

ISSN : 1812-5379 (Print)  
ISSN : 1812-5417 (Online)  
<http://ansijournals.com/ja>

J O U R N A L O F  
**AGRONOMY**



**ANSI***net*

Asian Network for Scientific Information  
308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan

## Effect of Different Spatial Arrangements on Forage Yield, Yield Components and Quality of Mott Elephantgrass

Muhammad Yasin, M. Asghar Malik and M. Shafi Nazir  
Department of Agronomy,  
University of Agriculture, Faisalabad-38040, Pakistan

---

**Abstract:** The study was conducted to determine the effect of plant spacing on forage yield, yield components and quality of Mott elephantgrass at the Agronomic Research Area of Agronomy (forage production) Ayub Agricultural Research Institute Faisalabad. Mott grass was sown at 45×45 cm, 60×60 cm, 75×75 cm, 90×90 cm 105×105 cm and 120×120 cm spacing (inter row and intra row spacings). Among the different spatial arrangements the first three spacings i.e. 45×45 cm, 60×60 cm and 75×75 cm proved to be more productive, appropriate and acceptable ones. Plant spacing 45×45 cm gave significantly the maximum forage yield t ha<sup>-1</sup>, fat %, protein content and digestibility against the minimum at 120×120 cm spacing under the agro-ecological conditions of Faisalabad (Pakistan).

**Key words:** Mott elephantgrass-Spacing-forage yield, yield components quality

---

### Introduction

Continuous supply of adequate and nutritious green forage is essential for the promotion and development of livestock, which plays a significant role in the economy of Pakistan. Livestock sector accounts for 38% of the agricultural value added and about 10% of total G.D.P. (Anonymous, 1997).

Green forage not only meets the fodder requirement but also minimizes the cost of feeding. Though in Pakistan, it is available during most part of the year, yet it is difficult to maintain its regular supply. Due to scarcity of green fodder in May-June and October-November, the animals are subjected to low productivity, which in turn, results in heavy monetary losses to the owners of livestock. Against the estimated requirement of 358.11 million tones, only 165.76 million tones of green forage is available for livestock in Pakistan, which presents 53.71% forage deficiency (Anonymous, 1998).

In Pakistan, about 16 per cent of the total cropped area is put under forage crops annually, even then regular supply of adequate and quality forage is not being ensured (Hanjra *et al.*, 1995). The present trend to bring more of the cultivated area under cash crops at the expense of forage crops may further deteriorate the green forage supply to livestock.

Shortage of green forage can be alleviated either by shifting growing seasons of the conventional forage crops or by the introduction of high yielding new multicut forages which can supply green herbage in adequate quantities during the scarcity periods (Ahmad *et al.*, 1993). Recently a number of high yielding forage crop varieties have been introduced in Pakistan and Mott elephantgrass (*Pennisetum purpurum Schum*) is one of the promising forages, which have the potential to meet the challenges, as it not only provides more herbage per unit area but also ensures regular green forages supply due to its multicut nature.

Since the grass is heterozygous and does not breed true from seed, it must be propagated vegetatively to obtain true to type population (Hanna and Monson, 1988), using stem cuttings or root stocks. It is best adapted to moderately well drained soils. Cold tolerance is comparable to that observed for Merkeron, one of the most cold tolerant elephantgrass cultivars.

Mott grass was introduced to Pakistan in 1988. Although some of the farmers have started its cultivation yet its success will depend upon the evolution of a comprehensive package of agro-technology compatible with the local agro-climatic conditions. One vital component of agro-technology for Mott grass is proper plant spacing which play a key role in the development of quick growing grasses like Mott that tillers profusely (Bilal *et al.*, 2000).

The row spacing chosen may depend on the intended use for the crop stand. Plant spacings of 24 to 30 inches in the row results in a full stand of Mott elephantgrass by early summer of the year after planting (Woodard *et al.*, 1985). Increasing plant density compensates, at least partially, for stems of low propagation quality (Sollenberger *et al.*, 1990). Close spacings gives significantly higher forage productivity than wide plant spacing at least during the first year of plant stand establishment of Mott grass (Saeed *et al.*, 1996).

