



Journal of Applied Sciences

ISSN 1812-5654

science
alert

ANSI*net*
an open access publisher
<http://ansinet.com>

Ecological Distribution of Indicator Species and Effective Edaphical Factors on the Northern Iran Lowland Forests

¹Y. Kooch, ¹H. Jalilvand ²M.A. Bahmanyar and ¹M.R. Pormajidian

¹Department of Forestry, Faculty of Natural Resources,

²Department of Soil Science, University of Agriculture and Natural Resources,
Mazandaran Province, P.O. Box 737, Islamic Republic Iran

Abstract: The objectives of this research were to identify the ecological species groups and study the relationship between topographic and edaphic factors with plant species to determine the main factors affecting the separation of vegetation types in Khanikan lowland forests of Mazandaran province (North of Iran). Vegetation was sampled with randomized-systematic method. Vegetation data including density and cover percentage were estimated quantitatively within each quadrat and using the two-way indicator species analysis (TWINSPAN). Vegetation was classified into different groups. The topographic conditions were recorded in quadrat locations. Soil samples were taken from organic horizon (litter layer) and mineral layers (0-10, 10-20 and 20-30 cm). Soil acidity, bulk density, saturation moisture, electrical conductivity, organic carbon, total nitrogen, cation exchangeable capacity, available phosphorous, soil texture, lime, biomass of earthworms, litter carbon and litter nitrogen were measured. Multivariate techniques were used to analyze the collected data. The results indicated that the vegetation distribution patterns were mainly related to soil characteristics such as pH, bulk density, texture, phosphorous, organic carbon, nitrogen and CEC. Totally, considering the habitat conditions and ecological needs, each plant species has a significant relation with soil properties.

Key words: Classification, Iran, multivariate analysis, ordination, khanikan lowland forests, soil characteristics

INTRODUCTION

Vegetation and particularly ground-cover vegetation, because of its ability to integrate the effects of climate, soil and physiographic has been utilized to indicate habitat conditions and forest productivity potential for many years (Habibi, 1974; Abadi and El-Sheikh, 2002; Ajbilou *et al.*, 2006). In ecology, vegetations have used to find relationship between species combination and environmental factors to determine of ecological species groups (Muller-Dombois and Ellenberg, 1974; Kent and Coker, 1996; Monier and Abd, 2000; White and Hood, 2004). Forest habitat typing is a system of classification widely used in the Michigan oak forests (Vandvik and John, 2002) that uses plants to indicate general habitat conditions.

Some approaches identify sites using field keys based upon a few indicator plants, often a small subset of the total ground flora (Ajbilou *et al.*, 2006). However, when a few plants are used, identification of sites may be difficult. The absence of the key species can be due to factors unrelated to site quality such as disturbance, past forest history, or chance events. Instead

of single species as indicators, species groups have been used to alleviate this problem.

The concept of ecological species groups is attributed to Duvigneaud (1946) and first applied to intensive forest management in the southern German state of Baden-Wuttemberg (Spurr and Barnes, 1980; Phillips *et al.*, 2002; Vanderschaaf *et al.*, 2004; Verlinden and Dayot, 2005). Ground-cover species indicating similar site conditions-for example, soil moisture, nutrients, pH, local climate, etc. are grouped together, named for characteristics species and termed ecological species group.

It is well known that vegetation presents significant problems (Corney *et al.*, 2006) because of its sensitivity to disturbance and difficulty in objective quantification. Nevertheless, vegetation is a key ecosystem component that is not only easily recognizable but also can be used to measure, through its integrative ability, the response to climate, physiographic and soil factors.

In order to better understand and manage forest ecosystems, it is important to study the relationship between environmental factors and plants in these ecosystems. One of the main components of forest

ecosystems is kinds of vegetation which are controlled by environmental variables such as climate, soil and topography (Stendahl *et al.*, 2002; Wilson *et al.*, 2001). Among different environmental factors, soil is high importance in plant growth and is a function of climate, organisms, topography, parent materials and time (Lyon and Gross, 2005). Topography (elevation, slope and aspect) affects soil and climate, in addition to affecting temperature and evapotranspiration (as elements of climate), deeper soil and higher content of comparison to the southern ones (Salehi, 2004).

