant growth regulators (GA₃ and IAA) on growth of summer mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.). The experiment was laid out by RCBD with three replications and two factors (variety and treatment). There were altogether 12 treatment combinations. Most of the growth parameters such as number of branches plant⁻¹, number of leaves plant⁻¹, number of effective nodules plant⁻¹, number of non-effective nodules plant⁻¹, root dry weight plant⁻¹, nodule dry weight plant⁻¹ was the height due to the application of biofertilizer (*Bradyrhizobium*). On the other hand, plant height, leave dry weight plant⁻¹, shoot dry weight plant⁻¹ and total dry weight plant⁻¹ was the height due to the application of plant growth regulators (GA₃ and IAA). However, biofertilizer (*Bradyrhizobium*) and plant growth regulators (GA₃ and IAA) showed statistically identical performance on Crop Growth Rate (CGR) and Relative Growth Rate (RGR). In addition, among the mungbean varieties, Binamoog-5 performed better than that of Binamoog-2 and Binamoog-4.

Key words: Biofertilizer, *Bradyrhizobium*, GA₃, IAA, growth, summer mungbean

INTRODUCTION

Mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) is an important pulse crop of global economic importance. It ranks first position in price, fourth in acreage and sixth in production in Bangladesh (BBS, 2001). Mungbean has a special importance in intensive crop production system of the country for its short growing period (Ahmed, 1989). Mungbean covers an area of 55,100 ha and production was about 36,000 metric tons (BBS, 2001). The average yield of mungbean in Bangladesh is about 570 kg ha⁻¹, which is much lower than that of India and some other countries of the world. So use of seed inoculation with effective *Bradyrhizobium* strains and application of plant growth regulators seem to be the most effective way for the cultivation of summer mungbean. Inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium* increased 4.3 to 162% grain yield over uninoculated control in mungbean cultivation (Vaishya *et al.*, 1983) *Bradyrhizobium* can also play an important role in nodule formation and nitrogen fixation. Indole Acetic Acid (IAA) and Gibberellic Acid (GA₃) are the important growth regulators. It was reported that GA₃ stimulate stem elongation and yield (Deotale *et al.*, 1998),

increase dry weight (Hore *et al.*, 1988) as well as grain yield (Maske *et al.*, 1998). Foliar application of IAA also found to be increased plant height, number of leaves plant⁻¹, fruit size with consequent enhancement in seed yield in different crops like groundnut (Lee, 1990), cowpea (Khalil and Mandurah, 1989). In view of above facts, the present research work was designed to evaluate the effect of bio-fertilizer (*Bradyrhizobium*) and plant growth regulators (IAA and GA₃) on the growth parameters of summer mungbean varieties (Barimoog-2, Barimoog-4 and Barimoog-5).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted in the field laboratory of the Department of Crop Botany, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh in 2002. There were four treatments viz., (i) T₁ = Control (untreated), (ii) T₂ = Bio-fertilizer (*Bradyrhizobium*), (iii) T₃ = Indole acetic acid (IAA) and (iv) T₄ = Gibberellic acid (GA₃). Three mungbean varieties viz., (I) V₁ = Barimoog-2, (ii) V₂ = Barimoog-4 and (iii) V₃ = Barimoog-5 were used in the experiment. The land was prepared by three ploughing

