



International Journal of Osteoporosis & Metabolic Disorders

ISSN 1994-5442

science
alert

ANSI*net*
an open access publisher
<http://ansinet.com>



Research Article

Effects of Steroid Use and Bone Mass Density in South Securai Village, Langkat, Indonesia

Sarma Nursani Lumbaraja

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia

Abstract

Background: Steroid had become the most consumed drug that can be obtained easily. However, its wide range of side effects is not known by its user. Bone loss is one of the side effects that can cause debilitation either in physic or psychical term of a person. **Objective:** To determine the difference of steroid used between osteoporosis and control group. **Methodology:** This was an analytical, case control study that conducted at South Securai Village, Langkat, Indonesia from February-March 2016. This study included each 21 osteoporosis and normal reproductive women. The questionnaires were given to all subjects, to assess demographic data, knowledge, behaviour, steroid usage and factors influenced the use of steroid. Bone mass density was measured by a standardized scale. Data was processed by statistical product and service solutions (SPSS) 22.0 with 95% CI and $p < 0.05$ was considered significant. **Results:** Baseline characteristics between the osteoporosis group and control group were simliar. This study showed significant difference of steroid used between osteoporosis and control group (66.7 vs 23.8%, $p = 0.005$). No differences of bone mass denisty was found regarding of how steroid being used. **Conclusion:** There was an association between steroid use and bone mass loss.

Key words: Bone mass, osteoporosis, steroid, glucocorticoids

Received: May 25, 2016

Accepted: June 03, 2016

Published: June 15, 2016

Citation: Sarma Nursani Lumbaraja, 2016. Effects of steroid use and bone mass density in South Securai village, Langkat, Indonesia. *Int. J. Osteoporosis Metab. Disorders*, 9: 13-18.

Corresponding Author: Sarma Nursani Lumbaraja, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia

Copyright: © 2016 Sarma Nursani Lumbaraja. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Competing Interest: The author has declared that no competing interest exists.

Data Availability: All relevant data are within the paper and its supporting information files.

INTRODUCTION

Analgesic had become one of the top highly demand over-the-counter drugs in many countries, also in Indonesia¹. Dale *et al.*² reported prevalence of using over-the-counter analgesics at least once per week was 47%. Ely *et al.*³ showed that 28.8% of 758 elderly persons used 1-4 analgesics, which mostly were steroids. Although, OTCs are considered safe when used appropriately, they may cause serious multiorgan side effects³.

Steroids are used in almost all medical specialties. In US, reported 1.2% of the populations consume long term glucocorticoids⁴. In UK, Van Staa *et al.*⁵ reported percentages of 0.85-0.9%, mostly in aged 70-79 years people. In Northeast Iceland, the incidence⁶ was 0.7%. In the United Kingdom, it is showed that about 1% of the general population received steroid therapy in any point of time⁷.

Steroid had metabolic (glucocorticoid) and electrolyte-regulating (mineralocorticoid) activities⁸. Steroid began its action by binding to steroid receptors in the cytoplasm⁹. This marked the activation of steroid, which will then translocate to nucleus and form complex with Glucocorticoid Response Elements (GRE) in the promoter region of steroid-responsive genes¹⁰. The binding will lead to several effects. If the complex activated anti-inflammatory proteins secretory leukoprotease inhibitor and mitogen-activated protein kinase phosphatase-1 (MKP-1) which inhibits MAP kinase pathways, this will contribute to reduction of pain¹¹. If the complex activated cis and trans-repression, NF-KB, POMC, CRF-1 and osteocalcin will reduce and cause antiinflammatory effect¹².

Many side effects of steroids had been established because its wide range of action. Short term side effects included mild immunosuppression, hyperglycemia and psychiatric disorders. Long-term side effects included peptic ulceration, osteoporosis and Cushing's syndrome¹³. Van Staa *et al.*¹⁴ showed that using steroid can increase 1.33 risk of fracture. Osteoporosis can greatly increase the risk of fracture, which will profoundly impair daily activities, decrease the quality of life, dan life expectancy¹⁵. Studies of oral steroid dose and loss of bone mineral density have reported inconsistent results¹⁴.

Walsh *et al.*¹⁶ showed that steroid use cause lower bone mass density, ranged from 0.6-1.02 g cm⁻² in femoral neck. In contrast, Selby *et al.*¹⁷ found a similar frequency of vertebral fractures and bone mass in steroid user and control. Matsumoto *et al.*¹⁸ showed that this effect was due to type of

steroid use. They showed bone mass loss in oral steroid user but not in inhaled steroid user, even in high dose. In other studies, bone mass was found to be loss only in specific location, mostly in spinal and femoral¹⁸.