Effects of environmental factors on plant communities have been the subject of many ecological studies in recent years. Salehi (2004) found that vegetation cover had strong relationship with temperature and soil moisture. Other soil characteristics, directly or indirectly, influence the two mentioned parameters. Determining which factors control the presence, number, identify and relative abundance of plant species remains a central goal in ecology.

The objectives of this study were to: (1) identify ecological species groups for lowland forests of northern Iran and (2) Study the relationship between edaphical factors with plant species to determine the main factors affecting the separation vegetation types.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area: Khanikan forests are located in the lowland and midland of Mazandaran province in north of Iran with the area of 2807 ha (Between 36° 33' 15", 36° 37' 45" latitude and between 51° 23' 45", 51° 27' 45" longitude). The maximum elevation is 1400 m and the minimum elevation is 50 m. Minimum temperature in December (7.5°C) and the highest temperature in June (24.6°C) are recorded, respectively. Mean annual precipitation of the study area were from 237.6-47.5 mm at the Noushahr city metrological station, which is 10km far from the study area.

Data collection: In order to investigate of vegetation and differentiation plant ecological groups was sampled quadrates in the mid-summer of 2006. In lowland region 268.7 ha of this forest was selected. For investigation of tree and shrub covers sixty quadrates (20×20 m AR.) (Hedman *et al.*, 2000; Grant and Lonerason, 2001; Mesdaghi, 2001) and subquadrate (1 m² AR.) in each quadrate for investigation of herbaceous covers (Mesdaghi, 2005) were taken by randomized-systematic method (Fig. 1). Considering variation of vegetation and environmental factors, floristic list and canopy cover percentage were determined in each quadrate. Vegetation cover data were recorded using ordinal scale of Van-der-Marel (1979). Soil samples were selected from organic




Fig. 1: Size and location of sample plots

horizon (litter layer) and mineral layers (0-10, 10-20 and 20-30 cm). Soil pH (saturation paste), bulk density (clod method), saturation moisture (weighting method), electrical conductivity (EC) (by conductivity meter), organic carbon (Walkey and Black rapid titration, Black, 1979), total nitrogen (Kjeldahl method), Cation Exchangeable Capacity (CEC) (using flame photometry method), available phosphorous (Olson method), soil texture (hydrometer method), litter carbon (Walkey and black method) and litter nitrogen (Kjelteck method) were determined (Jackson, 1967; Jenny, 1980). In quadrate locations, elevation and slope (using compass) and aspect were also recorded (Appendix 1).

Data analysis method: Data matrix of environmental factors and vegetation type was made. The windows (Ver. 3.0) of PC-ORD (McCune and Mefford, 1999) were used for classification and ordination of vegetation types in gradient of environmental factors. Data were analyzed by a series of multivariate techniques such as the Two-way indicator species analysis (TWINSPAN), Detrended Correspondence Analysis (DCA) and Principal Component Analysis (PCA).

Comparing of means of environmental factors amongst forest types and also study of inter-relationships between these variables was done by one way ANOVA (Analysis of ANOVA) method in SAS of statistical program. Due to lack of statistical analysis (Wards, 1963), understanding the structure of plant species is associated with considerable mistake, therefore, in the first step, vegetation of data study area was classified using TWINSPAN analysis. To use this analysis, the cover data transformed using an eight-point scale (0-1 = 0.5, 1-2.5 = 1.75, 2.5-5 = 3.75, 5-7.5 = 6.25, 7.5-12.5 = 10, 12.5-17.5 = 15, 17.5-22.5 = 20, 22.5-27.5 = 25, > 27.5 = 30) (Scale Van-der-Marel, 1979).

