ISSN 1682-8356 ansinet.org/ijps



POULTRY SCIENCE

ANSImet

308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan Mob: +92 300 3008585, Fax: +92 41 8815544 E-mail: editorijps@gmail.com International Journal of Poultry Science 7 (6): 516-525, 2008 ISSN 1682-8356 © Asian Network for Scientific Information, 2008

Pathogens Transmitted by Migratory Birds: Threat Perceptions to Poultry Health and Production

K. Dhama¹, M. Mahendran² and S. Tomar³

¹Avian Diseases Section, Division of Pathology,
Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, (U.P.) - 243 122, India

²Avian Diseases Section, Division of Pathology, Indian Veterinary Research Institute,
Izatnagar, (U.P.) 243 122, India

³Department of Animal Sciences, Central Agricultural Research Institute (CARI),
Port Blair, Andaman and Nicobar Islands - 744 101, India

Abstract: The role of migrating wild birds in transmitting diseases of poultry or zoonoses is a contentious issue as the researchers and naturalists stands divided regarding their capability to disperse pathogens over continents. Recently, migratory birds got world wide attention during the bird flu outbreaks, as they were found capable to disseminate the deadly H5N1 avian influenza (bird flu) virus, without themselves getting affected. However, the death of migratory birds due to H5N1, reported from Asia, has fuelled anxiety and concern over the whole issue. Apart from avian influenza, migratory birds are also thought to play role in the transmission of avian viruses like Newcastle disease virus, avian pneumovirus and duck plague virus. Similarly, bacterial pathogens like Chlamydophila psittaci and Pasteurella multocida can be transmitted to domestic poultry via migratory birds. They are also known to spread West Nile virus, equine encephalitis virus, Borrelia burgdorferi and enteropathogens like Campylobacter and Salmonella, which could affect animals as will as human beings. To prevent such etiological agents from entering poultry premises, strict biosecurity and constant surveillance are of paramount importance. Hence, in the scenario of migratory birds contributing significantly to the global spread of infectious diseases, a better understanding of their role in the disease epidemiology has to be gained by implementing superior surveillance and tracking strategies.

Key word: Avian influenza, biosecurity, migratory birds, poultry diseases, surveillance, wild birds

Introduction

Migratory birds can disperse microorganisms across international borders and myriad pathogens harmful to poultry or other vertebrates have been associated with such birds (Hubalek, 1994, 2004). They circulate avian influenza virus (AIV), avian pneumovirus, Newcastle disease virus, duck plague virus, Chlamydophila psittaci, Campylobacter and Salmonella (Hubalek, 1994; Palmgren et al., 1997; Wobeser, 1997; Alexander, 2000; Hubalek, 2004). The pathogen disseminating potential of migrating birds has become a major concern during the spread of West Nile virus (WNV) in North America during the late 1990's (Rappole and Hubalek, 2000; Reed et al., 2003). During the last few years, migrating birds have introduced H5N1 influenza virus to many countries, choosing specific flyways in different continents (Brand, 1984; Rappole et al., 2000; Stroud et al., 2004). Migrating birds generally include species that cyclically cross one or more national boundaries and use a variety of habitats including wetlands, marshes and other water bodies (UNEP, 2005). Such migrants make series of shorter flights, traveling more at night and during stop-over, disseminate the harbored pathogens (Reed et al., 2003). Migration, a remarkable biological phenomenon having epizootic implications

depends on factors like susceptibility of birds, pathogen viability, vectors and factors such as temperature and humidity (Keymer, 1958; Page, 1976). The stress associated with migration can increase the bird's susceptibility to pathogens or enhance their shedding rate. Migration is highly demanding and if the birds become infected, they may spread the pathogens, for shorter distances rather than distant destinations (Weber and Stilianakis, 2007; Feare, 2007). For the efficient dispersal of pathogens, they serve as biological carriers of microbes or mechanical dispersers of vectors that harbor pathogens (Hubalek, 1994; Singh et al., 2003; Hubalek, 2004). As biological carriers, the infection in migratory birds can be acute (Newcastle disease. Duck plaque. Pasteurellosis) chronic (Pox) or asymptomatic (influenza, salmonellosis). Feces and nasal and respiratory exudates of infected migrants transmit AIV, paramyxovirus, herpes virus, C. psittaci, Campylobacter, Salmonella, Mycobacterium avium, P. multocida and Clostridium spp. Likewise, they transport ticks along with pathogens, from one site to another (Hubalek, 1994, 2004). Even though such birds carry influenza virus and other pathogens, as per the suggestions of Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO), eliminating these birds is not a sagacious

measure. Instead, biosecurity and surveillance system has to be enhanced to ensure that the poultry dwelling zones are not contaminated by migrating birds.

Pathogens transmitted by migratory birds:

Avian influenza virus (AIV): Avian influenza virus (AIV) of genus Influenzavirus (type A), family Orthomyxoviridae, is the causative agent of avian influenza (bird flu), that causes high flock mortality in poultry. The H5N1 subtype of highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) virus has caused severe outbreaks in poultry worldwide, accounting for huge economic losses (Alexander, 2000; Swayne and Halvorson, 2003; Dhama et al., 2005; Burgos and Burgos, 2007a). It is a highly contagious, zoonotic and multi-systemic disease placed under 'List A' disease of OIE, having potential of rapid spread, irrespective of national borders. The H5N1 virus, earlier limited to poultry, is now capable of infecting migratory birds, animals and human beings (Chen et al., 2006; Kataria et al., 2006; Burgos and Burgos, 2007b). During the past few years, the H5N1 virus has led to losses of more than 250 million birds besides claiming 210 human lives, globally.

The isolation of pathogenic AIV in migratory birds (common tern - Sterna hirundo) was first reported in 1961 from South Africa (Becker, 1966; Alexander, 2000; Dhama et al., 2005). After this, different low pathogenicity avian influenza (LPAI) viruses have been isolated from migrating ducks, geese, gulls and other shorebirds, throughout the world (Stallknecht and Shane, 1988; Alexander, 2000; Fouchier et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2005; Flint, 2007). Congregations of migratory waterfowl, increases the probability of contact infection to domestic avian species in a particular geographic region. Waterfowl and shorebirds are considered as natural and principal reservoir for most of the AIV subtypes (H1-H16 and N1-N9) (Alexander, 2000; Dhama et al., 2005; Mathew et al., 2006; Kataria et al., 2006; Flint, 2007). However, majority of the AIVs that are circulating in migratory birds have been belonging to low pathogenic category (Swayne and Halvorson, 2003; Dhama et al., 2005). During recent years, the outbreak of the H5N1 virus in Asia that has subsequently spread to Russia, Middle East, Europe and Africa, has put increased focus on the role of wild birds (Olsen et al., 2006; Normile, 2006; Capua and Alexander, 2007). After the 1997 Hong Kong outbreak, a devastating one for poultry industry besides claiming human lives for the first time, the precursor virus, later detected in geese changed its host-range to ducks by undergoing reassortment (genetic shift) and moved further to chickens in 2002, changing a range of genotypes with increased virulence (Sturm-Ramirez et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2005; Zhou et al., 2006). This virus has caused mortality in migratory birds in China and Mongolia for the first incidence after South African outbreaks of 1961 and ducks, bar-headed