### **Materials and Methods**

A field experiment was conducted on Mott grass at the Research Area of Agronomy, Forage Production Section, Ayub Agricultural Research Institute, Faisalabad. Soil samples were analysed for physical and chemical properties. A randomized complete block design (RCBD) was used. The experiment was replicated four times. Net plot size was 2.7×13 m, 3.6 m × 13 m, 4.5×13 m, 6.3×13 m and 7.2×13 m for plant spacings  $S_1 = 45 \times 45$  cm,  $S_2 = 60 \times 60$  cm,  $S_3 = 75 \times 75$  cm,  $S_4 = 90 \times 90$  cm,  $S_5 = 105 \times 105$  cm and  $S_6 = 120 \times 120$  cm, respectively. In each plot there were 6 rows of the crop. Mott elephantgrass was planted manually in the 2nd week of March on a well prepared seed bed as per spacing treatments using root stock of uniform size. The crop was fertilized @ 100 kg  $N_2$  and 100 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup>. All of the phosphorus and half of  $N_2$  were applied at the time of planting as a basal dose. while the remaining half of  $N_2$  was applied five weeks after planting. Whereas in subsequent two cuttings the full dose of  $N_2$  was applied in two equal splits each at the time of 1st irrigation after cutting and five weeks after the 1st application. All other agronomic practices were kept uniform and normal for all the treatments.

The height of the five randomly selected plants from each plot was measured with a meter and from the base of a plant to its highest growing point at harvest and averaged thereafter. At harvest, number of tillers of five randomly selected and tagged plants in each plot was counted and averaged.

Fresh weight stored was determined by weighing five randomly selected plants from each plot at harvest on a spring balance and averaged. For determining forage yield at harvest, all the plants were cut at an appropriate stubble height and weighed with the help of spring balance. Thereafter the green forage yield per plot (kg) was converted into tones  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ .

The dried and ground samples were preserved in labeled air tight containers for analysis of various components such as Fat contents, crude protein content and in vitro dry matter digestibility.

The data collected on various parameters were tabulated and subjected to statistical analysis by using Fisher's analysis of variance technique and the treatment means were compared by using least significant difference (LSD) test at 0.05 % level of significance (Steel and Torrie, 1984).

## **Results and Discussion**

### **Plant height $\text{Plant}^{-1}$**

Plant height is an important yield contributing parameter in forage crops. The data in plant height indicated that different spatial arrangements affected the plant height significantly. In three cuttings, the crop planted at 45x45, 60x60 and 75x75 cm although produced at 105x105 and 120x120 cm but were at a par with 90x90 cm spacing pattern. However, the minimum plant height in all the three cuttings were recorded in the planting pattern of 120x120 cm. The more plant height recorded in narrow spacings might partly be attributed to more plants to develop tendency to get increased internodal distance. Similar results were reported by Scurtu (1982) who noted that increasing plant population of maize from 40, 000 to 90, 000 plants  $\text{ha}^{-1}$  increased plant height from 196 to 215 cm. Nazir *et al.* (1997) also reported that plant height of multicut hybrid sorghum decreased progressively with an increase in plant spacing.

### **Number of tillers stool<sup>-1</sup> at harvest**

Plant density per unit area at harvest is the major yield component in forage crops. It is evident from data that there were significant differences among the spacing patterns in all the three cuttings. In three cuttings, the number of tillers stool<sup>-1</sup> although increased in a linear fashion with an increase in the size of spacing area from 45x45 cm to 120x120 cm, but the difference between each of 45x45, 60x60, 75x75, 90x90 cm and that of 105x105 cm and 120x120 cm spacing treatments was non-significant. However, the maximum tillers were recorded in a spacing pattern of 120x120 cm followed by 105x105 cm against the minimum of 11.50 tillers stool<sup>-1</sup>

in a spacing pattern of 45x45 cm. Significant variation among the different spacing patterns was ascribed to their variable nutritional area and ecosystem. In general number of tillers stool<sup>-1</sup> increased progressively with an increase in the size of spacing. These findings are in agreement with those of Khan and Manghatt (1965) who reported that Bajra Napiergrass produced a greater number of tillers stool<sup>-1</sup> at wider row spacing. Similar Siddiqui (1994) also reported that number of tillers stool<sup>-1</sup> in Mott grass increased consistently with an increase in plant spacing.