Quantitative ultrasound of the os calcis is accepted as an effective low-cost method to assess osteoporotic fracture risk¹⁹. Based on ISCD recommendations, in situations where central DXA systems are not readily available, quantitative ultrasound can be used as an effective screening tool with >90% sensitivity for detecting patients with osteoporosis²⁰.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This was an analytical, case control study that conducted at South Securai Village, Langkat, Indonesia from February-March 2016. This study has been approved by the Ethical Committee of University of Sumatera Utara. In order to have a representative sample, minimal required samples were determined and yielded 21 samples in each groups of study. This study was done simultaneously with the bone mass density check event that conducted in South Securai Village, Langkat, Indonesia. This study included 21 consecutive osteoporosis subjects and 21 controls. Women in reproductive age were chosen to ensure the pure effect to steroid in lower bone mass density, not the part of aging effect.

Subjects were all invited to participate in this study unless they had other reasons for fracture, for example, prolonged immobility, early menopause or taking of drugs that are known to affect BMD (anabolic steroids, sodium fluoride tablets, calcitonin, bisphosphonates (more than 1 month)), calcium supplements (more than 500 mg day⁻¹ for more than 6 months), vitamin D (more than 400 IU day⁻¹) or hormone replacement therapy (currently, more than 3 months in the past 10 years or more than 2 years ever).

A questionnaire containing demographic data (age, sex, educational level and job) and the use of medication was used for data collection. A questionnaire was developed to assess knowledge, behaviour and factors that influenced steroid usage. This questionnaires had been validated in this observational study before in 20 subjects. The questionnaire contained 5 questions for knowledge and 5 questions for behaviour (Table 1). In assessing knowledge of steroid side effects, subjects were being asked about steroid's side effects such as hypertension, delayed growth, increase weight, moon face, osteoporosis and diabetes. If respondents know >3 of the side effects, we considered she know the side effects, vice versa. In determining influential factors, enabling

factors (access to health care, payment of health care fee, availability of drug) and reinforcing factors (indication of joint pain, fatigue, increase stamina, increase sexua desire, willing to gain weight and increase of appetite) were analyzed. To assess steroid use, subjects were being asked the type of steroid they consumed, how routine the steroid be consumed and how long they have used steroid.

Bone mass density, weight and height was measured using Tabita Scale (Onemed), using the same scale for all patients. Daily calibration checks remained stable throughout the study. The clinical interpretation of osteoporosis was determined using the machine instructions. In female weight <50 kg, bone mass lower than 1.95, in female weight 50-70 kg, bone mass lower than 2.40, in female weight >70 kg, bone mass lower than 2.95 were considered osteoporosis.

Data were analyzed by SPSS (Statistical Product and Service Solutions, Chicago, IL, USA) 22.0 for Windows. Categorical data were expressed as number and continuous data as Mean±SD. Chi-square test (Fisher's exact test) was used to examine the relation between qualitative variables. T-independent, Pearson correlation, Chi square, Fischer exact and ANOVA were used to evaluate quantitative variables. Significant value was take 95% and p<0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics between the osteoporosis group and control group were simliar (Table 2). It is found that a high steroids used in osteoporosis group instead of in NSAIDs group (66.7 vs 23.8%, p = 0.005).

All factors that influenced the NSAIDs and steroid usage in this study were assessed. Far access to primary care, health care fee, availability of steroid over the counter, were the factors that affected GC usage in most subjects (Table 3). The highest indication of GC usage were joint pain, fatigue and desire to increase stamina (all 94.7%, respectively).

The knowledge of steroid users in this study was in conflicting result with their behaviours. Majority of subjects showed bad knowledge, but they show a good behavior regarding of steroid usage (Table 1). If each of the knowledge questions were assessed separately, most subjects had lower knowledge in steroid's side effects. Despite mostly know that steroid can cause weight gain (81%) and moon face (71.4%), all subjects (100%) do not know about the increase risk of diabetes mellitus in steroid usage. Rarely known side effects were delayed growth (4.8%), lower mass density (4.8%) and hypertension (33.3%). Majority also did not know type of steroid they consumed.