followed by laddering. The stubbles were removed from the land. The land was laid out by RCBD with three replications and two factors (variety and treatment). There were altogether 12 treatment combinations. So the total numbers of plots were 36 and the size of unit plot was 2×2 m. The block-to-block and plot-to-plot distance was 1 m. The land was fertilized @ 15 kg ha⁻¹ N, 13 kg ha⁻¹ P and 13 kg ha⁻¹ K, while bio-fertilizer plot received only PK at the same rate. The fertilizers were applied at final land preparation. Seed rate was 25 kg ha⁻¹. Seeds were sown in line sowing method maintaining 3 cm depth. Line to line distance was 25 cm. Liquid *Bradyrhizobium* mix culture were mixed thoroughly with seeds and placed in a cool dry place and sown in the fixed plots. Solution of 600 ppm IAA and 100 ppm GA₃ was sprayed by hand sprayer at 30 days after sowing. First and second weeding and thinning was done at 15 and 35 days after sowing and plant-to-plant distance was maintained 4 cm. Four plants from each plot were sampled for recording growth and dry mater production at 30, 40 and 50 days after sowing. The collected data were statistically analyzed and the treatments mean were compared by DMRT (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of bio-fertilizer and plant growth regulators (IAA and GA₃) on growth of summer mungbean was determined at 30, 40 and 50 days after sowing (Table 1). Bio-fertilizer and plant growth regulators showed significant variation on plant height, number of branches plant⁻¹ and number of leaves plant⁻¹ in all counting dates. At 30 Days After Sowing (DAS), plant height varied from 24.08 to 27.76 cm, where the highest plant height was found in T₂ and the lowest plant height was found in control. But, at 40 and 50 DAS, the highest plant height was found in T₄ and the lowest plant height was found in control. This result is identical to Thakur and Panwar (1995) who found longer plant of *Vigna radiata* by the inoculation of *Bradyrhizobium* strain. Mislevy *et al.* (1989) also found increased height in soybean plant by spraying GA₃. The highest number of branches plant⁻¹ and number of leaves plant⁻¹ were found in T₂ and the

lowest in control at 30, 40 and 50 days after sowing. Similarly, Thakur and Panwar (1995) found increased number of branches plant⁻¹ in *Vigna radiata* by inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium*. Deotale *et al.* (1998) also reported that seed treatment with 100 ppm GA₃ increase number of branches and leaves.

Effect of varieties on growth of summer mungbean was determined at 30, 40 and 50 days after sowing (Table 2). Varieties showed significant variation on plant height, number of branches plant⁻¹ and number of leaves plant⁻¹ in all counting dates. The highest plant height, number of branches plant⁻¹ and number of leaves plant⁻¹ were found in Barimoog-2 variety and the lowest in Binamoog-5 at 30, 40 and 50 days after sowing. It was in agreement with the result of Thakuria and Saharia (1990) who reported that plant height differed among the varieties.

Interaction effect of varieties with bio-fertilizer and plant growth regulators (IAA and GA₃) on growth of summer mungbean was determined at 30, 40 and 50 days after sowing (Table 3). At 30 and 40 DAS, plant heights were not significant. However, at 30 and 40 DAS the highest number of plant heights was found in V₁T₂ and V₁T₄ and the lowest were found in V₃T₁, respectively. But, at 50 DAS, plant height varied significantly and ranged from 34.64 to 47.27 cm, where the highest plant height was found in V₁T₄ and the lowest in V₃T₁. On the other hand, the treatments combination showed significant influence on number of branches plant⁻¹ at 30 DAS, but not in 40 and 50 DAS. At 30 DAS, the highest number of branches plant⁻¹ was found in V₁T₂ and V₃T₂ (1.00), on the contrary, branches did not produce in V₁T₁, V₁T₃, V₁T₄ and V₃T₁. At 40 and 50 DAS, the highest number of branches plant⁻¹ was found in V₃T₂ and the lowest in V₁T₁. Moreover, the treatments combination did not show any significant influence on number leaves plant⁻¹ at 30, 40 and 50 DAS. At 30 DAS, the highest number of leaves plant⁻¹ was found in V₂T₂ and the lowest in V₁T₁ and V₁T₃. At 40 and 50 DAS, the highest number of leaves plant⁻¹ was found in V₃T₂ and the lowest in V₁T₁.

Effect of bio-fertilizer and plant growth regulators (IAA and GA₃) on nodule production, Crop Growth Rate (CGR) and Relative Growth Rate (RGR) of summer

Table 1: Effect of bio-fertilizer and plant growth regulators (IAA and GA₃) on growth of summer mungbean at 30, 40 and 50 days after sowing

Treatments	Plant height (cm)			No. of branches plant ⁻¹			No. of leaves plant ⁻¹		
	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS
T ₁	24.08b	32.35c	38.73c	0.11b	2.16c	2.89c	7.16b	10.66b	12.08b
T ₂	27.76a	35.52b	43.10b	0.83a	2.55a	3.22a	7.66a	11.69a	12.97a
T ₃	24.49b	36.17b	44.04b	0.11b	2.41ab	3.08ab	7.17b	11.11b	12.58a
T ₄	24.53b	38.57a	45.65a	0.11b	2.33bc	3.05b	7.19b	11.08b	12.66a
CV (%)	5.72	4.92	4.43	13.09	8.07	4.76	4.95	5.18	4.58
Level of significance	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	*