geese, gulls and cormorants were the migratory birds that were mostly affected (Sturm-Ramirez et al., 2004; Youling, 2005; Sanjaatogtokh, 2005). The H5N1 virus in migratory waterfowl showed clinical signs like paralysis, head tilt and staggering gait and pathology in multiple organs, including the brain resulting in severe neurological dysfunction and was transmitted between migratory birds (Sturm-Ramirez et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2006). These isolates from migratory birds were found to be distinct from Southeast Asian H5N1 virus, but capable of inflicting highly pathogenic infection in chickens (Lei et al., 2007). Besides occurrence of HPAI in such birds, it is a matter of concern that the LPAI viruses in migratory birds can mutate within them or get into the poultry population which later act as precursor for the generation of deadly HPAI viruses (Swayne and Halvorson, 2003; Dhama et al., 2005; Mathew et al., 2006; Mehrabanpour et al., 2007). Geospatial analyses clearly prove that the distribution of HPAI outbreaks in domestic poultry has been strongly associated with free grazing water fowls present in the region (Gilbert et al., 2006a).

Major migratory birds that may transmit the avian influenza viruses are; whistling duck, white-headed duck, common shelduck, mallard duck, spot-billed duck, long-tailed duck, mute swan, whooper swan, whistling swan, white-fronted goose, bar-headed goose, northern pintail, common teal, oriental stork, lesser and greater adjutant, Siberian crane, Sarus crane, black-necked crane, great black headed gull, brown-headed gull and cormorants (Stallknecht and Shane, 1988; Kawaoka *et al.*, 1988; Alexander, 2000; Gilbert *et al.*, 2006b; Shortridge and Melville, 2006).

Newcastle disease virus (NDV): Newcastle disease (ND) is an economically important, highly contagious and fatal disease causing respiratory and enteric infection in poultry. It is also an OIE list A disease. The etiological agent, Newcastle disease virus (NDV), belongs to genus Avulavirus, serotype avian paramyxovirus I (APMV-1), in family Paramyxoviridae (Alexander, 2003). NDV has been reported from many species of free-living birds and wild waterfowl is considered as a potential natural reservoir of APMV-1 (Takakuwa et al., 1998; Alexander, 2003; Zanetti et al., 2005). Takakuwa et al. (1998) reported that NDV strains isolated from waterfowl showed virulence while assessing the mean death time, which was further proved by sequencing of fusion (F) gene, as dibasic amino acids were present at the cleavage site. This suggests that potentially virulent strains of NDV may be maintained in migratory waterfowl populations that may get transmitted to poultry to acquire pathogenicity during circulation in chickens (Takakuwa et al., 1998). However, researchers have reported the presence of nonpathogenic NDV in wild or migratory birds which are

unable to show clinical signs in poultry (Kommers et al., 2003). In another study, reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and DNA sequencing proved NDV isolates from wild birds to be avirulent ones (Peroulis and O'Riley, 2004). The phylogenetic analysis also revealed that majority of such NDVs isolated from little terns, great cormorants, sandwich terns and common redshanks could be nonpathogenic, forming a subgroup related to viruses of genotype II (Zanetti et al., 2005). However, only recently, Shchelkanov et al. (2006) reported that certain NDV strains isolated from wild birds were pathogenic during the molecular analysis. Hence, further studies are required to identify the precursor viruses for highly virulent NDV that may be brought in by migratory birds and this is only possible by continued surveillance of wild/migratory bird population.

Avian pneumovirus (APV): Avian pneumovirus (APV), belonging to the genus Metapneumovirus of the Paramyxoviridae family, causes a rapidly spreading respiratory disease recognized as turkey rhinotracheitis (TRT); or swollen head syndrome (SHS) in chickens (Shin et al., 2000; Lwamba et al., 2002; Gough, 2003; Jones, 2006). The disease has incurred serious economic losses to many commercial turkey rearing Secondary bacterial infections units immunosuppressive viral diseases are known to exacerbate the disease severity (Lwamba et al., 2002; Gough, 2003; Jones, 2006). The isolation of APV from choanal swab or nasal turbinate samples of a variety of wild birds like geese, mallards, sparrows, swallows and starlings has prompted to ascertain the significance of wild/migratory birds in the epidemiology and persistence of APV infections in domestic flocks (Shin et al., 2000; Bennett et al., 2002). During APV outbreaks in Minnesota (USA), Bennett et al. (2004) observed a seasonal trend of disease occurrence and also suggested the suspected role of wild birds in APV transmission. Based on nucleotide sequencing it was deduced that the APV isolates from domestic turkeys, wild ducks and geese shared a common source and the viruses from different avian species can cross-infect, indicating close relationship (Shin et al., 2002; Bennett et al., 2005).

Duck plague virus (DPV): Duck plague or duck viral enteritis is a highly contagious disease of Anseriformes, causing high mortality and egg production decline in domestic waterfowl and chickens with variable mortality in wild waterfowl (Sandhu and Shawky, 2003; Dey et al., 2005). Alphaherpesvirus, the etiological agent, is known to affect many species of ducks, geese and swans and the recovered birds act as carriers of DPV (Sandhu and Shawky, 2003). DPV strains have also been detected from cloacal swabs of pintail ducks, gadwall ducks and wood ducks and it was reported that

wild ducks and geese that have survived natural outbreaks are known to carry the virus even after four years post infection (Burgess et al., 1979). Birds like teal and geese, when inoculated with liver tissue of infected mallard ducks, were reported to evince signs of profound weakness, ataxia, tremors and convulsions (Wobeser, 1987). By using virological and serological methods, carrier wild birds have been identified and their role in the epidemiology and incidence of duck plague in wild and domestic birds has been ascertained (Ziedler and Hlinak, 1992). The major epizootic of duck plague (1973, USA) in wild waterfowl gave clear evidence that the most likely source of infection was the DPV-carrier wild mallards and American black duck that entered through the major flyways (Converse and Kidd, 2001). As the convalescent migrants are silent carriers, for DPV control in poultry, measures should include biosecurity, eradication of affected flocks and decontamination of the environment (Pearson and Cassidy, 1997; Converse and Kidd, 2001).

