Pakistan Journal of Applied Sciences 2(6): 628-630, 2002 © Copyright by the Science Publications, 2002

Effect of Processed Green Organic Fertilizer on the Quality of Sugarcane

¹M.B Abro, ¹Z.A Abbasi, ¹S.A Maitlo, ²M.M Sario, ¹A.G Bhatti and ¹N.M Soomro ¹Z.A. Bhutto Agricultural College Dokri Sindh Pakistan ²Department of Agronomy Sindh, Agricultural university, Tando jam, Pakistan

Abstract: The experiment was conducted in randomized complete block design with four replications treatments comprised one variety BL-4 to determine the effect of processed green fertilizer on the quality of sugarcane. Maximum germination percentage (58.5), plant height as3.1 meters, highest number of internodes (27.25), maximum girth of cane (2.5) cm was obtained from 275+112+180 kg/ha NPK+30bages of green fertilizer Combination.

Key words: Sugarcane, Processed Green Fertilizer, Quality

Introduction

Sugarcane Saccharrum Officinarum enjoys a social position in the agrain economy of Pakistan. Firstly the fixation of high procurement price and second availability of ready market (Ali et al., 1987) sugarcane is one of the most important cash crop and occupied 4th position in crops (Memon et al., 1997). It is one of the most efficient crop plant in this regards and is therefore of interest. Its high concentrations and total yields of sugar cane are readily converted to fuels, such as ethanol (Gaseho and Shish, 1981) Sugar cane has a very high water requirement ranging from 146 to 72 cm for 12 to 13 month crop (Thomas et al., 1981). Sugar cane play a very important role in our economy, the cane and sugar yield per unit area in our country are much lower as compared to other sugar cane growing countries (Dero, 1999). The judicious management of fertilizer is an important factor in maximizing cane production (Ashraf and Fatima, 2000). In organic fertilizer is most expensive, little application results lower yield while excessive application gave harmful effect on cane crop. Sugar cane being juice crop has a special importance in manufacturing sugar which is ultimate product of the crop. (Talpur, 1998). No doubt the quality component of cane juice is known to be varietals characters but these are also affected by various agronomy factors. Importance of such type of basic research and their impact on sugar cane future production problem. The experiment was conducted to see the effect of processed green organic fertilizer on the quality of sugar cane.

Material and Methods

Variety = 01 $V_1 = BL4$ Treatments = 6

The experiment for growth of sugar cane effect by processed green organic fertilizer was conducted at sugar cane section, Agriculture Research Institute Tando Jam, during growing season 1996-97. The experiment was laid down in RCBD, replicated four times having plot size 14 x 2.7m (37.8m²) the details of treatments were as under.

	14	7	Γ.	+	Green rertilizer/n
T1=	0	0	0		-
$T_2 =$	275	112	180		-
$T_3 =$	275	112	180	+	10bagsGF/h
T4=	275	112	180	+	20bagsGF/h
$T_5 =$	275	112	180	+	30 bags GF/h
$T_6 =$	275	112	180	+	40bagsGF/h

All the necessary agricultural practices were done as usual; Fertilizer was applied by broad casting in rows. All P and K, green fertilizer and 1/3 nitrogen applied at time of sowing. Second 1/3 nitrogen before first earthing, Last 1/3 nitrogen was applied before second earthing.

Results and Discussion

The present study indicated that the maximum germination percentage (58.5) was obtained when crop of sugar cane received 30 bags of fertilizer with the dose of 275-112-180 kg/ha. Where as the application of same amount of in organic fertilizer by increasing 10 bags green fertilizer, the germination was reduced up to 3.5%. This indicated that the increase of organic fertilizer beyond 30bags had a negative input on germination ability of sugar cane. Plant height of sugar cane increased progressively from 1.4m length it went up to 3.1meters as fertilizer dose increased from 0-0-0 N P K kg/ha to 275-112-180 kg/ha with 30 bags of green fertilizer. It is visible from data when applications of 40 bags of green fertilizer were made decreased plant height 0.35 meters. Data further show that the total numbers of internodes were counted as 12 in control. Number of internodes reached up to peak 27,25. Where 275 -112-180 N P K kg/ha with combinations of 30 bags of green fertilizer. Results further show that the girth of cane (cm) gave significant impact on dose of 275-112-180 N P K kg/ha at reached up to 0.25cm dose where as with additional application of 30 bags of green fertilizer was increase girth of cane up to 1.35cm, i-e approximately more than double. The findings are supported by Sen et al. (1985) who reported then the germination percentage and plant height was significantly influenced apply inorganic fertilizer singly or with all possible combination of FYM using. Olalla et al.,