NS = Non significant, *Significant at 5% level, **Significant at 1% level, T₁ = Control, T₂ = Bio-fertilizer (*Bradyrhizobium*), T₃ = IAA, T₄ = GA₃

Table 2: Effect varieties on growth of summer mungbean at 30, 40 and 50 days after sowing

Varieties	Plant height (cm)			No. of branches plant ⁻¹			No. of leaves plant ⁻¹		
	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS
Barimoog-2	26.12a	37.50a	44.20a	0.25c	2.20b	2.87b	7.10b	10.62b	12.31b
Barimoog-4	25.45a	36.87a	43.18b	0.29b	2.37a	3.10a	7.33ab	11.29a	12.52ab
Barimoog-5	24.07b	32.59b	41.25c	0.33a	2.52a	3.21a	7.45a	11.50a	12.89a
4.58CV (%)	5.72	4.92	4.43	12.09	8.07	4.76	4.95	5.18	4.58
Level of significance	**	**	**	**	**	**	*	**	*

NS = Non significant, *Significant at 5% level, **Significant at 1% level

Table 3: Interaction effect of varieties with bio-fertilizer and plant growth regulators (IAA and GA₃) on growth of summer mungbean at 30, 40 and 50 days after sowing

Variety × treatments	Plant height (cm)			No. of branches plant ⁻¹			No. of leaves plant ⁻¹		
	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS
V ₁ T ₁	25.07	34.72	41.17d	0.00e	2.00	2.76	6.92	9.58	11.83
V ₁ T ₂	28.26	37.17	43.72bc	1.00a	2.42	3.00	7.58	11.50	12.67
V ₁ T ₃	25.86	37.59	44.66b	0.00e	2.25	2.92	6.92	10.75	12.08
V ₁ T ₄	25.32	40.53	47.27a	0.00e	2.16	2.83	7.00	10.67	12.67
V ₂ T ₁	24.64	33.47	40.39d	0.33c	2.25	2.92	7.25	11.08	12.06
V ₂ T ₂	27.58	36.77	43.28bc	0.50b	2.50	3.25	7.65	11.67	13.00
V ₂ T ₃	24.48	37.73	43.81bc	0.17d	2.42	3.08	7.17	11.16	12.58
V ₂ T ₄	25.12	39.53	45.27b	0.17d	2.33	3.17	7.25	11.25	12.50
V ₃ T ₁	22.53	28.87	34.64e	0.00e	2.25	3.00	7.33	11.33	12.42
V ₃ T ₂	27.44	32.62	42.31cd	1.00a	2.75	3.42	7.75	11.92	13.25
V ₃ T ₃	23.14	33.21	43.65bc	0.17d	2.58	3.25	7.42	11.42	13.08
V ₃ T ₄	23.17	35.67	44.41b	0.17d	2.50	3.17	7.33	11.33	12.83
CV (%)	5.72	4.92	4.43	12.09	8.07	4.76	4.95	5.18	4.58
Level of significance	NS	NS	*	**	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

NS = Non significant, *Significant at 5% level, **Significant at 1% level

T₁ = Control, T₂ = Bio-fertilizer (*Bradyrhizobium*), T₃ = IAA, T₄ = GA₃, V₁ = Barimoog-2, V₂ = Barimoog-4 and V₃ = Barimoog-5

Table 4: Effect of bio-fertilizer and plant growth regulators (IAA and GA₃) on nodulation, Crop Growth Rate (CGR) and Relative Growth Rate (RGR) of summer mungbean

Treatments	No. of effective nodule plant ⁻¹			No. of non-effective nodule plant ⁻¹			Crop Growth Rate (CGR) (g m ⁻² d ⁻¹)	Relative Growth Rate (RGR) (g g ⁻¹ d ⁻¹)
	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS		
T ₁	2.10b	4.66b	3.99b	0.61bc	1.16b	1.31	6.95b	0.042
T ₂	9.33a	11.33a	11.44a	2.88a	3.66a	2.11	8.15a	0.043
T ₃	2.11b	4.21c	3.77b	0.72b	0.99b	1.30	8.74a	0.045
T ₄	2.10b	4.11c	4.22b	0.33c	1.27b	1.17	8.55a	0.043
CV (%)	9.04	6.17	8.54	13.79	10.13	7.28	7.77	4.63
Level of significance	**	**	**	**	**	NS	**	NS

