

ISSN 1682-8356
ansinet.org/ijps



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF
POULTRY SCIENCE

ANSI*net*

308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan
Mob: +92 300 3008585, Fax: +92 41 8815544
E-mail: editorijps@gmail.com

Responses of Modern Broiler Chicks to Stocking Density, Green Tea, Commercial Multi Enzymes and Their Interactions on Productive Performance, Carcass Characteristics, Liver Composition and Plasma Constituents

A.A. El-Deek and M.A. Al-Harhi

Faculty of Meteorology Environment and Arid Land Agriculture, King Abdulaziz University, Saudi Arabia

Abstract: The objective of this work was to study the responses of modern broiler chicks to stocking density, green tea, commercial Multi enzymes (Optizyme® p-5) and their interaction on productive performance, carcass characteristics, chemical composition of liver and plasma constituents. Commercial breeds (Lohman) of broiler chicks were housed at different densities of 10, 14 and 18 bird/m² during the period from 7 to 43 days of age. Chicks within each density were fed four experimental diets; one of them was used as a control diet. In the other three diets the control diet was supplemented with green tea (5g/kg feed) or Multi enzymes (1 g /kg feed), or mixture of both. Therefore, there were 12 experimental treatments in which each treatment was replicated four times. Results could be summarized as follows: Stocking density had no significant effect on growth of broiler chicks, feed intake and feed conversion ratio (FCR) during 7-43 days of age, except for increasing stocking density of 18 bird/m² during only the period from 22 to 43 days of age while reduced growth and feed intake significantly. Addition of green tea, Multi enzymes or their mixture had no significant effect on growth, feed intake and FCR of broiler chicks. There were significant interactions among stocking density and feed additives. The best dressing percentage was obtained from group stocked at 14 bird/m² and fed the control diet. Also, hind part weight and % were the highest of birds stocked at 10/m² and fed diet supplemented with Multi enzymes or those stocked at 14 bird/m² and fed the control diet. There was significant interaction between stocking density and feed additives on spleen as absolute or relative weight, showing that the highest weight and % of spleen were obtained of groups fed mixture of Multi enzymes and green tea and stocked at 10 bird/m², and groups fed diets supplemented with Multi enzymes and stocked at either 14 or 18 bird/m². Dressing % and hind part weight (g) and (%) decreased significantly as a result of increasing stocking density from 14 to 18 bird/m², but they were not significantly different from those stocked at 10 bird/m². Multi enzymes improved absolute weight (g) of front part compared to the control diet, while addition of green tea, Multi enzymes and their mixture improved front part % significantly compared to the control diet. A mixture of green tea and Multi enzymes significantly increased liver%, while Multi enzymes decreased significantly absolute and heart % compared to the control group. A mixture of green tea and Multi enzymes significantly decreased intestinal weight and % compared with the control diet without or with green tea. Stocking density had no significant effect on chemical composition of liver and plasma constituents of broiler chicks as well as liver functions as judged by plasma ALT and AST activities during the experimental period. Green tea had no significant effect on chemical composition of liver and plasma total protein and its fraction, total lipids and cholesterol and plasma AST and ALT activities. In conclusion broiler chicks could be stocked up to 14 bird/m² without adverse effect on growth performance, while addition of green tea, Multi enzymes or their mixture had no enhancing effect on growth performance of broiler stocked at different densities.

Key words: Broiler chicks, stocking density, feed additives, growth performance, chemical constituents

Introduction

Increasing stocking density of broilers is a management practice used for reducing costs associated with labor, housing, fuel and equipments. However, crowding of broilers can lead to reductions in performance (Shanawany, 1988). Broiler performance and health can be influenced by very high stocking density (Weaver *et al.*, 1982; Webster, 1990), thereby it is important to assure that adequate floor space is available for each bird (Al-Homidan, 2001). Parkhurst *et al.* (1977); Beremski (1987); Mizubuti *et al.* (1994) concluded that there was no significant difference

in broiler performance due to stocking density. However, Buckland *et al.* (1971); Proudfoot *et al.* (1979); Weaver *et al.* (1982); Reiter and Bessei (2000) suggested there was a linear increase in growth with decreasing stocking density at 5 wk of age, respectively. The decrease in growth performance resulted from increasing stocking density could be attributed to the increase in stress resulting from competition for feed and water, increase of house temperature, microbial activity, and ammonia production. On the other hand, there are possible ways to alleviate the stress resulting from increasing stocking density

El-Deek and Al-Harhi: Increasing Stocking Density of Broilers

such as assuring adequate feeding and watering space, improve the ventilation and house environment. Nowadays, non-conventional growth enhances are utilized in broiler nutrition to improve nutrient digestibility, control of pathogenic microorganisms, facilitate a favorable intestinal microbial balance, and enhancing absorption of calorogenic nutrients across the gut wall through increasing its absorption capacity (Nelson *et al.*, 1963; Attia *et al.*, 1997; Al-Harhi, 2002; El-Husseiny *et al.*, 2002; El-Deek *et al.*, 2003). In this regard, Tollba (2003) and Tollba and Hassan (2003) found that black cumin, garlic, thyme or fennel as natural feed additives to broiler diets under normal and high temperature conditions improved growth, FCR, and decreased mortality rate.

Green tea leaves (*Camellia sinensis*) containing antioxidative tea catechins consisting of various polyphenols (Miura *et al.*, 2001; Varilek *et al.*, 2001). Tea catechins have a variety of pharmacologic effects, i.e. antioxidative (Lin *et al.*, 1996), antimutagenic (Jain *et al.*, 1989), anticarcinogenic (Sano *et al.*, 1999), and anti-inflammatory effects (Varilek *et al.*, 2001). Recently Miura *et al.* (2001) reported that ingestion of green tea improved growth of mice and did not affect plasma cholesterol and triglyceride concentrations. However, plasma peroxides were reduced indicating that *in vivo* oxidative state is improved by tea ingestion. Moreover, aortic cholesterol and triglyceride contents were 27 and 50% lower in tea group than in the control group, respectively.

Multi enzymes have been found to increase availability of protein/amino acid, ME and minerals for broilers (Makled, 1993; Jeroch *et al.*, 1995; Bedford, 1996; Attia *et al.*, 2001; Aulrich and Flachowsky, 2001; Brufau *et al.*, 2002). In this concern, Osman and Tanios (1983) reported that amylase levels in the proximal parts of the intestine of broilers and laying hens was sharply decreased upon exposed to heat stress. However, the response in maltase was insignificant. In this regard, Zanella *et al.* (1999) found that Avizyme 1500® improved the overall CP digestibility by 2.9%, and improved growth by 1.9 and FCR by 2.2% respectively of broilers fed corn soybean diet, indicating that enzyme supplementation completely compensated for the reduced energy of the diet. Bedford (1996) concluded that exogenous enzymes could improve digestion for protein, starch and fat, by removing the antinutritional factors, which interfere with normal processes of digestion, or by digestion of fibre components that would otherwise pass undigested into the environment, and extend the use of enzyme to play a significant role in health of the digestive tract.

