http://ansinet.com/itj



ISSN 1812-5638

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY JOURNAL



Asian Network for Scientific Information 308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan

Information Technology Journal 12 (19): 5182-5185, 2013 ISSN 1812-5638 / DOI: 10.3923/itj.2013.5182.5185 © 2013 Asian Network for Scientific Information

Animproved Block Diagonal Preconditioners for Non-symmetric Indefinite Linear Systems

¹Litao Zhang and ²Xianyu Zuo

¹Department of Mathematics and Physics,

Zhengzhou Institute of Aeronautical Industry Management, Zhengzhou, 450015, Henan,

People's Republic of China

²School of Computer and Information Engineering, Henan University Xinxiang, 453007,

Henan, People's Republic of China

Abstract: Based on the block diagonal preconditioners by Cao in the study [Zhi-Hao Cao, A note on block diagonal and constraint preconditioners for non-symmetric indefinite linear systems, International Journal of Computer Mathematics, 83(4) (2006):383-395], we present a new block diagonal preconditioners for non-symmetric indefinite linear system. Moreover, we analyses the properties of the corresponding preconditioned matrices.

Key words: Saddle point systems, preconditioners, eigenvalues

INTRODUCTION

We consider the block 2×2 linear systems of the form:

$$\overline{A} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{pmatrix} \tag{1}$$

where, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is non-singular and B and $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ (m<n) are of full rank, frequently appears in the solution of generalized saddle point problems Eq. 1 which include the linearized Navier-Stokes equations and so forth.

Let:

$$A = G - E \tag{2}$$

be a splitting of A, where $G \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is non-singular. De Sturler and Liesen (2005) constructed a detailed analysis for block diagonal preconditioners deriving from the (1,1)-block of the matrix \overline{A} in Eq. 1 and the block diagonal (Saad, 1996). Preconditioner is defined as follows:

$$\overline{G}_{+} = \begin{pmatrix} G & 0 \\ 0 & CG^{-1}B^{T} \end{pmatrix}$$
 (3)

Murphy et al. (2000) proposed the following block diagonal preconditioner:

$$\overline{G}_{+,0} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & CA^{-1}B^{T} \end{pmatrix} \tag{4}$$

Cao (2006) presented the following block diagonal preconditioner:

$$\overline{G}_{-} = \begin{pmatrix} G & 0 \\ 0 & CG^{-1}B^{T} \end{pmatrix}$$
 (5)

In particular, when G = A in Eq. 4, the diagonal preconditioner is denoted by \overline{G}_{-0} , i.e.:

$$\overline{G}_{-,0} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & -CA^{-1}B^{T} \end{pmatrix}$$
 (6)

and the corresponding preconditioned matrix $\bar{G}_{-,0}\bar{A}$ is diagonalizable and has at most three distinct eigenvalue:

$$1, \frac{1+\sqrt{3}i}{2}$$

Other authors further studied generalized saddle point problems Eq. 1, please refer to the literature (Zhang *et al.*, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012; Huang and Zhang, 2009; Zhang and Cheng, 2013).

In this study, based on the block diagonal prenconditioner constructed by Cao (2006), we consider

Corresponding Author: Litao Zhang, Department of Mathematics and Physics,

Zhengzhou Institute of Aeronautical Industry Management, Zhengzhou, 450015,

Henan, People's Republic of China

the block diagonal preconditioner (α <0) which is defined as follows:

$$\overline{G} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha G & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{\alpha} CG^{-1}B^T \end{pmatrix}$$
 (7)

In particular, when G = A in Eq. 7, the diagonal preconditioner is denoted by \overline{G}_0 , i.e.:

$$\overline{G}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha A & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{\alpha} C A^{-1} B^T \end{pmatrix}$$
 (8)

We will prove that the corresponding preconditioner matrix $\overline{G}_0\overline{A}$ is diagonlizable and has at most three distinct eigenvalues:

$$\frac{1}{\alpha}$$
, $\frac{1}{\alpha}$, $\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{3}i}{2}$

Remark 1: When $\alpha = 0$, the block preconditions \overline{G} and \overline{G}_0 reduce to the block preconditioners \overline{G}_- and \overline{G}_{-0} (Cao, 2006), respectively. So, the block preconditioners considered in this study is a generalization of (Cao, 2006).

SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

Based on the new block preconditioned matrix $\bar{G}^{-1}\bar{A}$, similar to the analysis of (Cao, 2006), we give the following result to describe the spectral distribution:

$$\bar{\mathbf{G}}^{-1}\bar{\mathbf{A}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\alpha}\mathbf{G}^{-1}\mathbf{A} & \frac{1}{\alpha}\mathbf{G}^{-1}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ -\frac{1}{\alpha}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{G}^{-1}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}})^{-1}\mathbf{C} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(9)

Let $G^{-1}E = T = I - G^{-1}A$ is the iterative matrix induced by the splitting Eq. 2 of A. Define $M = (CG^{-1}B^T)^{-1}C$ and $N = G^{-1}B^T$, then we may obtain:

$$\overline{F}(T) = \overline{G}^{-1}A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\alpha}(I - T) & \frac{1}{\alpha}N \\ -\frac{1}{\alpha}M & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (10)

where, $M \times R^{m \times n}$, $N \in R^{n \times m}$ and $(NM)^2 = NM$.

Let $U^1 = [u_1, ..., u_{n-m}] \boldsymbol{\epsilon} R^{n \times (n-m)}$ form a basis of N(NM) the null space of NM and let $U_2 = [u_{n-m+1}, ..., u_n] \boldsymbol{\epsilon} R^{n \times m}$ form a basis of R(NM) the range of NM, Thus, $[u_1, u_2] \boldsymbol{\epsilon} R^{n \times n}$ is non-singular and:

$$NM[U_1, U_2] = [U_1, U_2] \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$$
 (11)

Theorem 1: The block diagonal preconditioned matrix $\overline{F}(0) = \overline{G}_0^{-1} \overline{A}$ is diagonalizable and has three distinct eigenvalues:

$$\frac{1}{\alpha}$$
, $\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{3}i}{2}$

Proof: Denote $\overline{T} = \overline{F}(0)$, then from Eq. 10 we obtain:

$$\overline{T} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\alpha} I_n & \frac{1}{\alpha} N \\ -\frac{1}{\alpha} M & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and:

$$\overline{T}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\alpha^2} (I_n - NM) & \frac{1}{\alpha^2} N \\ -\frac{1}{\alpha^2} M & -\frac{1}{\alpha^2} I_m \end{pmatrix}$$

So:

$$\overline{T}^2 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \overline{T} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{\alpha^2} NM & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{\alpha^2} I_m \end{pmatrix}$$

Then we have:

$$(\overline{T}^2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\overline{T})^2 = -\frac{1}{\alpha^2}(\overline{T}^2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\overline{T})$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \overline{T}(\overline{T} - \frac{1}{\alpha}I)(\overline{T}^2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\overline{T} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2}) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \lambda(\lambda - \frac{1}{\alpha})(\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\lambda + \frac{1}{\alpha^2}) = 0$$

which have four distinct roots:

$$0, \frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{3}i}{2}$$

Since, $\overline{F}(0)$ is non-singular, it has at most three distinct eigenvalues:

$$\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{3}i}{2}$$

Let $v = [x^T, y^T]^T$ be an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue 1, then we have:

$$\frac{1}{\alpha}x + \frac{1}{\alpha}Ny = \frac{1}{\alpha}x, -\frac{1}{\alpha}Mx = y$$

which implies:

$$N_y = 0$$
, $NMx = 0$, i.e., $x \in N(NM)$, $y = 0$

Thus, we obtain that the eigenvector matrix corresponding to the eigenvalue 1 is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} U_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in R^{n \times (n-m)}$$

Let $y = [x^T, y^T]^T$ be the eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue:

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{3}i}{2}$$

Then we can obtain:

$$(i)\frac{1}{\alpha}x + \frac{1}{\alpha}Ny = \lambda_{\pm}x, ii) - \frac{1}{\alpha}Mx = \lambda_{\pm}y$$