NS = Non significant, *Significant at 5% level, **Significant at 1% level

T₁ = Control, T₂ = Bio-fertilizer (*Bradyrhizobium*), T₃ = IAA, T₄ = GA₃

Table 5: Effect varieties on nodulation, Crop Growth Rate (CGR) and Relative Growth Rate (RGR) of summer mungbean

Varieties	No. of effective nodule plant ⁻¹			No. of non-effective nodule plant ⁻¹			Crop Growth Rate (CGR) (g m ⁻² d ⁻¹)	Relative Growth Rate (RGR) (g g ⁻¹ d ⁻¹)
	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS		
Barimoog-2	3.49c	5.24c	5.16c	0.70c	1.41b	1.34	7.55b	0.045a
Barimoog-4	3.83b	6.08b	5.91b	1.20b	1.70b	1.48	7.33b	0.038b
Barimoog-5	4.41a	6.91a	6.49a	1.49a	2.20a	1.59	9.41a	0.046a
CV (%)	9.04	6.17	8.54	12.79	10.13	7.28	7.77	4.63
Level of significance	**	**	**	**	**	NS	**	**

NS = Non significant, *Significant at 5% level, **Significant at 1% level

mungbean was determined (Table 4). Bio-fertilizer and plant growth regulators showed significant variation on number of effective nodules plant⁻¹ and non-effective nodules plant⁻¹ (except 50 DAS). The highest number of effective and non-effective nodules plant⁻¹ was found in T₂. Similarly, Shangakhara and Marambe (1989)

observed significantly higher nodulation in *Vigna radiata* by inoculating *Rhizobium*. Pandher *et al.* (1991) also observed increasing number of root nodules in *Vigna radiata* cv. ML 131 by using *Rhizobium* strains. Besides, Kavathiya and Pandey (2000) found 69 nodules plant⁻¹ by inoculating mungbean seed with *Rhizobium*. The

Table 6: Interaction effect of varieties, and bio-fertilizer and plant growth regulators (IAA and GA₃) on nodulation, Crop Growth Rate (CGR) and Relative Growth Rate (RGR) of summer mungbean

Variety × treatment	No. of effective nodule plant ⁻¹			No. of non-effective nodule plant ⁻¹			Crop Growth Rate (CGR) (g m ⁻² d ⁻¹)	Relative Growth Rate (RGR) (g g ⁻¹ d ⁻¹)
	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS		
V ₁ T ₁	1.66e	3.66	3.00ef	0.17de	1.00e-f	1.00	6.02f	0.044bcd
V ₁ T ₂	8.33c	10.33	10.66b	2.00b	3.00c	1.75	6.64ef	0.041cde
V ₁ T ₃	2.00de	3.66	2.66f	0.66cd	0.66g	1.50	8.83bc	0.051a
V ₁ T ₄	2.00de	3.33	4.33d	0.00e	1.00d-g	1.13	8.72bcd	0.048ab
V ₂ T ₁	2.33 de	4.66	3.66de	0.66cd	0.83fg	1.45	6.16f	0.036e
V ₂ T ₂	9.33b	11.33	11.33b	3.33a	3.66b	2.33	7.77cd	0.040cde
V ₂ T ₃	2.00de	4.33	4.33d	0.50c-e	1.00d-g	1.15	7.83cd	0.039de
V ₂ T ₄	1.66e	4.04	4.33d	0.33de	1.33d-f	1.00	7.55de	0.036e
V ₃ T ₁	2.33de	5.66	5.33c	1.00c	1.66d	1.50	8.88bcd	0.046abc
V ₃ T ₂	10.33a	12.33	12.33a	3.33a	4.33a	2.25	10.03a	0.049ab
V ₃ T ₃	2.33de	4.66	4.33d	1.00c	1.33d-f	1.25	9.55ab	0.045bcd
V ₃ T ₄	2.66de	5.00	4.00d	0.66cd	1.50de	1.38	9.39ab	0.043bcd
CV (%)	9.04	6.17	8.54	13.79	10.13	7.28	7.77	5.71
Level of significance	*	NS	**	*	*	NS	**	**