The aim of this study was to investigate the responses of a modern broiler strain to different stocking densities and the impact of green tea or commercial Multi enzymes and their mixture as an agent for alleviating stress and the interaction between them on productive

Table 1: Composition and calculated analyses of the experimental diets

| Ingredients, % | Starter-grower | Finisher |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------|
| Yellow corn | 54.00 | 65.70 |
| Soybean meal (44%CP) | 39.52 | 28.20 |
| Limestone | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Dicalcium phosphate | 1.57 | 1.32 |
| Vit+Min mix1 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| NaCl | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| DL-methionine | 0.2 | 0.12 |
| Commercial blend of oils | 3.29 | 3.24 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Calculated values | | |
| ME kcal/kg diet | 2986 | 3121 |
| Crude protein,% | 22 | 18 |
| Methionine,% | 0.54 | 0.41 |
| TSAA,% | 0.91 | 0.73 |
| Lysine,% | 1.22 | 0.94 |
| Ca,% | 0.90 | 0.80 |
| Available P, % | 0.44 | 0.38 |

¹Vitamins and minerals mixture provide per kilogram of diet: vitamin A (as all-trans-retinyl acetate); 12000 IU; vitamin E (all rac- α -tocopheryl acetate); 10 IU; k₃ 3mg; Vit. D₃, 2200 ICU; riboflavin, 10 mg; Ca pantothenate, 10 mg; niacin, 20 mg; choline chloride, 500 mg; vitamin B₁₂, 10mg μ g; vitamin B₆, 1.5 mg; thiamine (as thiamine mononitrate); 2.2 mg; folic acid, 1 mg; D-biotin, 50mg. Trace mineral (milligrams per kilogram of diet): Mn, 55; Zn, 50; Fe, 30; Cu, 10; Se, .1 and Ethoxyquin 3mg.

performance, digestibility of nutrients, carcass characteristics, and chemical composition of liver and plasma constituents.

Materials and Methods

Birds, housing and management: This experiment was conducted at King Abdulaziz University; Faculty of Meteorology Environment and Arid land Agriculture. Unsexed Lohman broiler chicks were raised in floor pens under similar managerial and hygienic conditions. A mash starter-grower and finisher feed and water were offered *ad libitum* from tube feeders and automatic nipple drinkers, respectively with a twenty-four hours light program. Diets (Table 1) were formulated based on NRC (1994) tables of feedstuffs to meet nutrients requirements recommended for broilers. Chicks were randomly distributed into the experimental groups with keeping approximately similar initial live body weight. Number of dead birds was recorded throughout the experiment period from 7-43 days of age.

Factorial experimental design (3x4) was used to study the responses of modern broiler breeds to stocking density e.g. 10, 14 and 18 bird/m² and the efficacy of green tea, Multi enzymes mixture and their mixture to alleviate the stress resulted from increasing housing density. Chickens within each stocking density were fed four experimental diets; one of them was used as

EI-Deek and Al-Harathi: Increasing Stocking Density of Broilers

Table 2: Effect of stocking density and addition of green tea, Multi enzymes or their mixture and their interaction on growth (g/bird/period) of broiler chicks from 7 to 43 days of age

| Treatments | | Body weight gains | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Bird density/m ² | Additive | 7-21 days | 22-43 days | 7-43 days |
| 10 | Control | 218.8 | 1262.9 | 1781.7 |
| | Green tea | 484.7 | 1281.1 | 1765.8 |
| | Enzyme | 195.4 | 1276.3 | 1771.7 |
| | Mixture | 487.6 | 1210.6 | 1698.2 |
| 14 | Control | 510.8 | 1272.1 | 1782.9 |
| | Green tea | 471.7 | 1237.4 | 1709.1 |
| | Enzyme | 504.6 | 1217.4 | 1722.0 |
| | Mixture | 478.5 | 1300.9 | 1779.4 |
| 18 | Control | 522.9 | 1192.1 | 1715.0 |
| | Green tea | 479.1 | 1255.5 | 1734.6 |
| | Enzyme | 524.3 | 1233.2 | 1757.5 |
| | Mixture | 475.2 | 1183.6 | 1658.7 |
| | P value | NS | NS | NS |
| | SEM | 12.93 | 30.04 | 35.09 |
| Effect of stocking density | | | | |
| | 10 | 496.6 | 1257.7 ^a | 1754.4 |
| | 14 | 491.4 | 1257.0 ^a | 1748.4 |
| | 18 | 500.4 | 1216.1 ^b | 1716.5 |
| | P value | NS | 0.04 | NS |
| | SEM | 6.46 | 15.01 | 17.52 |
| Effect of feed additives | | | | |
| | Control | 517.9 ^a | 1235.7 | 1753.5 |
| | Green tea | 478.0 ^b | 1255.6 | 1733.5 |
| | Enzyme | 510.9 ^a | 1238.2 | 1749.1 |
| | Mixture | 479.3 ^b | 1229.1 | 1708.4 |
| | P value | 0.00001 | NS | NS |
| | SEM | 7.46 | 17.33 | 20.24 |

a-b means within the same column within the same treatment not having similar superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05), NS = non significant at 0.05.

control diet. While in the other three diets the control diet was supplemented with green tea (5g/kg feed) or commercial Multi enzymes (Optizyme® p-5; 1 g /kg feed), or with mixture of green tea (5g/kg feed) and Multi enzymes (1 g/kg feed). Therefore, there were 12 experimental treatments in which each treatment was replicated four times. The experimental period lasted from 7 to 43 days of age.

Chicks were weighed at 7, 21 and 43 days of age, whereas feed intake and FCR were calculated at 21 and 43 days of age. At day 43 of age, five female broilers were slaughtered from each treatment to determine carcass % and yields, internal organs and chemical composition of liver. Liver samples were chemically analyzed for moisture, CP, total lipids, and ash according to AOAC (1990) and the values for liver composition were expressed on fresh basis, also cholesterol content as (mg/100g fresh weight) of liver. Blood samples were collected from the slaughtered birds in heparinized tubes. Plasma was separated by centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 10 minutes and stored at

-18°C until analysis. Blood samples were collected from four birds of each treatment. Concentration of plasma total protein and total lipid were determined using commercial kits (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, D-68298 Mannheim, Germany), albumin (Dumas *et al.*, 1977), cholesterol (Sigma Diagnostics, procedure No. 401) and ALT and AST were determined (Retiman and Frankel, 1957). Whilst plasma globulin was calculated by subtracting albumin from total plasma protein.

Statistical analysis: Data of each trial were analyzed using 3×4 factorial design of the GLM procedure of SAS® (SAS Institute, 1985). Duncan's New Multiple Range Test (Duncan, 1955) was used to test mean differences at P≤0.05.

