

ISSN : 1812-5379 (Print)
ISSN : 1812-5417 (Online)
<http://ansijournals.com/ja>

JOURNAL OF AGRONOMY



ANSI*net*

Asian Network for Scientific Information
308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan

Correlations and Genetic Parameters Between Morphological Descriptors in Soybean

¹Carlos Antonio da Silva Junior, ²Paulo Eduardo Teodoro, ¹Guilherme Fernando Capristo Silva,

²Larissa Pereira Ribeiro, ²Flávia Alves da Silva, ²Caio Cezar Guedes Corrêa,

²Roque Apolinário Alves da Luz Júnior and ²Francisco Eduardo Torres

¹Department of Agronomy, State University of Maringá (UEM),

Av. Colombo, 5790-Bloco J45, 87020-900, Maringá, Paraná, Brazil

²State University of Mato Grosso do Sul (UEMS), Plant Science Sector,

Aquidauana, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil

Abstract: Determining the genetic variability and correlations between traits of interest for selection in a population is one of the stages in a breeding program. The objectives of this study was to evaluate the potential for genetic improvement of a population composed of twelve commercial soybean genotypes, were estimated genetic and phenotypic descriptors and correlations between morphological traits of the population. The experimental design was used in randomized block design with four replications. The descriptors measured were plant height and first pod insertion, number of pods and ramifications, mass of hundred grains and yield grains. The yield grains presented more genotypic coefficient of variation, ratio b and heritability. This descriptor obtained phenotypic correlations significant positive ($p < 0.01$), with number of pods, ramifications and mass hundred grains, indicating that the selection of plants with larger number of ramifications entailed, therefore, more productive genotypes. The genotype correlations had the same sign and in most cases superior to their corresponding phenotypic correlations values, indicating that the phenotypic expression is decreased compared to environmental influences. Environmental correlations between descriptors with differences in magnitude and sign, in relation to the respective genotype correlations, revealed that the environment favored one character over another and that the genetic and environmental causes of variation have different physiological mechanisms, defaulting the indirect selection.

Key words: Cultivars, *Glycine max* L., plant

INTRODUCTION

The soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) is currently subject of intense research activity directed to getting information that enables productivity increases, since this culture assumes great socioeconomic value by the importance of their products, especially bran, vegetable oil and its derivatives (Motta *et al.*, 2000).

Brazil is the second largest world soybean producer reaching in the 2012/2013 crop a production of 81 million tons of grain. The expansion of cultivated area and the genetic breeding are among the major factors that have provided an increase in productivity of soybean, which in the current crop has obtained an average yield of 2,933.0 kg ha⁻¹ (Conab, 2013).

Almeida *et al.* (2010) argue that knowledge of the association between characters is of great importance in the genetic breeding of any kind, particularly when the low heritability or measurement problems cause

difficulties in selecting. In these cases, the indirect selection can be recommended using another character that has high heritability and easy evaluation, long as it is highly correlated with that one character difficult to direct selection.

According to Correa *et al.* (2003) the best selection strategies for obtaining superior genotypes are defined by estimates of genetic parameters such as variances, heritability, genotypic coefficient of determination, coefficient of genetic variation and coefficient b (CVg/CVe) of a population that it is intended explore for genetic improvement.

When the variability is explored through the selection of certain character, simultaneously other characters may be changed due to genetic correlation between characters (Santos and Vencovsky, 1986). Falconer (1987), distinguishes two causes of correlation between two variables: The genetic, resulting from genetic linkage (temporary cause) or pleiotropism (main cause)

and environmental causes. This latter becomes cause correlation when two characters are influenced by the same differences in environmental conditions. The association between two characters directly observed is the phenotypic correlation (Falconer, 1987; Carvalho *et al.*, 2004; Goldenberg, 1968).