TWINSPAN analysis is a numerical method for classification of vegetation belonging to similar groups. This allows the investigator to recognize the homogenous groups. DCA ordination summarizes species abundance data by assessing the dominant patterns of variation in species composition of sample plots. The abundance of species normally coverly in a systematic fashion because

they are reacting to the same underlying environmental variables (Jongman *et al.*, 1995). PCA is the ordination technique that constructs the theoretical variable which minimizes the total residual sum of squares after fitting straight lines to the species data. PCA does so by choosing the best values for the sites. The apply PCA; data standardization is necessary if we are analyzing variables that are measured in different units. Also, species with high variance, often the abundant ones, therefore dominate the PCA solution, whereas species with low variance, often the rare ones, have only minor influence on the solution. These may be reasons for applying the standardized PCA, in which all species receive equal weight (Jongman *et al.*, 1995). Therefore, data was centered and standardized by standard deviation.

RESULTS

TWINSPAN: TWINSPAN was performed for vegetation analysis 60 plots using ordinal scale of Van- der- Marel (1979). The result of TWINSPAN classification is presented in Fig. 2. According to the Fig. 2 and also Eigen value each division; vegetation of the study area was classified into five types. Each type differs from the other in terms of its environmental needs. These types were as follows:

- *Menta aquatica*
- *Oplismenus undulatifolius*
- *Carex grioletia*
- *Viola odorata*
- *Rubus caesius*

According to the results of vegetation classification, quadrates were classified into different groups.

DCA: DCA is a kind of technique that shows non-linear relation species with environmental factors. The first DCA is best explained by indicator values for environmental reaction. Eigen value of first, second and third axis were 0.45, 0.33 and 0.17, respectively. Figure 3 has showed spatial distribution of plant species in DCA ordination. The first axis includes soil variables such as clay, organic carbon, nitrogen and Cation Exchangeable Capacity (CEC) in the positive directions of this axis. In this area of axis, indicator species were *Carex grioletia* and *Viola odorata*. This species have showed positive correlation with mentioned variables. In the negative directions of axis 1, variables of pH, bulk density and the amount of sand were important. In this area of axis, indicator species were *Menta aquatica*. In the positive directions of axis 2 have showed variables such as available phosphorous and the amount of clay. In this area of axis include group




Fig. 2: Dendrogram of TWINSPAN and woody and herbaceous indicators for vegetation types in study area. For species abbreviations, see Appendix 1




Fig. 3: DCA-ordination of plant species in the study area. For plant species abbreviation, Appendix A

with indicator species was *Oplismenus undulatifolius*. This group has showed positive correlation with mentioned variables. In the negative directions of axis 2 don't have showed effective environmental factors. Of course this subject returns to complex correlation between species and habitat. In this area of axis was located group with indicator species *Rubus caesius*.

Figure 4 has showed spatial distribution of quadrates in DCA ordination. The first DCA axis (Eigen value = 0.45)




Fig. 4: DCA-ordination of quadrates in the study area

is the most effective of axis. Quadrates also similar to ordination of species are located in length of axes. Figure 5 has showed spatial distribution of quadrates in each ecological group, resulted of TWINSpan classification.

PCA: To determine the most effective variables on the separation of vegetation types, PCA was performed for 52 factors in study area. The results of the PCA ordination are presented in Table 1 and Fig. 6.

Eigen values for data set indicate that the first two principal components (PC1 and PC2) resolutely captured more variance than expected by chance. The first two principal components together accounted for 78.55% of the total variance in data set. Therefore, 59.11 and 19.43% variance were accounted by the first and second principal components, respectively. This means that the first principal component is far from the most important representing the variation of the five vegetation types. Consideration the correlations between variables and components, the first principal component includes environmental factors such as pH (each three layers), bulk density, sand (second and third layers), biomass of earthworms (third layer) and nitrogen of litters in the negative directions of axis 1 and organic carbon, nitrogen of soil (first layer), clay (second and third layers) and CEC (third layer) in the positive directions of axis 1. While axis 2 was reflecting a gradient of phosphorous and clay (first layer) that are the most effective factors in the distribution of vegetation types.

Figure 6 shows a plot of the five vegetation types against their values for axes 1 and 2 for the interpretation of the diagram and the vegetation types, spatial distribution, in addition of the edaphical factors (Table 1).