Results and Discussion

Results indicated that there was no significant effect of the interaction between stocking density and addition of green tea and Multi enzymes on growth, feed intake and FCR during any tested period. However, it is clear that

EI-Deek and Al-Harathi: Increasing Stocking Density of Broilers

Table 3: Effect of stocking density and addition of green tea, Multi enzymes or their mixture and their interaction on feed intake (g /bird/period) of broiler chicks from 7 to 43 days of age

| Treatments | | Feed intake | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------|----------------------|--------|
| Bird density/m ² | Additive | 7-21 | 22-43 | 7-43 |
| 10 | Control | 893.3 | 2829.3 | 3722.7 |
| | Green tea | 822.3 | 3005.3 | 3827.7 |
| | Enzyme | 832.0 | 2795.3 | 3627.3 |
| | Mixture | 830.7 | 2686.7 | 3517.3 |
| 14 | Control | 862.0 | 2754.7 | 3616.7 |
| | Green tea | 863.7 | 2547.0 | 3410.7 |
| | Enzyme | 843.0 | 2670.3 | 3513.3 |
| | Mixture | 955.3 | 2893.7 | 3489.0 |
| 18 | Control | 1050.7 | 2421.0 | 3471.7 |
| | Green tea | 834.7 | 2504.0 | 3338.7 |
| | Enzyme | 964.7 | 2534.0 | 3498.7 |
| | Mixture | 880.0 | 2527.3 | 3407.3 |
| | P value | NS | NS | NS |
| | SEM | 59.4 | 167.7 | 182.4 |
| Effect of stocking density | | | | |
| | 10 | 844.6 | 2829.2 ^a | 3673.8 |
| | 14 | 881.0 | 2716.4 ^{ab} | 3597.4 |
| | 18 | 932.5 | 2496.6 ^b | 3429.1 |
| | P value | NS | 0.03 | NS |
| | SEM | 29.7 | 83.9 | 91.2 |
| Effect of feed additives | | | | |
| | Control | 935.3 | 2668.3 | 3603.7 |
| | Green tea | 840.2 | 2685.4 | |
| | 3525.7Enzyme | 879.9 | 2666.6 | 3546.4 |
| | Mixture | 888.7 | 2702.6 | 3591.2 |
| | P value | NS | NS | NS |
| | SEM | 34.2 | 96.7 | 105.2 |

a-b means within the same column within the same treatment not having similar superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05), NS = non significant at 0.05

Multi enzymes addition to broiler stocked at 18 bird/m² improved growth and FCR by 2.5 and 1.7%, respectively. This may be due to the effect of Multi enzymes in improving nutrient availability (Bedford, 1996; Jeroch *et al.*, 1995) that could be beneficial for broilers under stocking stress and increasing competition for feeds. There was no significant effect of stocking density on growth, feed intake and FCR of broilers during 7-21, 21-43 and 7-43 days of age, except FI during 22-43 days of age, where chicks were kept under stocking density of 18 chicks/m² consumed significantly less feed than those kept at 10 chicks/m² (Table 3). It was found that broilers stocked at 18 bird/m² showed lower growth than those stocked at 10 or 14 bird/m². They also consumed less feed than those stocked at 10 bird/m². While those stocked at 14 bird/m² showed intermediate feed intake. Perhaps, this may be due to increasing competition for feed as a result of increasing stocking density. Also, Grashorn and Kutritz (1991) indicated high densities above 20 birds/m² could reduce that feed intake. The present results are in partial agreement with those

reported by Cravener *et al.* (1992) who found that birds housed at 0.07, 0.09 and 0.11 m² per bird had similar 7-wk body weight, all being significantly higher than birds housed at 0.05m² per bird. On the other hand, there were no significant differences in FCR values. The decrease in growth performance resulted from increasing stocking density could be attributed to the increase in stress resulted from competition for feeds and water, increased house temperature, microbial activity, and ammonia production. In this regard, Buckland *et al.* (1971); Proudfoot *et al.* (1979); Weaver *et al.* (1982); Reiter and Bessei (2000) found a linear decrease in growth of broilers at 5 wks of age with increasing stocking density and this was mainly due to increasing temperature between and underneath the birds. This partially agrees with the decrease in growth showed during later age of 22-43 days rather than early age of 7-21 days (Table 2). On the other hand, Parkhurst *et al.* (1977); Beremski (1987); Mizubuti *et al.* (1994); Al-Homidan (2001) revealed that there was no significant difference in broiler performance due to stocking density.

EI-Deek and Al-Harathi: Increasing Stocking Density of Broilers

Table 4: Effect of stocking density and addition of green tea, Multi enzymes and their mixture and their interaction on feed conversion ratio (g feed/g gain) of broiler chicks from 7 to 43 days of age

| Treatments | | Feed conversion ratio | | | Number of dead |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-------|-------|----------------|
| Bird density/m ² birds | Additive | 7-21 | 22-43 | 7-43 | |
| 10 | Control | 1.727 | 2.242 | 2.091 | 1.0 |
| | Green tea | 1.699 | 2.335 | 2.160 | 3.0 |
| | Enzyme | 1.684 | 2.196 | 2.050 | 3.0 |
| | Mixture | 1.704 | 2.222 | 2.073 | 3.0 |
| 14 | Control | 1.690 | 2.165 | 2.028 | 2.0 |
| | Green tea | 1.833 | 2.074 | 2.001 | 1.0 |
| | Enzyme | 1.677 | 2.193 | 2.041 | 4.0 |
| | Mixture | 1.992 | 2.228 | 2.163 | 3.0 |
| 18 | Control | 2.003 | 2.034 | 2.025 | 3.0 |
| | Green tea | 1.743 | 1.998 | 1.926 | 2.0 |
| | Enzyme | 1.842 | 2.056 | 1.991 | 4.0 |
| | Mixture | 1.851 | 2.132 | 2.051 | 5.0 |
| | P value | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| | SEM | 0.116 | 0.127 | 0.095 | 0.50 |
| Effect of stocking density | | | | | |
| | 10 | 1.704 | 2.249 | 2.094 | 4.0 |
| | 14 | 1.798 | 2.165 | 2.058 | 3.0 |
| | 18 | 1.860 | 2.055 | 1.998 | 5.0 |
| | P value | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| | SEM | 0.058 | 0.064 | 0.048 | 0.249 |
| Effect of feed additives | | | | | |
| | Control | 1.807 | 2.147 | 2.048 | 2.0 |
| | Green tea | 1.759 | 2.136 | 2.029 | 3.0 |
| | Enzyme | 1.734 | 2.148 | 2.027 | 4.0 |
| | Mixture | 1.849 | 2.194 | 2.096 | 5.0 |
| | P value | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| | SEM | 0.067 | 0.073 | 0.055 | 0.286 |

NS = non significant at 0.05.

It seems therefore that the responses to stocking density could be primary affected by feed and water spaces and environmental condition within the house.

Green tea, Multi enzymes or their mixture as independent variables had no significant effects on the overall growth, feed intake and FCR of broiler chicks (Table 2, 3 and 4). The lack of enhancement effect of these agents may indicate that lack of synergisms between their mode of action and the stress caused by stocking density. However, Tollba (2003); Tollba and Hassan (2003) found that black cumin, garlic, thyme or fennel as natural feed additives to broiler diets under normal and high temperature conditions improved growth, FCR, and decreased mortality rate.