Egg drop syndrome virus (EDSV): Egg drop syndrome-1976 (EDS-76), a vertically transmitted and economically important disease of poultry, causes low egg production with high fragility of eggs together with substantial decrease in fertility and hatchability. The EDS-76 virus (EDSV) has been classified under group III of the genus Aviadenovirus in family Adenoviridae. Even though disease outbreaks are often seen in layer chickens, it is thought that ducks and geese are natural hosts for EDSV (McFerran and Adair, 2003; Senthilkumar et al., 2003). Presence of EDSV antibodies have been reported from domestic ducks and geese (Lu et al., 1985; McFerran and Adair, 2003). Antibodies against this virus have also been detected from migratory ducks, grebes, egrets, gulls and wild geese (Kaleta et al., 1980; Malkinson and Weisman, 1980; Gulka et al., 1984; McFerran and Adair, 2003). EDSV is thought to be disseminated by migratory anseriforms and the spread from wild ducks and geese to domestic flocks can occur via drinking water contaminated with droppings of infected birds, thus resulting in sporadic infections in poultry (McFerran and Adair, 2003; Hubalek, 2004).

Chlamydophila psittaci: Chlamydiosis, a contagious disease of pet birds and poultry having zoonotic implications, is caused by *C. psittaci* which is an obligate intracellular bacterium. It is considered as a List B disease by OIE and in parrots, parakeets and humans the disease is also known as psittacosis (Andersen and Vanrompay, 2000). The disease affects all types of poultry and is systemic and occasionally fatal; often transmitted by inhalation or ingestion of infectious fecal dust. Wild ducks, gulls, egrets, grackles, sparrows and other wild bird species present a significant reservoir of *Chlamydophila psittaci* that can spread the disease by

direct contact or infectious aerosols to domestic poultry or other vertebrates including human beings (Page, 1976; Grimes et al., 1979; Brand, 1989; Andersen and Vanrompay, 2000; Kaleta and Taday, 2003). Some chlamydial strains not normally pathogenic to wild avian hosts can be highly virulent for domestic fowl and humans (Grimes et al., 1979). Chlamydiosis has been reported to occur in turkeys that are exposed to infected starlings and common grackles and hence wild birds should also be included in serologic surveys and surveillance studies on chlamydial organism (Grimes, 1978; Grimes et al., 1979). Further, it has been suggested that grackles are potential reservoir hosts that could play an important role in the transmission cycle of C. psittaci in nature (Roberts and Grimes, 1978). Shore birds like gulls, reported to die due to chlamydiosis were presented with lesions such as splenomegaly, hepatomegaly and pericarditis, which are quite commonly observed in other domestic birds (Franson and Pearson, 1995). The mechanism of introduction of chlamydiosis in domestic flock is not clearly understood even though wild birds are often infected by the same strains as domestic flocks (Andersen and Vanrompay, 2000). Hence, migratory bird surveillance and screening has to be enhanced to find their role in the epidemiology of infection in domestic birds (Schwarzova et al., 2006).

Pasteurella multocida: The bacterium P. multocida, having bipolar staining features, is the etiological agent of fowl or avian cholera, an economically important and highly contagious disease that cause significant mortality in domestic and wild birds alike (Hubalek, 1994; Wobeser, 1997; Dash et al., 2004). Avian cholera (AC) is a disease with carrier status that spreads rapidly through waterfowls. Apart from ducks, turkeys followed by chicken are susceptible and the disease generally affects the young ones (Glisson et al., 2003). During the disease outbreaks in USA (1979), about 70,000 migratory ducks and geese were reported to have succumbed to the infection (Brand, 1984). AC outbreaks are promoted by dense bird aggregations due to the gregarious nature of most waterfowl species and the bacterium is able to survive in water for several days to weeks, both these aspects enhancing the chances of rapidity and volume of disease spread (Botzler, 1991; Glisson et al., 2003). Birds that recover from the infection have been reported to serve as long-term carriers of the infectious agent, thus helping in dissemination of the agent to distant wetland locations (Hunter and Wobeser, 1980; Wobeser, 1997). Numerous species of freeranging wild birds have been naturally infected with P. multocida even though waterfowl experience the greatest magnitude of losses (Wobeser, 1997; Glisson et al., 2003). The role of wild birds acting as P. multocida carriers has long been postulated as a major source for

infection for poultry (Glisson *et al.*, 2003). It has been suggested that the only limit to the duration of the carrier state is the lifespan of the infected bird (Glisson *et al.*, 2003). Hence, surveillance of migratory birds and the timely submission of dead bird specimens to diagnostic laboratories are crucial to allow disease control activities to be initiated before the outbreaks reach uncontrollable levels.

Other pathogens: The migrating or wild birds may also transmit pathogens that are either of zoonotic significance or harmful to many animal species; some of them capable of causing occasional infections in domestic birds. Major diseases coming under this category include West Nile fever, campylobacteriosis and Salmonella infections. The West Nile Virus (WNV), which is a Flavivirus belonging to family Flaviviridae, is a mosquito-borne virus that can result in fatal encephalitis in human beings, equines and avian species (Hubalek and Halouzka, 1999; Rappole et al., 2000; Rappole and Hubalek, 2000; Komar, 2000). WNV is maintained in an epizootic transmission cycle between mosquitoes and birds with humans and horses as incidental hosts. Wild or migrating birds are central to the epidemiology of WNV infections as they are the main amplifying hosts (Reed et al., 2003). Likewise, migratory birds play crucial roles in the dissemination of and enteropathogenic bacteria zoonotic Campylobacter and Salmonella. The incidence of human infection with Campylobacter jejuni is increasing and even though poultry is considered to be a major source, it is evident that other reservoirs also may exist (Sacks et al., 1986; Tomar et al., 2006). Environmental contamination of surface water with campylobacter might be mediated by aquatic/wild birds, where water bodies are used for recreational purposes (Moore et al., 2002). Supporting the fact, campylobacter species has been frequently isolated from birds like migrating ducks, common terns, cranes, magpies, starlings and sparrows, thus proving their reservoir status (Broman et al., 2002; Hubalek, 2004; Sensale et al., 2006; Waldenstrom et al., 2007). However, recent studies show that there is relatively low number of wild bird C. jejuni strains having clonal relationship to human and chicken strains, suggesting that wildlife avifauna may have lesser contribution to such infections in man or animals than earlier thought (Petersen et al., 2001; Broman et al., 2004). Similarly, in case of Salmonella infections, serovars of S. enterica, particularly Typhimurium and Enteritidis have been isolated from many species of gulls, ducks, terns, sparrows and finches (Hubalek, 1994; Hubalek, 2004; Pennycott et al., 2006; Kobayashi et al., 2007). Researchers have confirmed the involvement of dissemination of salmonella by migratory birds to human beings or other domestic animals and birds (Reche et al., 2003; Millan et al., 2004).

Besides the above mentioned pathogens, there are also possibilities of migrating birds transmitting avian poxviruses (Bolte *et al.*, 1999), rotaviruses (McNulty, 2003), *E. coli* (Barnes *et al.*, 2003), *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* (Ley, 2003) and *Mycobacterium avium* (Fulton and Thoen, 2003) to domestic poultry.