NS = Non significant, *Significant at 5% level, **Significant at 1% level

T₁ = Control, T₂ = Bio-fertilizer (*Bradyrhizobium*), T₃ = IAA, T₄ = GA₃, V₁ = Barimoog-2, V₂ = Barimoog-4 and V₃ = Barimoog-5

Table 7: Effect of bio-fertilizer and plant growth regulators (IAA and GA₃) on dry matter production of summer mungbean at 30, 40 and 50 days after sowing

Treatments	Leaf dry weight plant ⁻¹			Root dry weight plant ⁻¹			Shoot dry weight plant ⁻¹			Nodule dry weight plant ⁻¹			Total dry weight plant ⁻¹		
	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS
T ₁	0.87b	2.57b	3.58c	0.06b	0.28c	0.61c	0.29b	1.13b	1.89c	4.57b	5.41b	4.22b	1.24b	4.00c	6.90b
T ₂	1.29a	2.84ab	3.81bc	0.09a	0.36a	0.79a	0.38a	1.25ab	2.30b	7.00a	11.77a	12.33a	1.57a	4.46b	6.91a
T ₃	0.89b	3.23a	3.99ab	0.07b	0.32b	0.68b	0.31b	1.31a	2.56ab	2.01c	4.86b	4.77b	1.28b	4.62ab	7.24a
T ₄	0.88b	3.16a	4.13a	0.06b	0.32b	0.66b	0.29b	1.41a	2.66a	2.14c	4.65b	4.66b	1.25b	4.90a	7.47a
CV (%)	13.63	9.00	7.44	6.76	6.23	7.09	9.02	8.76	7.12	12.11	11.97	10.44	9.41	6.90	9.22
Level of significance	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	*

NS = Non significant, *Significant at 5% level, **Significant at 1% level

T₁ = Control, T₂ = Bio-fertilizer (*Bradyrhizobium*), T₃ = IAA, T₄ = GA₃

Table 8: Effect of varieties on dry matter production of summer mungbean at 30, 40 and 50 days after sowing

Varieties	Leaf dry weight plant ⁻¹ (g)			Root dry weight plant ⁻¹ (g)			Shoot dry weight plant ⁻¹ (g)			Nodule dry weight plant ⁻¹ (g)			Total dry weight plant ⁻¹ (g)		
	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS
Barimoog-2	0.83b	2.57b	3.65b	0.06b	0.27c	0.59c	0.25c	0.99b	1.87b	3.56b	5.78b	5.50b	1.18b	3.86b	6.12c
Barimoog-4	0.96ab	3.02a	3.81b	0.07b	0.32b	0.67b	0.33b	1.40a	2.45a	3.99ab	6.33b	6.75a	1.37a	4.75a	6.94b
Barimoog-5	1.15a	3.26a	4.18a	0.08a	0.36a	0.80a	0.36a	1.41a	2.73a	4.24a	7.91a	7.25a	1.45a	4.89a	7.72a
CV (%)	12.63	9.00	7.44	6.76	6.23	7.09	9.02	8.76	7.12	12.11	12.97	11.44	9.41	6.90	9.22
Level of significance	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	*	**	**	**	**	**

NS = Non significant, *Significant at 5% level, **Significant at 1% level

treatments showed significant influence on CGR but not in Relative Growth Rate (RGR). However, the highest CGR and Relative Growth Rate (RGR) was found in T₃ and the lowest in control. Sudhakar *et al.* (1989) also observed that *Rhizobium* inoculation increased crop growth rate.

Effect of varieties on nodule production, CGR and RGR of summer mungbean was determined (Table 5). The varieties showed significance influence on all these parameters. The highest number of effective and non-effective nodules plant⁻¹, CGR and RGR was found in Barimoog-5 variety and the lowest in Binamoog-2 variety at all counting dates.