In Literature, green tea catechins are known for their pharmacological effects such as antioxidative (Lin *et al.*, 1996), antimutagenic (Jain *et al.*, 1989), anticarcinogenic (Sano *et al.*, 1999), and anti-inflammatory effects (Varilek *et al.*, 2001). In this regard, Miura *et al.* (2001) reported that ingestion of green tea improved growth of mice and did not affect plasma cholesterol and triglyceride concentrations. However, plasma peroxides were

reduced indicating that *in vivo* oxidative state is improved by tea ingestion. Moreover, aortic cholesterol and triglyceride contents were 27 and 50% lower in tea group than in the control group, respectively. On the other hand, green tea resulted in no enhancing impact on growth performance of broiler chicks housed at different densities.

Carcass characteristics: There were significant interaction between stocking density and feed additives on dressing percentage, hind part either as absolute weight (g) or (%), and abdominal fat percentage (Table 5). Results indicate that green tea, Multi enzymes and their mixture improved dressing percentage of broilers housed at 10/m² by 7.9, 6.4 and 5.1%, respectively. Meanwhile, these additives had no enhancing effect on dressing percentage of birds housed at 14/m². On the other hand, green tea and mixture of green tea and Multi enzymes did improve dressing percentage by 2.4 and 3.2% of birds housed at 18 m² compared to their respective control, respectively.

It is clear that green tea, and Multi enzymes improved

EI-Deek and Al-Harathi: Increasing Stocking Density of Broilers

Table 5: Effect of stocking density and addition of green tea, Multi enzymes and their mixture and their interaction on carcass characteristics of female broiler chicks slaughtered at 43 days of age

| Treatments | | Carcass weight (g) | Dressing, % | Front part, g | Front part, % | Hind part, g | Hind part, % | Wings weight, g | Wings, % | Abdominal fat, g | Abdominal fat, % |
|-----------------------------|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Bird density/m ² | Additive | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Control | 1131.5 | 60.6 | 607.0 | 32.4 | 524.5 | 28.2 | 81.78 | 4.47 | 10.23 | 0.55 |
| | Green tea | 1237.8 | 65.4 | 656.8 | 34.7 | 581.0 | 30.7 | 77.00 | 4.08 | 26.03 | 1.43 |
| | Enzyme | 1306.3 | 64.5 | 689.8 | 34.6 | 616.5 | 30.9 | 83.00 | 4.16 | 18.2 | 0.91 |
| | Mixture | 1119.5 | 63.7 | 608.8 | 34.6 | 510.8 | 29.1 | 75.63 | 4.31 | 9.90 | 0.57 |
| 14 | Control | 1271.5 | 65.9 | 619.5 | 32.1 | 652.0 | 33.8 | 73.00 | 3.81 | 13.45 | 0.68 |
| | Green tea | 1143.8 | 65.1 | 616.0 | 35.1 | 527.8 | 30.1 | 70.05 | 4.00 | 18.25 | 1.04 |
| | Enzyme | 1261.5 | 63.2 | 701.5 | 35.1 | 560.0 | 28.1 | 82.70 | 4.14 | 10.30 | 0.51 |
| | Mixture | 1207.8 | 65.2 | 643.8 | 34.8 | 564.0 | 30.4 | 72.90 | 3.94 | 11.25 | 0.61 |
| 18 | Control | 1137.5 | 62.1 | 602.5 | 32.8 | 535.0 | 29.2 | 72.13 | 3.94 | 9.78 | 0.53 |
| | Green tea | 1173.8 | 63.6 | 641.5 | 34.8 | 532.3 | 28.8 | 73.20 | 3.97 | 7.50 | 0.40 |
| | Enzyme | 1138.8 | 61.4 | 616.5 | 33.2 | 522.3 | 28.1 | 75.90 | 4.09 | 14.18 | 0.77 |
| | Mixture | 1149.5 | 64.1 | 635.3 | 35.4 | 514.5 | 28.7 | 73.83 | 4.13 | 14.43 | 0.80 |
| | P value | NS | 0.06 | NS | NS | 0.02 | 0.001 | NS | NS | NS | 0.008 |
| | SEM | 55.8 | 1.15 | 33.0 | 0.86 | 27.06 | 0.81 | 3.24 | 0.20 | 2.85 | 0.16 |
| Effect of stocking density | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | 1198.8 | 63.8 ^{ab} | 640.6 | 34.1 | 558.2 ^{ab} | 29.7 ^{ab} | 79.4 ^a | 4.26 | 16.1a | 0.87 |
| 14 | | 1221.1 | 64.9 ^a | 645.2 | 34.3 | 575.9 ^a | 30.6 ^a | 74.7 ^b | 3.97 | 13.3ab | 0.71 |
| 18 | | 1149.9 | 62.8 ^b | 623.9 | 34.1 | 526.0 ^b | 28.7 ^b | 73.8 ^b | 4.03 | 11.5b | 0.62 |
| P value | | NS | 0.05 | NS | NS | 0.04 | 0.008 | 0.04 | NS | NS | NS |
| | SEM | 27.9 | 0.58 | 16.50 | 0.43 | 13.53 | 0.41 | 1.62 | 0.10 | 1.43 | 0.08 |
| Effect of feed additives | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Control | 1180.2 | 62.8 | 609.7 ^b | 32.4 ^b | 570.5 | 30.4 | 75.6 ^{ab} | 4.07 | 11.15 ^b | 0.59 ^a |
| | Green tea | 1185.1 | 64.7 | 638.1 ^{ab} | 34.9 ^a | 547.0 | 29.9 | 73.4 ^b | 4.02 | 17.26 ^a | 0.96 ^a |
| | Enzyme | 1235.5 | 63.3 | 669.3 ^a | 34.3 ^a | 566.3 | 29.1 | 80.5 ^a | 4.13 | 14.23 ^{ab} | 0.73 ^{ab} |
| | Mixture | 1159.0 | 64.4 | 629.3 ^{ab} | 35.0 ^a | 529.8 | 29.4 | 74.1 ^b | 4.13 | 11.86 ^b | 0.66 ^a |
| | P value | NS | NS | NS | 0.003 | NS | NS | 0.05 | NS | 0.05 | 0.04 |
| | SEM | 32.3 | 0.66 | 19.1 | 0.50 | 15.64 | 0.47 | 1.87 | 0.12 | 1.65 | 0.09 |

a-b means within the same column within the same treatment not having similar superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05), NS = non significant at 0.05

absolute weight (g) of hind part of chickens housed at 10/m² by 10.8, and 17.5%, respectively. While green tea, Multi enzymes and their mixture improved relative weight of hind part by 8.9, 9.6 and 3.2%, respectively. Meanwhile, green tea, Multi enzymes and their mixture did not improve weight of hind part (g) or (%), but on the contrary even though unexplained negative effect were noticed in birds housed at 14 or 18/m² (Table 5).

