



International Journal of Pharmacology

ISSN 1811-7775

science
alert

ansinet
Asian Network for Scientific Information

A Comprehensive Review of Antibiotics in Clinical Trials for Inflammatory Bowel Disease

^{1,2}A.H. Abdolghaffari, ^{3,4}S. Nikfar, ⁵H.R. Rahimi and ⁵M. Abdollahi

¹Department of Pharmacology, Institute of Medicinal Plants, ACECR, Tehran, Iran

²International Campus, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

³Department of Pharmacoeconomics and Pharmaceutical Administration, Faculty of Pharmacy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁴Food and Drug Laboratory Research Center, Food and Drug Organization, Ministry of Health and Medical Education, Tehran, Iran

⁵Department of Toxicology and Pharmacology, Faculty of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Abstract: Although, positive role of special bacteria in induction of Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD) including Ulcerative Colitis (UC) and Crohn's Disease (CD) have been demonstrated in several studies but the consensus on etiology of IBD and beneficial effect of antibiotics has not been reached yet. And, also, no well-designed clinical trials in this regard have been done yet. This review focuses on various clinical trials which have been done in according to beneficial use of antibiotics in UC and CD from 1978 to date. For this purpose, all electronic databases such as PubMed, Scopus, Google Scholar and Cochrane library were searched. The results of clinical trials suggested that metronidazole, ciprofloxacin or the combinations of these antibiotics are effective in CD. However, ciprofloxacin is the first choice, because it has good coverage on gram negative and anaerobic bacterium which plays an important role in CD. However, there is a controversy on the use of antibiotics in UC and the efficacy of them in long-term treatment of UC is still in doubt. Various antibiotics such as anti-tuberculosis, macrolides (clarithromycin), fluoroquinolones, 5-nitroimidazoles, rifaximin, rifamycin derivatives (rifampin), aminoglycosides (tobramycin), rifabutin, clofazimine, tetracyclines (tetracycline and doxycycline) and vancomycin have been under attention of researchers in the recent years. Furthermore, other antibiotics with lower cost and adverse effects, effectiveness and availability are the third generation of cephalosporins and gentamicin and also penicillin or clindamycin that should be evaluated in future studies.

Key words: Inflammatory bowel disease, ulcerative colitis, Crohn's disease, clinical trial, antibiotics

INTRODUCTION

Inflammatory bowel diseases: Inflammatory Bowel Diseases (IBD), including Crohn's Disease (CD) and Ulcerative Colitis (UC) are characterized by gastrointestinal (GI) inflammation and some extra-intestinal indicators such as liver complications, arthritis, skin manifestations and eye problems (Williams *et al.*, 2008). Site and nature of the inflammatory changes are the main differences between CD and UC (Table 1), in which CD can attack any portion of the GI tract from mouth to anus (skip lesions) and affect the whole bowel wall but a majority of the cases start in the terminal ileum, while, UC is restricted to the mucosa and epithelial lining of the gut, colon and the rectum (Walsh *et al.*, 2011).

IBD considered in the past in the developed countries but their prevalence within developing countries has been increasing in the recent years. Also, if the prevalence of CD and UC is quite similar in developed countries such as North America, South America, Europe, Australia and New Zealand, however, there may be differences in developing countries such as Pakistan and India in which much less extra intestinal disease with both UC and CD has been reported. In Pakistan, few patients have perianal or fistulizing disease, however, the age of presentation of CD in India is a decade later than in the West, colonic involvement is also more common and fistulization appears less common in India (Bernstein *et al.*, 2010). The incidence of IBD peaks in two age groups: mainly the third decade, with a smaller peak

Table 1: Summary of the main differences between ulcerative colitis (UD) and Crohn's disease (CD)

Pathophysiology		Symptoms		Management	
CD	UC	CD	UC	CD	UC
Widely regarded as an autoimmune disease	No consensus of autoimmune disease	Defecation is often porridge-like and sometimes steatorrhea, tenesmus is less common but fever and fistulae are common	Defecation is often mucus-like and with blood, tenesmus is more common and fever and fistulae are seen in severe disease	Mesalazine is less useful	Mesalazine is more useful
Cytokine response is associated with T _H 17	Cytokine response is ambiguous associated with T _H 2	Weight loss is often	Weight loss is more seldom	Antibiotics are effective in long-term	Antibiotics are generally not useful

in the seventh decade. In adults, the prevalence of CD is higher among women but equal in both genders for UC (Kappelman *et al.*, 2007). Furthermore, the incidence of CD varies from 0.7 to 14.6 individuals per 100,000 inhabitants, whereas the prevalence of UC varies from 1.5-24.5 individuals per 100,000 inhabitants. About 1.4 million people in the United States (US) suffer from IBD (Carbonnel *et al.*, 2009; Lakatos, 2006). Therefore, different treating guideline should be considered in various around of the world that needs further studies.

IBD can induce significant intestinal signs including diarrhea typically having blood and mucus, abdominal pain, vomiting and weight loss (Bernstein *et al.*, 2010). Therefore, IBD patients are usually incapable to do their daily works, common relations and experiences (Al-Qabandi *et al.*, 2011). Although, the etiology of IBD still remains unknown but the role of genetic, environment, immune response dysregulation, intestinal microbes and Oxidative Stress (OS) have been already demonstrated (Bernstein *et al.*, 2010; Rezaie *et al.*, 2007; Salari and Abdollahi, 2009). Some studies suggest that infection is the main etiology but distinctive microbes have not been isolated yet (Krisner, 1988). It has been suggested that CD parallels with Johne's disease in cattle which is due to *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies Paratuberculosis (MAP). However, today this hypothesis is not acceptable because MAP is not the only bacterium that is associated with CD and other bacterial strains including *Yersinia enterocolitica*, *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *Listeria* and cell wall deficient pseudomonas are also important (Dalziel, 1913; Sartor, 2005). These organisms as a cause for IBD are still challenging and the evidences are poor but it is still believed that CD and UC are resulted from an irregular immunological reaction to gut microbiota in susceptible host (Abraham and Cho, 2009). It has been demonstrated that the concentration of intestinal microbes in IBD is higher than normal and increases progressively with the severity of the disease (Rahimi *et al.*, 2007a). This is important that bowel inflammation does not occur without change in gut bacterial flora as proved in experimental models of colitis (Sellon *et al.*, 1998). In the healthy gut, there is a cooperative connection between the host and the gut bacterial flora in which exposure leads to

down-regulation of inflammatory genes and inhibiting the immune response of the gut to other pathogens (Hanauer, 2006). Metronidazole, ciprofloxacin or their combinations are commonly used by most clinicians as first-line treatment in patients with perianal disease, in combination with surgical drainage of abscesses (Baumgart, 2012). Therefore, the beneficial role of antibiotics in IBD has been discussed in this review. For this purpose, databases of PubMed, Google Scholar and Scopus were searched from 1978 to February 2012 for clinical trials conducted on UC and CD patients. The search terms were inflammatory bowel disease, IBD, ulcerative colitis, UC, Crohn's disease, CD, antibiotic, antimicrobial agents, antiparasitic agents, antimycobacterials agents, β -lactams, penicillins, cephalosporins, ketolides, carbapenems, tetracyclines, macrolides, aminoglycosides, nitroimidazoles and fluoroquinolones. The search strategy was limited to clinical trials and English language.

Pathophysiology of IBD: The pathology of CD is characterized by an intermittent inflammation and skips lesions of transmural bowel wall that can develop to fibrosis, strictures and fistulas. Though, these lesions can occur in any area of the GI tract, they usually take place within the ileum. UC is restricted to the colon and rectum and it involves inflammation of the bowel wall mucosa and submucosa and the lesions can range as far as the cecum (Walsh *et al.*, 2011).

While the etiology of IBD is not well known, however, the role of genetic predisposition, environmental triggers, bacteria, Oxidative Stress (OS) and dysregulation of the immune response cannot be ignored (Rezaie *et al.*, 2007; Hanauer, 2006; Danese *et al.*, 2004). Studies have determined that genetic background can predispose a subset of IBD patients to the progress of disease (Mathew and Lewis, 2004). Studies on genome have discovered more than 40 susceptibility loci for IBD, some of them are distinct for UC or CD and some of them are linked with both (Hakonarson and Grant, 2009). The IBD1 gene encoding the protein NOD2 (also called CARD15) in CD, OCTN1/2 within the IBD5 locus in CD and UC, ATG16L in CD, IRGM1 in CD and IL23R in CD and UC can be noted (Mayer, 2010). Studies have indicated that

defects in NOD2 or OCTN1/2 affect the ability of the host to restrict and eliminate microbes that gain access to gut tissue (Mathew and Lewis, 2004). Interestingly inflammation and lesions generally occur in intestinal regions with the highest bacterial concentration and the patients with IBD typically have greater numbers of adherent bacteria compared to normal subject (Rahimi *et al.*, 2007a; Thompson-Chagoyan *et al.*, 2005). Intestinal lamina propria contains intestinal epithelia and inflammatory cells which make available an innate immune protection for the GI tract that equilibrates the requirement for immune tolerance of microbiota with the defense against microbial pathogens. Dysfunction at the epithelial border (for example disturbed mucus layer, imperfect tight junctions) may cause failure of tolerance. This dysfunction can trigger innate immune cells, causing them to secrete several cytokines and chemokines to the host commensal microbiota (Abraham and Cho, 2009; Mayer, 2010). It is thought that other immunological factors downstream antigen recognition including over-activity of effector lymphocytes and pro-inflammatory cytokines, failure of regulatory lymphocytes and anti-inflammatory cytokines to control inflammation and resistance of T-cells to apoptosis (Bamias *et al.*, 2005). Several studies have demonstrated that Toll-like Receptors (TLRs) represent key mediators of innate host defense in the intestine, involved in maintaining mucosal as well as commensal homeostasis. Recent studies in various experimental models of colitis have helped to reveal the mechanistic importance of TLR dysfunction in IBD pathogenesis. It has been demonstrated that environment, genetics and host immunity form a multidimensional and highly interactive regulatory triad controls TLR function in the intestinal mucosa. Imbalance between these factors may promote aberrant TLR signaling, significantly contributing to acute and chronic intestinal inflammatory processes in IBD (Cario, 2010).