Fig. 5: DCA-ordination of quadrates in each ecological groups resulted of TWINSpan classification

The following points should also be noted: 1) in the diagram, the distance between the indicators points of the vegetation types show the degree of similarity and dissimilarity in the edaphical factors, 2) Those plant sites that are lying in the positive direction of axis 1 have positive correlation with factors. This area of axis has inverse relationship with PC1 factors in the negative direction of axis 1. Also this subject exists for second axis and 3. The distance between the indicator points of the vegetation types from axes is representative of the relationship power in the explanation of variations. Whenever the length of vector loading (as indicator of the vegetation types) is bigger, the angle between vectors and axes is smaller. Therefore, the correlation between vegetation types with axes and relation power was large.

In relation to axis 1, the most correlation belongs to first, third and fourth groups. That shows axis 1 properties. The first group shows the most correlation with the negative direction of axis 1 and the third and fourth groups show the most correlation with the positive direction of axis 1. Also, correlation between the first groups with other groups is negative, namely, exists the least correlation between the first groups with other groups. In addition, in D and C type's environmental characteristics are approximately similar in the positive direction of axis 1. Therefore, this is clear that the groups that showed the most correlation with the first axis, the least correlation with axis 2 belongs theirs and vice versa.

In the study area, environmental conditions in *M. aquaticum* type differ from the others (Table 2 and 3). With attention to the position of this type in the second quarter of the diagram, it has a high correlation with negative direction of axis 1. Therefore, this type had the




Fig. 6: PCA-ordination diagram of the vegetation types (a) and the environmental factors (b) in the study area. For vegetation types abbreviations, Appendix 1

Table 1: PCA correlation matrix of the environmental factors for the study area

Axis	Eigenvalue	No. of variance	Current var. (%)	Broken-stick eigenvalue
1	30.742	59.119	59.119	4.538
2	10.107	19.436	78.555	3.538
3	8.182	15.735	94.290	3.038
4	2.969	5.710	100.000	2.705

Factors	PC1	PC2	PC3	Factors	PC1	PC2	PC3
Nea	-0.1028	-0.1631	0.0907	Nlit	-0.1696	0.0649	0.0854
Neb	-0.1171	0.0619	0.2562	N a	-0.1725	-0.0519	0.0762
Nec	-0.0933	0.0305	0.2972	N b	0.1347	-0.1276	0.1342
Bea	-0.0475	0.1716	-0.1088	N c	0.1549	0.1170	0.1199
Beb	-0.1207	0.0664	0.2489	C/Nlit	0.1641	-0.1239	-0.0440
Bec	-0.1515	0.0853	0.1606	C/N a	0.1199	-0.0097	-0.2604
Alt	0.1004	0.2001	-0.0795	C/N b	0.1194	-0.2356	-0.0110
Slop	0.1335	0.1476	0.1436	C/N c	0.0275	-0.2984	-0.0251
Aspect	-0.1042	-0.2557	-0.0244	CEC a	0.1452	0.1576	-0.0950
Sloasp	0.1473	0.1178	0.1490	CEC b	0.0721	0.1899	0.0307
pHa	-0.1802	0.0115	0.0004	CEC c	0.1671	0.0552	-0.0957
pHb	-0.1762	0.0607	0.0329	P a	0.1266	0.1839	-0.1252
pHc	-0.1703	0.0943	0.0417	P b	0.1233	0.2230	-0.0544
Wa	-0.1062	0.2059	0.1628	P c	0.1188	0.1840	-0.1624
Wb	-0.1750	-0.0667	0.0380	Sard a	-0.1510	0.1569	-0.0369
Wc	-0.1650	0.0219	0.0230	Sard b	-0.1644	-0.0539	-0.1191
Spa	0.1609	-0.0920	-0.1204	Sard c	-0.1323	-0.0590	-0.2275
Spb	-0.1061	0.0120	-0.2814	Silt a	0.1341	-0.2001	0.0420
Spc	0.1754	-0.0662	-0.0091	Silt b	0.1389	-0.0332	0.1919
Eca	-0.0942	0.2550	-0.0034	Silt c	-0.0220	0.0761	0.3278
Ecb	-0.1350	0.0587	0.2186	Clay a	0.0685	0.2860	-0.0369
Ecc	-0.1473	0.1511	0.0933	Clay b	0.1771	0.0426	0.0141
Clit	-0.1245	-0.1230	0.1734	Clay c	0.1786	0.0134	0.0431
Ca	0.1755	-0.0463	-0.0054	L a	-0.1660	-0.1220	0.0069
Cb	0.1343	-0.1519	0.1250	L b	-0.1687	-0.0244	0.1004
Cc	0.1548	0.0516	0.1683	L c	-0.1170	0.1537	-0.1611