The interaction between stocking density and feed additives indicated that green tea increased abdominal fat % by 160 and 52.9% of birds housed at 10 and 14/m² compared to their respective controls, respectively. While, it was decreased by 24.5% of birds housed at 18/m² compared to its respective control (Table 5). Meanwhile, Multi enzymes increased abdominal fat % of birds stocked at 10 and 18/m² by 65.5 and 45.3%, respectively. While it was decreased by 25% of groups stocked at 14/m². On the other hand, mixture of green tea and Multi enzymes increased abdominal fat % of group stocked at 18/m² by 50.9%, while it had a neglect able impact on groups stocked at 10 and 14/m².

There were significant effect of stocking density on dressing percentage, hind part weight (g) and (%) in which these criteria were decreased when broilers were

stocked at 18/m² compared to those of birds stocked at 14/m². On the other hand, broilers stocked at 10/m² showed intermediate dressing percentage and hind part weight (g) and (%). These results agree with those reported by Cravener *et al.* (1992) who found stocking density at 0.05 m²/bird decreased carcass weight significantly compared to those stocked at 0.07, 0.09 and 0.11 m² per bird.

Results showed that birds stocked at 10/m² had significantly higher abdominal fat (%) than those stocked at 18/m², meanwhile those stocked at 14/m² showed intermediate value. Cravener *et al.* (1992) found similar results. In brief, the changes in dressing (%), hind part weight (g) and (%) and abdominal fat (%) as result of changes in stocking density may reflect the impact of the stress resulted from increasing stocking density on nutrient metabolism and/or portioning.

Data in Table 5 showed that feed additives as an independent variable had significant effect on front part weight (g) and (%), and wings weight (g) as well as abdominal fat weight (g) and (%). Results indicate that Multi enzymes increased significantly front weight (g) and (%) compared to the control group. This may indicate an increase in nutrient availability due to Multi

El-Deek and Al-Harhi: Increasing Stocking Density of Broilers

Table 6: Effect of stocking density and addition of green tea, Multi enzymes and their mixture and their interaction on body organs of female broiler chicks slaughtered at 43 days of age

| Treatments | | Liver weight, g | Liver, % | Heart weight, g | Heart, % | Gizzard weight, g | Gizzard, % | Spleen weight g | Spleen, % | Pancreas, g | Pancreas, % | Intestinal g | Intestinal % |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|----------|-------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Bird density/m ² | Additive | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Control | 37.4 | 2.05 | 9.95 | 0.55 | 37.15 | 2.05 | 2.23 | 0.123 | 5.08 | 0.280 | 99.25 | 5.37 |
| | Green tea | 43.3 | 2.28 | 11.18 | 0.59 | 34.53 | 1.83 | 2.00 | 0.108 | 5.60 | 0.294 | 104.2 | 35.51 |
| | Enzyme | 39.7 | 1.99 | 8.23 | 0.41 | 35.93 | 1.80 | 1.15 | 0.058 | 5.45 | 0.274 | 89.8 | 34.52 |
| | Mixture | 40.0 | 2.28 | 10.35 | 0.59 | 35.13 | 2.01 | 3.03 | 0.173 | 4.78 | 0.275 | 84.55 | 4.83 |
| 14 | Control | 39.3 | 2.05 | 12.25 | 0.64 | 36.90 | 1.92 | 2.30 | 0.120 | 5.65 | 0.295 | 102.9 | 55.38 |
| | Green tea | 36.4 | 2.07 | 8.65 | 0.49 | 34.88 | 1.98 | 2.23 | 0.126 | 3.98 | 0.227 | 88.28 | 5.02 |
| | Enzyme | 41.7 | 2.08 | 10.35 | 0.52 | 36.25 | 1.81 | 3.00 | 0.151 | 5.05 | 0.253 | 94.95 | 4.75 |
| | Mixture | 46.2 | 2.49 | 8.60 | 0.46 | 36.98 | 2.00 | 1.65 | 0.089 | 5.30 | 0.287 | 80.50 | 4.38 |
| 18 | Control | 38.6 | 2.09 | 10.23 | 0.55 | 36.55 | 2.01 | 2.65 | 0.145 | 3.93 | 0.215 | 87.15 | 4.80 |
| | Green tea | 36.3 | 1.97 | 9.78 | 0.53 | 31.85 | 1.72 | 2.23 | 0.120 | 5.28 | 0.287 | 95.48 | 5.19 |
| | Enzyme | 37.0 | 1.99 | 8.88 | 0.48 | 39.85 | 2.15 | 2.83 | 0.151 | 6.13 | 0.328 | 93.98 | 5.07 |
| | Mixture | 40.8 | 2.27 | 8.23 | 0.46 | 31.33 | 1.74 | 2.10 | 0.118 | 4.33 | 0.240 | 76.58 | 4.26 |
| | P value | NS | NS | 0.04 | NS | NS | NS | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| | SEM | 2.86 | 0.14 | 0.82 | 0.045 | 2.11 | 0.132 | 0.255 | 0.015 | 0.59 | 0.032 | 5.81 | 0.332 |
| Effect of stocking density | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | 40.1 | 2.15 | 9.93 | 0.54 | 35.7 | 1.92 | 2.10 | 0.12 | 5.23 | 0.281 | 94.5 | 5.05 |
| 14 | | 40.9 | 2.17 | 9.96 | 0.53 | 36.3 | 1.93 | 2.29 | 0.12 | 4.99 | 0.266 | 91.7 | 4.88 |
| 18 | | 38.2 | 2.08 | 9.28 | 0.51 | 34.9 | 1.91 | 2.45 | 0.13 | 4.91 | 0.267 | 88.2 | 4.83 |
| | P value | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| | SEM | 1.44 | 0.07 | 0.41 | 0.023 | 1.05 | 0.066 | 0.128 | 0.075 | 0.29 | 0.016 | 2.91 | 0.166 |
| Effect of feed additives | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Control | 38.4 | 2.07b | 10.81a | 0.58a | 36.9 | 1.99 | 2.39 | 0.129 | 4.88 | 0.263 | 96.5 ^a | 5.18 ^a |
| | Green tea | 38.7 | 2.11b | 9.87ab | 0.54ab | 33.8 | 1.85 | 2.15 | 0.118 | 4.95 | 0.269 | 96.0 ^a | 5.24 ^a |
| | Enzyme | 39.4 | 2.02b | 9.15b | 0.47 b | 37.3 | 1.92 | 2.33 | 0.120 | 5.54 | 0.285 | 92.9 ^a | 4.78 ^{ab} |
| | Mixture | 42.3 | 2.35a | 9.06b | 0.51ab | 34.5 | 1.92 | 2.26 | 0.127 | 4.80 | 0.267 | 80.5 ^b | 4.49 ^b |
| | P value | NS | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.04 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | 0.006 | 0.03 |
| | SEM | 1.65 | 0.08 | 0.47 | 0.026 | 1.22 | 0.076 | 0.147 | 0.087 | 0.34 | 0.018 | 3.36 | 0.192 |

a-b means within the same column within the same treatment not having similar superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05), NS = non significant at 0.05.