In research of soybean genetic breeding the studies about genotypic, phenotypic and environmental correlations have involved morphological descriptors such as plant height, insertion height pods, number of pods, number of branches, mass of 100 grains and yield grain (Almeida *et al.*, 2010; Lopes *et al.*, 2002; Peluzio *et al.*, 1998, 2005; Oliveira *et al.*, 2000; Taware *et al.*, 1997; Churata and Ayala-Osuna, 1996; Lemos *et al.*, 1992; Moro *et al.*, 1992; Sakiyama, 1989; Miranda, 1998).

In this context, the objective of this study was to estimate the phenotypic, genotypic and environment correlations among agronomic descriptors of soybean.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental site: The experiment was installed in the experimental area of the State University of Mato Grosso do Sul-Unit University Aquidauana (UEMS/UUA), located in the Brazilian Savanna (or Cerrado), located in the municipality of Aquidauana, comprising the following coordinates 20°27'S and 55°40'W with an average elevation of 170 m (Schiavo *et al.*, 2010).

The soil was classified as Ultisol sandy loam texture (Embrapa, 2006), with the following layer 0-0.20 m: pH (H₂O) = 6.2; Al exchangeable (cmol_c dm⁻³) = 0.0; Ca+Mg (cmol_c dm⁻³) = 4.31; P (mg dm⁻³) = 41.3; K (cmol_c dm⁻³) = 0.2; Organic matter (g dm⁻³) = 19.7; V(%) = 45.0; m(%) = 0.0; Sum of bases (cmol_c dm⁻³) = 2.3; Cation Exchange Capacity (or CEC) (cmol_c dm⁻³) = 5.1. The climate of the region, according to the classification described by Köppen-Geiger is Aw (Savanna Tropical) with cumulative rainfall during the experiment 450 mm and maximum and minimum temperatures of 91.4 and 66.2°F, respectively.

Experimental design: Twelve commercial soybean genotypes were grown (P98Y70, CD238, CD241, BRS255, VMAX, NK7059, Magna, BRS245, Potência, SYN3358, MSOY7909 e SYN5909) in randomized block design with four replications. The *Brachiaria decubens* was grown as previous and preceding sowing soybean plant was dried out with the active ingredient glyphosate, in the dose 1 kg ha⁻¹. The soybean seeding was performed manually in on 2011-12, under 0.45 m row spacing with 16 seeds per meter, with the fertilizer of correspondente 400 kg ha⁻¹ de fertilizante 4-20-20.

The seeds were treated with fungicide (Thiram 480 TS® in dose of 300 mL 100 kg⁻¹) and insecticide (Imidacloprid 350 SC® in dose of 150 mL 100 kg⁻¹ seeds) and preceding sowing were inoculated with *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* in dose of 240 g 100 kg⁻¹.

Analysis of descriptors: At 80 Days After Emergence (DAE) were measured Plant Height (PH) and first pod insertion (PIH), by means of ten plants per plot. At complete maturity (115 DAE), the Number of Pods (NP) and Number of Ramifications (NR) were analyzed by means of ten plants per plot, while the yield (YG) and Mass of Hundred Grains (MHG) were evaluated in the two central rows soybean, being corrected for moisture 13%.

Data analysis: In the analysis of results was used the analysis of variance, adopting the following statistical model (Cruz *et al.*, 2004):

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + g_i + b_j + e_{ij}$$

Where:

Y_{ij} = Characteristic value of the i-ésimo genotype on the j-ésimo block

μ = Overall average of test

g_i = Effect of i-ésimo genotype (i = 1,2, ..., g)

b_j = Effect of j-ésimo block (j = 1,2, ..., r); e

e_{ij} = Random error associated with the genotype i and block j e_{ij} ~ NID (0, s²)

Genetic parameters and their estimators were analyzed for each characteristic using the following expressions (Cruz *et al.*, 2004):