Drugs used in the treatment of IBD: Medical treatment of IBD is rapidly developing with introduction of new biological agents that are likely to modify future therapeutic approaches (Walsh *et al.*, 2011). Main objectives of current drug treatments are to maintain the patient in remission and ameliorate the disease's secondary effects, rather than modifying or reversing the underlying pathogenic mechanism (Pithadia and Jain, 2011).

Many drugs and drug classes are available to manage both UC and CD, including 5-amino salicylate (5-ASA) (Nikfar *et al.*, 2009; Rahimi *et al.*, 2009a), corticosteroids (Rahimi *et al.*, 2007b), immunosuppressive agents and anti tumor necrosis factor (TNF- α) (Rahimi *et al.*, 2007c; Nikfar *et al.*, 2010a;

Amini-Shirazi *et al.*, 2009), antioxidants (Ebrahimi *et al.*, 2008; Khoshakhlagh *et al.*, 2007), probiotics (Rahimi *et al.*, 2008a; Elahi *et al.*, 2008; Nikfar *et al.*, 2010b), antibiotics (Loftus *et al.*, 2008; Feagan *et al.*, 2007) and some herbal medicines as supplemental therapy (Rahimi *et al.*, 2009b; Rahimi *et al.*, 2010; Abdolghaffari *et al.*, 2010; Hasani-Ranjbar *et al.*, 2009).

For management of mild to moderate IBD, salicylates are used although they are not without adverse effects. For management of moderate to severe IBD, glucocorticoides and immunosuppressive drugs are usually used (Rahimi *et al.*, 2009b). New biological agents target TNF and adhesion proteins for inflammatory cell translocation. However, anti-TNF therapy may increase the risk of infection (Rutgeerts *et al.*, 2009; Lakatos and Miheller, 2010; Nikfar *et al.*, 2010b) and thus must be used with many caution and as the last options. Antibiotics are recommended in CD patients with perianal disease and fistulas and also in the treatment of *C difficile* infection (Prantera and Scribano, 2009). Although, antibiotics are often recommended to induce remission in mild to moderate CD, they are also effective for handling fistulas, bacterial overgrowth, abdominal abscesses and infections around the anus and genital areas (Pithadia and Jain, 2011).

Intestinal microflora can be changed by administering antibiotics and prebiotics that contain three species of *Bifidobacterium*, 4 species of *Lactobacillus* and *Streptococcus salivarius* (Prantera and Scribano, 2009; Travis *et al.*, 2006) or probiotics (beneficial bacteria) or the combination of these methods (synbiotics). Studies support the protective role of probiotics and prebiotics in IBD or its complications (Salari *et al.*, 2012; Ghasemi-Niri *et al.*, 2011; Hedin *et al.*, 2010; Nikfar *et al.*, 2008; Rahimi *et al.*, 2008b; Alivanis *et al.*, 2010; Jamalifar *et al.*, 2011) and even in irritable bowel syndrome (Hosseini *et al.*, 2012) and therefore, they can be more effective in combination to antibiotics.

Generally, we can divide the treatment and management of IBD into five steps:

- Administration of oral aminosalicylate including sulfasalazine, mesalamine, balsalazide and olsalazine
- Antibiotics including ciprofloxacin, metronidazole, antituberculosis therapy, macrolides, fluoroquinolones, 5-nitroimidazoles and rifaximin (alone or in combination) can be used. However, the adverse effects of antibiotics including nausea, anorexia, diarrhea and monilial (candidal) infections, peripheral neuropathy (mostly observed with metronidazole) should be concerned in each individual

- Administration of corticosteroids such as intravenous (i.v.) methylprednisolone oral or rectal budesonide (Alivanis *et al.*, 2010; Li *et al.*, 2008) can be considered
- Administration of immune modifiers including 6-mercaptopurine or azathioprine (1-2 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹), infliximab (5 mg kg⁻¹ at 0, 2 and 6 weeks, followed by 5 mg kg⁻¹ every 8 weeks thereafter), adalimumab and natalizumab should be considered (Ford *et al.*, 2011; Lichtenstein *et al.*, 2006) with adequate care
- Administration of methotrexate (12.5-25 mg week⁻¹, p.o. or IM), thalidomide (50-300 mg day⁻¹, p.o.) and IL-11 (1 mg week⁻¹, SC) in CD and cyclosporine A (i.v., 2-4 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹) butyrate enema (rectal, 200 mL day⁻¹) and heparin (SC, 20,000 U day⁻¹) in UC (Lichtenstein *et al.*, 2006) should be considered

The common bacteria in IBD: Several studies have shown differences in bacterial diversity between healthy individuals and IBD patients. Overall the result of these studies have shown evidence for a decline in bacterial diversity, an increase in fungal and a decrease in methanogen diversity in GI tract of IBD patients but they could not identify specific bacterial or fungal communities for this disease yet (Scanlan and Marchesi, 2008; Franke *et al.*, 2008; Frank *et al.*, 2007; Kuehbachner *et al.*, 2006; Ott *et al.*, 2008; Scanlan *et al.*, 2008). Increase of Enterobacteria in patient with IBD has been previously shown (Kotlowski *et al.*, 2007). *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*, *Chlamydia pneumoniae*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Clostridium difficile*, *Campylobacter jejuni* and adherent invasive *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) have all been shown to be potentially infectious organisms in the development of IBD (Reiff and Kelly, 2010; Berg *et al.*, 2012; O'Hara *et al.*, 2012). Other bacteria which have a positive role in induction of IBD in patients are indicated in Table 2. Some studies have shown that an increase in mucosal populations of adherent invasive *E. coli* which were found prevalent in CD is the strongest evidence of a specific pathogen in human IBD (Sasaki *et al.*, 2007; Rhodes, 2007; Darfeuille-Michaud *et al.*, 2004).

Commensal microorganisms live with their hosts and are essential for development of a healthy gut. The role of commensal bacteria is to facilitate digestion, absorption and storage of nutrients as well as protection against pathogen colonization through competition for nutrients, secretion antimicrobial substances and micro-niche exclusion. In addition, commensal bacteria promote angiogenesis and development of the intestinal epithelium and have been shown necessary for normal development

and function of the immune system (Artis, 2008). Overactive immune response towards commensal bacteria causes initiation and development of IBD. In fact, microbial balance is crucial to protect against bad gram negative pathogens, such as *E. coli* and *Pseudomonas* (Reiff and Kelly, 2010). Consequently, strong evidence from animal models recommends that the development of IBD is impossible in the presence of normal enteric flora (Triantafyllidis *et al.*, 2011).

Application of antibiotics in IBD: Most of studies that have indicated the role of special bacteria in induction of IBD, are not well designed. Most of existing clinical trials had small sample size (16 to 213 subjects), short duration (2 to 24 weeks) and methodological bias. In patients with IBD, the rate of Bacteroides, *Escherichia coli* and Enterococci increase in the bowel while Lactobacilli and Bifidobacteria decrease that necessitate use of antibiotics (Triantafyllidis *et al.*, 2011; Pithadia and Jain, 2011).

Some studies demonstrated that a decrease in mucosal peptide antibiotics (defensins) could be involved in the pathogenesis of IBD. Defensins are antimicrobial peptides made at a range of epithelial exteriors that their highest function is to retain equilibrium between protection from pathogens and tolerance to normal flora. It has been advised that diminished expression of defensins compromises host immunity resulting in inflammation. This deficiency may be due to change in the intracellular transcription of NF- κ B and the intracellular peptidoglycan receptor NOD2. The beneficial effect of antibiotics in CD patients exhibits the theory of the presence of weakened mucosal antibacterial motion. Although recent studies suggest that defensin deficiency might be a result of mucosal surface damage in inflammatory course, it still needs to be clarified by further studies (Triantafyllidis *et al.*, 2011; Wehkamp *et al.*, 2005; Ramasundara *et al.*, 2009; Fellerman *et al.*, 2003).

Generally, antibiotic can be used in IBD for numerous aims including as an assistant in the company of other medicines for handling of active IBD, as a management for a definite impediment of CD and as prophylaxis for disease relapse in postoperative CD (Triantafyllidis *et al.*, 2011). The conditions that use of antibiotics cannot be declined include (*C. difficile* and so forth) (Sartor, 2004):

- Phlegmon of intra-abdominal, hepatic or perianal abscesses and inflammation
- Fistulae (perianal, enteroenteric, enterocolonic, enterocutaneous and enterovesical)
- Anal fissures
- Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth secondary to strictures, loss of ileocecal valve, enteroenteric and enterocolonic fistulae

Table 2. Possible bacterium which has a positive role in IBD

Bacterium	Antibiotic of choice	Alternative	Comments	References
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Third generation of cephalosporin	First to second generation of cephalosporin, GM	Allergic reaction including anaphylaxis, urticaria, serum sickness, rash, diarrhea and fever may be induced with cephalosporins. Gram-negative organisms including <i>E. coli</i> have reported high susceptibility to AM and third-generation cephalosporin's and low susceptibility to AMP, GM and CTX. Therefore, the use of CTX or AMP as the first choice in prophylactic and empirical treatment should be reconsidered	Yoon <i>et al.</i> (2011) and Koda-Kimble <i>et al.</i> (2009)
<i>Clostridium difficile</i>	MTZ	VCM, RFX, FDX	MTZ and VCM are equally effective for the treatment of mild CDAD but VCM is superior for treating patients with severe CDAD. FDX is better or have equal effect as VCM. Ototoxicity, nephrotoxicity and hypotension, flushing adverse effect induced with VCM should be concerned	Tasley <i>et al.</i> (1983), Zar <i>et al.</i> (2007)
<i>Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis</i>	RFB+Macrolide (CLR or AZ)	ND	MAP is not susceptible to antituberculosis drugs in which can generally kill <i>M. tuberculosis</i>	Epstein and Golan (2012) and Koda-Kimble <i>et al.</i> (2009)
<i>Chlamydia pneumoniae</i>	DOX	ERM, TE	MAP is not susceptible to antituberculosis drugs in which can generally kill <i>M. tuberculosis</i>	Gui <i>et al.</i> (1997)
<i>Chlamydia Trachomatis</i>	DOX	ERM, AZ	Rash, fever, anaphylaxis, urticaria, nausea, photosensitivity, hepatitis and diarrhea may be induced with TE administration. TE should be avoided in pediatrics, pregnancy, breast feeding and in patients who have lower renal function, however, less adverse effect induced with DOX	Burillo and Bouza (2010), and Koda-Kimble <i>et al.</i> (2009)
<i>Yersinia enterocolitica</i>	DOX DOX+AMG	CTX, FQ, CTX and CL	AZ has less nausea problem than ERM	Koda-Kimble <i>et al.</i> (2009)
<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>	AMP+GM, AMC and CL	CTX, AZ, VCM, ERM, TE	<i>Y. enterocolitica</i> is usually resistant to PEN-G, AMP and CET due to beta-lactamase production	Bottone (1997)
<i>Campylobacter jejuni</i>	FQ, ERM	TE, CIP, ERM, AZ or NRF	CTX has been shown good respond in PEN allergic patients	Ruiz-Bolivar <i>et al.</i> (2011)
<i>Fusobacterium varium</i>	PEN and AMX	2011 MITZ, TE and CL	Nearly 90% of <i>C. jejuni</i> infection is responded to CIP treatment. Replacement of electrolyte and fluid may be required for serious infection	Maragkoudakis <i>et al.</i> (2011)

No serious drug-related toxicity was observed during the 2-week antibiotic (AMX, MTZ and TE) combination therapy against *F. varium* in patients with chronic or active UC. However, the risk of nausea, vomiting, burning stomach, cholestatic jaundice and ototoxicity should be evaluated in administration of CL.