Table 1: Knowledge and behaviour of steroid in this study

Knowledge	n (%)
Do you know about steroid?	1 (4.8)
Do you know steroid functions? (allergy, inflammation and pain)	21 (100.0)
Do you know what type of steroid you are using?	3 (14.3)
Do you know about steroid's side effects?	2 (9.5)
Do you know the indication of steroid usage?	8 (38.1)
Behaviour	n (%)
Consultation to health care provider before consume steroid	21 (100.0)
Read the drug ettiquette before consume steroid	21 (100.0)
No need to seek for primary health care	21 (100.0)
Finding health care provider before consume steroid	20 (95.2)
Better to find drug for complaints by own	4 (19.0)

Table 2: Baseline characteristics of this study

Characteristics	Osteoporosis	Normal	p-value
Age (years old)	37.8±7.63	38.5±5.65	0.154
BMI (kg m ⁻²)	22.4±4.01	26.3±4.65	0.269
Parity			
0	4 (19%)	0 (0%)	0.184
1	3 (14.3%)	4 (19%)	
2	5 (23.8%)	8 (38.1%)	
>2	9 (42.9%)	9 (42.9%)	
Job			
Civil worker	0 (0%)	2 (9.5%)	0.11
Private worker	0 (0%)	2 (9.5%)	
Farmer	21 (100%)	17 (81%)	
Education			
Primary school	7 (33.3%)	4 (19%)	0.727
Junior high school	4 (19%)	4 (19%)	
Senior high school	9 (42.9%)	11 (52.4%)	
University	1 (4.8%)	2 (9.5%)	
Analgesic			
NSAIDs	7 (33.3%)	16 (76.2%)	0.005
Glucocorticoids	14 (66.7%)	5 (23.8%)	

Table 3: Factors that affected glucocorticoids usage

Factors	NSAIDs (n = 23)	Glucocorticoids (n = 19)
Access to primary care		
Near (≤2 km)	6 (68.4%)	14 (60.9%)
Far (>2 km)	13 (31.6%)	9 (39.1%)
Health care fee		
Insurance	11 (47.8%)	3 (15.8%)
Private	12 (52.2%)	16 (84.2%)
Source of drug		
Health care	10 (43.5%)	6 (31.6%)
Over the counter	13 (56.5%)	13 (68.4%)
Indication		
Joint pain	23 (100.0%)	18 (94.7%)
Fatigue	22 (95.7%)	18 (94.7%)
Increase stamina	20 (87.0%)	18 (94.7%)
Stimulate sexual desire	13 (56.5%)	5 (26.3%)
To gain weight	8 (34.8%)	2 (10.5%)
To increase appetite	7 (30.4%)	4 (21.1%)
Knowledge		
Good	10 (43.5%)	1 (5.3%)
Bad	13 (56.5%)	18 (94.7%)
Behaviour		
Good	22 (95.7%)	19 (100.0%)
Bad	1 (4.3%)	0 (0.0%)

Table 4: Association between steroid use and bone mass density

Steroid use	n (%)	Bone mass density (kg)	p-value
Routinity of steroid			
Routine	14 (73.7%)	1.96±0.16	0.425
Intermittent	5 (26.3%)	2.03±0.12	
Type of steroid			
Prednisone	9 (47.4%)	1.98±0.19	0.384
Dexamethasone	10 (52.6%)	1.96±0.13	
Steroid doses			
Once daily	4 (21.1%)	2.03±0.12	0.407
Twice daily	5 (26.3%)	1.87±0.12	
Thrice daily	10 (52.6%)	1.99±0.17	
Length of steroid use	5.16±3.59		0.957

To analyze the association between steroid use and bone mass density, statistical analysis was only conducted in osteoporosis group who used steroid. No differences of bone mass density was found regarding of type of steroid used ($p = 0.384$), steroid doses ($p = 0.407$), length of steroid use ($p = 0.957$) and routinity of steroid use ($p = 0.425$) (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

Steroids formed many compound, distributed in living creatures and based on the 1,2-cyclopentenophenanthrene skeleton²¹. As a drug, steroid can be classified as the short, intermediate and long acting. Short acting (<12 h) steroids include cortisol and cortisone with potency of 0.8-1. Intermediate acting steroids (12-36 h) include prednisolone, prednisone, methylprednisolone and triamcinolone with potency 0.8-6. Long acting steroids (>36 h) include dexamethasone and beclomethasone with potency⁹ of 0-25.