#### Fresh weight stool<sup>-1</sup>

Fresh weight stool<sup>-1</sup> was affected significantly by different spatial arrangements in all the three cuttings. The crop planted at 120x120 cm produced significantly the highest fresh weight stool<sup>-1</sup> followed by 105x105 cm spacing which differed significantly from rest of the spacing treatments. The minimum fresh weight stool<sup>-1</sup> was recorded in the spacing pattern of 45x45 cm which amounted to be 2.44 kg stool<sup>-1</sup>, respectively against significantly the maximum of 5.17 kg stool<sup>-1</sup> respectively (Table 1). However, the data in fresh weight stool<sup>-1</sup> revealed that there was a linear increase in fresh weight stool<sup>-1</sup> with an increase in spacing size from 45x45 cm to 120x120 cm. Similar results were reported by Patil and Jawala (1977). Who reported that there was a progressive increase in fresh weight plant<sup>-1</sup> of sorghum (*Sorghum vulgare*) with increase in plant spacing.

Siddiqui (1994) also reported that fresh weight stool<sup>-1</sup> of Mott grass increased with the increase in plant spacing.

#### Forage yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>)

There was a significant variation in forage yield among the different spatial arrangements. The crop planted in the pattern of 45x45 cm yielded significantly higher than all other treatments. There was a consistent decrease in forage yield with an increase in the size of spacing from 45x45 cm to 120x120 cm in all the three cuttings. The total forage yield of 387.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup> for the three cuttings was recorded at 45x45 cm against the minimum yield of 100.19 t ha<sup>-1</sup>

Table 1: Ave. Plant height, number of tillers stool<sup>-1</sup>, fresh weight stool<sup>-1</sup>, fat contents, protein % and digestibility % in leaves and stem as affected by different spatial arrangements

Treatment spatial arrangement	Plant height (cm)	No. of tillers/ stool	Fresh weight/ stool (kg)	Total forage yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) of (3 cuttings)	Fat contents		Protein%		Digestibility%	
					Leaves	Stem	Leaves	Stem	Leaves	Stem
S <sub>1</sub> =45x45 cm	128.9	11.50	2.84	387.50	2.88	1.00	14.90	8.18	72.93	62.49
S <sub>2</sub> =60x60 cm	128.1	13.42	3.2	252.91	2.56	0.82	13.80	8.02	72.43	62.64
S <sub>3</sub> =75x75 cm	126.9	16.00	3.54	177.54	2.46	0.75	13.15	7.78	72.41	61.95
S <sub>4</sub> =90x90 cm	122.0	18.00	3.96	140.75	2.15	0.68	12.55	7.05	72.16	61.63
S <sub>5</sub> =105x105 cm	117.2	20.50	4.55	116.23	2.14	0.61	12.13	6.60	71.56	61.29
S <sub>6</sub> =120x120 cm	111.5	22.25	5.17	100.19	2.03	0.50	11.50	6.50	71.08	61.16

at 120×120 cm spacing. The highest forage yield at 45×45 cm was attributed to more number of stools unit<sup>-1</sup> area which ultimately contributed to increased forage yield as compared to wider spacing. These results are in line with those of Khan and Manghatt (1965), Siddiqui (1994) and Saeed *et al.* (1996), who reported that green fodder yield of Mott grass decreased as plant spacing was increased. These results further indicated that favorable effect of wider spacing on fresh weight stool<sup>-1</sup> did not compensate the yield reduction caused by less number of stools at wider spacing.