AM: Amikacin, GM: Gentamicin, CTX: Cotrimoxazol, AMP: Ampicillin, MITZ: Metronidazole, VCM: Vancomycin, RFX: Rifaximin, FDX: Fidaxomicin, CDAD: Clostridium difficile associated diarrhea, ND: Not determined, ERM: Erythromycin, RFB: Rifabutin, MAP: *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis*, DOX: Doxycycline, CLR: Clarithromycin, TE: Tetracycline, AZ: Azithromycin, AMG: Aminoglycoside, AMC: Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, FQ: Fluoroquinolones, CTX: Ceftriaxone, CAM: Chloramphenicol, CIP: Ciprofloxacin, CL: Clindamycin, PEN: Penicillin, NRF: Norfloxacin, CET: Cephalotin, AMX: Amoxicillin

- Postoperative infections
- Toxic megacolon
- Secondary infections

Mechanisms of action of antibiotics in IBD include (Sartor, 2004):

- Reduction of luminal and adherent mucosal bacterial foci
- Selective elimination of pathogenic luminal bacteria
- Reduction of tissue invasion, microabscesses and secondary bacterial proliferation adjacent to mucosal ulcers and fistulae
- Reduction of bacterial translocation and systemic dissemination of possible bacteria

The use of antibiotics as principal or adjuvant treatment of UC and CD is still debated. Even though the systemic use of antibiotics against IBD can act against enteric commensal bacteria but controlled clinical trials support use of these agents (Sartor, 2004).

Diverse factors may be measured in IBD clinical trials include CD activity index (CDAI) and Serum Orosomucoid (SO) which can be measured to estimate the improvement of disease activity (mean CDAI; 217; range, 160-305) (Colombel *et al.*, 1999). Other factors include median pre-treatment Harvey Bradshaw index (HBI) (9; range 5-16) and median serum C-reactive protein (CRP) (21.5 mg L^{-1} ; range <5-117) and production of provocative cytokines (Leiper *et al.*, 2000).

Antibiotics in CD; current clinical trials: In recent times, several clinical trials have been published on the use of broad-spectrum antibiotics in CD patients. The antibiotic used so far in patients with CD include metronidazole, rifaximin, clarithromycin, ciprofloxacin, clofazimine and anti-tuberculosis drugs. Ciprofloxacin and metronidazole or their combination are considered as standard for prompting remission in CD while ciprofloxacin is the first choice because it has good coverage on gram negative and anaerobic bacteria such as *E. coli* (Sreedhar *et al.*, 2008). A systematic review and meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs) by Khan *et al.* (2011) showed the benefit of antibiotics in treatment of IBD. They were effective in both remission of active CD (Relative Risk (RR) of active disease not in remission was 0.85) and conservation of CD (RR of relapse was 0.62) (Khan *et al.*, 2011). Anti-tuberculosis, macrolides, fluoroquinolones, 5-nitroimidazoles, rifaximin and rifamycin

derivatives were tested in this study (Khan *et al.*, 2011). Cotrimoxazole and tetracycline are other antibiotics were also used for CD (Triantafillidis *et al.*, 2011).

As we mentioned in Table 3, rifaximin as a rifamycin analog with a broad spectrum of activity in form of rifaximin-extended intestinal release has been used in CD and the results showed improvement in remission as CDAI reduced. Also metronidazole was used in CD as monotherapy or in combination with ciprofloxacin and cotrimoxazole in several clinical trials successfully and led to remission and decrease of CDAI. Results of the clinical trials demonstrated that ciprofloxacin is an effective drug in a proportion of patients with active CD mainly located in the colon. Clarithromycin as a broad-spectrum antibiotic has been used in CD but it was found ineffective in active CD. It is notable that use of clarithromycin in combination with rifabutin has been good in decreasing CDAI. Although, it has been shown that antituberculosis compounds are ineffective in CD but rifabutin in combination with clofazimine and clarithromycin reduced inflammation in CD.

Further clinical trial studies about antibiotic therapy in CD are summarized in Table 3.

Antibiotics in UC; current clinical trials: In comparison to CD, there are a few studies about the advantageous of antibiotics in UC where they seem ineffective for long-term treatment (Table 1) in spite of implicating of bacteria such as Enterococci, Peptostreptococci and Enterobacteria which live on the lining of the bowel being involved in UC. The failure of antibiotics may possibly return to poor identification of involved bacteria in UC and unsuitable pick of antibiotics. Nevertheless, most of studies have reported the benefit of ciprofloxacin, metronidazole and tobramycin in UC (Mantzaris *et al.*, 1994, 2004; Madden *et al.*, 1994; Chiba *et al.*, 2011).

As we mentioned in Table 4, use of amoxicillin in combination with tetracycline and metronidazole in UC improved clinical symptoms, reduced Clinical Activity Index (CAI) and retained disease in remission. Furthermore, use of amoxicillin with clavulanic reduced production of inflammatory cytokines. Ciprofloxacin has been investigated in patients with UC with diverse results and needs further studies but in overall it seems effective. Rifaximin was also studied in patients with UC and showed no significant differences in clinical efficacy however it improved stool frequency, rectal bleeding and sigmoidoscopic score. Investigations demonstrated that use of metronidazole in UC in conjunction with

Table 3: Summary of clinical trials of antibiotic therapy in CD

Study design	Interventions	Duration	Adjunctive therapy	N	Outcome	References
Double blind randomized controlled trial	RFX-EIR (800, 1600 and 2200 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.)	12 weeks	Stable dose of Mesalamine, Thiopurines, MTX (12.5-25 mg week ⁻¹ , p.o. or IMD), Probiotics and Anti-diartheas	402	Improvement in remission rate of 62, 54 and 47% with different antibiotic doses of 400, 800 and 1200 mg, respectively, has been achieved. Dose 800 mg also induced remission with few adverse events	Prantera <i>et al.</i> (2012)
Randomized controlled trial	MTZ (10% ointment, 2.1 g day ⁻¹ , Perianal) vs. placebo	4 weeks	Stable dose of 5-ASA, corticosteroids, MTX, antibiotics, CS (2-4 mg kg ⁻¹ day ⁻¹ , i.v.) and IFX	74	A reduction in perianal CDAI score of at least 5 points in antibiotic group was recorded	Maeda <i>et al.</i> (2010)
Randomized controlled trial	MTZ (1000 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), CIP (1000 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.) vs. placebo	10 weeks	AZ and 6-MP, MTX, MMF, Steroids (Conventional Corticosteroids or BUD)	10 (CIP) and 7 (MTZ)	Improvement in remission rate occurred in 3 patients (30%) with CIP but no patients (0%) with MTZ	Thia <i>et al.</i> (2009)
Randomized controlled trial	CLR (1 g day ⁻¹ , p.o.) vs. placebo	3 months	PDN (>10 mg) or >BUD (3 mg for more than a month), AZ or 6-MP at least 3 months, 5-ASA for at least 1 month (at stable dose)	41	No significant difference in improvement of remission (26%) and response (27%) rates or CDAI ((35 (80) for CLR and -2 (114) for placebo) were recorded at 3 months of antibiotic therapy	Leper <i>et al.</i> (2008)
Non randomized open label study	RFB (300 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), CLR (500 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.) and CLO (100 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.)	6 months	ND	20	Clinical remission, clinical improvement and endoscopic improvement were evaluated; however, no outcome was reported. It remains at phase 4 of clinical trial	Koch (2007)
Randomized controlled trial	RFB (600 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), CFZ (100 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.) and CLR (1 g day ⁻¹ , p.o.)	6 months	Mesalazine, PDN, AZ, BS, OLZ, Zinc, Iron tablets, MTX and NAG	52	Reduction in inflammation (38.5%) and scarring healing (56.4%) occurred in CD patients to 9 years	Borody <i>et al.</i> (2007)
Randomized controlled trial	CLR (750 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), RFB (450 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), CFZ (50 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.) vs. placebo	16 weeks	PDN (40 mg day ⁻¹), AZ/6-MP, 5-ASA	213	Improvement in remission rate (66%) by using this combination therapy for up to 2 years	Selby <i>et al.</i> (2007)
Open label randomized controlled trial	CLR (400 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.)	4-24 weeks	AZ	14	Clinical improvement in 57.1 and 42.9% of patient occurred within 4 and 12 weeks, respectively. Furthermore, remission remained after 24 weeks	Inoue <i>et al.</i> (2007)
Randomized controlled trial	RFX (800-1600 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.) vs. placebo	12 weeks	Immunosuppressors and 5-ASA derivatives	83	Improvement in remission and response rates were achieved vs. placebo	Prantera <i>et al.</i> (2006)
Randomized controlled trial	CIP (1000 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.)	12 weeks	IFX (5 mg kg ⁻¹ at weeks 6, 8 and 12, i.v.)	13	In the CIP group, 10 different genera MOs were identified, while 13 genera could be identified in the placebo group, therefore, antibiotic treatment should be directed toward these MOs	West <i>et al.</i> (2005)
Open label study	RFX (600 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.)	16 weeks	Corticosteroids, 6-MP or AZ	29	Reduction in CDAI score of 43% was achieved at the end of month 4	Shafraan and Johnson (2005)

