



International Journal of Pharmacology

ISSN 1811-7775

science
alert

ansinet
Asian Network for Scientific Information

Effects of *Anethum chryseum* Leaves Extracts on Gastric Irritation

Ali M. Bahrami and Valadi Ali
School of Veterinary Sciences, Ilam University Ilam, Iran

Abstract: As a folk remedy, *Anethum chryseum* leaves is used for some gastrointestinal ailments. We aimed to evaluate aqueous and ethanolic extracts of anti-ulcer and acute toxicity effects of the *Anethum chryseum* in mice. Gastric mucosal lesions were induced by oral administration of HCl (1 N) and absolute ethanol in mice. The acidity and total acid content of gastric juice were measured in pylorus-ligated mice. LD₅₀ values of the aqueous and ethanolic extracts were 3.04 g kg⁻¹ i.p., (1.5, 6.16) and 6.98 g kg⁻¹, i.p., (5.69, 8.56), respectively. The efficacy of high dose of extracts (p.o.) was similar to sucralfate. The acidity and total acid content were reduced by the orally or intraperitoneally administration of the extracts. The results suggest that *A. chryseum* leaves extracts have significant mucosal protective and antisecretory effects of the gastric mucosa in mice.

Key words: *Anethum chryseum*, gastric mucosal, antisecretory

INTRODUCTION

Nature has served as a rich repository of medicinal plants for thousands of years and an impressive number of modern drugs have been isolated from natural sources, notably of plant origin (Cowan, 1999).

Anethum chryseum is a sparse looking plant with feathery leaves and tiny yellow flowers. Some pharmacological effects have been reported, such as: antimicrobial (Chaurasia and Jain, 2003; Delaquis *et al.*, 2002) and antihypercholesterolaemic activities (Yazdanparast and Alav, 2001). Cancer-preventive actions (Block, 2003), stimulation of phagocytotic function of macrophages and lymphocyte proliferation (Tadi *et al.*, 2000). The cardiovascular-protective effects of *A. chryseum* have been evaluated extensively in recent years (Rios and Recios, 2005). In animal experiments, garlic extracts have been shown antibacterial and phytochemical effect (Kaur and Arora, 2008). As a folk remedy, *A. chryseum* is considered for some gastrointestinal ailments such as flatulence, indigestion, stomachache and colic (Duke, 2001). *Anethum chryseum* fruit has an antispasmodic effect on the smooth muscles of the gastrointestinal tract (Fleming, 2000). For that purpose, we planned to research about the antisecretory and mucosal protective effects of *A. chryseum* leaves extracts were evaluated in mice.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This project was conducted in Medical Research Laboratory, University of Ilam, during August 2008-May 2009.

Animals: Male albino BALB/c mice 25-35 g were obtained from the animal house of School of Pharmacy, Ilam University of Medical Sciences. Animals were housed in colony room 12/12 h light/dark cycle at 24±1°C. After 24 h fasting, the mice were used for the experiments but were allowed drinking water during the 24 h fasting period. All animal experiments were carried out in accordance with Ilam University of Medical Sciences, Ethical Committee acts.

Plant material: The seed was collected at Ilam (a town in Ilam Province, the Western of Iran). All samples collected were dried in shade and then powdered. Ilam University properly identified the plant and voucher samples were preserved for reference in the herbarium of Department of Pharmacognosy, School of Pharmacy, Tehran (293-0107-18).

The preparation of extracts: The seed powder was extracted using maceration with ethanol (80 v/v) or water for 3 days and, subsequently, the mixture was filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure (by a rotaevaporator) at 40°C. The yield (w/w) of the aqueous and ethanolic extracts was 6.46 and 8.5%, respectively.