#### **Fat contents in leaf and stem**

Fat content in leaves as well as in stem varied significantly among the different spatial arrangements. The crop planted in the pattern of 45×45 cm being at par with that of 60×60 cm accumulated significantly higher fat content in leaves than at rest of the spacing patterns. The differences among 90×90, 105×105 and 120×120 cm spacing patterns were also non-significant showing a range of 2.03 to 2.15% fat content in leaf (Table 1).

Similarly fat content in stem was recorded significantly the highest in the crop spaced at 45×45 cm which was on a par at 120×120 cm spacing which was at par with that recorded at 105×105 cm or 90×90 cm spacing pattern. Overall, the maximum fat content in plant (leaves & stem) was recorded at narrow spacing of 45×45 cm against the minimum for the crop spaced at 120×120 cm. In general it was observed that the maximum value for ether extract was recorded in leaf fraction and minimum was found in case of stem fraction. These results are in line with those of Gennari and De-Mattos (1977).

#### **Protein content (%)**

There was a significant variation among the different spacing treatments with regards to protein content in leaves and stem. Significantly the highest protein content in leaves was recorded in the crop spaced at 45×45 cm against the lowest of 11.50% at 120×120 cm spacing which was at par with that spaced at 105×105 cm or 90×90 cm spacing pattern. Similarly the difference between 75×75 cm and 60×60 cm spacing pattern was non-significant. The higher protein content in leaves at 45×45 cm might be attributed to narrow spacing as a result of which plant absorbed more nitrogen from the soil as compared to wider spacing. It was also due to higher Net assimilation rate at 45×45 cm spacing which resulted in higher protein content.

Similarly protein content in the stem varied significantly among the spacing patterns. Statistically the less protein contents were recorded at 120×120 cm, 105×105 and 90×90 cm spacings with a range of 6.55 to 7.05% which was significantly lower than that recorded at 75×75, 60×60 and 45×45 cm spacing which in turn were at par with one another showing a range of 7.78 to 8.18%. However, the maximum protein content in stem was recorded at 45×45 cm closely followed by 60×60 against the minimum 6.55% at 120×120 cm spacing patterns. These findings are

in contrary with those of Singh *et al.* (1985) who reported that CP content was the highest (21.0%) in the blue panic grass (*Panicum antidotale*) at the widest row spacing. Gupta *et al.* (1976) Gupta and Sagar also reported that leaf has more crude protein than stem.

### Digestibility

The digestibility of leaves and stem among the different spacing treatments differed significantly. The crop planted in the pattern of 45x45 cm although had significantly higher digestibility percentage in leaves than rest of the spacing treatments but was on a par with that recorded at 60x60 cm and 75x75 cm spacing patterns which showed the digestibility of 72.41 and 72.43% respectively. The lowest digestibility percentage was recorded at 120x120 cm which was at par with that recorded at 105x105 cm. However, the differences among 90x90, 75x75 and 60x60 cm spacing treatments were non-significant.

Similarly the highest digestibility of stem was recorded at a spacing pattern of 45x45 cm against significantly the lowest at 120x120 cm. The differences between 60x60 cm and 75x75 cm spacing patterns was also non-significant. It was observed that leaf fraction of plant had the highest digestibility whereas it was the lowest in the stem i.e., 61.16%. These results coincide with Sabitti (1985) who reported that mean; *in vitro* Dry matter digestibility (IVDMD) was 52% for the whole plant, 56.2% for leaves and 43.3% for stem indigofera.

Wilson *et al.* (1989) also reported that IVDMD of buffer grass (*Cenchrus ciliaris*) leaves ranged from 64 to 73.4% and that of stems from 47.7 to 61.7%. However, the differences in digestibility was related to morphological and anatomical characteristics of the tissues.