Interaction effect of varieties with bio-fertilizer and plant growth regulators (IAA and GA₃) on nodule production, CGR and RGR of summer mungbean was determined (Table 6). The treatments combination showed significant influence on number of effective nodules plant⁻¹ at 30 and 50 DAS, but not in 40. At 30 DAS, the highest number of effective nodules plant⁻¹ was found in V₃T₂ (10.33) and the lowest in V₁T₁ and V₂T₄ (1.66). At 50 DAS, the highest number of effective nodules plant⁻¹ was found in V₃T₂ (12.33) and the lowest in V₁T₃ (2.66). In case of non-effective nodules plant⁻¹, the treatment showed significant variation at 30 and 40 DAS. At 30 DAS, the highest number of non-

Table 9: Interaction effect of bio-fertilizer and plant growth regulators (IAA and GA₃) on dry matter production of summer mungbean at 30, 40 and 50 days after sowing

Variety × treatment	Leaf dry weight plant ⁻¹			Root dry weight plant ⁻¹			Shoot dry weight plant ⁻¹			Nodule dry weight plant ⁻¹			Total dry weight plant ⁻¹		
	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS	30 DAS	40 DAS	50 DAS
V ₁ T ₁	0.77b	2.22c	3.32	0.05 d	0.25	0.50h	0.22	0.82	1.28e	1.60 c	4.23	3.33	1.08	3.30	5.11
V ₁ T ₂	1.02b	2.60bc	3.51	0.08a-c	0.31	0.70cd	0.32	0.98	1.68de	8.83b	11.00	11.33	1.42	3.90	5.89
V ₁ T ₃	0.78b	2.64bc	3.83	0.06 cd	0.26	0.61ef	0.25	1.07	2.18b-d	1.90 c	4.26	3.66	1.14	3.98	6.63
V ₁ T ₄	0.77b	2.85bc	3.95	0.06cd	0.28	0.55gh	0.24	1.12	2.37a-c	1.93c	3.63	3.66	1.08	4.26	6.87
V ₂ T ₁	0.91b	2.70bc	3.50	0.07 c	0.26	0.58fg	0.30	1.25	1.98cd	2.13c	5.33	4.33	1.27	4.22	6.07
V ₂ T ₂	1.10b	2.93bc	3.81	0.09ab	0.40	0.76b	0.40	1.37	1.45a-c	10.00a	11.00	12.33	1.59	4.69	7.02
V ₂ T ₃	0.93b	3.14b	3.91	0.06 cd	0.33	0.66de	0.32	1.43	2.66ab	1.86c	4.66	5.33	1.32	4.89	7.24
V ₂ T ₄	0.92b	3.31ab	4.02	0.06cd	0.31	0.68d	0.32	1.55	2.73ab	1.96c	4.33	5.00	1.31	5.17	7.44
V ₃ T ₁	0.94b	2.80bc	3.93	0.08bc	0.35	0.75bc	0.35	1.34	2.42a-c	2.16c	6.66	5.00	1.37	4.49	7.09
V ₃ T ₂	1.75a	3.00b	4.12	0.10a	0.38	0.91a	0.42	1.42	2.78ab	10.00a	13.33	13.33	1.70	4.81	7.82
V ₃ T ₃	0.97b	3.91a	4.24	0.08bc	0.36	0.78b	0.37	1.45	2.85a	2.26c	5.66	5.33	1.40	5.01	7.87
V ₃ T ₄	0.95b	3.33ab	4.43	0.08bc	0.36	0.76b	0.33	1.58	2.90a	2.53c	6.00	5.33	1.36	5.28	8.10
CV (%)	12.63	9.00	7.44	6.76	6.23	7.09	9.02	8.76	7.12	13.11	12.97	11.44	9.41	6.90	9.22
Level of significance	*	*	NS	*	*	*	NS	NS	*	**	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

NS = Non significant, *Significant at 5% level, **Significant at 1% level

T₁ = Control, T₂ = Bio-fertilizer (*Bradyrhizobium*), T₃ = IAA, T₄ = GA₃, V₁ = Barimoog-2, V₂ = Barimoog-4 and V₃ = Barimoog-5

effective nodules plant⁻¹ was found in V₂T₂ and V₃T₂ (3.33) and non-effective nodules did not produce V₁T₄. At 50 DAS, the highest number of non-effective nodules plant⁻¹ was found in V₃T₂ (4.33) and the lowest in V₁T₃ (0.66). Only at 30 DAS, the treatments showed significant variation on nodule dry weight plant⁻¹, where the highest was found in V₂T₂ and V₃T₂ (10.00 mg) and the lowest in V₁T₁ (1.60 mg). The treatment showed significant variation on CGR and RGR. The highest crop growth rate was found in V₃T₂ (10.03 g m⁻²d⁻¹), which is statistically identical to V₃T₃ and V₃T₄ and the lowest in V₁T₁ (6.02 g m⁻²d⁻¹). The highest relative growth rate was found in V₁T₃ (0.051 g m⁻²d⁻¹), which is statistically identical to V₃T₁ and V₃T₂ and the lowest in V₂T₁ and V₂T₄ (0.036 g m⁻²d⁻¹).