Table 3: Continue

Study design	Interventions	Duration	Adjunctive therapy	N	Outcome	References
Randomized controlled trial	CIP (1000 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.) vs. placebo	12 weeks	IFX 5-mg kg ⁻¹ in week 6, 8 and 12	24	Reduction in number of draining fistulae of 73% occurred in antibiotic group at 18 week. Improvement in the perianal CGAI score was recorded in antibiotic group	West <i>et al.</i> (2004)
Randomized controlled trial	CIP (500-1000 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), MTZ (1000-1500 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.)	8 weeks	AZ (2-2.5 mg kg ⁻¹ day ⁻¹)	52	Complete healing (25%) occurred in antibiotic group and 50% of patients responded to treatment at week 8. Furthermore, reduction in the perianal CDAI was recorded.	Dejaco <i>et al.</i> (2003)
Double blind trial	CIP (1000 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), MTZ (1000 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.)	8 weeks	BUD (9 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.)	134	No effective intervention was recorded when CIP and MTZ was added to budesonide, however, this antibiotic combination improved outcome (53%) when there is involvement of the colon vs. placebo (25%)	Steinhart <i>et al.</i> (2002)
Randomized controlled trial	CIP (1000 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.) vs. placebo	6 months	6-MP or AZ	47	Reduction in CDAI scores occurred in antibiotic group (112) vs. placebo (205)	Arnold <i>et al.</i> (2002)
Randomized controlled trial	CLR (500 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), RFB (300 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.)	4-17 months	Probiotic	36	Reduction in CDAI by 58.3% occurred in CD patients and they had no need to other medications	Shafraan <i>et al.</i> (2002)
Randomized controlled trial	CLR (1000 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), ETB (15 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.)	3 months	ND	31	No difference was seen between the treatment or placebo groups in the mean HBI	Goodgame <i>et al.</i> (2001)
Uncontrolled open label study	CLR (500 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.)	4-12 weeks	PDN (10 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), 5-ASA, AZ	25	Reduction in HBI (5 (range 0-18)) and CRP (17 mg L ⁻¹ (range<5-157)) By 4 weeks antibiotic therapy. However, the median HBI was 5(range 0-18) and median CRP was 14.5 mg L ⁻¹ (range<5-157) by 12 weeks	Leiper <i>et al.</i> (2000)
Randomized controlled trial	CIP (1 g day ⁻¹ , p.o.)	6 weeks	Mesalazine (Pentasa 4 g day ⁻¹ , p.o.)	40	Improvement in remission was achieved in 10 patients (56%) treated with antibiotic and 12 patients (53%) treated with mesalazine, therefore, CIP 1 g day ⁻¹ is as effective as mesalazine 4 g day ⁻¹ in treating mild to moderate flare-up of CD	Colombel <i>et al.</i> (1999)
Randomized controlled trial	Antituberculous treatment (Following standard treatment including 5-ASA preparations, Steroids, Immunosuppressants and Antibiotics)	2 years, patient followed for 5 years	Various combinations of 5-ASA preparations, Steroids, Immunosuppressant, Antibiotics in routine administration way	130	No beneficial or disadvantage evidence of antituberculous chemotherapy was recorded	Thomas <i>et al.</i> (1998)
Uncontrolled study	CIP (1000 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), MTZ (750 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.)	10 weeks	PDN (15 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), 5-ASA	72	Improvement in clinical remission (68%) and clinical response (76%) occurred	Greenbloom <i>et al.</i> (1998)
Clinical practice, few controlled trials	CIP (1000 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), MTZ (1000 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.)	12 weeks	MP (0.7-1 mg kg ⁻¹ day ⁻¹)	41	Improvement in clinical remission of 45.5% in antibiotic group and 63% with steroid group was obtained. Reduction in CDAI (<or = 150) occurred	Prantera <i>et al.</i> (1996)

Table 3: Continue

Study design	Interventions	Duration	Adjunctive therapy	N	Outcome	References
Randomized controlled trial	REP (450 mg day ⁻¹ for patients weighing less than 50 kg and 600 mg for those 50 kg or more), INH (300 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), ETB (15 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.) vs. placebo	12 months	PDN	130	No differences in body weight, CDAI, albumin blood values, hemoglobin, white cell count and platelets were recorded between groups	Swift <i>et al.</i> (1994)
Randomized controlled trial	REP (600 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), ETB (15 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), DDS (100 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o. for 6 days per week), CFZ (100 mg/2day, p.o.) vs. placebo	2 months	PDN (0.7-1 mg kg ⁻¹ day ⁻¹)	40	No endoscopic or radiologic healing occurred. Furthermore, improvement in clinical symptoms and maintenance of remission were achieved in CD patients	Prantera <i>et al.</i> (1994)
Randomized controlled trial	FA (1500 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.)	8 weeks	PDN, SSZ or Mesalazine	8	Improvement of 63% (5 patients) occurred during FA treatment, 3 at two weeks and 2 after four weeks	Langholz <i>et al.</i> (1992)
Double blind cross over trial	MTZ (20 mg kg ⁻¹ day ⁻¹ or 10 mg kg ⁻¹ day ⁻¹ , p.o.) vs. placebo	16 weeks	ND	105	Reduction in CDAI.	Sutherland <i>et al.</i> (1991)
Randomized controlled trial	CFZ (100 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.) vs. placebo	8 months	PDN (40 mg day ⁻¹)	49	Improvement in remission rate (32.65%) and reduction of CDAI was recorded	Atthah <i>et al.</i> (1991)
Randomized controlled trial	VN, (1800-2400 mL day ⁻¹ , p.o.), FM (2000 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), COL (6 mega U/d, p.o.) and NYS (4 mega U/d, p.o.)	10 days	PDN (0.5 mg kg ⁻¹ day ⁻¹ , p.o.)	37	Improvement of 43.24% was achieved in antibiotic plus elemental diet group (16 patients) and also reduction in CDAI, ESR and fecal granulocyte excretion were recorded	Saveerunni <i>et al.</i> (1985)
Prospective randomized trial	MTZ (800 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.)+CTX (1920 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.) vs. placebo	1 month	ND	72	No significant effect on fecal flora or hematologic parameters including hemoglobin, albumin, CRP was recorded; therefore, antibiotics have little therapeutic potential for relapse of intestinal CD	Ambrose <i>et al.</i> (1985)
Randomized controlled trial	ETB (15 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.)+RFP (10 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.) vs. placebo	2 years	PDN (Mean dose 8.2 mg, range 2.5-30 mg day ⁻¹), SSZ (1.5-4 g day ⁻¹)	27	No significant difference was recorded.	Shaffer <i>et al.</i> (1984)
Double blind cross over trial	MTZ (800 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.)	4 months	SSZ	78	Reduction in CDAI and orosomucoid plasma level was recorded; Data show slightly more effectiveness of antibiotic therapy than SSZ	Ursing <i>et al.</i> (1982)
Double blind cross over trial	MTZ (1000 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.)	2 months	ND	22	No significant effect was recorded on the overall clinical condition in patients; however, reduction in hemoglobin rose and ESR occurred during antibiotic treatment.	Blichfeldt <i>et al.</i> (1978)

RFX-EIR: Rifaximin-extended intestinal release, PO: Per oral, IV: Intravenous, N: Number of patients, AMX: Amoxicillin, TE: Tetracycline, MTZ: Metronidazole, CIP: Ciprofloxacin, MTX: Methotrexate, S-ASA: Aminosalicylates, CS: Cyclosporin, IFX: Infliximab, AZ: Azathioprine, 6-MP: 6-mercaptopurine, ND: Not determined, MMF: Mycophenolate mofetil, PDN: Prednisolone, BUD: Budesonide, RFX: Rifaximin, CLR: Clarithromycin, OLZ: Olsalazine, NAG: N-acetyl glucosamine, BS: Bismuth subcitrate, RFB: Rifabutin, CFZ: Clofazimine, MP: Methylprednisolone, MO: microorganism, ETB: Ethambutol, HBI: Harvey Bradshaw index, CDAI: Crohn's disease activity index, RFP: Rifampicin, CLO: Clofazimine, INH: Isoniazid, DDS: Dapsone, FA: Fusidic acid (an antibiotic with T-cell specific immunosuppressive effects similar to those of cyclosporin); VN: Vivonex, FM: Franyx, NYS: Nystatin, SSZ: Sulfasalazine, COL: Collistin, NYS: Nystatin, SSZ: Sulfasalazine, CTX: Cotrimoxazol

