

# International Journal of Pharmacology

ISSN 1811-7775





# Systematic Review of Imiquimod for the Treatment of External Genital Wart

<sup>1</sup>F. Jaffary, <sup>2</sup>V. Musini, <sup>3</sup>M.A. Nilforoushzadeh and <sup>4</sup>K. Bassett

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmacology, School of Pharmacy,

Isfahan University of Medical Sciences and Health Services, Isfahan, Iran

<sup>1,3</sup>Skin Disease and Leishmaniasis Research Center,

Isfahan University of Medical Sciences and Health Services,

Sedigheh Tehareh Research Center, Isfahan, Iran

<sup>1,2,4</sup>Therapeutics Initiative, Department of Anesthesiology, Pharmacology and Therapeutics,

University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

Abstract: The objective of this review is to assess whether imiquimod provides a therapeutic advantage over placebo (vehicle) or other active therapy used in the treatment of adult patients with external genital and perianal warts/condyloma acuminata. We searched MEDLINE (1966-March 2006), EMBASE (1988-March 2006) and the Cochrane database for randomized controlled trials. Eight RCTs were identified that met the inclusion criteria. None of them compared imiquimod to other active therapy. Seven trials compared imiquimod (1, 2 or 5% cream) to placebo in immunocompetent patients of which only two trials used the recommended dose and dosing regimen with a follow up of 12 weeks duration. Mortality and serious adverse events were not reported. The effectiveness of imiquimod over other existing therapies for the treatment of external genital/perianal warts has not been established. In immunocompetent patients, there is sufficient evidence to conclude that imiguimod 5% cream applied three times a week for a maximum duration of 16 weeks compared to placebo provides a short term efficacy advantage in terms of complete clearance with no recurrence at the end of 12 weeks of follow up (ARR = 27%, NNT = 4). There were significant increases in several adverse events (erythema ARI = 43%, NNH = 2; erosion ARI = 24%, NNH = 4; excoriation ARI = 22%, NNH = 5; edema ARI = 15%, NNH = 7; scabbing ARI = 13%, NNH = 8 and induration ARI = 6%, NNH = 17). Based on one trial in immunocompromised patients (HIV positive) we conclude that there is insufficient evidence that imiguimod provides a therapeutic advantage compared to placebo.

Key words: Imiquimod, external genital wart, systematic review

# INTRODUCTION

External Genital Warts (EGW), caused by the Human Papilloma Virus (HPV) infection, are the most common sexually transmitted disease. Genital HPV infections are primarily transmitted by sexual contact with an infected individual; in some cases, maternal/fetal transmission occurs. The incubation period varies from 2 weeks to 8 months (Ting and Dytoc, 2004). EGW are commonly asymptomatic but depending on the size and anatomic location, they can be painful, friable and pruritic.

Epidemiologists estimate that the incidence of HPV infections is approximately 5.5 million per year in the USA and up to 75% of sexually active men and women have developed an antibody response to this virus (Ting and Dytoc, 2004). The estimates of prevalence of HPV infection vary by population studied and testing procedure used. About 10 to 20% of sexually active adults exhibit molecular evidence of current genital HPV

infection, in 50 to 75% of which the infection is from highrisk types. Serological evidence suggests that over 50% of sexually active women have had a past infection with at least one HPV type. The rate of infection by any HPV type among sexually active young women within three years was 44% in a recent study. However most of these infections are transient. Women under 25 to 30 years have higher rates of infection although a second peak has been described in postmenopausal women" (Koliopoulos, 2005). A HPV prevalence survey in women in Ontario has reported the highest prevalence of HPV i.e., 24%, in women 20 to 24 years old and a progressively lower prevalence in older age groups, reaching 3.4% in women 45 to 49 years (Sellors et al., 2000). Follow up HPV testing one year later showed 11.1% (28/253) incident HPV infection in the women overall, with the highest rate, 25.0% (6/24), in the 15-19-year age group. Of the previously HPV-positive women, 51.9% (28/54) had cleared the infection (Sellors et al., 2003).

Of the HPV infected population, only 1-2% manifest active EGW lesions. There are over 80 different HPV types and approximately 30 of them have been associated with genital epithelial infection (Ting and Dytoc, 2004). HPV types 6 and 11 usually cause EGW. These types are rarely associated with invasive squamous cell carcinoma of the external genitalia. However, HPV types 16, 18, 31, 33 and 35 are found occasionally in visible genital warts and have been associated with external genital (i.e., vulvar, penile and anal) squamous intraepithelial neoplasia (i.e., squamous cell carcinoma in situ, bowenoid papulosis, erythroplasia of Queyrat, or Bowen's disease of the genitalia). These HPV types also have been associated with vaginal, anal and cervical intraepithelial dysplasia and squamous cell carcinoma. Patients who have visible genital warts can be infected simultaneously with multiple HPV types (STD Guideline, 2002).