For abbreviation and units, (Appendix 1)

most relation with variables of this direction of axis 1 (pH, bulk density, sand, biomass of earthworms). Because of the bigger distance of *M. aquaticica* type from the second axis, this type had a weak relation with factors such as phosphorous and clay. *O. undulatifolius* type had the most relation with variables phosphorous and

clay in the positive direction of axis 2. *C. grioletia* and *V. odorata* types had the most relation with variables the positive direction of axis 1 (organic carbon, in nitrogen, CEC clay). Indicator environmental factors of *C. grioletia* and *V. odorata* types were approximately similar. For *R. caesus* type in the negative direction of

Table 2: Mean of soil chemical properties in the study area (in different vegetation types)

Vegetation type	Depth (cm)	pH	BD	SP (%)	Ec (dS m ⁻¹)	C (%)	N (%)	C/N	CEC (ppm)	P (ppm)
Men . aqu	0-10	6.95	1.26	29.45	0.87	1.71	0.15	10.06	11.40	3.51
	10-20	7.18	1.32	64.90	0.82	1.64	0.14	11.52	20.70	2.73
	20-30	7.15	1.35	33.57	0.80	0.92	0.08	10.74	10.40	2.02
Opl . und	0-10	5.59	0.80	60.74	0.85	3.22	0.24	13.35	26.10	20.92
	10-20	5.56	0.94	67.87	0.49	1.69	0.14	12.18	21.50	13.18
	20-30	5.52	0.98	60.21	0.45	1.34	0.14	9.89	24.40	17.63
Car . gri	0-10	5.05	0.90	59.34	0.71	3.95	0.35	11.44	22.18	14.62
	10-20	5.06	0.90	53.12	0.64	2.94	0.22	12.95	21.60	10.51
	20-30	5.02	0.89	72.95	0.41	2.41	0.20	11.04	22.80	10.00
Vio . cae	0-10	5.09	0.83	65.19	0.65	4.34	0.34	12.57	28.40	15.65
	10-20	5.03	0.85	58.76	0.48	2.99	0.23	12.80	28.64	13.62
	20-30	5.12	1.05	76.21	0.45	2.11	0.17	11.41	28.64	13.47
Rub . cae	0-10	5.58	0.45	67.07	0.52	3.65	0.29	12.56	15.60	6.71
	10-20	5.25	1.07	63.45	0.49	2.88	0.21	13.75	17.20	2.06
	20-30	5.01	1.02	69.20	0.29	1.31	0.10	13.08	20.20	4.86

For vegetation types and variables abbreviations and soil characteristics units (Appendix 1)

Table 3: Mean of soil physical and biological properties in the study area (in different vegetation types)

Vegetation type	Depth (cm)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	L (%)	ne	Be (g)	Clit (%)	Nlit (%)	C/N Lit
Men. aqu	0-10	00.79	8.50	12.50	10.25	0.50	0.07	7.08	2.17	3.26
	10-20	00.86	00.11	00.3	20.75	0.00	0.00			
	20-30	73.25	22.25	4.50	7.75	1.50	0.99			
Opl. und	0-10	68.87	16.31	14.81	5.00	0.25	0.13	6.31	1.46	4.32
	10-20	68.75	15.43	15.81	3.37	0.00	0.00			
	20-30	70.50	12.93	16.56	7.00	0.25	0.13			
Car. gri	0-10	58.60	27.60	13.80	4.20	0.40	0.06	6.62	1.41	4.69
	10-20	49.80	29.80	20.40	4.60	0.40	0.07			
	20-30	51.40	26.40	24.20	3.20	1.20	0.30			
Vio. cae	0-10	65.20	20.95	13.85	3.60	00.0	0.00	6.62	1.42	4.66
	10-20	61.20	18.90	17.90	5.20	0.20	0.04			
	20-30	60.80	15.70	23.50	6.30	0.60	0.18			
Rub. cae	0-10	00.59	30.00	00.11	0.80	0.50	0.02	6.79	1.40	4.85
	10-20	73.50	18.50	12.50	0.80	0.00	0.00			
	20-30	00.71	12.87	16.12	4.50	0.50	0.05			