- Genotypic variance:

$$S^2_g = \frac{QM_g - QM_t}{k}$$

- Environmental variance:

$$S^2_e = \frac{QM_t}{k}$$

- Genotypic coefficient of variation:

$$CV_g = \left(\frac{\sqrt{S^2_g}}{m} \right) \times 100$$

- Experimental coefficient of variation:

$$CVe = \left(\frac{\sqrt{QM_e}}{m} \right) \times 100$$

- $$b = \frac{CVg}{CVe}$$

- Herdability:

$$h^2 = \frac{S^2_g}{S^2_f}$$

In the estimates of the correlations were employed in the mentioned expressions by Ramalho *et al.* (2000):

- Phenotypic correlation:

$$r_{F(xy)} = \frac{COV_{F(xy)}}{\sqrt{(S^2_{F_x}) \times (S^2_{F_y})}}$$

- Genotypic correlation:

$$r_{G(xy)} = \frac{COV_{G(xy)}}{\sqrt{(S^2_{G_x}) \times (S^2_{G_y})}}$$

- Environmental correlation:

$$r_{E(xy)} = \frac{COV_{E(xy)}}{\sqrt{(S^2_{E_x}) \times (S^2_{E_y})}}$$

Where:

r_{xy} = Correlation between characters X and Y
 COV_{xy} = Covariance between characters X and Y
 S^2_x and S^2_y = Variance of characters X e Y, respectively

The estimates of variance and parameters components genetic and phenotypic and were obtained using the computer application GENES (Cruz, 2006).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 presented the summary of estimates of genetic parameters. The experimental coefficient of variation (CVe) ranged from 5.0 (NR) and 14.1 (YG), which according to Lucio *et al.* (1999), classified high experimental precision. Moreover, it is below the maximum acceptable estimate of CVe to productivity of soybeans, which is 16.0% (Carvalho *et al.*, 2003).

Table 1: Genetic parameter estimates for six morphological descriptors evaluated in twelve soybean genotypes

Description	PH ¹ (m)	PIH (m)	NP	NR	MHG (g)	YG (kg ha ⁻¹)
Minimum	34.3	7.1	32.9	3.0	15.5	663.0
Maximum	92.6	16.1	125.3	11.4	29.1	3,213.3
CVg (%) ²	19.1	14.5	28.9	37.3	16.7	39.0
CVe (%)	10.3	13.4	14.0	5.0	8.9	14.1
b	1.9	0.9	2.1	7.4	1.9	2.8
S ² _g	121.1	1.7	734.5	7.7	13.0	550,882.2
S ² _e	35.4	1.9	171.1	0.1	3.6	72,186.7
h ²	93.2	78.0	94.5	99.6	93.5	96.83

¹PH: Plant height, PIH: Pod insertion height, NP: Number of pod, MHG: Mass of hundred grains, YG: Yield grains, ²CVg: Genotypic coefficient of variation, Cve: Experimental coefficient of variation, b: Relation between Cvg and Cve, S²_g: Genotypic variance, S²_e: Environmental variance and h²: Herdability

The genotypic coefficient of variation (CVg) ranged from 14.5 (PIH) and 39.0 (YG), among the morphological descriptors, the YG is showing greater variability, being highly promising for performing the selection (Table 1). Considering that the existence of genetic variability in a population is crucial factor to any breeding program (Ramalho *et al.*, 2000), the germplasm under study proves the principle, promissory for works sorting or hybridization with potential for development of new cultivars.

The ratio b was below 1.0 only PIH (Table 1), indicating favorable situations to selection for all other descriptors according to the interpretation of this value according to Vencovsky (1978).

Following this trend, were found values above 93.0% for herdability (h²), with the exception of PIH (78.0%) (Table 1) being that values higher than those observed by Mauro *et al.* (1995) and Santos *et al.* (1995) in soybean.