Biosecurity and surveillance as a preventive strategy:

As an able preventive strategy, biosecurity has gained a special importance in maintaining domestic birds free of pathogens, to achieve better productive results. The risk of contracting diseases has nowadays increased due to intensive commercialization and also as a result of increased transportation, warranting biosecurity as an essential component in the prevention and control measures of poultry diseases. Likewise, epidemiologic surveillance is also critical to detect the role of migratory birds in transmitting diseases to poultry so that timely intervention strategies can be developed.

Biosecurity: Biosecurity, as a first line of defense, is an ideal system for controlling poultry diseases in order to reduce economic losses and health hazards in poultry sector. Most biosecurity measures are disease independent and will prevent most of the avian pathogens (Winkel, 1997; Mulder, 1997; Dhama et al., 2003). Biosecurity refers to the methods adopted to secure a disease free environment for preventing the exposure of the domestic birds to various pathogens derived from multiple sources. It is defined as "the safety from transmissible infectious diseases, parasites and pests and is a term that embodies all the measures that can or should be taken to prevent viruses, bacteria, fungi, protozoa, parasites, insects, rodents and migratory or wild birds from entering, surviving, infecting or endangering the well-being of the poultry flock" (Bermudez and Brown, 2003). Key principles of biosecurity include; isolation-confinement of birds within a controlled environment: traffic control - controlling traffic onto and within farms and sanitation and hygienedisinfecting materials or equipments along with cleanliness of farm personnel (Winkel, 1997; Mulder, 1997; Bermudez and Brown, 2003; Dhama et al., 2003; Kataria et al., 2006). Hence, for ensuring protection of flocks from transmissible pathogens and to ensure hygiene of poultry food and by-products, biosecurity should be considered as an effective tool. More recently, the situation has become critical for both producers and consumers due to the threat of zoonotic diseases like avian influenza. Studies have shown that HPAI viruses can be transmitted from farm to farm by the movement of vehicles and farm personnel or the virus may enter the flocks from migratory birds, thus highlighting the importance of strictly following the principles of biosecurity (Ehlers et al., 2003; Swayne and Halvorson, 2003; Zepeda, 2007; Anaeto and Chioma, 2007; Burgos

and Burgos, 2007c). Aside to this, care has to be taken to limit sources of food and water bodies for wild and free flying birds in and around the poultry dwelling premises (Dhama et al., 2005). Movement restrictions for live poultry and their products and establishment of protection and surveillance zones have together enabled a successful eradication of AIV in many countries (Capua et al., 2003; Khawaja et al., 2005; Dhama et al., 2005; Shortridge and Melville, 2006; Zepeda, 2007). To assist all such preventive measures, countries need to strictly check the illegal trade of wild or exotic species of birds, by implementing strict rules and regulations (Dhama et al., 2005; Burgos and Burgos, 2007b).

Monitoring, surveillance and tracking of wild I migratory birds: Early detection is of paramount importance for the control or eradication of avian influenza and other diseases transmissible to poultry, for which surveillance of the poultry and migratory bird niche where pathogen inter-transmission occurs is essential (Shortridge and Melville, 2006). Enhanced monitoring and surveillance of migratory birds, combined with ringing data could provide a better insight on the disease epidemiology, including information on their migratory patterns and routes. Food and Organization Agricultural (FAO), Organization International des Epizooties (OIE) and World Health Organization (WHO) together have established Global Livestock Early Warning and Response System (GLEWS) which is aimed at tracking the spread of HPAI in migratory birds. Global Avian Influenza Network for Surveillance (GAINS), another initiative in this direction, conducts regular monitoring and surveillance of migrating birds. Currently, FAO, through Emergency Prevention System for Transboundary Animal Diseases, EMPRES is playing a key role in collecting, recording and analyzing data on diseases like avian influenza. both in wild bird populations and domestic poultry. As part of improving the surveillance of wild birds, satellitebased tracking systems have to be exploited (Hay et al., 2000; Ehlers et al., 2003). Global positioning system (GPS) transmitters can be implanted on wild birds to track their wintering grounds (Weimerskirch et al., 2002; Puckett and Takekawa, 2006; Wikelski et al., 2007). Satellite tracking system would enable the global monitoring of birds and help create the scientific framework necessary for global projects such as ICARUS, the International Cooperation for Animal Research Using Space. Surveillance of the population ecology of hosts, pathogens or vectors, should also be addressed while using such global tracking systems (Wikelski et al., 2007). Likewise, sero-surveillance of wild or migratory birds, a more conventional approach for detecting birds that may shed the pathogens without showing clinical signs, is also important (Fereidouni et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2006). For this, serum samples

are collected from migratory birds for conducting respective serological tests (Kataria et al., 2001; Swayne and Halvorson, 2003; Dhama et al., 2005). Diagnosis of the pathogens in dead birds can also be performed using the specific specimens for individual infectious agents. For influenza detection, specimens should include tracheal and cloacal swabs and faecal samples (environmental) from live birds and lung lavage, pooled tissue (trachea and lung) and cloacal swabs from dead birds (Kataria et al., 2001; Swayne and Halvorson, 2003; Kataria et al., 2005; Dhama et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2006). In case of zoonotic infections, specimens should only be collected by trained professionals and immediately sent to FAO or OIE referral laboratories, where the detection and molecular characterization of the agent will be performed.

Conclusion: The current global avian influenza outbreaks and the reports of migrating birds spreading pathogens harmful to poultry or other vertebrates, has shifted the focus of research community towards such bird species, that follows a cyclical migration pattern. The scenario warrants an urgent need to strengthen the research on the area of individual pathogens, while assessing the distribution and ecology of various pathogens in wild bird environments. Serological sampling and study of movements of high-risk wild bird species need to be intensified, especially at strategic sites on the migration route. Illegal trade of wild or exotic species of birds has to be strictly prevented. Within the epidemiological context of HPAI outbreaks, there is need to exploit the early warning systems (EWS) for an early detection and risk-assessment and to provide the opportunity for timely reaction in a most cost-effective manner. At this point, it is to be noted that the indiscriminate destruction of migratory bird populations is illogical and ineffective as it may cause dispersion of the birds, causing the spread of the infectious agent apart from altering the ecological balance. Instead, monitoring of wild birds has to be intensified and measures have to be taken to minimize or reduce their contact with domestic poultry. For this to achieve, biosecurity, a much novel and emerging consideration in disease prevention, should be considered with top priority. Multi disciplinary research is also required that can utilize the competency of veterinarians, wildlife specialists, ornithologists and life science researchers in a coordinated and effective way. To realize this, strengthening of collaborative opportunities have to be followed in a directional manner so that challenges posed by many transboundary diseases can be considerably minimized.

References

Alexander, D.J., 2000. A review of avian influenza in different bird species. Vet. Microbiol., 74: 3-13.