EI-Deek and Al-Harhi: Increasing Stocking Density of Broilers

Table 7: Effect of stocking density and addition of green tea, Multi enzymes and their mixture and their interaction on chemical composition of liver of female broiler chicks slaughtered at 43 d of age

| Treatments | | Moisture, % | CP, % | EE, % | Cholesterol mg/100 g | Ash, % |
|-----------------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------|
| Bird density/m ² | Additive | | | | | |
| 10 | Control | 74.2 | 68.7 | 21.6 | 66.2 | 6.23 |
| | Green tea | 74.2 | 67.6 | 22.8 | 64.1 | 6.00 |
| | Enzyme | 74.5 | 67.6 | 22.6 | 63.8 | 6.20 |
| | Mixture | 73.8 | 67.4 | 23.0 | 65.9 | 5.86 |
| 14 | Control | 73.7 | 68.5 | 21.7 | 66.8 | 6.12 |
| | Green tea | 74.0 | 68.6 | 21.7 | 63.2 | 6.09 |
| | Enzyme | 74.7 | 67.7 | 22.6 | 65.9 | 5.94 |
| | Mixture | 73.9 | 67.3 | 23.1 | 64.5 | 6.15 |
| 18 | Control | 74.2 | 67.5 | 22.4 | 68.3 | 6.27 |
| | Green tea | 74.5 | 68.0 | 22.9 | 66.4 | 6.35 |
| | Enzyme | 74.4 | 68.6 | 21.5 | 62.2 | 6.02 |
| | Mixture | 74.6 | 67.8 | 22.7 | 59.9 | 5.92 |
| | P value | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| | SEM | 0.24 | 0.41 | 0.39 | 0.55 | 0.243 |
| Effect of stocking density | | | | | | |
| | 10 | 74.1 | 67.8 | 22.5 | 65.0 | 6.07 |
| | 14 | 74.1 | 68.0 | 22.3 | 65.1 | 6.07 |
| | 18 | 74.4 | 68.0 | 22.4 | 64.2 | 6.14 |
| | P value | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| | SEM | 0.12 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.28 | 0.122 |
| Effect of feed additives | | | | | | |
| | Control | 74.0 ^b | 68.3 | 22.9 ^a | 67.1 | 6.21 |
| | Green tea | 74.2 ^{ab} | 68.1 | 22.5 ^{ab} | 64.6 | 6.15 |
| | Enzyme | 74.5 ^a | 68.0 | 22.2 ^b | 64.0 | 6.05 |
| | Mixture | 74.1 ^{ab} | 67.5 | 22.9 ^a | 63.4 | 6.00 |
| | P value | 0.08 | NS | 0.02 | NS | NS |
| | SEM | 0.14 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.34 | 0.141 |

a-b means within the same column within the same treatment not having similar superscripts are significantly different P<0.05), NS = non significant at 0.05

enzymes addition (Makled, 1993; Jeroch *et al.*, 1995) Meanwhile, green tea and mixture of green tea and Multi enzymes improved significantly front weight (%) compared to the control group, with no significant difference between different feed additives in % and weight (g) of front part. It was observed that wings weight (g) of Multi enzymes supplemented group was significantly higher than that of those supplemented with green tea or mixture of green tea and Multi enzymes, which in turn showed no significant difference between them. On the other hand, wings weight (g) of feed additives supplemented groups was similar to that of the control group. Results showed that green tea supplementation resulted in unexplained significant increase in weight (g) and (%) of abdominal fat compared to the control group and those supplemented with mixture of green tea and Multi enzymes (Table 5). On the other hand, abdominal fat weight (g) and (%) of group fed Multi enzymes supplemented diet were not significantly different from those of the other experimental groups.

Internal organs: Results displayed in Table 6 indicate that there were no significant interaction between stocking density and feed additives in the most of the studied traits except for a significant interaction between stocking density and feed additives on heart weight (g) and spleen weight (g) and (%). Results revealed that green tea and its mixture with Multi enzymes increased heart weight (g) of broilers housed at 10/m² by 12.4 and 4.0%, respectively. Meanwhile; green tea, Multi enzymes or their mixture decreased heart weight (g) of groups stocked at 14 or 18 bird/m². Results indicate that spleen weight (g) and (%) were decreased considerably due to Multi enzymes addition to groups stocked at 10/m², while the contrary was shown when Multi enzymes added to group stocked at 14/m², while, Multi enzymes had negligible impact on group stocked at 18/m². Green tea addition resulted in a considerably decrease in spleen weight (g) and (%) of broilers stocked at 10 and 18/m², while it had negligible impact on group stocked at 14/m². A mixture of green tea and Multi enzymes increased spleen weight

EI-Deek and Al-Harhi: Increasing Stocking Density of Broilers

Table 8: Effect of stocking density and addition of green tea, Multi enzymes and their and Multi enzymes and their interaction on plasma constituents of female broiler chicks slaughtered at 43 d of age

| Treatments | | Total Protein | Albumin (g/dl) | Globulin (g/dl) | Total lipid (g/l) | Cholesterol (mg/dl) | AST (u/dl) | ALT (u/dl) |
|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|
| Bird density/m ² | Additive | (g/dl) | | | | | | |
| 10 | Control | 4.85 | 2.43 | 2.42 | 7.10 | 170.8 | 22.5 | 11.7 |
| | Green tea | 5.26 | 2.64 | 2.62 | 7.11 | 164.5 | 23.2 | 11.7 |
| | Enzyme | 5.23 | 2.52 | 2.71 | 7.19 | 167.7 | 21.1 | 11.9 |
| | Mixture | 4.93 | 2.40 | 2.52 | 7.07 | 162.2 | 23.2 | 11.4 |
| 14 | Control | 5.02 | 2.60 | 2.42 | 7.37 | 168.2 | 23.2 | 11.1 |
| | Green tea | 5.32 | 2.65 | 2.67 | 7.26 | 171.6 | 21.9 | 11.6 |
| | Enzyme | 4.81 | 2.42 | 2.39 | 7.33 | 167.1 | 22.4 | 11.6 |
| | Mixture | 5.11 | 2.53 | 2.58 | 7.03 | 156.9 | 21.6 | 12.7 |
| 18 | Control | 4.64 | 2.33 | 2.30 | 7.18 | 163.7 | 21.4 | 11.8 |
| | Green tea | 5.02 | 2.47 | 2.55 | 7.13 | 171.6 | 23.1 | 11.8 |
| | Enzyme | 4.76 | 2.45 | 2.31 | 7.07 | 165.4 | 22.6 | 11.7 |
| | Mixture | 5.12 | 2.51 | 2.61 | 6.78 | 164.4 | 21.4 | 11.8 |
| | P value | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | 0.01 | NS |
| | SEM | 0.275 | 0.118 | 0.186 | 0.303 | 7.49 | 0.56 | 0.42 |
| Effect of stocking density | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | 5.07 | 2.50 | 2.57 | 7.12 | 166.3 | 22.5 | 11.6 |
| 14 | | 5.06 | 2.55 | 2.51 | 7.25 | 165.9 | 22.3 | 11.8 |
| 18 | | 4.88 | 2.44 | 2.44 | 7.04 | 166.3 | 22.1 | 11.8 |
| | P value | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| | SEM | 0.138 | 0.059 | 0.093 | 0.152 | 3.75 | 0.28 | 0.21 |
| Effect of feed additives | | | | | | | | |
| | Control | 4.83 | 2.45 | 2.38 | 7.22 | 7.22 | 22.4 | 11.5 |
| | Green tea | 5.20 | 2.58 | 2.61 | 7.17 | 7.17 | 2.7 | 11.7 |
| | Enzyme | 4.93 | 2.46 | 2.47 | 7.20 | 7.20 | 22.0 | 11.7 |
| | Mixture | 5.05 | 2.48 | 2.57 | 6.96 | 6.96 | 22.1 | 12.0 |
| | P value | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| | SEM | 0.159 | 0.068 | 0.108 | 0.176 | 4.33 | 0.31 | 0.25 |