Infection of the uterine cervix with the high-risk types of HPV is necessary for the development of cervical cancer, although the HPV infection alone is usually not sufficient to cause cancer and the presence of additional co-factors is required. Other risk factors for cervical cancer (sexual behavior, oral contraceptives, smoking, parity, circumcisional status of the male partner) are effect modifiers that influence the association between HPV infection and carcinogenesis (development of cancer) (Koliopoulos, 2005; Sanclemente and Gill, 2002). Squamous cell anal cancer is associated with a history of genital warts, which suggests that papilloma virus infection is a cause of anal cancer (Habif, 2004; Daling et al., 1987). Seventy-three percent of the nonmalignant, clinically and histologically normal tissue 2 to 5 cm from the tumors contained HPV-16. This implies that HPV can persist latently in tissue that appears normal (Habif, 2004; Macnab et al., 1986).

The primary goal of treating visible genital warts is the removal of symptomatic warts. In most patients, treatment can induce wart-free periods. Without treatment, genital warts regress spontaneously (10-30% complete regression in placebo treated patients within 3 months), remain unchanged or multiply and increase in size (Perry and Lamb, 1999; Stone, 1995). Immunocompromised patients are more likely to experience symptomatic disease and typically have larger warts for a longer duration than immunocompetent HPV infected patients. The immune system may play a key role in the control of HPV infection (Coleman et al., 1994). Both nonspecific (natural killer cells) and antigen-specific (humoral and cell-mediated) immunity are involved in the defense against HPV. Serum IgG and secretory IgA block the attachment of the virus to the epithelial cells. However, once the infection has occurred, the antibodies cannot usually eliminate the virus. The cell-mediated immunity is essential at this stage as CD4+ and CD8+ lymphocytes are activated and destroy infected cells and produce cytokines such as interferons which have antiviral properties (Koliopoulos *et al.*, 2005; Sanclemente and Gill, 2002). The changes in regression of genital warts are consistent with a delayed -type hypersensitivity reaction to foreign antigen. Regressing warts contained more lymphocytes and macrophages than did nonregressing controls (Coleman *et al.*, 1994).

Determining whether treatment of genital warts will reduce transmission is difficult, because no laboratory marker of infectivity has been established and because clinical studies evaluating the persistence of HPV DNA in genital tissue after treatment have shown variable results. Existing data indicate that currently available therapies for genital warts may reduce, but probably do not eradicate, infectivity (STD Guidelines, 2002).

Treatment of genital warts should be guided by the preference of the patient, the available resources and the experience of the health-care provider. Because of uncertainty regarding the effect of treatment on future transmission and the possibility for spontaneous resolution, an acceptable alternative for some patients is to forego treatment and await spontaneous resolution. No single treatment is ideal for all patients or all warts (STD Guidelines, 2002).

This systematic review was performed to assess the benefits and harms of the recommended dose of imiquimod (5%, 3 times a week, up to a maximum of 16 weeks) in the treatment of EGW. Its potential therapeutic advantage over placebo (vehicle) or other existing therapies was assessed based on the published randomized controlled trials.

Imiquimod, an immune response modifying agent, is indicated for the treatment of external genital and perianal warts/condyloma acuminata in adults" (Aldara ™ product monograph, 2004). Imiquimod is to be applied 3 times per week, prior to normal sleeping hours and left on the skin for 6-10 h, until there is total clearance of the genital/perianal warts or for a maximum of 16 weeks.

The recommended dose was chosen on the basis of no significant difference in efficacy in terms of wart clearance rate but a significant increase in the incidence of local adverse events (erythema, vesicle formation, ulceration and excoriation) with frequency of application greater than 3 times per week. This recommendation is supported by the findings from three open label dose escalating clinical trials that compared imiquimod 5% application once a day, twice a day, three times a day or three times a week for 4 or 16 weeks or up to complete clearance of warts (Fife et al., 2001, 2004; Trofatter et al., 2002; Gollnick et al., 2001).

The precise mechanisms of action of imiquimod in regression of genital warts, primary superficial basal cell carcinoma and treatment of actinic keratoses, the three approved indications, are not known. Imiquimod has not been shown to have direct anti-viral activity in tissue culture, suggesting that its mechanism of action in vivo is indirect. Release and/or synthesis of a range of cytokines from monocytes/macrophages and keratinocytes is induced by imiquimod. The precise pattern of cytokines depends on the cell type and includes interferon-α, tumour necrosis factor-α, IL-1, IL-6, IL-8, IL-1 receptor antagonist, GM-CSF, macrophage inflammatory protein 1a and IL-12 (which in turn can stimulate interferon- $\alpha$ ). Interferon-α activates macrophages, cytotoxic T-cells and natural killer cells. The cytokine profile is consistent with enhancement of a Th1 immune response, the type of immune response generally required for antigen-specific anti-viral and anti-tumour responses. Since binding of imiquimod to responding cells is a saturable event (i.e., finite), a membrane receptor exists. Recently it has been shown that imiquimod binds to and activates the toll-like receptor (TLR) 7. The toll gene was originally described in Drosophila melanogaster and plays a role in antifungal defenses (Hurwitz et al., 2002). Toll-like receptors are transmembrane receptors that recognize pathogenassociated molecular structures such as peptidoglycans, double stranded RNA, DNA, viral lipopolysaccharides and bacterial flagellin. So far, eleven subtypes of toll-like receptors have been identified in humans. Each identified subtype of receptor binds a specific microbial product (e.g., TLR-4 recognizes bacterial lipopolysaccharide; the natural ligand for TLR7 has not been identified). Upon binding of ligand, toll-like receptors initiate the synthesis of pro-inflammatory cytokines through an adaptor protein MyD88 that binds the receptor along with downstream signaling proteins.