- Alexander, D.J., 2003. Newcastle disease. In: Saif, Y.M., H.J. Barnes, A.M. Fadly, J.R. Glisson, L.R. McDougald and D.E. Swayne (Eds.). Diseases of Poultry. Chapter 2, 11th Edn. Iowa State University Press, Ames, IA, USA, pp: 64-87.
- Anaeto, M. and G. Chioma, 2007. Avian influenza in Nigeria: Suggestions for eradication. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 6: 367-371.
- Andersen, A.A. and D. Vanrompay, 2000. Avian chlamydiosis. Rev. Sci. Tech., 19: 396-404.
- Barnes, H.J., J. Vaillancourt and W.B. Gross, 2003. Colibacillosis. In: Saif, Y.M., H.J. Barnes, A.M. Fadly, J.R. Glisson, L.R. McDougald and D.E. Swayne (Eds.). Diseases of Poultry. Chapter 18, 11th Edn. Iowa State University Press, Ames, IA, USA, pp: 631-652
- Becker, W.B., 1966. The isolation and classification of tern virus: Influenza virus A/tern/South Africa/1961. J. Hygiene, 64: 309-320.
- Bennett, R.S., B. McComb, H.J. Shin, M.K. Njenga, K.V. Nagaraja and D.A. Halvorson, 2002. Detection of avian pneumovirus in wild Canada (*Branta canadensis*) and blue-winged teal (*Anas discors*) geese. Avian Dis., 46: 1025-1029.
- Bennett, R.S., R. LaRue, D. Shaw, Q. Yu, K.V. Nagaraja, D.A. Halvorson and M.K. Njenga, 2005. A wild goose metapneumovirus containing a large attachment glycoprotein is avirulent but immunoprotective in domestic turkeys. J. Virol., 79: 14834-14842.
- Bennett, R.S., J. Nezworski, B.T. Velayudhan, K.V. Nagaraja, D.H. Zeman, N. Dyer, T. Graham, D.C. Lauer, M.K. Njenga and D.A. Halvorson, 2004. Evidence of avian pneumovirus spread beyond Minnesota among wild and domestic birds in central North America. Avian Dis., 48: 902-908.
- Bermudez, A.J. and B.S. Brown, 2003. Principles of Disease Prevention: Diagnosis and Control. In: Y.M. Saif, H.J. Barnes, J.R. Glisson, A.M. Fadly, L.R. McDougald and D.E. Swayne (Eds.). Diseases of Poultry, Chapter 1, 11th Edn., Iowa State University Press, Ames, IA, pp: 17-55.
- Bolte, A.L., J. Meurer and E.F. Kaleta, 1999. Avian host spectrum of avipoxviruses. Avian Pathol., 28: 415-432.
- Botzler, R.G., 1991. Epizootiology of avian cholera in wildfowl: J. Wildlife Dis., 27: 367-395.
- Brand, C.J., 1984. Avian cholera in the Central and Mississippi Flyways, during, 1979-80. J. Wildlife Manag., 48: 399-406.
- Brand, C.J., 1989. Chlamydial infections in free-living birds. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc., 195: 1531-1535.
- Broman, T., J. Waldenstrom, D. Dahlgren, I. Carlsson, I. Eliasson and B. Olsen, 2004. Diversities and similarities in PFGE profiles of *Campylobacter jejuni* isolated from migrating birds and humans. J. Appl. Microbiol., 96: 834-843.

- Broman, T., H. Palmgren, S. Bergstrom, M. Sellin, J. Waldenstrom, M.L. Danielsson-Tham and B. Olsen, 2002. *Campylobacter jejuni* in black-headed gulls (*Larus ridibundus*): Prevalence, genotypes and influence on *C. jejuni* epidemiology. J. Clin. Microbiol., 40: 4594-4602.
- Burgess, E.C., J. Ossa and T.M. Yuill, 1979. Duck plague: A carrier state in waterfowl. Avian Dis., 23: 940-949.
- Burgos, S. and S.A. Burgos, 2007a. Influenza A viruses in poultry: A condensed review. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 6: 705-708.
- Burgos, S. and S.A. Burgos, 2007b. Influence of exotic bird and wildlife trade on avian influenza transmission dynamics: Animal-human Interface. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 6: 535-538.
- Burgos, S. and S.A. Burgos, 2007c. Refocusing and reshaping of highly pathogenic avian influenza preventive strategies in rural settings. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 6: 527-530.
- Capua, I. and D.J. Alexander, 2007. Avian influenza in birds-a moving target. Influenza Resp. Vir., 1: 11-18.
- Capua, I., S. Marangon, M. Pozza, C. Terregino and G. Cattoli, 2003. Avian influenza in Italy, 1997-2001. Avian Dis., 47: 839-843.
- Chen, H.X., H.G. Shen, X.L. Li, J.Y. Zhou, Y.Q. Hou, J.Q. Guo and J.Q. Hu, 2006. Seroprevalance and identification of influenza. A virus infection from migratory wild waterfowl in China (2004-2005). J. Vet. Med. Series B., 53: 166-170.
- Converse, K.A. and G.A. Kidd, 2001. Duck plague epizootics in the United States, 1967-1995. J. Wildlife Dis., 37: 347-357.
- Dash, B.B., S.D. Singh, T.R. Mohanty, J.M. Kataria and K. Dhama, 2004. Prevalence of diseases of poultry flocks in captive and free-flying birds of India. In: Compendium of International Conference on Bird and Environment, Ornithological Society of India, 2004, pp. 86.
- Dey, S., C. Madhan Mohan, J.M. Kataria and K. Dhama, 2005. Common disease conditions of ducks. Poult. World, 1: 19-25.
- Dhama, K., N. Senthil Kumar, J.M. Kataria and B.B. Dash, 2003. Biosecurity an effective tool to prevent many threats to poultry health: Part I and II. Indian Poult. Rev., 35: 35-41, 39-44.
- Dhama, K., R.S. Chauhan, J.M. Kataria, M. Mahendran and S. Tomar, 2005. Avian influenza: The current perspectives. J. Immunol. Immunopathol., 7: 1-33.
- Ehlers, M., M. Moller, S. Marangon and N. Ferre, 2003. The use of Geographic Information System (GIS) in the frame of the contingency plan implemented during the 1999-2001 avian influenza (AI) epidemic in Italy. Avian Dis., 47: 1010-1014.
- Feare, C.J., 2007. The role of wild birds in the spread of HPAI H5N1. Avian Dis., 51: 440-447.