According to Polizel (2004), the maximum and minimum herdability for YG is 58.0 and 3.0%, respectively, with the majority between 33.0 and 58.0%. This can be attributed to the purely quantitative behavior of this character, due to the large number of genes that control, allowing greater environmental influence and concomitantly, a decrease of the relationship between S²_g and S²_e. However, the data obtained for this descriptor corroborate the results of Rossmann (2001), that noted the estimation of h² moderate to high in a soybean population evaluated in four years.

Estimates of high h² are associated with greater genetic variability, higher selective accuracy (Filho *et al.*, 2009) and greater chance of success in the selection of soybean lines with higher grain yield.

Significants phenotypic correlations (r_F) (p<0.01) (Table 2), were identified between the descriptors ND×PIH, NR×NP×NP MHG, MHG×NR, being the values obtained of superior magnitude to those observed by

Table 2: Estimates of phenotypic, genotypic and environmental correlations between six morphological descriptors evaluated in twelve soybean genotypes

Descriptors	PH ¹ (m)	PIH (m)	NP	NR	MHG (g)	YG (kg ha ⁻¹)
PH (m)						
r _F	---	-0.0053	0.0517	0.0144	-0.1442	0.0564
r _G	---	-0.0099	0.0210	0.0107	-0.1519	0.0644
r _E	---	0.0256	0.5224	0.2287	-0.0377	-0.1030
PIH (m)						
r _F	---	-0.8044*	-0.5051	-0.5428	-0.5720	
r _G	---	-0.8924	-0.5560	-0.6217	-0.6572	
r _E	---	-0.3463	-0.4783	-0.0990	-0.0095	
NP						
r _F		---	0.8899*	0.8602*	0.8352*	
r _G		---	0.9129	0.9067	0.8720	
r _E		---	0.2864	0.1348	0.0252	
NR						
r _F			---	0.8772*	0.8498*	
r _G			---	0.9082	0.8666	
r _E			---	0.0721	-0.0818	
MHG (g)						
r _F				---	0.8899*	
r _G				---	0.9056	
r _E				---	0.6259	
YG (kg ha⁻¹)						
r _F					---	
r _G					---	
r _E					---	

¹PH: Plant height, PIH: Pod insertion height, NP: Number of pod, NR: Number of ramifications, MHG: Mass of hundred grains, YG: Yield grains, *Significant at 1% probability by t-test

Peluzio *et al.* (1998, 2005). The YG descriptor obtained r_F significant positive ($p < 0.01$) with NP, NR and MHG, indicating that the selection of plants with larger number of ramifications entailed, therefore, more productive genotypes.

Positive correlations indicate the occurrence of pleiotropism or gene ligation imbalance between pairs of characters and promote the simultaneous selection of two or more characters, whith the selection in only one of these (Falconer, 1987; Goldenberg, 1968; Johnson *et al.*, 1955). Moreover, the selection of a character can cause an undesirable selection of another.

The genotype correlations (r_G) had the same sign (Table 2) and in most cases superior to their corresponding r_F values, indicating that the phenotypic expression is decreased compared to environmental influences. These results corroborate those obtained by Almeida *et al.* (2010), Lopes *et al.* (2002), Peluzio *et al.* (1998, 2005), Oliveira *et al.* (2000), Taware *et al.* (1997), Churata and Ayala-Osuna (1996), Lemos *et al.* (1992), Moro *et al.* (1992), Sakiyama (1989) and Miranda (1998). Thus, r_F may be useful in the absence of estimates of r_G .

Environmental correlations (r_E) between descriptors with differences in magnitude and sign, in relation to the respective r_G , revealed that the environment favored one character over another and that the genetic and environmental causes of variation have different physiological mechanisms (Table 2), defaulting the

indirect selection. Among these are cited PIH×PH and YG×PIH, agreement with the results obtained by Almeida *et al.* (2010).

The results section should provide details of all of the experiments that are required to support the conclusions of the study. There is no specific word limit for this section. The section may be divided into subsections, each with a concise subheading. Large datasets, including raw data, should be submitted as supporting information files, these are published online alongside the accepted article.