TLR7 is expressed in murine heart, spleen, bone marrow and lymph nodes (Hemmi et al., 2002). Immunological cells that express TLR7 include monocytes/macrophages, B cells and dendritic cells. Dendritic cells participate in the presentation of antigen to T-cells. Peritoneal macrophages from mice deficient in TLR7 (or MyD88) do not produce cytokines in response to imiquimod, suggesting that imiquimod activates macrophages through TLRs (Hemmi et al., 2002). In vivo responses to R-848, a more potent imidazoguinoline than imiquimod are also absent in TLR7 deficient mice. TLR7 deficient splenocytes (containing B cells) do not proliferate in response to imiquimod suggesting that activation of B cells also occurs via TLRs. To date, expression of TLR7 has not been reported in keratinocytes or Langerhans cells. It is therefore not known whether imiquimod exerts its effect only through TLR7 or whether TLR7 is the only receptor imiquimod binds to. The clinical relevance of activation of TLR7 in genital wart or actinic keratosis treatment is not yet known.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The inclusion criteria for this review were published randomized controlled trials (single, double blind or open label) comparing imiquimod to placebo or other standard therapy used in the treatment of external genital and perianal warts/condyloma accuminata in adult patients. Open label trials were included since the local adverse effect of the imiquimod treatment results in high frequency (82-100%) of local skin reactions and blinding can be potentially broken. Also it is not possible to maintain blindness for some therapies such as cryotherapy or electrosurgery.

# Other standard therapies include:

- Pharmacologic treatment:
  - Cytotoxic agents: Podophyllin, Podofilox, Trichloroacetic acid (TCA), 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), Retinoids and Bleomycin.
  - Immunomodulating agents: Intralesional Interferon-α 2b
- Nonpharmacologic treatment:
  - Physical ablation: Cold steel surgery, cryotherapy, electro-surgery and lasers

The following outcome measures from each trial were summarized with most weight given for the outcomes highest on the list.

- All-cause mortality
- Other serious adverse events -including, anogenital cancer, cervical/vaginal cancer and intraepithelial dysplasia.
- Total adverse events including systemic and local events (e.g., local skin reactions, systemic events such as flu-like symptoms, etc).
- Complete clearance rate with no recurrence at the end of follow-up (ideally over a period of 8 months). This is defined as 100% clearance of identified baseline wart lesion followed by development of no new wart lesion at the same site at the end of the follow up period.

For proper conclusion on the benefit versus harm of imiquimod therapy for genital wart, RCTs require long-term (preferably several years) assessment of efficacy and safety outcomes. Conference abstracts or posters were not considered since they do not contain sufficient detail to assess the validity of the findings and the quality of the study.

Conclusions were based on critically appraised and/or summarized trials meeting the inclusion criteria.

Search strategy and findings: The search included electronic databases: MEDLINE (1966-March 2006-), EMBASE (1988-March 2006), the Cochrane database. In addition, retrieved clinical trials and some review articles were hand searched for references. Keywords used were: 'Imiquimod', genital wart or condyloma acuminata' and 'randomised or randomized'.

### RESULTS

Eight RCTS met the inclusion criteria (Edwards et al., 1998; Beutner, Spruance et al., 1998; Beutner, Tyring et al., 1998; Syed et al., 1998, 2000; Tyring et al., 1998; Arican et al., 2004; Gilson et al., 1999). None of the trials compared imiquimod to other standard therapy used in treatment of adult patients with genital and perianal warts/condyloma accuminata. Two trials in immunocompetent patients (Edwards et al., 1998; Tyring et al., 1998 and one trial in immunocompromised patients (Gilson et al., 1999) used imiquimod at the recommended dose and duration compared to placebo.

Seven trials included a total number of 885 immunocompetent patients aged≥18 years (seronegative for HIV) with 2-50 EGW lesion and total wart coverage area of at least 10 mm². Gilson *et al.*, 1999 trial has evaluated 100 patients of age≥18 years with a laboratory confirmed diagnosis of HIV.

**Benefit:** In this review, efficacy (benefit) from all trials is reported as complete clearance of warts with no recurrence and is calculated based on an intention-to-treat analysis of all randomized patients at the end of follow up in each trial. Those patients who were lost to follow up were considered to not have achieved the efficacy measure (Table 3-7).