HCl or ethanol-induced mucosal membrane lesions: Gastric mucosal lesions were induced by the modified method of Mizui and Doteuchi (2006). The mice were divided into groups of 6 animals. After 24 h fasting, the extracts and drugs were administered orally to the mice. Thirty minutes thereafter, each mouse received 0.2 mL of 1 N HCl or absolute ethanol by oral administration. The

60 min after administration of the necrotizing agent, each animal was killed by ether and the stomach was excised, inflated by injecting 2 mL of normal saline and then fixed for 30 min in 5% formalin solution. After opening along the greater curvature, HCl induced gastric damage was observed in the gastric mucosa as elongated black-red lines parallel to the long axis of the stomach of the mice. The lesion index was determined as the sum of erosion length per mouse (Sun *et al.*, 1991). Ethanol induced lesion was assessed and scored for severity according to, (0) absence of lesion, (1) superficial 1-5 hemorrhagic points, (2) superficial 6-10 hemorrhagic points, (3) submucosal hemorrhagic lesions with small erosions and (4) severe hemorrhagic lesion and some invasive lesions.

Antisecretory study: One hour after extract or test drug treatment, mice were anesthetized (xylazine 10 mg kg⁻¹ plus ketamine 100 mg kg⁻¹, i.p.) and the pylorus was ligated. The animals were killed 3 h later and their stomach content was drained into a tube which was centrifuged 2000 rpm for 10 min. The pH was recorded with a digital pH meter. Total acid content of gastric secretion was determined by titration against 0.05 N NaOH (Sertie *et al.*, 2000).

ED₅₀ values: ED₅₀ values and the corresponding confidence limits were determined by the Litchfield and Wilcoxon method (PHARM/PCS Version 4).

Acute toxicity: Different doses of extracts were injected intraperitoneally into groups of six mice. The number of death was counted at 24 h after treatment. LD₅₀ values and the corresponding confidence limits were determined by the Litchfield and Wilcoxon method (PHARM/PCS Version 6).

Statistical analysis: The data were expressed as mean values±SEM and tested with analysis of variance followed by the multiple comparison test of Tukey-Kramer. Ethanol induced lesion was assessed by Dunn's test.

RESULTS

The maximum non-fatal doses of aqueous and ethanolic extracts were 0.47 and 4 g kg⁻¹ (i.p.), respectively. LD₅₀ values of the aqueous and ethanolic extracts were 2.94 g kg⁻¹, i.p. (1.5-6.16) and 6.98 g kg⁻¹, i.p. (5.69-8.56), respectively.

The aqueous of *A. chryseum* leaves significantly decreased the occurrence of gastric lesions induced by

HCl [ED₅₀ values were 0.12 g kg⁻¹ (0.18-7.88) (Table 1) and ethanolic extracts (1.12 g kg⁻¹ (0.81-1.55)], (Table 2) and induced by ethanol (ED₅₀ values were 0.34 g kg⁻¹ (0.26-0.43) (Table 3) and 1.73 g kg⁻¹ (0.43-7.05), respectively) (Table 4).

The potency ratio of the aqueous extract versus the ethanolic extract was significant (p<0.05). At a high dose (4 g kg⁻¹), the protection of the ethanolic extract against ethanol induced lesion was also equal to sucralfate.

All the extracts showed anti-ulcer activity in a dose-dependent manner.

The oral and intraperitoneal administrations of both extracts induced a significant decrease in total gastric acid together with an increase in pH values. These effects were dose-dependent.

Table 1: Effects of the oral administration of *Anethum chryseum* leaves aqueous extract and sucralfate on the cytoprotective action against HCl-induced (1 N) gastric lesions in mice

Treatment	Dose (g kg ⁻¹)	Inhibition (%)
Saline	0.25 mL	-
Extract	0.05	37.0±2.13***
Extract	0.19	58.0±1.21***
Extract	0.33	66.0±1.57***
Extract	0.47	69.0±1.6***
Sucralfate	0.10	75.2±1.22***

Values are the Mean±SEM of 6 mice, ***p<0.001

Table 2: Effects of the oral administration of *Anethum chryseum* leaves ethanolic extract and sucralfate on the cytoprotective action against HCl-induced (1 N) gastric lesions in mice

Treatment	Dose (g kg ⁻¹)	Inhibition (%)
Saline	0.25 mL	-
Extract	0.55	38±1.4***
Extract	2.50	57±1.1***
Extract	3.00	67±1.21***
Extract	4.00	76±2.09***
Sucralfate	0.10	72±1.17***