Table 4: Summary of clinical trials of antibiotic therapy in UC

Study design	Interventions	Duration	Adjunctive therapy	N	Outcome	References
Randomized controlled trial	AMX (1500 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), TE (1500 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), MTZ (750 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.)	2 weeks	Mesalamine (4 g day ⁻¹ , p.o.)	48	Improvement in clinical symptoms (54.2-75.0%), remission rate (31.3-37.5%), endoscopic (56.3%) and histological evaluation (52.1%) and steroid withdrawal in steroid-dependent UC patients 6 and 12 months after antibiotic treatment	Terao <i>et al.</i> (2011)
Randomized controlled trial	AMX (1500 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), TE (1500 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), MTZ (750 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.)	2 weeks	PDN (10-30 mg day ⁻¹ (i.v. or p.o.))	25	CAI and endoscopic score were significantly decreased 3 and 12 months after antibiotic treatment. At 12 months histological scores were also significantly decreased	Uehara <i>et al.</i> (2010)
Double blind placebo controlled multicenter trial	AMX (1500 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), TE (1500 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), MTZ (750 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.) vs placebo	2 weeks	5-ASA, SSZ, Steroids and Probiotics	105	Improvement in remission rates (19.0%) and endoscopic scores were recorded with antibiotics vs. placebo (15.8%) at 3 month	Ohkusa <i>et al.</i> (2010)
Randomized controlled trial	AMX (1500 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), TE (1500 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), MTZ (750 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.)	2 weeks	ND	49	Improvement in clinical symptoms and endoscopic scores was recorded 3 months after antibiotic treatment. Reduction in cytokine levels (IL-8), CRP and MIP-1 was also recorded	Sato <i>et al.</i> (2009)
Randomized controlled trial	AMX (1500 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), TE (1500 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), MTZ (750 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.)	2 weeks	ND	20	Improvement in clinical assessment, colonoscopic and histological scores and reduction in terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism and only <i>F. varium</i> was recorded with antibiotic treatment	Nomura <i>et al.</i> (2005)
Randomized controlled trial	AMX (1500 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), TE (1500 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), MTZ (750 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.)	2 weeks	SSZ (2 g day ⁻¹ , p.o.), 5-ASA, PDN and/or Probiotics at a stable dose	20	Improvement in clinical activity, endoscopic and histological scores and remission rate was recorded with antibiotics vs. the control group	Ohkusa <i>et al.</i> (2005)
Randomized controlled trial	CIP (800 mg day ⁻¹ , i.v.) vs. placebo	10 days	HCT (400 mg day ⁻¹ , i.v.), Enema	55	No significant augmentation was achieved with antibiotic and corticosteroids therapy in acute or severe UC patients	Mantzaris <i>et al.</i> (2001)
Randomized controlled trial	RFX (500 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.) vs. placebo	10 days	Steroids tapered	28	Reduction in stool frequency, rectal bleeding and sigmoidoscopic scores occurred by antibiotic	Gionchetti <i>et al.</i> (1999)
Randomized controlled trial	AMC (1500+750 mg day ⁻¹ , respectively, p.o.) vs. placebo	5 days	MP (40 mg day ⁻¹ , i.v.)	30	Reduction in HBT excretion and cytokine (IL-8) production or other inflammatory mediators was recorded with antibiotic treatment	Casellas <i>et al.</i> (1998)
Randomized controlled trial	CIP (1000-1500 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.) vs. placebo	6 months	PDN (0.75 mg kg ⁻¹ for 4 weeks and was continued at 0.5 mg kg ⁻¹ during the next 4 weeks, 0.25 mg kg ⁻¹ up to 12 weeks), Rectal steroids, Mesalamine (1600 mg day ⁻¹)	83	Improvement in endoscopic and histological findings was recorded by antibiotic at 3 months but not at 6 months (with treatment rate failure of 21%)	Turunen <i>et al.</i> (1998)
Randomized controlled trial	CIP (500 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.) vs. placebo	14 days	PDN (initial dose 20 or 40 mg for mild and moderately active ulcerative colitis, respectively), BMZ (2 g day ⁻¹ , Enemas) for 7-9 weeks and OLZ (1 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.)	70	Improvement in remission rate (34.2%) was recorded	Mantzaris <i>et al.</i> (1997)

Table 4: Continue

Study design	Interventions	Duration	Adjunctive therapy	N	Outcome	References
Randomized controlled trial	MTZ (1500 mg, i.v.), TOB (4 mg kg ⁻¹ , i.v.)	10 days	HCT (400 mg day ⁻¹ , i.v.) and HCT (200 mg day ⁻¹ , Enemas)	39	No significant improvement in remission rate was achieved with antibiotic treatment (24 patients (70.5%)) vs. placebo (26 patients (72%))	Mantzaris <i>et al.</i> (1994)
Randomized controlled trial	TOB (360 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.) vs. placebo	7 days	PDN (60 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), PDN (30 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.), HCT (200 mg day ⁻¹ , Enema)	81	No significant difference in the relapse rates was achieved in two groups	Lobo <i>et al.</i> (1993)
Randomized controlled trial	TOB (360 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.) vs. placebo	7 days	SSZ, HCT enemas, PDN (30-60 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.) or intravenous equivalent of HCT/d	84	Improvement in symptomatic remission (74%) and histological scores was recorded with antibiotic treatment	Burke <i>et al.</i> (1990)
Randomized controlled trial	MTZ (1500 mg day ⁻¹ , i.v.) vs. placebo,	5 days	MP (64 mg day ⁻¹ , i.v.), HCT (200 mg day ⁻¹ , Enema)	39	Improvement in remission rate (74%) with antibiotic treatment was achieved at the end of five days	Chapman <i>et al.</i> (1986)
Randomized controlled trial	VCM (2000 mg day ⁻¹ , i.v.) vs. placebo	7 days	PDN (40 mg day ⁻¹ , p.o.)	40 (33 UC, 7 CD)	Reduction of operative intervention requirement in UC patients occurred	Dickinson <i>et al.</i> (1985)

PO: Per oral, IV: Intravenous, N: Number of patients, SD: Study design, AMX: Amoxicillin, TE: Tetracycline, MTZ: Metronidazole, CAI: Clinical activity index, CIP: Ciprofloxacin, PDN: Prednisolone, HCT: Hydrocortisone, SSZ: Sulfasalazine, RFX: Rifaximin, AMC: Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, MP: Methylprednisolone, BMZ: betamethasone, OLZ: Olsalazine, TOB: Tobramycin, VCM: Vancomycin, MIP-1: Macrophage inflammatory protein-1, CRP: C-reactive protein, IL: interleukin, HBT: HBT (hydrogen breath test) is a simple and non-invasive test for clinical diagnosis of IBD patients which is performed after a short period of fasting (typically 8-12 hours), EC: enteric-coated

corticosteroids produced no better results when compared with placebo plus corticosteroids in inducing remission. It was reported that use of metronidazole in combination with tobramycin showed no significant improvement in remission rate.

Further clinical trials about antibiotic therapy in UC are summarized in Table 4.

CONCLUSIONS

Taking collectively, undesirable effects of aerobic and anaerobic bacteria in etiology of IBD cannot be neglected (Artis, 2008) and thus it is not surprising to see usefulness of antibiotics in active UC or CD and also in relapsing quiescent CD (Pineton de Chambrun *et al.*, 2008). Antibiotics when used with 5-ASAs or corticosteroids or probiotics or immunosuppressive showed better effects than monotherapy. Metronidazole, ciprofloxacin, anti tuberculosis or the combinations of these antibiotics seem effective in CD. In UC, concurrent use of amoxicillin, tetracycline and metronidazole keeps patients in remission much better than monotherapy. New antibiotics such as anti-tuberculosis, macrolides clarithromycin, fluoroquinolones, 5-nitroimidazoles, rifaximin, rifamycin derivatives (rifampin), aminoglycosides (tobramycin), rifabutin, clofazimine, tetracyclines (tetracycline and doxycycline) and vancomycin have shown some benefits in UC and CD. Up to now, most of supports go to use of ciprofloxacin and metronidazole. Rifaximin has also received good supports in management of CD. Regarding pharmacoeconomics essentials, low cost and toxicity antibiotics such as first to third generation of cephalosporin and gentamicin (effective against *E. coli*) or penicillin and clindamycin (effective against *C. difficile*) should be trailed in future trials. The final point is that the debate on the use of antibiotics in IBD still remains and need further well-designed studies to help identify which patients need antibiotic or antibiotic combination and if yes, what is the preferred compound with what dosage and duration of treatment.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This paper is the outcome of an in-house financially non-supported study.

REFERENCES

Abdolghaffari, A.H., A. Baghaei, F. Moayer, H. Esmaily and M. Baeri *et al.*, 2010. On the benefit of Teucrium in murine colitis through improvement of toxic inflammatory mediators. *Human Exp. Toxicol.*, 29: 287-295.

Abraham, C. and J.H. Cho, 2009. Inflammatory bowel disease. *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 361: 2066-2078.

Afdhal, N.H., A. Long, J. Lennon, J. Crowe and D.P. O'Donoghue, 1991. Controlled trial of antimycobacterial therapy in Crohn's disease. Clofazimine versus placebo. *Dig. Dis. Sci.*, 36: 449-453.

Al-Qabandi, W.A., E.K. Buhamrah, K.A. Hamadi, S.A. Al-Osaimi, A.A. Al-Ruwayeh and J. Madda, 2011. Inflammatory bowel disease in children, an evolving problem in Kuwait. *Saudi J. Gastroenterol.*, 17: 323-327.

Alivanis, P., G. Aperis, F. Lambrianou, A. Zervos, C. Paliouras, N. Karvouniaris and A. Arvanitis, 2010. Reversal of refractory sulfasalazine-related renal failure after treatment with corticosteroids. *Clin. Ther.*, 32: 1906-1910.

Ambrose, N.S., R.N. Allan, M.R. Keighley, D.W. Burdon, D. Youngs, P. Barnes and J.E. Lennard-Jones, 1985. Antibiotic therapy for treatment in relapse of intestinal Crohn's disease: A prospective randomized study. *Dis. Colon Rectum.*, 28: 81-85.

Amini-Shirazi, N., A. Hoseini, A. Ranjbar, A. Mohammadirad, N. Yasa and M. Abdollahi, 2009. Inhibition of tumor necrosis factor and nitrosative/oxidative stresses by ziziphora clinopoides (Kahliti); A molecular mechanism of protection against dextran sodium sulfate-induced colitis in mice. *Toxicol. Mech. Methods.*, 19: 183-189.

Arnold, G.L., M.R. Beaves, V.O. Pryjdu and W.J. Mook, 2002. Preliminary study of ciprofloxacin in active Crohn's disease. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.*, 8: 10-15.

Artis, D., 2008. Epithelial-cell recognition of commensal bacteria and maintenance of immune homeostasis in the gut. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.*, 8: 411-420.

Bamias, G., M.R. Nyce, S.A. De La Rue and F. Cominelli, 2005. New concepts in the pathophysiology of inflammatory bowel disease. *Ann. Intern. Med.*, 143: 895-904.

Baumgart, D.C., 2012. Crohn's Disease and Ulcerative Colitis From Epidemiology and Immunobiology to a Rational Diagnostic and Therapeutic Approach. Springer, New York, USA., ISBN-13: 978-1461409977.

Berg, A.M., C.P. Kelly and F.A. Farraye, 2012. Clostridium difficile infection in the inflammatory bowel disease patient. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* (In Press).

Bernstein, C.N., M. Fried, J.H. Krabshuis, H. Cohen and R. Eliakim *et al.*, 2010. World gastroenterology organization practice guidelines for the diagnosis and management of IBD in 2010. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.*, 16: 112-124.