From (ii) we have:

$$y = -\frac{1}{\lambda_{\pm}\alpha} Mx$$

Inserting this into (i) and multiplying the resulting equation by λ_{+} yields. Since:

$$\lambda_{\pm}^{2} - \frac{1}{\alpha} \lambda_{\pm} + \frac{1}{\alpha^{2}} = 0$$

we have:

$$NMx = (\frac{1}{\alpha} - \lambda_{\pm})\lambda_{\pm}\alpha^2x = -\alpha^2(\lambda_{\pm}^{\ 2} - \frac{1}{\alpha}\lambda_{\pm})x = -\alpha^2\frac{1}{\alpha^2} = x,$$

i.e., $x \in R(NM)$. Thus, we obtain the eigenvector matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{U}_2 \\ -\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{+}^{-1}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{U}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{U}_2 \\ -\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-}^{-1}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{U}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

corresponding to the eigenvalue:

$$\lambda_{+} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{1 + \sqrt{3}i}{2}$$

and the eigenvalue:

$$\lambda_{-} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{1 - \sqrt{3}i}{2}$$

respectively. Therefore, the eigienvector matrix Y(0) of $\overline{F}(0)$ is given by:

$$Y(0) = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 & U_2 & U_2 \\ 0 & -\lambda_{+}^{-1}MU_2 & -\lambda_{-}^{-1}MU_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Remark 2: When α increases, the three distinct eigenvalues:

$$\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{3}i}{2}$$

of the preconditioned matrix $\bar{G}_0^{-1}\bar{A}$ are strongly clustered.

CONCLUSION

In this study, based on the block diagonal preconditioners presented by De Sturler and Liesen (2005), Murphy *et al.* (2000) and Cao (2006), we consider the new block diagonal preconditioners applied to the problems of solving non-symmetric indefinite linear systems. Theoretical analysis shows that the eigenvalues of the preconditioned matrix \bar{G}_0 considered in this study are strongly clustered.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research of this author is supported by NSFC Tianyuan Mathematics Youth Fund (11226337), NSFC (61203179, 61202098, 61170309), Aeronautical Science Foundation of China(2013ZD55006), Project of Youth Backbone Teachers of Colleges and Universities in Henan Province, Major project of development foundation of science and technology of CAEP (2012A0202008), Scientific and Technological Key Project of Education Department of Henan Province (12B110028, 13B430355), Basic and Advanced Technological Research Project of Henan Province (132300410373) and School Youth Fund (2012113004).

REFERENCES

Cao, Z.H., 2006. A note on block diagonal and constraint preconditioners for non-symmetric indefinite linear systems. Int. J. Comput. Math., 83: 383-395.

De Sturler, E. and J. Liesen, 2005. Block-diagonal and constraint preconditioners for nonsymmetric indefinite linear systems. Part I: Theory. SIAM J. Scient. Comput., 26: 1598-1619.

Huang, T.Z. and L.T. Zhang, 2009. GRPM-style methods and comparisons of convergent and divergent rates. Comput. Math. Appl., 57: 550-559.

- Murphy, M.F., G.H. Golub and A.J. Wathen, 2000. A note on preconditioning for indefinite linear systems. SIAM J. Scient. Comput., 21: 1969-1972.
- Saad, Y., 1996. Iterative Methods for Sparse Linear Systems. PWS Publishing Company, Boston, MD., USA
- Zhang, L.T. and S.H. Cheng, 2013. Modified block symmetric SOR preconditioners for large sparse saddle-point problems. Applied Mech. Mater., 241-244: 2583-2586.
- Zhang, L.T., S.H. Cheng, T.Z. Huang and T.X. Gu, 2010. Convergence of generalized relaxed SSOR method for augmented systems. Applied Mech. Mater., 29-32: 2563-2569.
- Zhang, L.T., T.Z. Huang, S.H. Cheng and Y.P. Wang, 2012. Convergence of a generalized MSSOR method for augmented systems. J. Comput. Applied Math., 236: 1841-1850.
- Zhang, L.T., T.Z. Huang, S.H. Cheng, T.X. Gu and Y.P. Wang, 2011. A note on parallel multisplitting TOR method for H-matrices. Int. J. Comput. Math., 88: 501-507.
- Zhang, L.T., T.Z. Huang, T.X. Gu, X.L. Guo and J.H. Yue, 2009. Convergent improvement of SSOR multisplitting method for an H-matrix. J. Comput. Applied Math., 225: 393-397.