CONCLUSION

The population presented genetic variability and potential for genetic improvement and gain of the yield grain can be obtained mainly through selection based on descriptor number of ramification.

REFERENCES

- Almeida, R.D., J.M. Peluzio and F.S. Aferri, 2010. Phenotypic, genotypic and environmental correlations in soybean cultivated under an irrigated meadow in South of Tocantins State. *Biosci. J.*, 26: 95-99.
- Carvalho, C.G.P., C.A.A. Arias, J.L.A. Almeida, R.A.S. Kihl, M.F. Oliveira, D.M. Hiromoto and C. Takeda, 2003. [Proposal to categorize coefficients of variation for yield and plant height in soybean]. *Pesquisa Agropecuaria Brasileira*, 38: 187-193, (In Portuguese).
- Carvalho, F.I.F., C. Lorencetti and G. Bennin, 2004. Estimates of the Correlation and Implications in Plant Breeding. Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Pelotas, pp: 142.
- Churata, B.G.M. and J.T. Ayala-Osuna, 1996. [Genotypic, phenotypic and environmental correlations and path analysis traits evaluated in the compound of maize (*Zea mays*) architecture]. *Revista Ceres*, 43: 628-636, (In Portuguese).
- Conab, 2013. Companhia Nacional de Abastecimento. Acompanhamento da Safra Brasileira: Graos, Safra 2012/2013, Nono Levantamento, Junho, 2013. http://www.conab.gov.br/OlalaCMS/uploads/arquivos/13_06_06_09_09_27_boletim_graos_-_junho_2013.pdf
- Correa, A.M., M.C. Goncalves, D. Destro, L.C.F. Souza and T.A. Sobrinho, 2003. Estimates of genetic parameters in common bean genotypes. *Crop Breed. Applied Biotechnol.*, 3: 223-230.
- Cruz, C.D., A.J. Regazzi and P.C.S. Carneiro, 2004. *Biometric Models Applied to Genetic Improvement*. UFV, Vicosa, Pages: 480, (In Portuguese).