Values are the Mean±SEM of 6 mice, ***p<0.001

Table 3: Effects of the oral administration of *Anethum chryseum* leaves aqueous extract and sucralfate on the cytoprotective action against absolute ethanol-induced gastric lesions in mice

Treatment	Dose (g kg ⁻¹)	Lesion score
Saline	0.25 mL	4.0±0
Extract	0.05	3.5±0.3
Extract	0.19	3.0±0.3
Extract	0.33	2.0±0.4**
Extract	0.47	1.5±0.4***
Sucralfate	0.10	0.5±0.4***

Values are the Mean±SEM of 6 mice, ***p<0.01

Table 4: Effects of the oral administration of *Anethum chryseum* leaves ethanolic extract and sucralfate on the cytoprotective action against absolute ethanol-induced gastric lesions in mice

Treatment	Dose (g kg ⁻¹)	Lesion score
Saline	0.25 mL	4.00±0
Extract	0.5	3.50±0.4
Extract	2.5	2.50±0.3
Extract	3.0	1.45±0.3**
Extract	4.0	0.45±0.4***
Sucralfate	0.1	0.50±0.4***

Values are the Mean±SEM of 6 mice, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001

Table 5: Effects of the oral administration of *Anethum chryseum* leaves aqueous extract and cimetidine on the pH and total gastric acid from pylorus-ligated mice

Treatment	Dose (g kg ⁻¹)	pH	μEq H ⁺
Saline	0.25 mL	3.37±0.13	20.83±2.04
Extract	0.05	3.59±0.04***	12.50±0***
Extract	0.19	3.65±0.08***	12.50±0***
Extract	0.33	3.83±0.09***	10.41±1.21***
Extract	0.47	4.45±0.10***	5.41±1.02***
Cimetidine	0.032	4.54±0.11***	5.00±0***

Values are the Mean±SEM of 6 mice, ***p<0.001, Compared to control, Tukey-Kramer

Table 6: Effects of the oral administration of *Anethum chryseum* leaves ethanolic extract and cimetidine on the pH and total gastric acid from pylorus-ligated mice

Treatment	Dose (g kg ⁻¹)	pH	μEq H ⁺
Saline	0.25 mL	3.46±0.13	20.83±2.04
Extract	0.5	4.01±0.08***	7.50±0***
Extract	2.5	4.14±0.06***	5.83±1.29***
Extract	3.0	4.30±0.11***	5.00±0***
Extract	4.5	4.60±0.08***	4.58±1.21***
Cimetidine	0.032	4.54±0.11***	5.00±0***

Values are the Mean±SEM of 6 mice, ***p<0.001, compared to control, Tukey-Kramer

Table 7: Effects of the intraperitoneal administration of *Anethum chryseum* leaves aqueous extract and cimetidine on the pH and total gastric acid from pylorus-ligated mice

Treatment	Dose (g kg ⁻¹)	pH	μEq H ⁺
Saline	0.25 mL	3.73±0.09	20.00±0
Extract	0.05	3.96±0.08***	7.08±1.88***
Extract	0.19	4.43±0.09***	5.00±0***
Extract	0.33	5.04±0.17***	2.50±0***
Extract	0.47	5.58±0.11***	2.50±0***
Cimetidine	0.032	6.23±0.06***	2.50±0***

Values are the Mean±SEM of 6 mice, ***p<0.001, compared to control, Tukey-Kramer

Table 8: Effects of the intraperitoneal administration of *Anethum chryseum* leaves ethanolic extract and cimetidine on the pH and total gastric acid from pylorus-ligated mice

Treatment	Dose (g kg ⁻¹)	pH	μEq H ⁺
Saline	0.25 mL	3.76±0.08	20.0±0
Extract	0.5	4.45±0.07***	5.0±0***
Extract	2.5	5.05±0.06***	5.0±0***
Extract	3	5.51±0.17***	2.5±0***
Extract	4.5	6.07±0.05***	2.5±0***
Cimetidine	0.03	6.23±0.07***	2.5±0***

Values are the Mean±SEM of 6 mice, ***p<0.001, compared to control, Tukey-Kramer

Both extracts with higher doses showed antisecretory activity as effective as cimetidine. The ED₅₀ values of the aqueous and ethanolic extracts oral treatment were 0.17 g kg⁻¹ (0.43-2.04) (Table 5) and 0.07 g kg⁻¹ (0.03-0.15), respectively (Table 6).