^{a,b} means within the same column within the same treatment not having similar superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05), NS = non significant at 0.05

(g) and (%) of group stocked at 10/m², while the contrary was shown of groups stocked at 14 or 18/m².

Irrespective of feed additives, there was no significant effect of stocking density on the internal organs, showing that internal organs were developed normally under different densities for rearing broilers.

Feed additives as an independent variable had significant effect on liver (%), heart weight (g) and (%), and intestinal weight (g) and (%). Results revealed that mixture of green tea and Multi enzymes increased significantly liver (%) compared to the control diet without or with green tea or Multi enzymes (Table 6). The increase in liver (%) may indicate fat accumulation due to addition of mixture of green tea and Multi enzymes.

Multi enzymes supplementation resulted in significantly smaller heart weight (g) and (%) than that of the control group. Also, only heart weight (g) of group fed mixture of green tea and Multi enzymes was smaller than that of the control group. On the other hand, green tea supplemented group exhibited intermediate heart weight (g) and (%).

Regardless of stocking density, results showed that intestinal weight (g) and (%) were significantly affected by feed additives. It is obvious that, mixture of green tea and Multi enzymes significantly decreased intestinal weight (%) compared to the control group without or with green tea or Multi enzymes supplementation, and intestinal weight (%) compared to the control group without or with green tea supplementation. The decrease in intestinal weight and percentage of group fed diet supplemented with mixture of green tea and Multi enzymes may explain the insignificant decrease in growth of this group and may indicate an alternation in the intestinal function, Pancreas weight (g) and (%) were not significantly affected (Table 6).

Chemical composition of liver: Obviously, there were no negative effect of stocking density on percentage moisture, protein, ether extract, ash and cholesterol (mg/100 g) of liver, nor were there significant interaction between stocking density and feed additives on these criteria (Table 7).

EI-Deek and Al-Harhi: Increasing Stocking Density of Broilers

Feed additives as independent variable had significant effects on percentage moisture and ether extract of liver. Results indicated that Multi enzymes addition increased percentage moisture and decreased ether extract of liver compared with the control group. The increase in ether extract of liver was not connected with either liver weight or percentage nor with abdominal fat weight or percentage. It should be mentioned however, that green tea had no significant effect on percentage moisture, CP, EE, cholesterol and ash of liver (Table 7).

Blood plasma constituents: There were no significant impacts of stocking density and feed additives or their interaction on the most of the plasma constituents except for plasma AST activity (Table 8). Results indicated that green tea addition to the diet for birds stocked at 10 and 18/m² increased the activity of plasma AST compared to the control group, while the opposite trend was shown when it was added to broilers stocked at 14/m². Enzyme addition decreased plasma AST activity of group housed at 10 and 14 birds/m², however the effect was more pronounced of group housed at 10/m² than those housed at 14 chicks/m² (6.2 vs.3.4%). On the other hand, enzyme addition to broilers housed at 18/m² increased plasma AST activity by 5.6%.

Addition of the mixture of green tea and Multi enzymes to broilers housed at 10 birds/m² increased plasma AST activity, whilst decreased it of group stocked at 14 birds/m², and this essentially parallel the effect of green tea on plasma AST activity of these groups (Table 8).

The effect of green tea on the activity of plasma AST could be attributed to its antioxidative (Lin et al., 1996).

The present results agree with those reported by Miura *et al.* (2001) who reported that ingestion of green tea did not affect plasma cholesterol and triglyceride concentrations. However, plasma peroxides were reduced indicating that *in vivo* oxidative state is improved by tea ingestion. Moreover, aortic cholesterol and triglyceride contents were 27 and 50% lower in tea group than in the control group, respectively. Also, Attia *et al.* (2001) found that Multi enzymes addition to broilers diet had no adverse effects on plasma constituents.

It is clear that stocking density and different types of additives used herein had no negative effects on plasma constituents of broiler chicks and liver functions as judged by plasma ALT and AST during the experimental period.

In conclusion broilers chicks could be stocked up to 14 bird/m² without adverse effect on growth performance, while addition of green tea, Multi enzymes or their mixture had no enhancing effect on growth performance of broiler stocked at different densities herein.

References

A.O.A.C., 1990. Official method of analysis. Association of analytical chemicals. Washington, D.C., USA.