- Cruz, C.D., 2006. Program GENES: Biometric. UFV, Vicos, Pages: 382, (In Portuguese).
- Embrapa, 2006. Brazilian System of Soil Classification. 2nd Edn., Embrapa/CNPq, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, Pages: 306.
- Falconer, D.S., 1987. Introduction to Quantitative Genetics. Universidade Federal de Vicos, Vicos, Pages: 279.
- Filho, A.C., L. Storck and N.D. Ribeiro, 2009. [Measures of experimental precision in common bean and soybean genotype trials]. Pesquisa Agropecuaria Brasileira, 44: 1225-1231, (In Portuguese).
- Goldenberg, J.B., 1968. El empleo de la correlacion en el mejoramiento genetico de las plantas. Fitotecnica Latino Americana, 5: 1-8.
- Johnson, H.W., H.F. Robinson and R.E. Comstock, 1955. Genotypic and phenotypic correlations in soybeans and their implications in selection. Agron. J., 47: 477-483.
- Lemos, M.A., E.L.G. Gama, A.C. de Oliveira and M.R.A. de Araujo, 1992. [Genotypic, phenotypic and environmental correlations in progenies of corn]. Pesquisa Agropecuaria Brasileira, 27: 1563-1569, (In Portuguese).
- Lopes, A.C.A., N.A. Vello, F. Pandini, M.M. Rocha and C.Y. Tsutsumi, 2002. Variability and correlations among traits in soybean crosses. Sci. Agric., 59: 341-348.
- Lucio, A.D., L. Storck and D.A. Banzatto, 1999. [Quality control of cultivar competition experiments through the analysis of the statistics employed]. Pesquisa Agropecuaria Gaucha, 5: 99-103, (In Portuguese).
- Mauro, A.O., T.W. Pfeiffer and G.B. Collins, 1995. Inheritance of soybean susceptibility to *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* and its relationship to transformation. Crop Sci., 35: 1152-1156.
- Moro, G.L., M.S. Reis, C.S. Sedyama, T. Sedyama and A.B. Oliveira, 1992. [Correlation between some agronomic traits in soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill)]. Revista Ceres, 39: 225-232, (In Portuguese).
- Miranda, G.V., 1998. [Genetic diversity and performance of soybean cultivars as parents]. Ph.D. Thesis, Universidade Federal de Vicos, Vicos, Brazil, (In Portuguese).
- Motta, I.S., A.L. Braccini, C.A. Scapin, A.C.A. Goncalves and M.C.L. Braccini, 2000. [Agronomic traits and yield components of soybean seeds in different sowing dates]. Revista Brasileira Sementes, 22: 153-162, (In Portuguese).
- Oliveira, A.C.B., J.M.S. Viana, C.D. Cruz and C.S. Sedyama, 2000. Heritability and correlations in F2 plants grown soybean under different environmental conditions. Acta Scientiarum, 22: 889-893.
- Peluzio, J.M., C.S. Sedyama, T. Sedyama and M.S. Reis, 1998. [Phenotypic correlations between genotype and environment some characters soybean in Pedro Afonso, Tocantins]. Revista Ceres, 45: 303-308, (In Portuguese).
- Peluzio, J.M., R.D. de Almeida, R.R. Fidelis, D. Almeida Jr., E.L. Brito and E.R. Francisco, 2005. [Correlations among traits of soybean in Gurupi, Tocantins]. Revista Ceres, 52: 779-786, (In Portuguese).
- Polizel, A.C., 2004. [Quantification of foliar diseases of soybean by diagrammatic scales and reaction of genotypes]. Master's Thesis, Agronomia, Universidade Federal de Uberlandia, Uberlandia, Brazil, (In Portuguese).
- Ramalho, M.A.P., J.B. Santos and C.A.B.P. Pinto, 2000. [Genetics in Agriculture]. 7th Edn., Universidade Federal de Lavras, Lavras, Pages: 359, (In Portuguese).
- Rossmann, H., 2001. [Estimates of genetic and phenotypic parameters of a soybean population evaluated in four years]. Master's Thesis, Escola Superior de Agricultura, Luiz de Queiroz, Piracicaba, (In Portuguese).
- Sakiyama, N.S., 1989. [Heritability, correlation and selection of early maturing genotypes in soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill) under the conditions of summer and winter, in Vicos, Minas Gerais]. Master's Thesis, Universidade Federal de Vicos, Vicos, Brazil, (In Portuguese).
- Santos, J. and R. Vencovsky, 1986. Phenotypic and genetic correlations between some agronomic traits of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Ciencia Pratica, 10: 265-272.
- Santos, H.P., I. Ambrosi, J.C. Ignaczak and I. Sandini, 1995. Economic analysis of four systems of crop rotation wheat, a period of ten years, in Passo Fundo, RS. Pesquisa Agropecuaria Brasileira, 30: 1167-1175, (In Portuguese).
- Schiavo, J.A., M.G. Pereira, L.P.M. de Miranda, A.H. Dias Neto and A. Fontana, 2010. [Characterization and classification of soils developed from sandstone of the Aquidauana formation]. Revista Brasileira Ciencia Solo, 34: 881-889, (In Portuguese).
- Taware, S.P., G.B. Halvankar, V.M. Rayt and V.P. Patil, 1997. Variability, correlation and path analysis in soybean hybrids. Soybean Genet. Newslett., 24: 96-98.
- Vencovsky, R., 1978. Quantitative Inheritance. In: Improvement and Production of Maize in Brazil, Paterniani, E. and G.P. Viegas (Eds.). Fundacao Cargill, Sao Paulo, SP, Brazil, pp: 122-201 (In Portuguese).