- Blichfeldt, P., J.P. Blomhoff, E. Myhre and E. Gjone, 1978. Metronidazole in Crohn's disease: A double blind cross-over clinical trial. *Scand. J. Gastroenterol.*, 13: 123-127.
- Borody, T.J., S. Bilkey, A.R. Wettstein, S. Leis, G. Pang and S. Tye, 2007. Anti-mycobacterial therapy in Crohn's disease heals mucosa with longitudinal scars. *Dig. Liver Dis.*, 39: 438-444.
- Bottone, E.J., 1997. *Yersinia enterocolitica*: The charismacontinues. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.*, 10: 257-276.
- Burillo, A. and E. Bouza, 2010. *Chlamydophila pneumoniae*. *Infect. Dis. Clin. North Am.*, 24: 61-71.
- Burke, D.A., A.T. Axon, S.A. Clayden, M.F. Dixon, D. Johnston and R.W. Lacey, 1990. The efficacy of tobramycin in the treatment of ulcerative colitis. *Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther.*, 4: 123-129.
- Carbonnel, F., P. Jantchou, E. Monnet and J. Cosnes, 2009. Environmental risk factors in Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis: An update. *Gastroenterol. Clin. Biol.*, 33: S145-S157.
- Cario, E., 2010. Toll-like receptors in inflammatory bowel diseases: A decade later. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.*, 16: 1583-1597.
- Casellas, F., N. Borruel, M. Papo, F. Guarner, M. Antolin, S. Videla and J.R. Malagelada, 1998. Antiinflammatory effects of enterically coated amoxicillin-clavulanic acid in active ulcerative colitis. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.*, 4: 1-5.
- Chapman, R.W., W.S. Selby and D.P. Jewell, 1986. Controlled trial of intravenous metronidazole as an adjunct to corticosteroids in severe ulcerative colitis. *Gut*, 27: 1210-1212.
- Chiba, T., K. Sato, Y. Toya, K. Endo and Y. Abiko *et al.*, 2011. Serial changes in cytokine expression in irritable bowel syndrome patients following treatment with calcium polycarbophil. *Hepatogastroenterology*, 58: 1527-1530.
- Colombel, J.F., M. Lemann, M. Cassagnou, Y. Bouhnik and B. Duclos *et al.*, 1999. A controlled trial comparing ciprofloxacin with mesalazine for the treatment of active Crohn's disease. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.*, 94: 674-678.
- Dalziel, T.K., 1913. Chronic interstitial enteritis. *Br. Med. J.*, 2: 1068-1070.
- Danese, S., M. Sans and C. Fiocchi, 2004. Inflammatory bowel disease: The role of environmental factors. *Autoimmun. Rev.*, 3: 394-400.
- Darfeuille-Michaud, A., J. Boudeau, P. Bulois, C. Neut and A.L. Glasser *et al.*, 2004. High prevalence of adherent-invasive *Escherichia coli* associated with ileal mucosa in Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology*, 127: 412-421.
- Dejaco, C., M. Harrer, T. Waldhoer, W. Miehsler, H. Vogelsang and W. Reinisch, 2003. Antibiotics and azathioprine for the treatment of perianal fistulas in Crohn's disease. *Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther.*, 18: 1113-1120.
- Dickinson, R.J., H.J. O'Connor, I. Pinder, I. Hamilton, D. Johnston and A.T. Axon, 1985. Double blind controlled trial of oral vancomycin as adjunctive treatment in acute exacerbations of idiopathic colitis. *Gut*, 26: 1380-1384.
- Ebrahimi, F., H. Esmaily, M. Baeeri, A. Mohammadirad, S. Fallah and M. Abdollahi, 2008. Molecular evidences on the benefit of N-acetylcysteine in experimental colitis. *Central Eur. J. Biol.* 3: 135-142.
- Elahi, B., S. Nikfar, S. Derakhshani, M. Vafaie and M. Abdollahi, 2008. On the benefit of probiotics in the management of pouchitis in patients underwent ileal pouch anal anastomosis: A meta-analysis of controlled clinical trials. *Dig. Dis. Sci.*, 53: 1278-1284.
- Epstein, L. and Y. Golan, 2012. Fidaxomicin, a new treatment for *Clostridium difficile* infections. *Drugs Today*, 48: 101-108.
- Feagan, B.G., W.J. Sandborn, S. Hass, T. Niecko and J. White, 2007. Health-related quality of life during natalizumab maintenance therapy for Crohn's disease. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.*, 102: 2737-2746.
- Fellerman, K., J. Wehkamp, K.R. Herrlinger and E.F. Stange, 2003. Crohn's disease: A defensin deficiency syndrome?. *Eur. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.*, 15: 627-634.
- Ford, A.C., W.J. Sandborn, K.J. Khan, S.B. Hanauer, N.J. Talley and P. Moayyedi, 2011. Efficacy of biological therapies in inflammatory bowel disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.*, 106: 644-659.
- Frank, D.N., A.L. Amand, R.A. Feldman, E.C. Boedeker, N. Harpaz and N.R. Pace, 2007. Molecular-phylogenetic characterization of microbial community imbalances in human inflammatory bowel diseases. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 104: 13780-13785.
- Franke, A., T. Balschun, T.H. Karlsen, J. Sventoraityte and S. Nikolaus *et al.*, 2008. Sequence variants in IL10, ARPC2 and multiple other loci contribute to ulcerative colitis susceptibility. *Nat. Genet.*, 40: 1319-1323.
- Ghasemi-Niri, S.F., A.H. Abdolghaffari, S. Fallah-Benakohal, M. Hosseinpour-Feizi and P. Mahdaviani *et al.*, 2011. On the benefit of whey-cultured *Lactobacillus casei* in murine colitis. *J. Physiol. Pharmacol.*, 62: 341-346.

- Gionchetti, P., F. Rizzello, A. Ferrieri, A. Venturi and C. Brignola *et al.*, 1999. Rifaximin in patients with moderate or severe ulcerative colitis refractory to steroid-treatment: A double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Dig. Dis. Sci.*, 44: 1220-1221.
- Goodgame, R.W., K. Kimball, S. Akram, E. Ike, C.N. Ou, F. Sutton and D. Graham, 2001. Randomized controlled trial of clarithromycin and ethambutol in the treatment of Crohn's disease. *Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther.*, 15: 1861-1866.
- Greenbloom, S.L., A.H. Steinhart and G.R. Greenberg, 1998. Combination ciprofloxacin and metronidazole for active Crohn's disease. *Can. J. Gastroenterol.*, 12: 53-56.
- Gui, G.P.H., P.R.S. Thomas, M.L.V. Tizard, J. Lake, J.D. Sanderson and J. Hermon-Taylor, 1997. Two-year-outcomes analysis of Crohn's disease treated with rifabutin and macrolide antibiotics. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.*, 39: 393-400.
- Hakonarson, H. and S.F. Grant, 2009. Genome-wide association studies in type 1 diabetes, inflammatory bowel disease and other immune-mediated disorders. *Semin. Immunol.*, 21: 355-362.
- Hanauer, S.B., 2006. Inflammatory bowel disease: epidemiology, pathogenesis and therapeutic opportunities. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.*, 1: S3-S9.
- Hasani-Ranjbar, S., B. Larijani and M. Abdollahi, 2009. A systematic review of the potential herbal sources of future drugs effective in oxidant-related diseases. *Inflamm. Allergy Drug Targets*, 8: 2-10.
- Hedin, C.R., M. Mullard, E. Sharratt, C. Jansen and J.D. Sanderson *et al.*, 2010. Probiotic and prebiotic use in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: A case-control study. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.*, 16: 2099-2108.
- Hosseini, A., S. Nikfar and M. Abdollahi, 2012. Probiotics use to treat irritable bowel syndrome. *Expert Opin. Biol. Ther.*, 10.1517/14712598.2012.707179
- Inoue, S., H. Nakase, M. Matsuura, S. Ueno and N. Uza *et al.*, 2007. Open label trial of clarithromycin therapy in Japanese patients with Crohn's disease. *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.*, 22: 984-988.
- Jamalifar, H., H. Rahimi, N. Samadi, A. Shahverdi and Z. Sharifian *et al.*, 2011. Antimicrobial activity of different *Lactobacillus* species against multi-drug resistant clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Iran J. Microbiol.*, 3: 21-25.
- Kapelman, M.D., S.L. Rifas-Shiman, K. Kleinman, D. Ollendorf, A. Bousvaros, R.J. Grand and J.A. Finkelstein, 2007. The prevalence and geographic distribution of Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis in the United States. *Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.*, 5: 1424-1429.
- Khan, K.J., T.A. Ullman, A.C. Ford, M.T. Abreu and A. Abadir *et al.*, 2011. Antibiotic therapy in inflammatory bowel disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.*, 106: 661-673.
- Khoshakhlagh, P., M. Bahrololoumi-Shapourabadi, A. Mohammadirad, L. Ashtaral-Nakhai, B. Minaie and M. Abdollahi, 2007. Beneficial effect of phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitor in experimental inflammatory bowel disease: Molecular evidence for involvement of oxidative stress. *Toxicol. Mech. Methods*, 17: 281-288.
- Koch, T.R., 2007. Treatment of Crohn's disease with an antibiotic regimen directed against mycobacterium avium paratuberculosis. <http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00513552>
- Koda-Kimble, M.A., L.Y. Young, W.A. Kradjan, B.J. Guglielmo, B.K. Alldredge and R.L. Corelli, 2009. *Applied Therapeutics: The Clinical Use of Drugs*. 9th Edn., Wolters Kluwer Health/Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, Philadelphia.
- Kotlowski, R., C.N. Bernstein, S. Sepehri and D.O. Krause, 2007. High prevalence of *Escherichia coli* belonging to the B2+D phylogenetic group in inflammatory bowel disease. *Gut*, 56: 669-675.
- Krisner, J.B., 1988. Historical aspects of inflammatory bowel disease. *J. Clin. Gastroenterol.*, 10: 286-297.
- Kuehbachner, T., S.J. Ott, U. Helwig, T. Mimura and F. Rizzello *et al.*, 2006. Bacterial and fungal microbiota in relation to probiotic therapy (VSL No. 3) in pouchitis. *Gut*, 9: 833-841.
- Lakatos, P.L. and P. Miheller, 2010. Is there an increased risk of lymphoma and malignancies under anti-TNF therapy in IBD? *Curr. Drug Targets*, 11: 179-186.
- Lakatos, P.L., 2006. Recent trends in the epidemiology of inflammatory bowel diseases: Up or down? *World J. Gastroenterol.*, 12: 6102-6108.
- Langholz, E., J. Brynskov, K. Bendtzen, M. Vilien and V. Binder, 1992. Treatment of Crohn's disease with fusidic acid: An antibiotic with immunosuppressive properties similar to cyclosporin. *Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther.*, 6: 495-502.
- Leiper, K., A.I. Morris and J.M. Rhodes, 2000. Open label trial of oral clarithromycin in active Crohn's disease. *Aliment Pharmacol. Ther.*, 14: 801-806.
- Leiper, K., K. Martin, A. Ellis, A.J. Watson, A.I. Morris and J.M. Rhodes, 2008. Clinical trial: Randomized study of clarithromycin versus placebo in active Crohn's disease. *Aliment Pharmacol. Ther.*, 27: 1233-1239.
- Li, C.Y., B.L. Zhang, C.X. Chen and Y.M. Li, 2008. OMOM capsule endoscopy in diagnosis of small bowel disease. *J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci. B.*, 9: 857-862.