In an observational study priorly, it was found that almost all women in South Securai village consume analgesics. They suffered from joint and muscle pain due to their jobs as farmers. Indeed, steroids was largely used, in addition, they can obtain these drugs easily. In this study, majority of subjects (52.6%) use dexamethasone and the highest indication of steroids usage were joint pain, fatigue and desire to increase stamina. It seems that dexamethasone may be commonly used for pain management due to its high potency, long duration of action and minimal mineralocorticoid effect. Dexamethasone was shown to prolong axillary brachial plexus blockade if it was added to lidocaine²².

Side effects of steroids should be evaluated further of its highly usage. In this study, the knowledge regarding of the steroid's side effects was low. This cause an extensive used of steroid among the subjects in this study. Steroids can cause emotional liability, insomnia, depression, glaucoma, peptic ulcer, fatty liver, visceral obesity, fluid retention, breakdown of protein, muscular atrophy, reduce bone formation, reduce bone mass, reduce linear growth and immunosuppression²³.

Study showed that side effects of using prednisolone and dexamethasone were similar, betamethasone showed greater side effects²⁴.

Almost all subjects (95.2%) did not know about the effect of steroid inducing osteoporosis. Steroid-induced osteoporosis was discovered by Cushing more than 60 years ago²⁵. Steroid had direct and indirect effects on osteoblasts, osteoclasts and osteocytes, leading to negative bone balance²⁶. In osteocyte, steroid induce RANKL and M-CSF in osteocytes that will leads to apoptosis. In osteoblast, steroid induce activation of caspase 3, that will reduce osteoblastogenesis, reduce bone formation and decrease bone qualities. In osteoclast, steroid induce PPAR-2, reduce WNT signal and decrease caspase 3 that will increase osteoclastogenesis. This will reduce bone mineral density and increase fracture risk. Steroid will also decrease bone resorption via neuroendocrine system. Besides that, it will decrease absorption of calcium from intestine and increase calcium excretion that will decrease bone calcium and increase PTH. Steroid will also cause proatolygiss of myofibrils and make risk of muscular weakness²⁷. In men, glucocorticoids can inhibit testosterone production due to direct effect on the testis and indirect effects via suppression of gonadotropin hormone secretion. Low serum testosterone levels can contribute to the decrease of osteoblastic activation²⁸.

Many studies had shown the higher effect of bone mass loss in steroid consumption. This study showed a significant association between glucocorticoid use and osteoporosis ($p = 0.005$). The steroid-induced bone loss is more pronounced in the first months of therapy, slowing down after about one year of therapy⁶. About 10-20% loss of trabecular bone occurs in the first 6 months of steroid use and 2% in subsequent. In addition, a 2-3% loss of cortical bone occurs in the 1st year, then a slow and continuous loss is maintained^{14,29}. The earliest changes of steroid induced osteoporosis are usually seen in sites of high trabecular bone content such as the lumbar spine and ribs, but bone loss can occur at any site³⁰.

Far access to primary care, health care fee, availability of steroid over the counter, were the factors that affected GC usage in most subjects. Although, behavior was considered good, most subjects admitted that they were better to find over the counter drugs for the complaints. This can be caused by far access to primary care (60.9%) and economics problem, as most subjects (84.2%) had to pay their health care fee by themselves.

Many studies found that risk of fracture depends on the steroid dose. Some studies showed that prednisone 2.5 mg day⁻¹ had 1.55, 2.5-7.5 mg day⁻¹ had 2.59 and

>7.5 mg day⁻¹ had 5.18 risk of fracture. Three international guidelines had also made a cut off of daily 5 mg prednisone consumption for at least 3 months to receive further intervention in preventing osteoporosis. Other guidelines have recommended that prevention at doses³¹ ≥ 7.5 mg day⁻¹. On the other hand, the UK Bone Research Society, the National Osteoporosis Society and the Royal College of Physician guidelines have not specified the dose³². Meta analysis by Van Staa *et al.*¹⁴ concluded that consumption of oral corticosteroid >5 mg day⁻¹ leads to a reduction in bone mineral density and increase risk of fracture. Cumulative steroid dose was also correlated to the risk of fracture, but this correlation was weaker than that observed between daily dose and risk of fracture¹². However, in this study, that the use of steroid, regardless of its doses ($p = 0.407$), length ($p = 0.957$) and daily ($p = 0.425$) use were all associated with decrease bone mass density. This can be caused by small sample size and the tendency of low bone mass density in the subjects in this study.

Although bone density testing is not recommended routinely for pre-menopausal women, it should be performed in women at risk for bone loss³³. Densitometry should be performed in children and adolescents who will initiate steroid therapy³⁴ (equivalent to prednisone ≥ 0.16 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹) and in those who have already undergone four or more courses of systemic steroids³⁵.