- Fereidouni, S.R., M.H. Bozorghmehrifard, E. Starick, O. Werner, H. Amini, H. Modirrousta and M. Aghakhan, 2005. Serological monitoring of avian influenza in migratory birds of Iran. Arch. Razi Inst., 60: 11-19.
- Flint, P.L., 2007. Applying the scientific method when assessing the influence of migratory birds on the dispersal of H5N1. Virol. J., 4: 132.
- Fouchier, R., B. Olsen, T.M. Bestebroer, S. Herfst, L. Van Der Kemp, G.F. Rimmelzwaan and A.D.M.E. Osterhaus, 2003. Influenza: A virus surveillance in wild birds in northern Europe in 1999 and 2000. Avian Dis., 47: 857-860.
- Franson, J.C. and J.E. Pearson, 1995. Probable epizootic chlamydiosis in wild California (*Larus californicus*) and ring-billed (*Larus delawarensis*) gulls in North Dakota. J. Wildlife Dis., 31: 424-427.
- Fulton, R.M. and C.O. Thoen, 2003. Tuberculosis. In: Saif, Y.M., H.J. Barnes, A.M. Fadly, J.R. Glisson, L.R. McDougald and D.E. Swayne (Eds.). Diseases of Poultry. Chapter 24, 11th Edn. Iowa State University Press, Ames, IA, USA, pp. 836-844.
- Gilbert, M., P. Chaitaweesub, T. Parakarnawongsa, S. Premasthira, T. Tiensin, W. Kalpravridh, H. Wagner and J. Slingenenbergh, 2006a. Free grazing ducks and highly pathogenic avian influenza, Thailand. Emerg. Infect. Dis., 12: 227-234.
- Gilbert, M., X.M. Xia, J. Domech, J. Lubroth, V. Martin and J. Slingenbergh, 2006b. Anatidae migration in the Western Paleartic and the spread of highly pathogenic avian influenza H5N1 virus. Emerg. Infect. Dis., 12: 1650-1656.
- Glisson, J.R., C.L. Hofacre and J.P. Christensen, 2003. Fowl Cholera. In: Y.M. Saif, H.J. Barnes, J.R. Glisson, A.M. Fadly, L.R. McDougald and D.E. Swayne (Eds.). Diseases of Poultry, Chapter 19, 11th Edn., Blackwell publishing Company, Iowa State Press, Iowa, USA, pp: 658-676.
- Gough, R.E., 2003. Avian pneumoviruses. In: Saif, Y.M., H.J. Barnes, A.M. Fadly, J.R. Glisson, L.R. McDougald and D.E. Swayne (Eds.). Diseases of Poultry. Chapter 2, 11th edn. Iowa State University Press, Ames, IA, USA, pp: 92-99.
- Grimes, J.E., 1978. Transmission of Chlamydiae from grackles to Turkeys. Avian Dis., 22: 308-312.
- Grimes, J.E., K.J. Owens and J.R. Singer, 1979. Experimental transmission of *Chlamydia psittaci* to turkeys from wild birds. Avian Dis., 24: 915-926.
- Gulka, C.M., T.H. Piela, V.J. Yates and C. Bagshaw, 1984. Evidence of exposure of waterfowl and other aquatic birds to the hemagglutinating duck adenovirus identical to EDS-76 virus. J. Wildlife Dis., 20: 1-5.
- Hay, S.I., S.E. Randolph and D.J. Rogers, 2000. Remote sensing and geographical information systems in epidemiology, Vol. 47. Academic Press, Amsterdam, Boston.

- Hubalek, Z. and J. Halouzka, 1999. West Nile fever- a reemerging mosquito-borne viral disease in Europe. Emerg. Infect. Dis., 5: 643-650.
- Hubalek, Z., 1994. Pathogenic microorganisms associated with free-living birds: A Rev. Acta Scient. Natural. Brno, 28: 1-74.
- Hubalek, Z., 2004. An annotated checklist of pathogenic micro-organisms associated with migratory birds. J. Wildlife Dis., 40: 639-659.
- Hunter, B. and G. Wobeser, 1980. Pathology of experimental avian cholera in mallard ducks (*Anas platyrhynchos*). Avian Dis., 24: 403-414.
- Jones, R.C., 2006. Avian pneumoviruses an update. Int. Hatch. Practice., 21: 13-15.
- Kaleta, E.F. and E.M.A. Taday, 2003. Avian host range of *Chlamydophila* spp. based on isolation, antigen detection and serology. Avian Pathol., 32: 435-462.
- Kaleta, E.F., S.E.D. Khalaf and O. Siegmann, 1980. Antibodies to egg-drop syndrome 76 virus in wild birds in possible conjunction with egg-shell problems. Avian Pathol., 9: 587-590.
- Kataria, J.M., C. Madan Mohan, S. Dey, B.B. Dash and K. Dhama, 2005. Diagnosis and immunoprophylaxis of economically important poultry diseases: A Review. Ind. J. Anim. Sci., 75: 555-567.
- Kataria, J.M., S.D. Singh, K. Dhama and K.C. Verma, 2001. Laboratory Manual on "Poultry disease diagnosis", Publication of Indian Veterinary Research Institute (I.V.R.I), Izatnagar (U.P.), India.
- Kataria, J.M., S.K. Gupta and K. Dhama, 2006. Role of wild birds in spread of avian influenza. Compendium of ICAR Summer School on Recent Trends in Wildlife Health Management and Forensics, held on Sept. 08-28, 2006 at Division of Medicine, Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar (U.P.), India, pp: 123-127.
- Kawaoka, Y., T.M. Chambers, W.L. Sladen and R.G. Webster, 1988. Is the gene pool of influenza viruses in shorebirds and gulls different from that in wild ducks? Virology, 163: 247-250.
- Keymer, I.F., 1958. A survey and review of the causes of mortality in British birds and the significance of wild birds as disseminators of disease. Vet. Rec., 70: 713-720.
- Khawaja, J.Z., K. Naeem, Z. Ahmed and S. Ahmad, 2005. Surveillance of avian influenza viruses in wild birds in areas adjacent to epicenter of an outbreak in federal capital territory of Pakistan. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 4: 39-43.
- Kobayashi, H., M. Kanazaki, Y. Shimizu, H. Nakajima, M.M. Khatun, E. Hata and M. Kubo, 2007. Salmonella isolates from cloacal swabs and footpads of wild birds in the immediate environment of Tokyo Bay. J. Vet. Med. Sci., 69: 309-311.
- Komar, N., 2000. West Nile viral encephalitis. Rev. Sci. Tech., 19: 166-176.