- Lichtenstein, G.R., M.T. Abreu, R. Cohen and W. Tremaine, 2006. American Gastroenterological Association Institute medical position statement on corticosteroids, immunomodulators and infliximab in inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterology*, 130: 935-939.
- Lobo, A.J., D.A. Burke, G.M. Sobala and A.T. Axon, 1993. Oral tobramycin in ulcerative colitis: Effect on maintenance of remission. *Aliment Pharmacol. Ther.*, 7: 155-158.
- Loftus, E.V., B.G. Feagan, J.F. Colombel, D.T. Rubin and E.Q. Wu *et al.*, 2008. Effects of adalimumab maintenance therapy on health-related quality of life of patients with Crohn's disease: Patient-reported outcomes of the CHARM trial. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.*, 103: 3132-3141.
- Madden, M.V., A.S. McIntyre and R.J. Nicholls, 1994. Double-blind crossover trial of metronidazole versus placebo in chronic unremitting pouchitis. *Dig. Dis. Sci.*, 39: 1193-1196.
- Maeda, Y., S.C. Ng, P. Durdey, C. Burt and J. Torkington *et al.*, 2010. Randomized clinical trial of metronidazole ointment versus placebo in perianal Crohn's disease. *Br. J. Surg.*, 97: 1340-1347.
- Mantzaris, G.J., A. Hatzis, P. Kontogiannis and G. Triadaphyllou, 1994. Intravenous tobramycin and metronidazole as an adjunct to corticosteroids in acute, severe ulcerative colitis. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.*, 89: 43-46.
- Mantzaris, G.J., E. Archavlis, P. Christoforidis, D. Kourtessas and P. Amberiadis *et al.*, 1997. A prospective randomized controlled trial of oral ciprofloxacin in acute ulcerative colitis. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.*, 92: 454-456.
- Mantzaris, G.J., K. Petraki, E. Archavlis, P. Amberiadis, D. Kourtessas, A. Christidou and G. Triantafyllou, 2001. A prospective randomized controlled trial of intravenous ciprofloxacin as an adjunct to corticosteroids in acute, severe ulcerative colitis. *Scand. J. Gastroenterol.*, 36: 971-974.
- Mantzaris, G.J., M. Sfakianakis, E. Archavlis, K. Petraki, A. Christidou, A. Karagiannidis and G. Triadaphyllou, 2004. A prospective randomized observer-blind 2-year trial of azathioprine monotherapy versus azathioprine and olsalazine for the maintenance of remission of steroid-dependent ulcerative colitis. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.*, 99: 1122-1128.
- Maragkoudakis, S., S.R. Poulidaki, E. Papadomanolaki, G. Alevraki, M. Papadogianni, N. Oikonomou and P. Fanourgiakis, 2011. Empiric antimicrobial therapy and infectious diarrhea. Do we need local guidelines? *Eur. J. Int. Med.*, 22: 60-62.
- Mathew, C.G. and C.M. Lewis, 2004. Genetics of inflammatory bowel disease: Progress and prospects. *Hum. Mol. Genet.*, 13: R161-R168.
- Mayer, L., 2010. Evolving paradigms in the pathogenesis of IBD. *J. Gastroenterol.*, 45: 9-16.
- Nikfar, S., R. Rahimi, F. Rahimi, S. Derakhshani and M. Abdollahi, 2008. Efficacy of probiotics in irritable bowel syndrome: a meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials. *Dis. Colon Rectum.*, 51: 1775-1780.
- Nikfar, S., R. Rahimi, A. Rezaie and M. Abdollahi, 2009. A Meta-Analysis of the efficacy of sulfasalazine in comparison with 5-aminosalicylates in the induction of improvement and maintenance of remission in patients with ulcerative colitis. *Dig. Dis. Sci.*, 54: 1157-1170.
- Nikfar, S., H. Mirfazaelian and M. Abdollahi, 2010a. Efficacy and tolerability of immunoregulators and antibiotics in fistulizing crohn's disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis of placebo-controlled trials. *Curr. Pharm. Des.*, 16: 3684-3698.
- Nikfar, S., M. Darvish-Damavandi and M. Abdollahi, 2010b. A review and meta-analysis of the efficacy of antibiotics and probiotics in management of pouchitis. *Int. J. Pharmacol.*, 6: 826-835.
- Nomura, T., T. Ohkusa, I. Okayasu, T. Yoshida and M. Sakamoto *et al.*, 2005. Mucosa-associated bacteria in ulcerative colitis before and after antibiotic combination therapy. *Aliment Pharmacol. Ther.*, 21: 1017-1027.
- O'Hara, J.R., T.D. Feener, C.D. Fischer and A.G. Buret, 2012. *Campylobacter jejuni* disrupts protective Toll-like receptor 9 signaling in colonic epithelial cells and increases the severity of dextran sulfate sodium-induced colitis in mice. *Infect. Immun.*, 80: 1563-1571.
- Ohkusa, T., K. Kato, S. Terao, T. Chiba and K. Mabe *et al.*, 2010. Newly developed antibiotic combination therapy for ulcerative colitis: A double-blind placebo-controlled multicenter trial. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.*, 104: 1820-1829.
- Ohkusa, T., T. Nomura, T. Terai, H. Miwa and O. Kobayashi *et al.*, 2005. Effectiveness of antibiotic combination therapy in patients with active ulcerative colitis: A randomized, controlled pilot trial with long-term follow-up. *Scand. J. Gastroenterol.*, 40: 1334-1342.
- Ott, S.J., T. Kuhbacher, M. Musfeldt, P. Rosenstiel and S. Hellmig *et al.*, 2008. Fungi and inflammatory bowel diseases: Alterations of composition and diversity. *Scand. J. Gastroenterol.*, 43: 831-841.

- Pineton de Chambrun, G., J.F. Colombel, D. Poulain and A. Darfeuille-Michaud, 2008. Pathogenic agents in inflammatory bowel diseases. *Curr. Opin. Gastroenterol.*, 24: 440-447.
- Pithadia, A.B. and S. Jain, 2011. Treatment of Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD). *Pharmacol. Rep.*, 63: 629-642.
- Prantera, C. and M.L. Scribano, 2009. Antibiotics and probiotics in inflammatory bowel disease: Why, when and how. *Curr. Opin. Gastroenterol.*, 25: 329-333.
- Prantera, C., A. Kohn, R. Mangiarotti, A. Andreoli and C. Luzi, 1994. Antimycobacterial therapy in Crohn's disease: Results of a controlled, double-blind trial with a multiple antibiotic regimen. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.*, 89: 513-518.
- Prantera, C., F. Zannoni, M.L. Scribano, E. Berto, A. Andreoli, A. Kohn and C. Luzi, 1996. An antibiotic regimen for the treatment of active Crohn's disease: a randomized, controlled clinical trial of metronidazole plus ciprofloxacin. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.*, 91: 328-332.
- Prantera, C., H. Lochs, M. Campieri, M.L. Scribano, G.C. Sturniolo, F. Castiglione and M. Cottone, 2006. Antibiotic treatment of Crohn's disease: Results of a multicentre, double blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial with rifaximin. *Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther.*, 23: 1117-1125.
- Prantera, C., H. Lochs, M. Grimaldi, S. Danese and M.L. Scribano *et al.*, 2012. Rifaximin-extended intestinal release induces remission in patients with moderately active Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology*, 142: 473-481.
- Rahimi, R., S. Nikfar and M. Abdollahi, 2007a. Do anti-tumor necrosis factors induce response and remission in patients with acute refractory Crohn's disease? A systematic meta-analysis of controlled clinical trials. *Biomed. Pharmacother.*, 61: 75-80.
- Rahimi, R., S. Nikfar and M. Abdollahi, 2007b. Meta-analysis technique confirms the effectiveness of anti-TNF- α in the management of active ulcerative colitis when administered in combination with corticosteroids. *Med. Sci. Monit.*, 13: 13-18.
- Rahimi, R., S. Nikfar, A. Rezaie and M. Abdollahi, 2007c. A meta-analysis of antibiotic therapy for active ulcerative colitis. *Dig. Dis. Sci.*, 52: 2920-2925.
- Rahimi, R., S. Nikfar, A. Rezaie and M. Abdollahi, 2008a. A meta-analysis of the benefit of probiotics in maintaining remission of human ulcerative colitis: Evidence for prevention of disease relapse and maintenance of remission. *Arch. Med. Sci.*, 4: 185-190.
- Rahimi, R., S. Nikfar, F. Rahimi, B. Elahi, S. Derakhshani, M. Vafaie and M. Abdollahi, 2008b. A meta-analysis on the efficacy of probiotics for maintenance of remission and prevention of clinical and endoscopic relapse in Crohn's disease. *Dig. Dis. Sci.*, 53: 2524-2531.
- Rahimi, R., S. Nikfar, A. Rezaie and M. Abdollahi, 2009a. Comparison of mesalazine and balsalazide in induction and maintenance of remission in patients with ulcerative colitis: A meta-analysis. *Dig. Dis. Sci.*, 54: 712-721.
- Rahimi, R., S. Mozaffari and M. Abdollahi, 2009b. On the use of herbal medicines in management of inflammatory bowel diseases: A systematic review of animal and human studies. *Dig. Dis. Sci.*, 54: 471-480.
- Rahimi, R., M.R. Shams-Ardekani and M. Abdollahi, 2010. A review of the efficacy of traditional Iranian medicine for inflammatory bowel disease. *World J. Gastroenterol.*, 16: 4504-4514.
- Ramasundara, M., S.T. Leach, D.A. Lemberg and A.S. Day, 2009. Defensins and inflammation: The role of defensins in inflammatory bowel disease. *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.*, 24: 202-208.
- Reiff, C. and D. Kelly, 2010. Inflammatory bowel disease, gut bacteria and probiotic therapy. *Int. J. Med. Microbiol.*, 300: 25-33.
- Rezaie, A., R.D. Parker and M. Abdollahi, 2007. Oxidative stress and pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease: An epiphenomenon or the cause. *Dig. Dis. Sci.*, 52: 2015-2021.
- Rhodes, J.M., 2007. The role of *Escherichia coli* in inflammatory bowel disease. *Gut*, 56: 610-612.
- Ruiz-Bolivar, Z., M.C. Neuque-Rico, A.K. Carrascal-Camacho, R.A. Poutou-Pinales and S. Mattar, 2011. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Listeria monocytogenes* food isolates from different cities in Colombia. *Foodborne Pathogens Dis.*, 8: 913-919.
- Rutgeerts, P., S. Vermeire and G. Van Assche, 2009. Biological therapies for inflammatory bowel diseases. *Gastroenterology*, 136: 1182-1197.
- Salari, P. and M. Abdollahi, 2009. Current opinion in the pharmaceutical management of irritable and inflammatory bowel diseases: Role of ATP. *Rec. Pat. Endoc. Metab. Immune Drug Disc.*, 3: 69-75.
- Salari, P., S. Nikfar and M. Abdollahi, 2012. A meta-analysis and systematic review on the effect of probiotics in acute diarrhea. *Inflamm. Allergy Drug Targets*, 11: 3-14.
- Sartor, R., 2004. Therapeutic manipulation of the enteric microflora in inflammatory bowel diseases: Antibiotics, probiotics prebiotics. *Gastroenterology*, 126: 1620-1633.