**Harm:** Harm has been reported according to the hierarchy of outcome measure defined in methods. Long term adverse events beyond 16 weeks of treatment and 12 weeks of follow up are not known. Short-term adverse events have been summarized in Table 3-7). Mortality and serious adverse events were not reported. Commonly

Table 1: Summary outline of 6 double blind randomized controlled trials included in this review using imiquimod 5% cream compared to placebo

Trial	Interventions	Duration of Rx Follow-up	Patients N (%), M = Males, F = Females
Trials in immunocompetent patient	s		
Tyring et al. (1998)	Imiquimod 5%	16 weeks Rx	N = 22, $M = 12$ (55), $F = 10$ (45)
	Placebo 3 times	12 weeks follow up	
	a week applied		
	overnight		
	(8±2 h)		
Edwards et al. (1998)	Imiquimod 5%	16 weeks Rx	N = 311, $M = 180$ (58), $F = 131$ (42)
	Imiquimod 1%	12 weeks follow up	
	Placebo		
	3 times a week		
	applied for		
T	6-10 h	D. L. C. AM	NT 04 / 1
Ferenczy et al.	Imiquimod 5% Placebo	Rx duration = NR	N = 94 (subgroup), $M = 52$ (55), $F = 42$ (45)
(1998) (a second follow up	once-daily application during follow up.	FU duration = $NR$	
study of	during follow up.		
Edwardset el al. (1998)			
Beutner, Tyring et al. (1998)	Imiquimod 5%	16 weeks Rx	N = 279 M = 154 (55), F = 125 (45)
Detailer, Tyring er ta. (1996)	Placebo	12 weeks follow up	14 277 M1 154 (55), 1 125 (45)
	Imiquimod 1%	12 weeks follow up	
	Daily application		
	applied for 8±2 h		
	(at bedtime)		
Arican et al. (2004)	Imiguimod 5%	12 week RX	N = 45, $M = 32$ (71), $F = 13$ (29)
, ,	Placebo	24 week follow up	
	3 times a week	•	
	applied for 8±2 h		
	8±2 h		
Beutner Spruance et al. (1998)	Imiquimod 5%	8 weeks Rx	N = 108, $M = 98$ (91), $F = 10(9)$
	Placebo	10 week follow up	
	3 times a week		
	applied for 24 h		
Trial on immunocompromised patie			
Gilson et al. (1999)	Imiquimod 5%	16 weeks Rx	N = 100, M = 97 (97), F = 3 (3)
	Placebo	12 weeks follow up	
	3 times a week		
	applied for 8±2 h		
	(at bedtime)		

Table 2: Summary outline of 2 double blind randomized controlled trials included in this review using imiquimod 2% cream

Trial	Interventions	Duration of Rx Follow-up	Patients N (%), $M = Males$ , $F = Females$
Syed et al. (1998)	Imiquimod 2% Placebo Twice daily for 5 consecutive days applied only on warts	6 weeks Rx 11 months follow up	N = 60 only, F (100)
Syed et al. (2000)	Imiquimod 2% Placebo once daily for 3 consecutive days per week applied at bedtime.	4 weeks Rx (maximum 12 applications in 4 weeks). In patients who were not cured at 4 weeks treatment was continued and patients were examined weekly up to 16 weeks. Treatment was stopped in patients who were considered cured at the weekly examination. 17 months follow up	N = 60 only, M (100)

Table 3: Summary of the efficacy and safety data of the two trials with the indicated dose/duration (Imiquimod 5%, 3 times per week, for a maximum duration of 16 weeks)

of 16 weeks)		
Trial	Benefit I = imiquimod 5%; P = Placebo	Harm
Edwards et al. (1998)	Complete clearance and no recurrence	Mortality not reported
16 weeks Rx	I = 39/109 (36%)	SAE not reported
12 weeks FU	P = 9/100 (9%)	Total withdrawals $= 4$
N = 311	p<0.05	Total WDAE = $4$
Imiquimod applied	ARR = 27%	One due to rhabdomy olysis
for 6-10 h	NNT = 4	(it is not clear in I or P group)
	New lesions were	and 1 due to lightheadedness, insomnia,
	tracked separately	fatigue (it is not clear this patient
	and were not included	has received imiquimod 5% or 1%)
	in the analysis of the	I = 2 due to local skin reactions
	baseline warts.	Total AE: not reported
		Most common adverse events
		(the denominator does not include all
		randomized patients) Erythema
		I = 71/106 (67%); P = 23/95 (24%)
		Erosion
		I = 34/106 (32%); P = 8/95 (8%)
		Excoriation
		I = 26/106 (24%); P = 2/95 (2%)
		Edema
		I = 17/106 (16%); P = 1/95 (1%)
		Scabbing
		I = 16/106 (15%); P = 2/95 (2%)
		Induration
		I = 9/106 (9%); P = 3/95 (3%)
		Total number of patients experiencing
		≥1 local AE not reported
		Flu-like symptoms "no difference in
		incidences among groups" but numbers NR
Tyring <i>et al</i> . (1998)	Complete clearance and	Mortality not reported
16 weeks Rx	no recurrence could not be calculated	SAE =1 (diabetic coma)
12 weeks FU	Trial reports complete clearance rate	Lost to follow up $= 3$
N = 22	I = 7/19 (37%)	WDAE = 1
Imiquimod applied	P = 1/3 (33%)	I = due to diabetic coma Adverse events
overnight (8±2 h)	p value = not significant	with imiquimod and placebo: NR

 $FU = Follow \ Up, \ ARR = Absolute \ Risk \ Reduction, \ NNT = Number \ Needed \ to \ Treat, \ WDAE = Withdrawal \ Due \ to \ Adverse \ Events, \ AE = Adverse \ Events, \ SAE = Serious \ Adverse \ Events, \ NR = Not \ Reported$ 

reported adverse events at application site among all trials were tenderness, itching, burning, erythema, edema, erosion and excoriation. Available data on adverse events could not be pooled because of inconsistency in reporting of these outcomes in different trials.