### References

- Ahmad, B.Z. Hussain and J. Longmire, 1993. Farm Management Hand Book. Univ. Agri. Faisalabad, Pakistan.
- Anonymous, 1997. Economic survey of Pakistan 1997-98. Economic adviser wing, Finance Division, Government of Pakistan, Islamabad.
- Anonymous, 1998. Agricultural Statistics of Pakistan 1998-99. Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Livestock (Livestock Wing) Government of Pakistan, Islamabad, Pakistan, pp: 192.
- Bilal, M.Q., M. Saeed and M. Sarwar, 2000. Effect of varying levels of nitrogen and farm yard manure application on tillering and height of Mott grass. Int. J. Agri. Biol., 2: 21-23.
- Gennari, S.M. and H.B. De Mattos, 1977. Effect of age of sward on production, digestibility and composition of three varieties of elephantgrass (*Pennisetum purpurecom*). Boletin de Industria Animal, 34: 253-262.
- Gupta, P.C. and Sagar, 1987. Assessing the feeding value of tropical forages by direct and indirect methods. Technical Bulletin, Department of Animal Nutrition, Haryana Agric. Univ. Hisar, India.

- Gupta, P.C., S. Randhir and K. Pradhan, 1976. Availability in protein, cell wall constituents and in vivo nutrient digestibility in some important strains of fodder oat harvested at different stages of growth. *Ind. J. Agri. Sci.*, 46: 359-363.
- Hanjra, S.H.J.B. Davis and M.J.A. Akhtar, 1995. Fodder production Pak/88/072. Small Holder Dairy Development in Punjab. Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nations, Rome.
- Hanna, W.W. and W.G. Monson, 1988. Registration of dwarf tift N75 napiergrass germ plasm. *Crop Sci.*, 28: 870-871.
- Khan, R.A. and M.A. Manghatt, 1965. Spacing experiments with bajra napier grass. *Pak. J. Agric. Sci.*, 11:49-50.
- Nazir, M.S., H.M. Shafiq. M. Saeed and A. Jabbar, 1997. The integrated nitrogen management with planting geometry for multicut hybrid sorghum. *Pak. J. Agri. Sci.*, 34: 1997.
- Patil, E.N. and S.M. Jawala, 1977. Effect of plant density and nitrogen levels on yield of sorghum CHS-5 under Dhule conditions. *J. Maharashtra Agri. Uni.*, 2: 263-264.
- Sabitti, E.N., 1985. Dry matter production and nutritive value of *Indigofera hirsuta* L. in Uganda. East African.
- Saeed, M., N.A. Siddiqui, M. Maqsood and T. Mahmood, 1996. Effect of nitrogen and plant spacing on growth, green folder yield and quality of Mott elephantgrass (*Pennisetum purpureum*) *Pak. J. Sci. Ind. Res.*, 39: 54-59.
- Scurtu, D., 1982. Response of some corn hybrids to various sowing densities at the Suceana experiment Station. *Analele Institutului de Cercetari Pentru Cereale Si Plante Technice, Fundulea*, 49: 131-143.
- Siddiqui, N.A., 1994. Growth, fodder yield and quality of Mott grass (*Pennisetum purpureum*) to varying level of nitrogen and plant spacing. M.Sc. Thesis. Dept. Agron. Univ. Agri. Faisalabad, Pakistan.
- Singh, K.A., K.P. Niranjan and A. Kumar, 1985. Effect of row spacing on the productivity of blue panic grass inter-cropped with butterfly pea in semi-arid conditions. *Indian J. Agron.* 30: 349-352.
- Sollenberger, L.E., C.S. Jones Jr.K.A. Albers and G.H. Ruitenberg, 1990. Vegetative establishment of dwarf elephantgrass. Effect of defoliation prior to planting stems. *Agron. J.*, 82: 274-278.
- Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie, 1984. Principles and procedures of statistics. McGraw Hill Book. Co. New York. USA.
- Wilson, J.R., K.L. Anderson and J.B. Hackor, 1989. Dry matter digestibility *in vitro* of leaf and stem of buffer grass (*Cenchrus ciliaris*) and related species and its relation to plant morphology and anatomy. *Australian J. Agri. Res.*, 40: 281-291.
- Woodard, K.R. and G.M. Prine, 1985. Response of elephantgrass to harvest interval and method of fertilization in the colder subtropics soil crops. *Sci. Soc. Fla. Proc.*, 44: 111-115.