Abro et al.: Effect of Processed Green Organic Fertilizer

Table 1: Mean Germination Percentage of Sugar Cane as Affected by Green Organic Fertilizer

Treatment:	Replications						
	I	II	III	IV	Mean		
T,=0-0-0	29	33	31	27	30.0		
T ₂ =275-112-180	46	47	44	43	45.0		
T ₃ =275-112-180+10BGF	53	51	48	• 44	49.0		
T ₄ =275-112-180+20BGF	54	54	50	48	5 1.5		
T ₅ =275-112-180+30BGF	58	60	59	57	58.5		
T6=275-112-180+40BGF	55	56	54	55	55.0		
Mean	49.167	50.167	47.667	45.667			

C.V%=3.43,

S.E/PLOT=1.6532

CDi=2.4899

CDii=3.4485.

Table 2: Mean Plant Height in Meter of Sugar Cane as Affected by Green Organic Fertilizer

Treatment:	1111 21111				
	I	II	III	IV	Mean
T ₁ =0-0-0	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.2	1.4
T ₂ =275-112-180	2.6	2.4	2.5	2.0	2.30
T ₂ =275-112-180+10BGF	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.7	2.75
T ₄ =275-112-180+20BGF	2.9	2.8	2.9	3.0	2.9
T _s =275-112-180+30BGF	3.1	3.2	3.1	3.0	3.1
T6=275-112-180+40BGF	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.65
Mean	2.60	2,517	2.5	83	2,417

C.V%=4.60

S.E/PLOT=0.118

CDi=0.1753

CDii=0.2438

Table 3: Mean Internodes Per Cane as Affected by Green Organic Fertilizer

Treatment:	Replications					
	I	II	III	IV	Mean	
T,=0-0-0	12	13	12	11	12.0	
T.=275-112-180	15	14	15	16	15.0	
T ₃ =275-112-180+10BGF	19	20	19	20	19.5	
T ₄ =275-112-180+20BGF	21	22	21	22	21.5	
T ₌ =275-112-180+30BGF	27	28	26	28	27.25	
T6=275-112-180+40BGF	25	26	24	23	24.5	
Mean	19.833	20.50	19.50	20.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

V%=4.22

S.E/PLOT=0.8414

CDi=1.2676

CDii=1.1.7555

Table 4: Mean Girth of Cane(cm) of Sugar Cane as Affected by Green Organic Fertilizer

Teatment:	Replications						
	I	II	III	IV	Mean		
T ₁ =0-0-0	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.15		
Г₃=275-112-180	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.35		
T ₃ =275-112-180+10BGF	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.55		
T ₄ =275-112-180+20BGF	1.9	1.8	1.7	1.8	1.8		
T _s =275-112-180+30BGF	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.5		
T6=275-112-180+40BGF	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.0	2.05		
Mean	1.767	1.733	1.733	1.700			

C.V%=4.87

S.E/PLOT=0.837

CDi=0.1271

CDii=0.1761

Abro et al.: Effect of Processed Green Organic Fertilizer

(1986) applied N at 0-450 kg/ha, P₂O₅ at 0-200 kg/ha and K₂O at 0-300kg/ha with or without FYM at 0-200t/ha gave ideal response in germination percentage. plant height and girth of cane. Kanwar et al. (1987) conduct field trial in Punjab on cultivar Co.J-0-64 by two press mud cakes and FYM each at 20-t/ha were applied alone or plus 100kg N/ha or 150 kg N/ha. The monetary return were obtained in plant height, inter nodes and girth of cane. Chan and Weng, (1998) applied pig manure as a base dressing at 250kg/ha, gave highly response in germination percentage and plant height. Dua et al. (1988) conduct field experiment on cultivar Co.1148, found than urea alone gave significant result in plant height internodes and cane girth than FYM alone or combined with urea. Bhatti et al. (1989) conducted field trial applied K fertilizer after green manure crops, the response of green manure crops satisfactory but the K was in consistent. Murayama et al. (1990) planted sugar cane cultivar Ishurdi-16 in Bangladesh by using recommended fertilizer rates with 10-t cattle manure/ha. Result indicates that increase germination percentage, tiller number, plant height and girth of cane on application of cattle manure with organic fertilizers. Jonathan et al. (1991) tested press mud 25-t/ha, FYM 20-t/ha, Poultry manure 20-t/ha and sugar cane bagasse 25-t/ha. Gave highly response in germination percentage but press mud were the most effective on plant height and internodes also. Cosanova et al. (1991) used combination of different substances FYM sugar cane filter cake, rice husks. Result indicated that plant height; cane girths are significantly increased on FYM and sugar cane. filter cake respectively.