Systemic exposure to imiquimod is one potential safety concern during 16 week treatment for EGW.

Systemic adverse events similar to those associated with interferon treatment (e.g., flu-like syndrome, headache and myalgia) have been reported in the available RCTs. However, the incidence of such events was not statistically significantly different than the control groups in Arican *et al.* (2004) and in other trials.

Table 4: Summary of the efficacy and safety data of trials using more frequent or longer duration of application of imiquimod 5% cream that is not recommended according to the product monograph

recommended according to the pro		
<u>Trial</u>	Benefit I = Imiquimod 5%; P = Placebo	Harm
Beutner, Tyring et al. (1998)	Complete clearance and no recurrence	Mortality not reported
Different frequency	I = 40/94 (43%)	SAE = 0
of application of imiquimod 5% cream	P = 3/95(3%)	Total withdrawals = $NR$
16 weeks Rx	p<0.05	WDAE
12 weeks FU	ARR = 40%, NNT = 3	I = 1 due to local skin
N = 279		reactions $P = 0$
Daily application		Most common
for 8±2 h		Itching $I = 32/92(35\%)$ ;
		adverse events $I = 30/92$
		(33%); P = 17/92 (19%)
		Pain (p<0.001)
		P = 2/92 (2%)
		Burning (p<0.0003)
		I = 15/92 (16%); P = 1/92
		(1%)Tenderness $(p<0.01)$
		I = 11/92 (12%), P = 2/92
		(2%) Other symptoms
		Headache I = 27/92(29%);
		P = 30/92(33%)
		Upper respiratory tract
		infection
		I = 13/92(14%); P = 25/92(27%)
Beutner, Spmance et al. (1998)	Complete clearance and no recurrence	Mortality not reported
Different duration of Rx	N = 108	SAE not reported
3 times per week for 8	I = 10/51 (20%)	Total withdrawals = NR
weeks applied for 24 h	P = 0.57 (0%)	WDAE: 0
N = 108	p<0.05	Adverse events
	ARR = 20% NNT = 5	Skin irritation at wart site at week 8
		I = 20/35 (57%); P = 41/45 (91%)
1 (200)	~ 1. 1 SI	p<0.002
Arican et al., (2004)	Complete clearance with no	Mortality not reported
Different duration of Rx	recurrence or new lesion	SAE not reported
3 times per week for 12 weeks	I = Could not be	Total withdrawals =
N = 45	calculated	2 (I = 1, Placebo = 1)
	Placebo = 0/10(0%)	WDAE = 0
	Trial reports complete clearance rate	Adverse events
	I =23/33(70%)	Total AE: $I = 18/33(55\%)$ ;
	Placebo = 1/10(10%)	Placebo = $4/10 (40\%)$
	p<0.05	Application site reaction
	ARR = 60, NNT = 2	I = 17/33 (52%); P = 4/11(36%)
		Flu like symptoms
-		I = 1/33 (3%); P = 0

FU = Follow Up, ARR = Absolute Risk Reduction, NNT = Number Needed to Treat, WDAE = Withdrawal Due to Adverse Events, AE = Adverse Events, SAE = Serious Adverse Events, NR = Not Reported

Table 5: Summary of the efficacy and safety data of trials using different dose and/or duration of imiquimod treatment that is not indicated according to

the product monograp	h	
Trial	Benefit I = Imiquimod; p = Placebo	Harm
Syed et al. (1998)	Complete clearance	Mortality not reported
	and no recurrence	SAE not reported
Imiquimod 2%	I = 21/30 (70%)	Total with drawals = $NR$
twice daily for 5	$P = 0/30 \ (0\%)$	WDAE = 0
consecutive	p<0.05	Adverse events
per week days	ARR = 70%, NNT = 2	I = 8/30 (27%)
N = 60		P = 0
		Nausea
		I = 2/30(7%), P = 0
		Tenderness
		I3/30 (10%), P = 0
		Erythema
		I = 2/30 (7%), $P = 0$
		Burning sensation
		I = 1/30 (3%), $P = 0$
Beutner, Tyring et al. (1998)	Complete clearance	Mortality not reported
Imiquimod 1%	and no recurrence	SAE not reported
daily application for	I = 10/90 (11%)	Total withdrawals = $NR$
16 week	p =3/95 (3%)	WDAE = 1 in imiquimod group due
N = 279	p<0.05	to local skin reaction
Imiquimod 1%=90	ARR = 70%, NNT = 2	Most common adverse events
P = 95		(denominator does not include all randomized patients)