- Kommers, G.D., D.J. King, B.S. Seal and C.C. Brown, 2003. Pathogenesis of chicken-passaged Newcastle disease viruses isolated from chickens and wild and exotic birds. Avian Dis., 47: 319-329.
- Lei, F., S. Tang, D. Zhao, X. Zhang, Z. Kou, Y. Li, Z. Zhang, Z. Yin, S. Chen, S. Li, D. Zhang, B. Yan and T. Li, 2007. Characterization of H5N1 influenza viruses isolated from migratory birds in Qinghai province of China in 2006. Avian Dis., 51: 568-572.
- Ley, D.H., 2003. *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* infection. In: Saif, Y.M., H.J. Barnes, A.M. Fadly, J.R. Glisson, L.R. McDougald and D.E. Swayne (Eds.). Diseases of Poultry. Chapter 22, 11th Edn. Iowa State University Press, Ames, IA, USA, pp: 722-744.
- Liu, J., H. Xiao, F. Lei, Q. Zhu, K. Qin, X.W. Zhang, X.L. Zhang, D. Zhao, G. Wang, Y. Feng, J. Ma, W. Liu, J. Wang and G.F. Gao, 2005. Highly pathogenic H5N1 influenza virus infection in migratory birds. Sci, 309: 1206.
- Lu, Y.S., H.J. Tsai, D.F., Lin, S.Y. Chiu, Y.L. Lee and C. Lee, 1985. Survey on antibody against egg drop syndrome 1976 virus among bird species in Taiwan. J. Chin. Soc. Vet. Sci., 11: 151-156.
- Lwamba, H.C., R.S. Bennett, D.C. Lauer, D.A. Halvorson and M.K. Njenga, 2002. Characterization of avian metapneumoviruses isolated in the USA. Anim. Health Res. Rev., 3: 107-117.
- Malkinson, M. and Y. Weisman, 1980. Serological survey for the prevalence of antibodies to egg-drop syndrome 1976 virus in domesticated and wild birds in Israel. Avian Pathol., 9: 421-426.
- Mathew, T., K. Dhama, J.M. Kataria and M. Mahendran, 2006. Avian influenza. In: Mathew, T.M. and T. Mathew (Eds.). Influenza and it's Global Public Health Significance. Thajema Publishers, West Orange NJ, USA, pp: 49-93.
- McFerran, J.B. and B.N. Adair, 2003. Egg drop syndrome. In. Y.M. Saif, H.J. Barnes, J.R. Glisson, A.M. Fadly, L.R. McDougald and D.E. Swayne (Eds.). Diseases of Poultry, Chapter 8, 11th Edn. Iowa State University Press, Ames, IA, pp. 227-237.
- McNulty, M.S., 2003. Rotavirus infections. In: Saif, Y.M., H.J. Barnes, A.M. Fadly, J.R. Glisson, L.R. McDougald and D.E. Swayne (Eds.). Diseases of Poultry. Chapter 12, 11th Edn. Iowa State University Press, Ames, IA, USA, pp: 308-320.
- Mehrabanpour, M.J., H. Dadras, A. Khodakaram-Tafti, A. Rahimian and A. Toffan, 2007. Pathological findings of highly pathogenic avian influenza virus A/Duck/Vietnam/12/2005 (H5N1) in Turkeys. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 6: 679-683.
- Millan, J., G. Aduriz, B. Moreno, R.A. Juste and M. Barral, 2004. Salmonella isolates from wild birds and mammals in the Basque Country (Spain). Rev. Sci. Tech., 23: 905-911.

- Moore, J.E., D. Gilpin, E. Crothers, A. Canney, A. Kaneko and M. Matsuda, 2002. Occurrence of *Campylobacter* spp. and *Cryptosporidium* spp. in seagulls (*Larus* spp.). Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis., 2: 111-114.
- Mulder, R., 1997. Transmission of avian pathogens. Biosecurity in Poultry Production in the next century. Acta Vet. Hung., 45: 307-315.
- Normile, D., 2006. Avian influenza. Evidence points to migratory birds in H5N1 spread. Sci., 311: 1225.
- Olsen, B., V.J., Munster, A. Wallensten, J. Waldenstrom, A.D. Osterhaus and R.A. Fouchier, 2006. Global patterns of influenza A virus in wild birds. Sci., 312: 384-388.
- Page, L.A., 1976. Observations on the involvement of wildlife in an epornitic of chlamydiosis in domestic turkeys. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc., 169: 932.
- Palmgren, H., M. Sellin, S. Bergstrom and B. Olsen, 1997. Enteropathogenic bacteria in migrating birds arriving in Sweden. Scand. J. Infect. Dis., 29: 565-568
- Pearson, G.L. and D.R. Cassidy, 1997. Perspectives on the diagnosis, epizootiology and control of the 1973 duck plague epizootic in wild waterfowl at Lake Andes, South Dakota. J. Wildlife Dis., 33: 681-705.
- Pennycott, T.W., A. Park and H.A. Mather, 2006. Isolation of different serovars of *Salmonella enterica* from wild birds in Great Britain between 1995 and 2003. Vet Rec., 158: 817-820.
- Peroulis, I. and K. O'Riley, 2004. Detection of avian paramyxoviruses and influenza viruses amongst wild bird populations in Victoria. Aust. Vet. J., 82: 79-82.
- Petersen, L., E.M. Nielsen, J. Engberg, S.L. On and H.H. Dietz, 2001. Comparison of genotypes and serotypes of *Campylobacter jejuni* isolated from Danish wild mammals and birds and from broiler flocks and humans. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 67: 3115-3121.
- Puckett, C. and J. Takekawa, 2006. Satellites help scientists track migratory birds: GPS, latest tool in fight against avian influenza. In: Sound Waves, News Letter of US Geological Survey, e-Edn., Nov./Dec. 2006.
- Rappole, J.H. and Z. Hubalek, 2000. Migratory birds and West Nile virus. J. Appl. Microbiol., 94: 47-58.
- Rappole, J.H., S.R. Derrickson and Z. Hubalek, 2000. Migratory birds and spread of West Nile virus in the Western Hemisphere. Emerg. Infect Dis., 6: 319-328.
- Reche, M.P., P.A. Jimenez, F. Alvarez, J.E. Garcia de los Rios, A.M. Rojas and P. de Pedro, 2003. Incidence of salmonellae in captive and wild free-living raptorial birds in central Spain. J. Vet. Med. B. Infect. Dis. Vet. Public Health, 50: 42-44.