This prevention however was still controversial. Toogood³⁶ showed that osteoporosis remains a potentially reversible process, by substituting administration method of steroid or using calcium carbonate as primary prevention. However, no guidelines had been certified to prove the regimens of prevention. Only, secondary prevention, there is evidence of maintenance of BMD at the lumbar spine of premenopausal women with both the use of calcium carbonate (500 mg day⁻¹) alone and associated with calcitriol (0.25 μ g day⁻¹).

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RECOMMENDATIONS

There was an association between steroid use and bone mass loss. Further study is needed to strengthen the points of this study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author acknowledged all staff and residents in Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Sumatera Utara, that gave valuable contribution in this study.

REFERENCES

1. Sarganas, G., A.K. Buttery, W. Zhuang, I.K. Wolf and D. Grams *et al.*, 2015. Prevalence, trends, patterns and associations of analgesic use in Germany. *BMC Pharmacol. Toxicol.*, Vol. 16. 10.1186/s40360-015-0028-7
2. Dale, O., P.C. Borchgrevink, O.V. Fredheim, M. Mahic, P. Romundstad and S. Skurtveit, 2015. Prevalence of use of non-prescription analgesics in the Norwegian HUNT3 population: Impact of gender, age, exercise and prescription of opioids. *BMC Public Health*, Vol. 15. 10.1186/s12889-015-1774-6
3. Ely, L.S., P. Engroff, S.R. Guiselli, G.C. Cardoso, F.B. Morrone and G.A. de Carli, 2015. Use of anti-inflammatory and analgesic drugs in an elderly population registered with a family health program. *Revista Brasileira Geriatria Gerontologia*, 18: 475-485.
4. Overman, R.A., J.Y. Yeh and C.L. Deal, 2013. Prevalence of oral glucocorticoid usage in the United States: A general population perspective. *Arthritis Care Res.*, 65: 294-298.
5. Van Staa, T.P., H.G.M. Leufkens, L. Abenham, B. Begaud, B. Zhang and C. Cooper, 2000. Use of oral corticosteroids in the United Kingdom. *Quart. J. Med.*, 93: 105-111.
6. Gudbjornsson, B., U.I. Juliusson and F.V. Gudjonsson, 2002. Prevalence of long term steroid treatment and the frequency of decision making to prevent steroid induced osteoporosis in daily clinical practice. *Ann. Rheum. Dis.*, 61: 32-36.
7. Fardet, L., I. Petersen and I. Nazareth, 2011. Description of oral glucocorticoid prescriptions in general population. *Revue Medecine Interne*, 32: 594-599.
8. Brunton, L.L., J.S. Lazo and K.L. Parker, 2006. Goodman and Gilman's *The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics*. 11th Edn., Mc-Graw Hill's, New York.
9. Shaikh, S., H. Verma, N. Yadav, M. Jauhari and J. Bullangowda, 2012. Applications of steroid in clinical practice: A review. *ISRN Anesthesiol.* 10.5402/2012/985495
10. Rhen, T. and J.A. Cidlowski, 2005. Antiinflammatory action of glucocorticoids-new mechanisms for old drugs. *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 353: 1711-1723.
11. Clark, A.R., J.R.S. Martins and C.R. Tchen, 2008. Role of dual specificity phosphatases in biological responses to glucocorticoids. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 283: 25765-25769.
12. Dostert, A. and T. Heinzel, 2004. Negative glucocorticoid receptor response elements and their role in glucocorticoid action. *Curr. Pharmaceut. Des.*, 10: 2807-2816.
13. Hanks, G.W., T. Trueman and R.G. Twycross, 1983. Corticosteroids in terminal cancer-a prospective analysis of current practice. *Postgrad. Med. J.*, 59: 702-706.
14. Van Staa, T.P., H.G.M. Leufkens and C. Cooper, 2002. The epidemiology of corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis: A meta-analysis. *Osteoporosis Int.*, 13: 777-787.