Effect of bio-fertilizer and plant growth regulators (IAA and GA₃) on leaf, root, shoot, nodule and total dry weight plant⁻¹ of summer mungbean was determined at 30, 40 and 50 DAS (Table 7). Bio-fertilizer and plant growth regulators showed significant influence on leaf, root, shoot, nodule and total dry weight plant⁻¹ in all counting dates. At 30 DAS, plant height varied from 0.87 to 1.29 g, where the highest in T₂ and the lowest in control. But, at 40 and 50 DAS, the highest leaf dry weight plant⁻¹ was found in T₃ and T₄, respectively and the lowest in control. The highest root dry weight plant⁻¹ was found in T₃ and the lowest in control in all counting dates. This result is identical to Begum (1989) who reported that inoculation with *Rhizobium* increased root dry weight than the control. In contrast, at 30, 40 and 50 DAS, the highest shoot dry weight plant⁻¹ were found in T₂, T₃ and T₄, respectively and the lowest in control. Bhuiya *et al.* (1986) found higher shoot and nodule dry weight of mungbean by the inoculation of *Rhizobium* strains. Bhuiyan *et al.* (1998) stated that inoculation with

Rhizobium increased nodule dry weight. On the other hand, the highest nodule and total dry weight plant⁻¹ was found in T₂ and the lowest in control in all counting dates. Deotale *et al.* (1998) also observed that seed treatment with 100 ppm GA₃ increase total dry matter of soybean. Besides, Takano *et al.* (1995) reported that IAA and GA₃ increased total dry matter in faba bean.

Effect varieties on leaf, root, shoot, nodule and total dry weight plant⁻¹ of summer mungbean were determined at 30, 40 and 50 DAS (Table 8). Varieties showed significant variation on leaf, root, shoot, nodule and total dry weight plant⁻¹ in all counting dates. The highest leaf, root, shoot, nodule and total dry weight plant⁻¹ were found in Barimoog-5 variety and the lowest in Binamoog-2 at 30, 40 and 50 DAS.

Interaction effect of varieties with bio-fertilizer and plant growth regulators (IAA and GA₃) on leaf, root, shoot and total dry weight plant⁻¹ of summer mungbean was determined at 30, 40 and 50 DAS (Table 9). The treatment showed significant variation on leaf dry weight plant⁻¹ at 30 and 40 DAS. At 30 DAS, the highest leaf dry weight plant⁻¹ was found in V₃T₂ (1.75 mg) and the lowest in V₁T₁ and V₁T₄ (0.77 mg). At 40 DAS, the highest leaf dry weight plant⁻¹ was found in V₃T₃ (3.91 mg) and the lowest in V₁T₁ (2.22 mg). The treatment showed significant variation on root dry weight plant⁻¹ in all counting dates. At 30 DAS, the highest root dry weight plant⁻¹ was found in V₃T₂ (0.10 mg) and the lowest in V₂T₁ (0.07 mg). At 40 and 50 DAS, the highest root dry weight plant⁻¹ was found in V₃T₂ and the lowest in V₁T₁. The treatment showed significant variation on shoot dry weight plant⁻¹ only at 50 DAS, where the highest shoot dry weight plant⁻¹ was found in V₃T₄ (2.90 mg) and the lowest in V₁T₁ (1.28 mg). But, the treatment did not show significant variation on total dry weight plant⁻¹. However, at 30 DAS,

the highest total dry weight plant⁻¹ was found in V₃T₂ (1.70 mg) and the lowest in V₁T₁ and V₁T₄ (1.08 mg). At 40 and 50 DAS, the highest total dry weight plant⁻¹ was found in V₃T₄ and the lowest in V₁T₁.