The ED₅₀ values of the aqueous and ethanolic extracts intraperitoneal treatment were 0.02 g kg⁻¹ (0.01-0.03) (Table 7) and 0.03 g kg⁻¹ (0.01-0.08), respectively (Table 8). The potency ratio of the aqueous extract versus the ethanolic extract was not significant in these tests.

DISCUSSION

These results indicate that the extracts of *A. chryseum* leaves have effective antisecretory and anti-ulcer activity against HCl- and ethanol-induced stomach lesions.

In respect to LD₅₀ values, the aqueous extract was more toxic than the ethanolic extract. Compare with a toxicity classification (Loomis, 1968; Kaur and Arora, 2008), the aqueous and ethanolic extracts are relatively and little toxic, respectively. As high doses of ethanolic extract was used in this study, in clinical trial the toxicity of *A. chryseum* should be considered.

The oral administration of the extracts diminished HCl-induced gastric lesions in mice. This may be related to an antacid effect or cytoprotective properties in gastric mucus. The cytoprotective action against ethanol showed that the effects of extracts are not a simple acid neutralizing activity but the leaves extracts have a cytoprotective effect against the gastric mucosa in ethanol-induced gastric lesion in mice.

It is possible that the inhibitory effects of extracts are due, at least partly, to the presence of terpenes in *A. chryseum* (Bouwmeester *et al.*, 1995; Faber *et al.*, 1997; Derman *et al.*, 2009). Terpenes were associated to antiulcerogenic activity in other plants (Matsunaga *et al.*, 2000; Hiruma-Lima *et al.*, 2001). Some triterpenes are known as antiulcer drugs and their action has been suggested to be due to the activation of cellular protection, reduction of mucosal prostaglandins metabolism-cytoprotective action and reduction of gastric vascular permeability (Sertie *et al.*, 2000).

Flavonoids have antiulcer and gastroprotective activities (Alvarez *et al.*, 1999). The aqueous extracts of *Phoradendron crassifolium* and *Franserio artemisioides* that contain polyphenolic agents exerted cytoprotective activity in rats (Gonzales *et al.*, 2000). Two flavonoids have been isolated from *A. chryseum* leaves, quercetin 3-O-beta-D-glucuronide and isoharmentin 3-O-beta-D-glucuronide, have antioxidant activity and could counteract with free radicals. This effect may help to prevent ulcer peptic (Moehle *et al.*, 1985; Mahran *et al.*, 1999).

The extracts provoked a marked decrease in total gastric acid together with an increase in pH values. As the fruit of *A. chryseum* has an antispasmodic effect on the smooth muscles of the gastrointestinal tract (Fleming, 2000), it is possible that both extracts act via cholinergic system (an anticholinergic activity) and block release of HCl. At this stage, other mechanisms such as H₂ receptor antagonist effect or the inhibition of gastric H⁺, K⁺-ATPase can not be excluded.

In respect to the ED₅₀ values, the aqueous extract was more potent than the ethanolic extract in HCl- or ethanol-induced stomach lesions. However, both extracts showed similar potency in reduction total gastric acid together with an increase in pH values. This may be related to the extracts different mechanisms of action. The ED₅₀ values of extracts were much lower than their LD₅₀ values.

In conclusion, *A. chryseum* leaves markedly inhibits acid secretion and the occurrence of lesions in stomach but exact mechanisms are not clear yet and need further investigations.

CONCLUSION

The results suggest that *A. chryseum* leaves extracts have significant mucosal protective and antisecretory effects of the gastric mucosa in mice.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research has been done by supporting from the Ilan university under financial contract No. 32456778 in Mar 2008.