Table 5: Continued

Trial	Benefit I = imiquimod; p = placebo	Harm
		Itching I = 20/86(23%; P = 17/92 (19%)
		Pain (p<0.0001)
		I = 11/86(13%); P = 2/92(2%)
		Burning (p<0.0003)
		I = 12/86 (14%; P = 1/92 (1%))
		Tenderness (p<0.01)
		I = 11/86(13%); P = 2/92(2%)
		Other symptoms
		Headache
		I = 26/86 (30%); P = 30/92 (33%)
		Upper respiratory tract infection I = 23/86 (27%); P =25/92 (27%)
Edwards <i>et al.</i> (1998)	Complete clearance and no recurrence	Mortality not reported
miquimod 1% three times	I = 18/102 (18%)	SAE not reported
week for 16 week	P = 9/100 (9%)	Total withdrawals = NR
N = 311	p<0.05	Total WDAE =?
imiquimod 1%=102	ARR = 9%, NNT = 12	One due to rhabdomyolysis
P=100	New lesions were tracked	(it is not clear in I or P group)
	separately and were not included	and 1 due to lightheadedness,
	in the analysis of the baseline warts.	insomnia, fatigue (it is not clear
	·	this patient has received
		imiquimod 5% or 1%)
		Most common adverse events
		Erythema
		I = 25/97 (26%); P = 23/95 (24%)
		Erosion
		I = 5/97 (5%); P = 8/95 (8%)
		Excoriation
		I = 4/97 (4%); P = 2/95 (2%)
		Edema
		I = 3/97 (3%); P = 1/95 (1%)
		Scabbing
		I = 3/97 (3%); P = 2/95 (2%) Induration
		I = 4/97 (4%); P = 3/95 (3%)
Syed et al. (2000)	Complete clearance and no recurrence	1 - 4/9/(490), $P - 3/93(390)Mortality not reported$
miquimod 2%applied at	I = 20/30 (66%)	SAE not reported
pedtime once daily for 3	P = 1/30 (3%)	Total withdrawals =?
consecutive days per week	p<0.05	WDAE=0
or 4 weeks. (Maximum 12	ARR = 63%, $NNT = 2$	No drug related anticipated
applications in 4 weeks)		side effects = $49/60 (82\%)$
V = 60		The number of no adverse
		symptoms" has been reported
		as $I = 48$ , $P = 1$ in table 1
		of the article.
		Common adverse events
		Erythema
		I = 4 (13.3%); p = 0
		Erosion
		I = 4 (13.3%); p = 1(3.3%)
		Edema
		I = 2 (6.7%); P = 0

FU = Follow Up, ARR = Absolute Risk Reduction, NNT = Number Needed to Treat, WDAE = Withdrawal Due to Adverse Events, AE = Adverse Events, SAE = Serious Adverse Events, NR = Not Reported

Table 6: Summary of the systemic adverse effects data of eight included trials of this review

Trial	Systemic adverse events	
Tyring et al. (1998)	NR	
Edwards et al. (1998)	2 WDAE, one due to familial rhabdomyolysis (it is not clear in I or P group) and 1 due to	
	lightheadedness, insomnia, fatigue (which is not clear this patient has received imiquimod 5% or 1%)	
Beutner, Tyring et al. (1998)	Headache I = $27/92(29)$ , P = $30/92(33)$ p = $0.0892$	
	Upper respiratory tract infection I = $13/92(14)$ , P = $25/92(27)$ p = $0.053$	
Gilson et al.(1999)	Diarrhea I = $18.5\%$ P = $5.7\%$	
	Herpes simplex infection I = $12.3\%$ , P = $8.6\%$	
Beutner, Spmance et al. (1998)	NR	
Syed et al. (1998)	Nausea I = 2, $P = 0$	
Syed et al. (2000)	NR	
Arican et al. (2004)	Influenza-like symptoms $I = 1$ , $P = 0$	

WDAE = Withdrawal Due to Adverse Events, NR = Not Reported, I = Imiquimod, P = Placebo

Table 7: Summary of Gilson et al. (1999) randomized controlled trial using imiquimod for treatment of anogenital warts in HIV-infected patients

Trial	Benefit I = Imiquimod 5%; P = Placebo	Harm
Gilson et al. (1999)	Complete clearance and no recurrence	Mortality not reported
16 weeks Rx and possibility	I = 7/65 (11%)	SAE not reported
of extended treatment for	P = 2/35 (6%)	Total withdrawals $I = 27/65$ (42%); $P = 20/35$ (57%)
8 additional weeks	p = not significant	Severe adverse events $I = 3/65$ (1 severe pain at wart site,
12 weeks FU	New wart lesions	1 severe pruritis and burning at wart site and 1 required circumcision
N = 100	I = 12/62 (19%)	after swelling and soreness of the prepuce and glans).
M = 97	P = 7/30 (23%)	Total WDAE, I = 1/65 (1.5%); P = 1/35 (2.8%)
F = 3	p = not significant	Total AE, I = 45/62(69%); P = 23/30(66%)
Imiquimod applied for 8±2 h	3/12 lesions in imiquimod	At least one moderate to severe adverse event
every other day	group and none in the placebo	I = 34/62(52%); P = 23/30 (66%)
followed by two consecutive	group cleared.	Three most frequent adverse events Application site reaction (pmritis,
days without treatment,	p = not significant	pain and soreness at wart site)
three times a week for a		I = 15.4%, $P = 20%$ ( $p = NS$ )
maximum of 16 weeks		Diarrhea
		I = 18.5% P = 5.7% (p = NS)
		Herpes Simplex infection
		I = 12.3%; $P = 8.6%$ ( $p = NS$ )
		HIV disease progression-5 patients receiving 5% imiquimod; 0 in
		P  group  (p = NS)

