

Effect of Various Irrigation Depths on Rice Under Saline-Sodic Conditions

Tahir Maqsood and Karamat Ali
Directorate of Land Reclamation, Punjab, Pakistan

Abstract: Relative efficiency of soil reclamation technique (vertical leaching) was tested in the field by applying different irrigation depths with and without the application of gypsum in as saline sodic soil. Rice cv. Basmati-385 was used as a test crop. Application of 5.0cm depth irrigation proved to be the best treatment with regard to soil reclamation and paddy yield, followed by the salt movement with gypsum application. The application of gypsum with 5.0cm depth irrigation also improved the soil characteristics like pH, SAR, ESP, EC_e and increased the soil permeability (leaching) \infiltration.

Key Words: Gypsum, Saline Sodic, Rice, Reclamation, Production

Introduction

Poor structure and low infiltration rate are the typical characteristics of dense sodic and saline- sodic soils, particularly having fine texture. For the reclamation of these soils, soluble calcium is needed for replacing adsorbed sodium. Irrigated agriculture consumes major share of good quality waters, which is decreasing because of competing non-agricultural demands and droughts around the world (Gupta, 1990; Bouwer,1994). About 70% of the salt affected soils of Pakistan are economically reclaimable if sufficient irrigation water is available and drainage, where needed, is provided (Mirza and Ahmad, 1998). The use of gypsum application helps in water penetration along with the salts into deposited layers. But effectiveness of various irrigation water depths along with gypsum in the leaching of salts out of the root-zone cannot prolong irrigation into pot. The broad objective of this paper is to evaluate the effectiveness of gypsum at different irrigation depths on the reclamation of saline-sodic soil and rice crop yield.

Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted on saline sodic soil (EC_e = 4.1 dS m⁻¹, texture: clay loam, SAR=94, pH_s=9.0, ESP=38.5 and GR 5.16 tons acre⁻¹-0.15m) at chak No. 112/15-L Reclamation Research Station Mianchannu. The experiment was laid out in RCBD with three replications and and six treatments under:

- T₁ 5.0 cm irrigation depth +100 % soil GR
- T₂ 7.5 cm irrigation depth +100 % soil GR
- T₃ 10.0 cm irrigation depth + 100 % soil GR
- T₄ 5.0 cm irrigation depth
- T₅ 7.5 cm irrigation depth
- T₆ 10.0 cm irrigation depth

After layout of experiment, composite soil samples (0-15 cm) and (15-30 cm) were collected and analysed for EC_e , SAR , pH_s and soil texture. Gypsum was broadcasted on the soil surface in the respective treatments.100 % soil GR mixed with the soil surface followed by the application of irrigation water (EC=1.01 dS m⁻¹, SAR=14.5, RSC=2.0mmol L⁻¹).Rice variety Basmati-385 was transplanted keeping row and hill distance of 20 cm. Same water was used to irrigate the each plot for the measured quantity of water. A basal dose of fertilizer (N : P : K : ZnSO₄ : 90 : 75 : 75

: 5 Kg ha⁻¹) were also applied. Half of N as urea and full doses of P as SSP and K as SOP and Zn were applied 40 days after transplanting. To control pest attack, Novacron was sprayed twice, 30 and 60 days after transplanting the rice seedlings. The crop was raised up to maturity and organic data regarding tillers, paddy and straw yields were recorded. Post harvest soil samples were drawn and analysed for EC_e , SAR, ESP, pHs and soil texture.(U.S. Salinity Laboratory Staff, 1954).The experimental data collected were analysed statistically(Steel and Torrie, 1980).

Results and Discussion

The data (Table 2) reflect superiority T₂. The highest number of tillers per hill, paddy and straw yields were recorded in T₂ followed by T₃. The results indicated that 7.5 cm irrigation depth along with gypsum were the most effective in lowering soil SAR by the end of first rice crop. Physical characteristics of soil have been improved with gypsum and removal of sodium to provide a better environment for plant growth (Yadev and Agarwal, 1959; Gupta and Bajpai, 1977).

Table 1: Soil Analysis Results (pre-sowing)

| | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| pH | 9.0 |
| SAR | 94.0 |
| ESP | 38.5 |
| EC _e | 4.1 dS m ⁻¹ |
| Ca ²⁺ | 0.3mmol L ⁻¹ |
| Mg ²⁺ | 0.3 mmol L ⁻¹ |
| CO ₃ ²⁻ | 0.5 mmol L ⁻¹ |
| SO ₄ ²⁻ | 0.2 mmol L ⁻¹ |

More over , the application of gypsum also improved nutrient balance and Ca:Na ratio in the soil by increasing the concentration of calcium , sulphur and perhaps micronutrients (Khan *et al.*, 1990) .This salt removal might have also increased the soil permeability. In India , gypsum has been and is being supplied at normal rates to farmers in time and space owing to its safe use , being cheap and because of its prolonged effects on water conducting properties of soil (Yadev, 1973).