REFERENCES

- Alvarez, A., F. Pomar, M.A. Sevilla and M.J. Montero, 1999. Gastric antisecretory and antiulcer activities of an ethanolic extract of *Bidens pilosa* L. var. *Radiata* Schult Bip. *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 67: 333-340.
- Block, E., 2003. The chemistry of *Anethum*. *Sci. Am.*, 252: 114-119.
- Bouwmeester, H.J., J.A.R. Davies and H. Toxopeus, 1995. Enantiomeric composition of carvone, limonene and carveols in seeds of dill and annual and biennial caraway varieties. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 43: 3057-3064.
- Chaurasia, S.C. and P.C. Jain, 2003. Antibacterial activity of essential oils of four medicinal plants. *Indian J. Hospital Pharm.*, 15: 166-168.
- Cowan, M.M., 1999. Plant products as antimicrobial agents. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.*, 12: 564-582.
- Delaquis, P.J., K. Stanich, B. Girard and G. Mazza, 2002. Antimicrobial activity of individual and mixed fractions of dill, cilantro, coriander and eucalyptus essential oils. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, 74: 101-109.
- Derman, R., J.D. Kohles and A. Babbitt, 2009. Gastrointestinal tolerability with ibandronate after previous weekly bisphosphonate treatment. *Original Res.*, 4: 357-365.
- Duke, J.A., 2001. *Handbook of Medical Herbs*. CRC Press, London, pp: 42-45.
- Faber, B., K. Bangert and A. Mosandl, 1997. GC-IRMS and enantioselective analysis in biochemical studies in dill (*Anethum graveolens* L.). *Flav. Frag. J.*, 12: 305-314.
- Fleming, T., 2000. *PDR for Herbal Medicines*. Medical Economics Company, New Jersey, pp: 252-253.
- Gonzales, E., I. Iglesias, E. Carretero and A. Villar, 2000. Gastric cytoprotection of Bolivian medicinal plants. *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 70: 329-333.
- Hiruma-Lima, C.A., J.S. Gracioso, W. Toma, A.B. Almeida and A.C.B. Paula *et al.*, 2001. Gastroprotective effect of aparisthman, a diterpene isolated from *Aparisthium cordatum*, on experimental gastric ulcer models in rats and mice. *Phytochemistry*, 8: 94-100.
- Kaur, G.J. and D. Arora, 2008. Antibacterial and phytochemical screening of *Anethum graveolens*. pp: 33-45
- Loomis, T.A., 1968. *Essential of Toxicology*. Lea and Febiger. Philadelphia, pp: 67-78.
- Mahran, G.H., H.A. Kadry, C.K. Thabet, M.M. Al-Azizi and N. Liv, 1999. GC/MS analysis of volatile oil of fruits of *Anethum graveolens*. *Int. J. Pharmacol.*, 30: 139-144.
- Matsunaga, T., C. Hasegawa, T. Kawasuji, H. Suzuki and H. Saito, 2000. Isolation of the antiulcer compound in essential oil from the leaves of *Cryptomeria japonica*. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.*, 23: 595-598.
- Mizui, T. and M. Doteuchi, 2006. Effect of polyanines on acidified ethanol-induced gastric lesions in rats. *Jap. J. Pharmacol.*, 33: 930-945.
- Moehle, B., W. Heller and E. Wellmann, 1985. UV-induced biosynthesis of quercetin 3-O-beta- D- glucuronide in dill *Anethum graveolens* cell cultures. *Phytochemistry*, 24: 465-468.
- Rios, R.L. and M.C. Recios, 2005. Medicinal plants and antimicrobial activity. *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 100: 80-84.
- Sertie, J.A.A., J.C.T. Carvalho and S. Panizza, 2000. Antiulcer activity of the crude extract from the leaves of *Casearia sylvestris*. *Pharmac. Biol.*, 38: 12-18.
- Sun, B., T. Matsumoto and H. Kiyohara, 1991. Cytoprotective activity of peptic polysaccharides from the root of *Panax ginseng*. *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 31: 101-107.
- Tadi, P.P., R.W. Teel and B.H.S. Lau, 2000. Anticandidal and anticarcinogenic potentials of garlic. *Int. Clin. Nutr. Rev.*, 10: 423-429.
- Yazdanparast, R. and M. Alavi, 2001. Antihyperlipidaemic and antihypercholesterolaemic effects of *Anethum graveolens* leaves after the removal of furocoumarins. *Cytobios*, 105: 185-191.