 $FU = Follow\ Up,\ ARR = Absolute\ Risk\ Reduction,\ NNT = Number\ Needed\ to\ Treat,\ WDAE = Withdrawal\ Due\ to\ Adverse\ Events,\ AE = Adverse\ Events,\ SAE = Serious\ Adverse\ Events,\ NR = Not\ Reported,\ NS = Not\ Significant$ 

### DISCUSSION

- There are no head to head RCTs comparing imiquimod with other existing therapies in the treatment of EGW in adult patients.
- None of the trials reported mortality. Serious adverse event and other adverse event reporting was inadequate in most trials
- There are no trials using the recommended dose and duration of imiquimod with a long-term follow up data. Two trials using the recommended drug regimen had a short follow-up period of 12 weeks. Three trials using imiquimod 5% but not the recommended frequency or duration of treatment had a follow up period of 10-24 weeks. Two trials (Syed et al., 1998, 2000) with a follow up period of 11 and 17 months, respectively compared 2% imiquimod with placebo treatment for duration of 4-6 weeks, which is not the recommended dose, or duration of treatment as stated in the product monograph.
- None of the trials have clearly stated the practical method of distinguishing new wart lesions from recurrence of the previous lesion. Also data of new lesions have not been reported in detail in many trials and this could potentially weaken the validity of the reported data on recurrence rate in both imiquimod and placebo groups.
- Another relatively minor limitation regarding AE is the fact that in some of the trials the total numbers of patients with application site reactions are not reported (e.g., these are divided into several categories in Edwards et al. (1998). Some patients may experience more than one reaction

In the only available RCT in HIV-infected patients using the recommended drug regimen with short-term follow up period of 12 weeks, recurrence rate has not been reported so complete clearance rate with no recurrence could not be calculated. There was no significant difference between imiquimod and placebo-treated patients in terms of complete clearance rate.

# **CONCLUSIONS**

### Imiquimod versus other standard therapy

 There are no available RCTs comparing the effect of imiquimod with other existing therapies for the treatment of external genital/perianal warts in adult patients. Therefore, the effectiveness of imiquimod over other existing therapies for the treatment of external genital/perianal warts has not been established.

# Imiquimod versus placebo

• There is evidence from one published randomized controlled trial (N = 885) that imiquimod 5% cream applied three times a week for a maximum duration of 16 weeks provides a short-term efficacy advantage compared to placebo in terms of complete clearance rate with no recurrence during 12 weeks of follow-up (ARR = 27%, NNT = 4) in immunocompetent adult patients with external genital or perianal warts/condyloma accuminata. Serious adverse events were not reported. There was a significant increase in local adverse events in the imiquimod

- group compared to placebo-erythema (ARI = 43%, NNH = 2); erosion (ARI = 24%, NNH = 4); excoriation (ARI = 22%, NNH = 5); edema (ARI = 15%, NNH = 7); scabbing (ARI = 13%, NNH = 8); induration (ARI = 6%, NNH = 17) (Edwards *et al.*, 1998).
- One published randomized controlled trial (N = 100) with 12 weeks follow up showed that imiquimod 5% cream applied three times a week for a maximum duration of 16 weeks was not statistically significantly different compared to placebo in terms of complete clearance rate in adult HIV-infected patients with external genital and perianal warts/condyloma accuminata. Complete clearance rate with no recurrence could not be calculated in this trial (Gilson et al., 1999). Mortality and serious adverse events were not reported. There was no significant difference between imiquimod and placebo groups in other adverse events.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The Therapeutics Initiative, based in the Department of Anesthesiology, Pharmacology and Therapeutics, University of British Columbia, is funded by a grant from the British Columbia Ministry of Health Services. Authors thank Stephen Adams for retrieval of the required articles for this review, Dr. Lorri Puil and all other Drug Assessment Working Group (DAWG) members of Therapeutics Initiative.

### REFERENCES

- Aldara<sup>™</sup> product monograph, 3m Pharmaceuticals, June 2004.
- Arican, O., F. Guneri, K. Bilgic and A. Karaoglu, 2004. Topical imiquimod 5% cream in external anogenital warts: A randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled study. J. Dermatol., 31: 627-631.
- Beutner, K., S. Spruance, A. Hougham, T. Fox, M. Owens and J. Douglas, 1998. Treatment of genital warts with an immune-response modifier (imiquimod). J. Am. Acad. Dermatol., 38: 230-239.
- Coleman, N., H.D. Birley, A.M. Renton, N.F. Hanna, B.K. Ryait, M. Byrne, D. Taylor-Robinson and M.A. Stanley, 1994. Immunological events in regressing genital warts. Am. J. Clin. Pathol., 102: 768-774.
- Daling, J.R., N.S. Weiss, T.G. Hislop, C. Maden, R.J. Coates, K.J. Sherman, R.L.M. Ashley, Beagrie, J.A. Ryan and L. Corey, 1987. Sexual practices, sexually transmitted diseases and the incidence of anal cancer. N. Engl. J. Med., 317: 973.