Tahir and Karamat: Effect of Various Irrigation Depths on Rice

Table 2: Effect of Various Irrigation Depths and Gypsum on Growth Parameters

| Treatment | Tillers (No. hill ⁻¹) | paddy yield (Kg ha ⁻¹) | Straw yield (Kg ha ⁻¹) |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| T ₁ | 28.14 b | 2118 b | 7580 b |
| T ₂ | 32.12 a | 2491 a | 8014 a |
| T ₃ | 29.33 b | 2241 b | 7790 b |
| T ₄ | 22.25 c | 1819 c | 6870 c |
| T ₅ | 24.33 c | 1990 c | 6950 c |
| T ₆ | 23.80 c | 1912 c | 6912 c |

Means with different letters in a column differ significantly according to DMRT (P=0.05)

Even the physical presence of gypsum in soils lowers crust, hard-setting and soil strength (Rahman and Rowel, 1979; Simson *et al.*, 1979; Ayres and Westcott, 1985) to favour seed germination (Hassan, 2000) which is one of the greatest problem in salt-affected soils.

Table 3: Soil Analysis Results (post-harvest)

| | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| pH | 8.6 |
| SAR | 61.5 |
| ESP | 47.6 |
| EC _e | 3.1dSm ⁻¹ |
| Ca ²⁺ | 0.5 mmol L ⁻¹ |
| Mg ²⁺ | 0.5 mmol L ⁻¹ |
| CO ₃ ²⁻ | 0.5 mmol L ⁻¹ |
| SO ₄ ²⁻ | 0.3 mmol L ⁻¹ |

References

Ayres, R.S. and D.W. Westcott; 1985. Water quality for Agri. Irrigation and Drainage Paper 29, FAO, Rome, Italy.

Bouwer, M., 1994. Irrigation and global water outlook. *Water Management*, 25: 221-31.

Gupta, B. R. and P. D. Bajpai; 1977. Effect of some inorganic ameliorants on reclamation and phosphorus availability in salt affected soil. *Ind. J. Agri.* 11: 97-103.

Gupta, I. C., 1990. Use of Saline Water in Agri. Oxford and IBM Pub. House Co, Pvt. Ltd., Bombay, India.

Hassan, A., 2000. Application of soil physis for sustainable productivity of graded soils. *Intl. J. Agric. Biol.* 3: 263-8.

Khan, N.H., M. I. Haq and A. Rauf; 1990. Gypsum use efficiency in saline sodic soils as effected by water quality and organic matter. *Soil for Agri. Dev. Proc. 2nd National Cong. Soil Sci. Faisalabad.* 66-79.

Mirza, M. Y. J. and M. Ahmad; 1998. Pak. 's Agri. Land resources, its potential and degradational trends. Country Report presented at Intl. Seminar 'Soil Degradation: Processes, Management and Economic Analysis.' October 19-24, Univ. Agri., Faisalabad, Pak.

Rahman, W. A. and D. L. Rowel; 1979. The influence of Mg in saline and sodic soils: A specific effect or a problem of cation exchange. *Soil Sci.*, 30: 535-46.

Steel, R.G.D. and T.H. Torrie; 1980. Principles and procedures of statistics. Mc Graw Hill Book, Co. Inc. New York. U.S.A. 107-109.

Simson, C. R., F.B. Corey and M.E. Summer; 1979. Effect of varying Ca: Mg ratios on yield and composition of maize (*Zea mays*) and alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*). *Comm. Soil Sci. pl. Anal.*, 10; 153-62.

U.S. Salinity Lab. Staff, 1954. Diagnosis and improvements of saline and alkali soil. U.S.D.A. Hand book No. 60.

Yadev, J.S.P. and Agarwal, 1959. Dynamics of soil changes in the reclamation of saline-alkali soils of Indi-genetic Alluvium. In. R. R. Agarwal, J.S.P. Yadav and R.N. Gupta (Eds), *Saline and alkali soils of Indian Council Agric. Res.*, New Dehli. P.182.

Yadev, J.S.P., 1973. Research on water management and salinity. Final Tech. Report of All India Coordinated Scheme, PAU, Ludhiana, India.