From the above findings, it can be concluded that bio-fertilizer was better than plant growth regulators (IAA and GA₃) for the growth of summer mungbean. However, GA₃ and IAA found better than control. Among the varieties, Binamoog-5 performed better than Binamoog-2 and Binamoog-4.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, S.U., 1989. Response of mungbean (*Vigna radiata*) to inoculation with Rhizobium as affected by phosphorus levels. MS Thesis, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh.
- BBS., 2001. The Year Book of Agricultural Statistics of Bangladesh, Statistics Division, Ministry of Planning, Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh.
- Begum, N.S.M., 1989. Response of soybean to *Rhizobium* inoculation and urea-M application under rainfed and irrigation condition. MS Thesis, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh.
- Bhuiya, Z.H., M.R. Islam, M.J. Uddin and M.S. Hoque, 1986. Performance of some Rhizobium inoculants on blackgram (*Vigna mungo*). Bangladesh J. Agric., 11: 55-63.
- Bhuiyan, M.A.H., D. Khanam, M.M. Rahman and M.M. Ali, 1998. Variation in the symbiotic effectiveness of *Bradyrhizobium* strains of soybean. Bangladesh J. Microbiol., 15: 25-30.
- Deotale, R.D., V.G. Maske, M.V. Sorte, B.S. Chimurkar and A.Z. Yeme, 1998. Effect of GA₃ and IAA on morpho-physiological parameters of soybean. J. Soils Crops, 8: 91-94.
- Gomez, K.A. and A.A Gomez, 1984. Statistical Procedures for Agriculture Research. 2nd Edn., John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Hore, J.K., M.C. Paria and S.K. Sen, 1988. Effect of pre-sowing seed treatment on germination, growth and yield of *Allium cepa* ver. Red Globe. Harayana J. Hort. Sci., 17: 83-87.
- Kavathiya, Y.A. and R.N. Pandey, 2000. Interaction studies on *Meloidogyne javanica*, *Rhizobium* sp. and *Macrophomina phaseolina* in mungbean. J. Mycol. Plant Pathol., 30: 91-93.
- Khalil S. and H.M. Mandurah, 1989. Growth regulation of grain filling in relation to peduncle anatomy in rice cultivators. Indian J. Exp. Biol., 25: 63-65.
- Lee, H.S., 1990. Effects of pre-sowing seed treatments with GA₃ and IAA on flowering and yield components in groundnuts. Korean J. Crop Sci., 35: 1-9.
- Maske, B.G., R.D. Detale, N.B. Sorte, H.B. Goramnagar and C.N. Chore, 1998. Influence of GA₃ and IAA on growth and yield contributing parameters of soybean. J. Soils Crops, 8: 20-21.
- Mislevy, P., K.J. Boote and E.G. Martin, 1989. Soybean response to gibberellic acid treatments. J. Plant Growth Regulators, 8: 11-18.
- Pandher, M.S., R.K. Sedha, R.P. Gupta and S.R. Sharma, 1991. Response of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) to inoculation with single and multi strain rhizobial inoculants. Indian J. Ecol., 18: 113-117.
- Shangakhara, U.R. and B. Marambe, 1989. Effect of method of inoculation and nitrogen fertilizer on nodulation and yield of selected tropical legumes. J. Agro. Crop Sci., 162: 305-309.
- Sudhakar, P., B.G. Singh and L.M. Rao, 1989. Effect of Rhizobium and phosphorus on growth parameters and yield of blackgram (*Phaseolus mungo*). Indian J. Agril. Sci., 59: 402-404.
- Takano, K.P., K. Bialek and J.D. Cohen, 1995. The effect of growth regulators on two spraing legumes, protein peas and french beans. Mekedelingen J. Facultied LandBouwwetensch Apen Rijksuniver Siffiteit Gent., 52: 1259-1266.
- Thakur, A.K. and J.D.S. Panwar, 1995. Effect of *Rhizobium*-VAM interactions on growth and yield in mungbean (*Vigna radiata*) under field condition. Indian J. Plant Physiol., 38: 62-65.
- Thakuria, A. and P. Saharia, 1990. Response of mungbean genotypes to plant density and phosphorus levels in summer. Indian J. Agron., 35: 431-432.
- Vaishya, U.K., G.R. Gayendragadkar and R.L. Pandey, 1983. Effect of Rhizobium inoculation on nodulation and grain yield of mungbean (*Vigna radiata*). Indian J. Microbiol., 23: 228-230.