- Al-Harhi, M.A., 2002. Efficacy of vegetable diets with antibiotics, and different types of spices or their mixtures on performance, economic efficiency and carcass traits of broilers. *J. Agri. Sci. Mansoura Univ.*, 27: 3531-3545, 2002.
- Al-Homidan, A.A., 2001. The effect of temperature and stocking density on broiler performance and ammonia production. *Egypt Poul. Sci.*, 21: 1121-1137.
- Attia, Y.A., A.I. Abd El-Ghani, E.H. El-Ganzory and S.B. Abd El-Hady, 1997. Responses of Bandarah local breed to some pronutrient additions. *Egyptian Poul. Sci.*, 17: 1-22.
- Attia, Y.A., S.A. Abd El-Rahman and E.M.A. Qota, 2001. Effects of microbial phytase with or without cell-wall splitting enzymes on the performance of broilers fed marginal levels of dietary protein and metabolizable energy. *Egyptian Poul. Sci.*, 21: 521-547.
- Aulrich, K. and G. Flachowsky, 2001. Studies on the mode of action of non-starch-polysaccharides (NSP) degrading enzymes *in vitro* 2. Communication: Effects on the nutrient release and hydration properties. *Arch. Anim. Nutr.*, 54: 19-32.
- Bedford, M.R., 1996. The effect of enzymes on digestion. *J. Appl. Poul. Res.*, 5: 370-378.
- Beremski, C.H., 1987. Rearing broiler at different stocking densities and lengths of fattening. Basic production characteristics. *Zhivotnov dni Nauki*, 24: 20-25.
- Brufau, J., M. Franchcesch and A.M. Perez-Vendrell, 2002. Exogenous enzymes in poultry feeding. Recent development. 11 th European Poultry Conferences, Bremen 6-10 Sep. 2002, Germany.
- Buckland, R.B., H.C. Gaperdone and D.B. Bragg, 1971. Interaction of strain, density and ration with two light systems on broiler performance. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.*, 51: 615-619.
- Cravener, T.L., W.B. Roush and M.M. Mashaly, 1992. Broiler production under varying population densities. *Poul. Sci.*, 71: 427-433.
- Doumas, B.T., D. Watson and H.G. Biggs, 1977. Albumin standards and the measurement of blood albumin with bromocresol green. *Clin. Chem. Acta.*, 31: 87.
- Duncan, D.B., 1955. The Multiple Range and Multiple F. Test. *Biometrics*, 11: 1-42.
- EI-Husseiny, O.M., S.M. Salash and H.M. Azouz, 2002. Response of broiler performance to diets containing hot pepper, and/or fenugreek at different metabolizable energy levels. *Egypt. Poul. Sci.*, 22: 387-406.
- EI-Deek, A.A., M.A. Al-Harhi, Y.A. Attia and Maysa M. Hannfy, 2003. Effect of anise (*Pimpinella anisum*), fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*) and ginger (*Zingiber officinale Roscoe*) on growth performance, carcass criteria and meat quality of broilers. *Archive Fur Geflugelkunde*, 67: 92-96.

EI-Deek and Al-Harhi: Increasing Stocking Density of Broilers

- Grashorn, M. and B. Kutritz, 1991. Effect of housing density on the performance of modern broiler strains. *Archiv Fur Geflugelkunde*, 55: 84-90.
- Jain, A.J., K. Shimoi, Y. Nakamura, T. Kada, Y. Hara and I. Tomita, 1989. Crude tea extracts decrease the mutagenic activity of N-methyl-N-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine in vitro and in intra gastric tract of rats. *Mutat. Res.*, 210: 1-8.
- Jeroch, H., S. Dänicke and J. Brufau, 1995. The influence of enzyme preparations on the nutritional value of cereals for poultry: a review. *J. Anim. Feed Sci.*, 4: 263-285.
- Lin, Y.L., I.M. Juan, Y.L. Chen, Y.C. Liang and J.K. Lin, 1996. Composition of polyphenols in fresh tea leaves and associations of their oxygen-radical-absorbing capacity with antiproliferative actions in fibroblast cells. *J. Agri. Food Chem.*, 272: 1433-1436.
- Makled, M.N., 1993. Enzyme as poultry feed supplement. 4th Symp. Animal, Poultry and Fish Nutrition, El-Fayoum. Egypt, 5-9.
- Miura, Y., T. Chiba, I. Tomita, H. Koizumi, S. Miura, K. Umegaki, Y. Hara, M. Ikeda and T. Tomita, 2001. Tea catechins prevent the development of atherosclerosis in apoprotein E-deficient mice. *J. Nutr.*, 131: 27-32.
- Mizubuti, I.Y., N.A.N. Fonseca, J.W. Pinheiro and Waine and J. Pinheiro, 1994. Performance of two commercial broiler lines kept at different housing densities on different types of litter. *Revista da Sociedade Brasileira de Zootecnia*, 23: 476-484.
- National Research Council, 1994. Nutrient Requirements of Poultry. 9th edn., National Academy Press. Washington, DC., USA.
- Nelson, F.E., L.S. Jensen and J. McGinnis, 1963. Studies on the stimulation of growth by dietary Amoxicillins 2- Effect an Amoxicillins on metabolizable energy of the diet. *Poult. Sci.*, 42: 209-219.
- Osman, A.M. and N.I. Tanios, 1983. The effect of heat on the intestinal and pancreatic levels of amylase and maltase of laying hens and broilers. *Poult. Sci.*, 75: 563-567.
- Shanawany, M.M., 1988. Broiler performance under high stocking densities. *Br. Poult. Sci.*, 29: 43-52.
- Sano, M., M. Suzuki, T. Miyase, K. Yoshino and M. Maeda-Yamamoto, 1999. Novel antiallergic catechins derivatives isolated from oolong tea. *J. Agri. Food Chem.*, 47: 1906-1910.
- SAS Institute, 1985. SAS® User's Guide: Statistics. Version 5th ed., SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA.
- Tollba, A.A.H., 2003. Using some natural additives to improve physiological and productive performance of broiler chicks under high temperature conditions. 1. Thyme (*Thymus vulgaris* L.) or Fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* L.) Egypt. *Poult. Sci.*, 23: 313-326.
- Tollba, A.A.H. and M.S.H. Hassan, 2003. Using some natural additives to improve physiological and productive performance of broiler chicks under high temperature conditions. 2. Black cumin (*Nigella Sativa*) or garlic (*Allium Sativum*). *Poult. Sci.*, 23:327-340
- MAKLED, M. N., 1993: Enzyme as poultry feed supplement. 4th Symp. Anim., Poult. and Fish Nutrition, El-Fayoum. Egypt, 5-9.
- Reiter, K. and W. Bessei, 2000. Effect of stocking density of broilers on temperature in the litter and at bird level. *Arch. Geflügelk.*, 64: 204-206.
- Retiman, S. and, S. Frankel, 1957. Calorimetric method for the determination of blood, aminotransferase enzymatic activities. *Am. J. Clin. Pathol.*, 28: 56-63.
- Parkhurst, C.R., G.R. Baughman, Jr., J.P. Thaxton, J.D. Garlich and F.W. Edens, 1977. A comparison of broiler grown in environmentally modified and conventional housing at different population densities:1. Production performance and economic analysis. *Poult. Sci.*, 56: 883-885.
- Proudfoot, F.G., H.W. Hulan and D.R. Ramey, 1979. The effect of four stocking densities on broiler carcass grade, the incidence of breast blisters, and other performance traits. *Poult. Sci.*, 58: 791-793.
- Weaver, W.D. Jr., W.L. Beane and J.A. Cherry, 1982. Effect of light, feeding space, stocking density and dietary energy on broiler performance. *Poult. Sci.*, 61: 33-37.
- Webster, A.J., 1990. Housing on respiratory disease in farm animals. *Outlook on Agriculture*, 19: 31-35.
- Varilek, G.W., F. Yang, E.Y. Lee, W.J.S. de Villiers, J. Zhong, H.S. Oz, K.F. Westberry and C.J. McClain, 2001. Green tea polyphenol extract attenuates inflammation in interleukin-2-deficient mice, a model of autoimmunity. *J. Nutr.*, 131: 2034-2039.
- Zanella, I., N.K. Sakomura, F.G. Silversides, A. Figueirido and M. Pack, 1999. Effect of enzyme supplementation of broiler diets based on corn and soybeans. *Poult. Sci.*, 78: 561-568.