- Edwards, L., A. Ferenczy, L. Eron, D. Baker, M. Owens, T. Fox, A. Hougham and K. Schmitt and the HPV Study Group, 1998. Self-administered topical 5% imiquimod cream for external anogenital warts. Arch. Dermatol., 134: 25-30.
- Ferenczy, A., 1998. Immune response modifiers: Iimiquimod. J. Ob. Gyn., 18: Supp 2; S76-78.
- Fife, K.H., A. Ferenczy, J.M. Douglas, D.R. Jr. Brown, M. Smith and M.L. Owens, 2001. HPV Study Group. Treatment of external genital warts in men using 5% imiquimod cream applied three times a week, once daily, twice daily, or three times a day. Sex. Transmit. Dis., 28: 226-231.
- Gilson, R.J, J.L. Shupack, A.E. Friedman-Kien, M.A. Conant, J.N. Weber, A.T. Nayagam, R.V. Swann, D.C. Pietig, M.H. Smith and M.L. Owens, 1999. A randomized, controlled, safety study using imiquimod for the topical treatment of anogenital warts in HIV-infected patients. Imiquimod Study Group AIDS., 13: 2397-404.
- Gollnick, H., R. Barasso, U. Jappe, K. Ward, A. Eul, M. Carey-Yard and K. Milde, 2001. Safety and efficacy of imiquimod 5% cream in the treatment of penile genital warts in uncircumcised men when applied three times weekly or once per day. Int. J. STD. AIDS., 12: 22-28.
- Habif, Clinical Dermatology, 4th Edn., 2004 Mosby, Inc. Genital Wart, pp. 336-342.
- Hemmi, H., T. Kaisho, O. Takeuchi, S. Sato, H. Sanjo, K. Hoshino, T. Horiuchi, H. Tomizawa, K. Takeda and S. Akira, 2002. Small anti-viral compounds activate immune cells via the TLR7 MyD88-dependent signaling pathway. Nat. Immunol., 2: 196-200.
- Hurwitz, D.J., L. Pincus and T.S. Kupper, 2003. Imiquimod: A topically applied link between innate and acquired immunity. Arch. Dermatol., 139: 1347-1350.
- Koliopoulos, G., P. Martin-Hirsch, E. Paraskevaidis and M. Arbyn, 2005. HPV testing versus cervical cytology for screening for cancer of the uterine cervix (Protocol). The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2005; Vol. 1.
- Macnab, J.C., S.A. Walkinshaw, J.W. Cordiner and J.B. Clements, 1986. Human papillomavirus in clinically and histologically normal tissue of patients with genital cancer. N. Engl. J. Med., 315: 1052.
- Perry, C.M. and H.M. Lamb, 1999. Topical Imiquimod: A Review of its Use in Genital Warts. Drugs, 58: 375-390.
- Sanclemente, G. and D.K. Gill, 2002. Human Papillomavirus molecular biology and pathogenesis. J. Eur. Acad. Dermatol. Venereol., 16: 231-240.

- Sellors, J.W., T.L. Karwalajtys, J. Kaczorowski, J.B. Mahony, A. Lytwyn, S. Chong, J. Sparrow and A. Lorincz, 2003. Survey of HPV in Ontario Women Group. Incidence, clearance and predictors of human papillomavirus infection in women C.M.A.J., 168: 421-425.
- Sellors, J.W., J.B. Mahony, J. Kaczorowski, A. Lytwyn, H. Bangura, S. Chong, A. Lorincz, D.M. Dalby, V. Janjusevic and J.L. Keller, 2000. Prevalence and predictors of human papillomavirus infection in women in Ontario, Canada. Survey of HPV in Ontario Women (SHOW) Group C.M.A. J., 163: 503-508.
- Sexually Transmitted Diseases Treatment Guidelines, 2002. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. Recommendations and Reports 2002; 51: 55 available at http://www.cdc.gov/std/treatment/rr 5106.pdf
- Stone, K.M., 1995. Human papillamovirus infection and general warts: Update on epidemiology and treatment. Clin. Infect. Dis., 20(Suppl 1): S91-97.
- Syed, T., O.A. Ahmadpour, S.A. Ahmad and S.H. Ahmad, 1998. Management of female genital warts with an analog of imiquimod 2% in cream: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. J. Dermatol., 25: 429-433.

- Syed, T.A., S.M. Hadi, Z.A. Qureshi, S.M. Ali and M.S. Kwah, 2000. Treatment of external genital warts in men with imiquimod 2% in cream. A placebocontrolled, double-blind study. J. Infect., 41: 148-151.
- Ting, P.T. and M.T. Dytoc, 2004. Therapy of external anogenital warts and molluscum contagiosum: A literature review. Dermatol. Ther., 17: 68-101.
- Trofatter, K.F., A. Ferenzy and K.H. Fife, 2002. HPV Study Group, Increased frequency of dosing of imiquimod 5% in the treatment of external genital warts in women. Intl. J. Gyn. Ob., 76: 191-193
- Tyring, S.K., I. Arany, M.A. Stanley, M.A. Tomai, R.L. Miller, M.H. Smith, D.J. McDermott and H.B. Slade, 1998. A randomized, controlled, molecular study of condylomata acuminata clearance during treatment with imiquimod. J. Infect. Dis., 178: 551-555.