- Reed, K.D., J.K. Meece, J.S. Henkel and S.K. Shukla, 2003. Birds, migration and emerging zoonoses: West Nile Fever, Lyme Disease, Influenza A and enteropathogens. Clin. Med. Res., 1: 5-12.
- Roberts, J.P. and J.E. Grimes, 1978. Chlamydia shedding by four species of wild birds. Avian Dis., 22: 698-706.
- Sacks, J.J., L. Spencer, L.M. Baldy, S. Berta, C.M. Patton, M.C. White, W.J. Bigler and J.J. Witte, 1986. Epidemic campylobacteriosis associated with a community water supply. Am. J. Pub. Health., 76: 424-429.
- Sandhu, T.S. and S.A. Shawky, 2003. Duck viral enteritis (Duck plague). In: Saif, Y.M., H.J. Barnes, A.M. Fadly, J.R. Glisson, L.R. McDougald and D.E. Swayne (Eds.). Diseases of Poultry. Chapter 13, 11th Edn. Iowa State University Press, Ames, IA, USA, pp: 354-363.
- Sanjaatogtokh, R., 2005. Highly pathogenic avian influenza in Mongolia in migratory birds - Follow up report No.1. Disease Information, 19 August 2005, Vol. 18 - No. 33. Office International des Epizooties, Paris, France.
- Schwarzova, K., T. Betakova, J. Nemeth and A. Mizakova, 2006. Detection of *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato and *Chlamydophila psittaci* in throat and cloacal swabs from birds migrating through Slovakia. Folia Microbiol. (Praha), 51: 653-658.
- Sensale, M., A. Cuomo, L. Dipineto, A. Santaniello, M. Calabria, L.F. Menna and A. Fioretti, 2006. Survey of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* in different taxa and ecological guilds of migratory birds. Italian J. Anim. Sci., 5: 291-294.
- Senthilkumar, N., D. Govindarajan, K. Dhama and J.M. Kataria, 2003. Egg drop syndrome 76 (EDS-76) virus A Rev. Indian J. Comp. Microbiol. Immunol. Infect. Dis., 24: 1-15.
- Shchelkanov, M. Iu, E.V. Usachev, I.T. Fediakina, D.E. Kireev, Vlu. Anan'ev, M.N. Sankov, V.M. Chumakov, A.A. Slavskii, S.A. Kolomeets, V.I. Semenov, N.L. Baranov, V.N. Gorelikov, I.O. Suslov, A.G. Prilipov, D.V. Maslov, E.A. Nepoklonov, S.S. Iamnikova and D.K. L'vov, 2006. Newcastle disease virus in the populations of wild birds in the south of the Primorye Territory in the period of autumn migrations in 2001-2004. Vopr. Virusol., 51: 37-41.
- Shin, H.J., K.V. Nagaraja, B. McComb, D.A. Halvorson, F.F. Jirjis, D.P. Shaw, B.S. Seal and M.K. Njenga, 2002. Isolation of avian pneumovirus from mallard ducks that is genetically similar to viruses isolated from neighboring commercial Turkeys. Virus Res., 83: 207-212.
- Shin, H.J., M.K. Njenga, B. McComb, D.A. Halvorson and K.V. Nagaraja, 2000. Avian pneumovirus (APV) RNA from wild and sentinel birds in the United States has genetic homology with RNA from APV isolates from domestic turkeys. J. Clin. Microbiol., 38: 4282-4284.

- Shortridge, K.F. and D.S. Melville, 2006. Domestic poultry and migratory birds in the interspecies transmission of avian influenza viruses: A view from Hong Kong. In: G.C. Boere, C.A. Galbraith and D.A. Stroud (Eds.). Waterbirds Around The World. Edinburgh, UK, pp: 427-431.
- Singh, S.V., D.D. Singh and R.S. Chauhan, 2003. Migratory birds: How dangerous can they be? Zoos' Print., 18: 29-30.
- Stallknecht, D.E. and S.M. Shane, 1988. Host range of avian influenza virus in free-living birds. Vet. Res. Commun., 12: 125-141.
- Stroud, D.A., N.C. Davidson, R. West, D.A. Scott, L. Hanstra, O. Thorup, B. Ganter and S. Delany, 2004. Status of migratory wader populations in Africa and Western Eurasia in the 1990s. Int. Water Studies, 15: 1-259.
- Sturm-Ramirez, K.M., T. Ellis, B. Bousfield, L. Bissett, K. Dyrting, J.E. Rehg, L. Poon, Y. Guan, M. Peiris and R.G. Webster, 2004. Reemerging H5N1 influenza viruses in Hong Kong in 2002 are highly pathogenic to ducks. J. Virol., 78: 4892-4901.
- Swayne, D.E. and D.A. Halvorson, 2003. Influenza. In: Saif, Y.M., H.J. Barnes, A.M. Fadly, J.R. Glisson, L.R. McDougald and D.E. Swayne (Eds.). Diseases of Poultry. Chapter 5, 11th Edn. Iowa State University Press, Ames, IA, USA, pp: 135-160.
- Takakuwa, H., T. Ito, A. Takada, K. Okazaki and H. Kida, 1998. Potentially virulent Newcastle disease viruses are maintained in migratory waterfowl populations. Jap. J. Vet. Res., 45: 207-215.
- Tomar, S., K. Dhama, M. Mahendran and J.M. Kataria, 2006. Avian campylobacteriosis in relation to public health. Poult. Planner, 7: 19-25.
- UNEP, 2005. Convention on migratory species, New Delhi, 10-13 June, 2005. Agenda Item 6.0., CMS/CAF/5, 17 March 2005.
- Waldenstrom, J., S.L. On, R. Ottvall, D. Hasselquist and B. Olsen, 2007. Species diversity of campylobacteria in a wild bird community in Sweden. J. Appl. Microbiol., 102: 424-432.

- Weber, T.P. and N.I. Stilianakis, 2007. Ecologic immunology of avian influenza (H5N1) in migratory birds. Emerg. Infect. Dis., 13: 1139-1143.
- Weimerskirch, H., F. Bonadonna, F. Bailleul, G. Mabille, G. Dell'Omo and H.P. Lipp, 2002. GPS tracking of foraging albatrosses. Sci., 295: 1259.
- Wikelski, M., R.W. Kays, N.J. Kasdin, K. Thorup, J.A. Smith and G.W. Jr. Swenson, 2007. Going wild: what a global small-animal tracking system could do for experimental biologists. J. Exp. Biol., 210: 181-186.
- Winkel, G., 1997. Biosecurity in poultry production. Acta Vet. Hung., 45: 361-372.
- Wobeser, G., 1987. Experimental duck plague in bluewinged teal and Canada geese. J. Wildlife Dis., 23: 368-375.
- Wobeser, G.A., 1997. Avian cholera, In: Diseases of wild waterfowl. 2nd Edn., New York, Plenum Press, pp: 57-69.
- Youling, J., 2005. Highly pathogenic avian influenza in China (People's Rep. of China)-Follow up report No.2. Office International des Epizooties, Disease Inform., No. 32.
- Zanetti, F., A. Berinstein, A. Pereda, O. Taboga and E. Carrillo, 2005. Molecular characterization and phylogenetic analysis of Newcastle disease virus isolates from healthy wild birds. Avian Dis., 49: 546-550.
- Zepeda, C., 2007. Highly pathogenic avian influenza in domestic poultry and wild birds: A risk analysis framework. J. Wildlife Dis., 43: 51-54.
- Zhou, J.Y., H.G. Shen, H.X. Chen, G.Z. Tong, M. Liao, H.C. Yang and J.X. Liu, 2006. Characterization of highly pathogenic H5N1 influenza virus derived from bar-headed geese in China. J. Gen. Virol., 87: 1823-1833
- Ziedler, K. and A. Hlinak, 1992. The occurrence of virus enteritis (duck plague) in wild birds Berl. Munch. Tierar. Wochenschr., 105: 122-125.