15. Dolan, P. and D.J. Torgerson, 1998. The cost of treating osteoporotic fractures in the United Kingdom female population. *Osteoporosis Int.*, 8: 611-617.
16. Walsh, L.J., S.A. Lewis, C.A. Wong, S. Cooper and J. Osborne et al., 2002. The impact of oral corticosteroid use on bone mineral density and vertebral fracture. *Am. J. Respir. Critic. Care Med.*, 166: 691-695.
17. Selby, P.L., J.P. Halsey, K.R.H. Adams, P. Klimiuk and S.M. Knight et al., 2000. Corticosteroids do not alter the threshold for vertebral fracture. *J. Bone Mineral Res.*, 15: 952-956.
18. Matsumoto, H., K. Ishihara, T. Hasegawa, B.I. Umeda, A. Niimi and M. Hino, 2001. Effects of inhaled corticosteroid and short courses of oral corticosteroids on bone mineral density in asthmatic patients: A 4-year longitudinal study. *Chest*, 120: 1468-1473.
19. Hosszu, E., S. Meszaros, V. Ferencz and C. Horvath, 2003. Performance evaluation of the achilles insight: Precision, accuracy and comparison to central DXA. *J. Bone Miner Res.*, 18: S208-S209.
20. Miller, K.K., 2002. Bone loss in women of reproductive age: Part I-amenorrheic bone loss. *MGH Neuroendoc. Center Bullet.*, 8: 1-8.
21. Ahmed, B., 2007. Chemistry of natural products. Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Jamia Hamdard, New Delhi, India, pp: 1-26. <http://nsdl.niscair.res.in/jspui/bitstream/123456789/746/1/revised%20Steroids.pdf>.
22. Movafegh, A., M. Razazian, F. Hajimaohamadi and A. Meysamie, 2006. Dexamethasone added to lidocaine prolongs axillary brachial plexus blockade. *Anesth. Analg.*, 102: 263-267.
23. Leppert, W. and T. Buss, 2012. The role of corticosteroids in the treatment of pain in cancer patients. *Curr. Pain Headache Rep.*, 16: 307-313.
24. Watanabe, S. and E. Bruera, 1994. Corticosteroids as adjuvant analgesics. *J. Pain Symptom Manage.*, 9: 442-445.
25. Cushing, H., 1932. The basophilic adenomas of the pituitary body and their clinical manifestations (pituitary basophilism). *Bull. Johns Hopkins Hosp.*, 1: 137-192.
26. Canalis, E., G. Mazziotti, A. Giustina and J.P. Bilezikian, 2007. Glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis: Pathophysiology and therapy. *Osteoporosis Int.*, 18: 1319-1328.
27. Romas, E., 2008. Corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis and fractures. *Aust. Prescriber*, 31: 45-49.
28. De Gregorio, L.H., P.G.S. Lacativa, A.C.C. Melazzi and L.A.T. Russo, 2006. Glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. *Arquivos Brasileiros Endocrinologia Metabologia*, 50: 793-801.
29. Pereira, R.M.R., J.F. de Carvalho, A.P. Paula, C. Zerbini and D.S. Domiciano et al., 2012. Guidelines for the prevention and treatment of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. *Revista Brasileira Reumatologia*, 52: 580-593.
30. Sambrook, P.N., 2005. How to prevent steroid induced osteoporosis. *Ann. Rheum. Dis.*, 64: 176-178.
31. Geusens, P.P., R.N. de Nijs, W.F. Lems, R.F.J.M. Laan, A. Struijs, T.P. van Staa and J.W.J. Bijlma, 2004. Prevention of glucocorticoid osteoporosis: A consensus document of the Dutch society for rheumatology. *Ann. Rheum. Dis.*, 63: 324-325.
32. NOS., RCP. and BTS., 2002. Glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis: Guidelines for prevention and treatment. National Osteoporosis Society, Royal College of Physicians, Bone and Tooth Society, London. December 2002.
33. Miller, P.D., C.F. Njeh, L.G. Jankowski and L. Lenchik, 2002. What are the standards by which bone mass measurement at peripheral skeletal sites should be used in the diagnosis of osteoporosis? *J. Clin. Densitometry*, 5: s39-s45.
34. Van Staa, T.P., C. Cooper, H.G. Leufkens and N. Bishop, 2003. Children and the risk of fractures caused by oral corticosteroids. *J. Bone Mineral Res.*, 18: 913-918.
35. Blodgett, F.M., L. Burgin, D. Iezzoni, D. Gribetz and N.B. Talbot, 1956. Effects of prolonged cortisone therapy on the statural growth, skeletal maturation and metabolic status of children. *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 254: 636-641.
36. Toogood, J.H., 2004. Asthma and therapeutics: Inhaled corticosteroids, corticosteroid osteoporosis and the risk of fracture in chronic asthma. *Allergy Asthma Clin. Immunol.*, 1: 28-33.