References

- Ali. S. Z, S. M. Qayyum, W. A. Khan, K. L. Tunio and A. H Ansari, 1987. Effect of Inter Planted Crops in Sugar Cane. Pak.J.AAEVS.3:7-12.
- Ashraf. M and B. Fatima, 2000. Biological Control of Insect pest in Sugar cane. Sindh Zarat. J. 5:21-22.
- Bhatti. H. M, M. Rashid, M. Y. Nadeem and A. Rashid, 1989. Effect of potassium Application on Crop Yield. Tech. bull. National fertilizer. Dert centre, 4:95-110.
- Casanova. A. O. Gomez, and T. Depestre, 1991. Evaluation of the Effect of using Compressed Blocks for Transplanting Tomatoes. Agrotecina de-Cuba 23:5-8.
- Chan. Y. Y and T.H Weng, 1998. The use of 15N to Study the Efficacy of Nitrogen for Sugar Cane. Taiwan Sugar res. Inst.Taiwan, 120:9-14.

- Dero. S. R, 1999. Weed Control in Sugar Cane. Sindh Zarat. J. 12:17-18.
- Dua. S. P, K. Dutt, S. K. Ojha, M.L. Agrwal, and K. Dutt, 1988. Effect of FYM and Urea on Yield of Sugar Cane its Quality and Soil Constituents. Agri. Sci. digest, India 8:182-184.
- Elawali. A. M. O. E and G. J. Gascho, 1983. Sugar Cane Response to P.K and DR is Corrective Treatments on Forida Histosols. Agronomy. J. 75:79-83.
- Gascho. G.J and F.S.Shih, 1981. Cultural Methods to Increase Sucrose and Energy Yields of Sugar Cane. Agronomy. J. 73:999-1003.
- Jonathan.E.I, S.V.Krishnamoorthy, M.L Mandharan, and K. Muthukrishnan, 1991. Effect of Organic Amendments on the Control of Sugar Cane Nematodes. Sugar Cane Res. Sk. (TNAN) Sirugancani, India. 16:39-40.
- Kanwar. R.S, J.Kapur and J.Kapur, 1987. Direct and Residual Effects of Sulphitation and Carbonation Press Mud Cakes on the Yield Quality and Nutrition of Sugar Cane. Ind. Sugar crops J.13:1-5.
- Koehler.P.H, P.H.Moore, C.A.Jones, A.Delacruz, and A.Maretzki, 1982. Response of Drip Irrigated Sugar Cane. Agronomy, 74:906-911.
- Memon, A.M, Q.D. Abbasi, A Memon, and S.M Memon, 1997. Perceived Needs of Sugar Cane Growers. Pak.j. Agric Agril, Engg.vet.sci. 13:29-32.
- Murayama.S, S.M. M.Uddui, A.Nose, and Y. Kanvanvitisu, 1990. Effects of Agronomical Practices on Sugar Cane Yield Sci. Bull of College of Agri. Univ. of the Ryukus, Okinawa. 13:1-6.
- Olalla.L, F.Juradu, E.Navarro, and M.Mira, 1986. Analysis of the Lack of Response to Fertilizer Application in Sugar Cane Grown at Churriana. Centro de Investigation Desarrolo Agrario De Malaga, Malaga, Spain. 4:9-10.
- Sen.A, J. Prasad and C.P. Prasad, 1985. Plant Micronutrient Balance and Crop Yield Studies in Relation to Sugar Cane Grown in the Calcareous Soil. Ind. J. of pl. phy. 28:164-168.
- Talpur.J.A, 1998. Inter Cropping in sugar cane crop. Sindh Zarat. J. 10:16-17.
- Thomas. J. R, F.G.Salinas and G.F. Oerther, 1981. Use of Saline water for Supplemental Irrigation of Sugar Cane. Agronomy. J. 73:1011-1017.