- Sartor, R.B., 2005. Does *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies paratuberculosis cause Crohn's disease? *Gut*, 54: 896-898.
- Sasaki, M., S.V. Sitaraman, B.A. Babbin, P. Gerner-Smidt and E.M. Ribot *et al.*, 2007. Invasive *Escherichia coli* are a feature of Crohn's disease. *Lab. Invest.*, 87: 1042-1054.
- Sato, K., T. Chiba and T. Ohkusa, 2009. Serial changes of cytokines in active ulcerative colitis: Effects of antibiotic combination therapy. *Hepatogastroenterology*, 56: 1016-1021.
- Saverymuttu, S., H.J. Hodgson and V.S. Chadwick, 1985. Controlled trial comparing prednisolone with an elemental diet plus non-absorbable antibiotics in active Crohn's disease. *Gut*, 26: 994-998.
- Scanlan, P.D. and J.R. Marchesi, 2008. Micro-eukaryotic diversity of the human distal gut microbiota: Qualitative assessment using culture-dependent and-independent analysis of faeces. *ISME J.*, 2: 1183-1193.
- Scanlan, P.D., F. Shanahan and J.R. Marchesi, 2008. Human methanogen diversity and incidence in healthy and diseased colonic groups using mcrA gene analysis. *BMC Microbiol.*, 8: 79-79.
- Selby, W., P. Pavli, B. Crotty, T. Florin and G. Radford-Smith *et al.*, 2007. Two-year combination antibiotic therapy with clarithromycin, rifabutin and clofazimine for Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology*, 132: 2313-2319.
- Sellon, R.K., S. Tonkonogy, M. Schultz, L.A. Dieleman and W. Grenther *et al.*, 1998. Resident enteric bacteria are necessary for development of spontaneous colitis and immune system activation in interleukin-10-deficient mice. *Infect. Immun.*, 66: 5224-5231.
- Shaffer, J.L., S. Hughes, B.D. Linaker, R.D. Baker and L.A. Turnberg, 1984. Controlled trial of rifampicin and ethambutol in Crohn's disease. *Gut*, 25: 203-205.
- Shafraan, I. and L.K. Johnson, 2005. An open-label evaluation of rifaximin in the treatment of active Crohn's disease. *Curr. Med. Res. Opin.*, 21: 1165-1169.
- Shafraan, I., L. Kugler, F.A. El-Zaatari, S.A. Naser and J. Sandoval, 2002. Open clinical trial of rifabutin and clarithromycin therapy in Crohn's disease. *Dig. Liver Dis.*, 34: 22-28.
- Sreedhar, S., C.L. Roberts, C.A. Hart, H.M. Martin, S.W. Edwards, J.M. Rhodes and B.J. Campbell, 2008. Replication of colonic crohn's disease mucosal *Escherichia coli* isolates within macrophages and their susceptibility to antibiotics. *Antimicrobial Agents Chemother.*, 52: 427-434.
- Steinhart, A.H., B.G. Feagan, C.J. Wong, M. Vandervoort and S. Mikolainis *et al.*, 2002. Combined budesonide and antibiotic therapy for active Crohn's disease: A randomized controlled trial. *Gastroenterology*, 123: 33-40.
- Sutherland, L., J. Singleton, J. Sessions, S. Hanauer and E. Krawitt *et al.*, 1991. Double blind, placebo controlled trial of metronidazole in Crohn's disease. *Gut*, 32: 1071-1075.
- Swift, G.L., E.D. Srivastava, R. Stone, R.D. Pullan and R.G. Newcombe *et al.*, 1994. Controlled trial of anti-tuberculous chemotherapy for two years in Crohn's disease. *Gut*, 35: 363-368.
- Teasley, D.G., D.N. Gerding, M.M. Olson, L.R. Peterson and R.L. Gebhard, M.J. Schwartz and J.T. Jr. Lee, 1983. Prospective randomized trial of metronidazole versus vancomycin for Clostridium-difficile-associated diarrhoea and colitis. *Lancet*, 2: 1043-1046.
- Terao, S., K. Yamashiro, I. Tamura, T. Hirano, T. Ohkusa and K. Kato, 2011. Antibiotic combination therapy for steroid withdrawal in steroid-dependent ulcerative colitis. *Digestion*, 83: 198-203.
- Thia, K.T., U. Mahadevan, B.G. Feagan, C. Wong and A. Cockeram *et al.*, 2009. Ciprofloxacin or metronidazole for the treatment of perianal fistulas in patients with Crohn's disease: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled pilot study. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.*, 15: 17-24.
- Thomas, G.A., G.L. Swift, J.T. Green, R.G. Newcombe and C. Braniff-Mathews *et al.*, 1998. Controlled trial of antituberculous chemotherapy in Crohn's disease: A five year follow up study. *Gut*, 42: 497-500.
- Thompson-Chagoyan, O.C., J. Maldonado and A. Gil, 2005. Aetiology of Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD): Role of intestinal microbiota and gut-associated lymphoid tissue immune response. *Clin. Nutr.*, 24: 339-352.
- Travis, S.P., E.F. Stange, M. Lemann, T. Oresland and Y. Chowers *et al.*, 2006. European evidence based consensus on the diagnosis and management of Crohn's disease: Current management. *Gut*, 55: 16-35.
- Triantafillidis, J.K., E. Merikas and F. Georgopoulos, 2011. Current and emerging drugs for the treatment of inflammatory bowel disease. *Drug Des. Devel. Ther.*, 5: 185-210.
- Turunen, U.M., M.A. Farkkila, K. Hakala, K. Seppala and A. Sivonen *et al.*, 1998. Long-term treatment of ulcerative colitis with ciprofloxacin: A prospective, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Gastroenterology*, 115: 1072-1078.

- Uehara, T., K. Kato, T. Ohkusa, M. Sugitani, Y. Ishii, N. Nemoto and M. Moriyama, 2010. Efficacy of antibiotic combination therapy in patients with active ulcerative colitis, including refractory or steroid-dependent cases. *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.*, 25: S62-S66.
- Ursing, B., T. Alm, F. Barany, I. Bergelin and K. Ganrot-Norlin *et al.*, 1982. A comparative study of metronidazole and sulfasalazine for active Crohn's disease: The cooperative Crohn's disease study in Sweden. II. Result. *Gastroenterology*, 83: 550-562.
- Walsh, A., J. Mabee and K. Trivedi, 2011. Inflammatory bowel disease. *Prim. Care.*, 38: 415-432.
- Wehkamp, J., K. Fellermann and E.F. Stange, 2005. Human defensins in Crohn's disease. *Chem. Immunol. Allergy*, 86: 42-54.
- West, R.L., C.J. van der Woude, B.E. Hansen, R.J. Felt-Bersma, A.J. van Tilburg, J.A. Drapers and E.J. Kuipers, 2004. Clinical and endosonographic effect of ciprofloxacin on the treatment of perianal fistulae in Crohn's disease with infliximab: A double-blind placebo-controlled study. *Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther.*, 20: 1329-1336.
- West, R.L., C.J. van der Woude, H.P. Endtz, B.E. Hansen and M. Ouwedijk *et al.*, 2005. Perianal fistulas in Crohn's disease are predominantly colonized by skin flora: Implications for antibiotic treatment? *Dig. Dis. Sci.*, 50: 1260-1263.
- Williams, H., D. Walker and T.R. Orchard, 2008. Extraintestinal manifestations of inflammatory bowel disease. *Curr. Gastroenterol. Rep.*, 10: 597-605.
- Yoon, J.E., W.K. Kim, J.S. Lee, K.S. Shin and T.S. Ha, 2011. Antibiotic susceptibility and imaging findings of the causative microorganisms responsible for acute urinary tract infection in children: A five-year single center study. *Korean J. Pediatr.*, 54: 79-85.
- Zar, F.A., S.R. Bakkanagari, K.M. Moorthi and M.B. Davis, 2007. A comparison of vancomycin and metronidazole for the treatment of *Clostridium difficile*-associated diarrhea, stratified by disease severity. *Clin. Infect. Dis.*, 45: 302-307.