For vegetation types and variables abbreviations and soil characteristics units (Appendix 1)

axis 2 didn't discriminate any effective factors. Of course that was due to complex correlation between species and habitat that their discriminate of ecological viewpoints was difficult. Although, *R. caesius* type was inversely relates to positive factors of the direction of axis two.

DISCUSSION

The ecological species groups were defined for the Khanikan lowland forests of Chaloose (Fig. 5). It was the first attempt to develop such species groups in this part of the region. Thus making it impossible to compare this study with other studies. The ecological profiles typically showed that each species of a group had similar responses over the range of ecosystems. This confirms the usefulness of the species-group approach where the user may rely on more than one species to help determine site quality or identify ecosystem types in the field. Therefore, errors due to site characteristics are less likely to occur.

The results showed that in the study area, among different environmental factors (topographic and edaphic

variables), the distribution of vegetation types were most strongly controlled with some soil characteristics such as pH, bulk density, texture, phosphorous, organic carbon, total nitrogen and CEC (Table 1). Result of principal component analysis showed the first two principal components together accounted for 78.55% of the total variance in data set. Therefore, 59.11 and 19.43% variance were accounted for the first and second principal components, respectively (Table 1).

The obtained result showed that the first axis had the most correlation with productively factors and the second axis had the most correlation with physical factors of soil. This result has been reported by many investigations (Zahedi Amiri, 1998; Zahedi Amiri and Mohammady Limayee, 2002; Hassanzad Navroody *et al.*, 2004; Salehi *et al.*, 2005; Mahmoodi *et al.*, 2005). To moving to the positive directions of axis, soil pH was higher and the species were high acidophilus (Fig. 6). In humid and sub humid regions, the relation between species distribution and pH gradient has been reported by many investigators (Oland *et al.*, 1998; Zahedi Amiri, 1998; Ewald, 1999, 2000; Coker, 2000; Zahedi Amiri and Mohammady Limayee, 2002; Crowely *et al.*, 2003; Taleshi, 2004).

Appendix 1: Using and abbreviations of the vegetation types and environmental factors in the figures and tables

<i>Carex grioletia</i> (L.)	<i>Care gri</i>	<i>Carpinus betulus</i> (L.)	<i>Carp bet</i>
<i>Smilax exelsa</i> (L.)	<i>Smil exe</i>	<i>Parrotia persica</i> (D.)	<i>Parr per</i>
<i>Primula heteroclroma</i> (S.)	<i>Prim het</i>	<i>Cratagus</i> (L.)	<i>Crat sp.</i>
<i>Brachypodium pinnatum</i> (L.)	<i>Brac sp.</i>	<i>Querecus castanifolia</i> (C.)	<i>Quer cas</i>
<i>Pteris cretica</i> (L.)	<i>Pter cre</i>	<i>Buxus hyrcana</i> (P.)	<i>Buxu hyr</i>
<i>Scutellaria tournefortii</i> (B.)	<i>Scut tou</i>	<i>Diospyrus lotus</i> (L.)	<i>Dios lot</i>
<i>Viola odorata</i> (L.)	<i>Viol oda</i>	<i>Ilex aquifolium</i> (L.)	<i>Ilex aqu</i>
<i>Asplenium adiantum-nigrum</i>	<i>Aspl adi</i>	<i>Ulmus glabra</i> (H.)	<i>Ulmu gla</i>
<i>Equisetum ramossissimum</i> (D.)	<i>Equi ram</i>	<i>Mespilus germanica</i> (L.)	<i>Mesp ger</i>
<i>Conyza bonariensis</i> (L.)	<i>Cony bon</i>	<i>Alnu glutinosa</i> (L.)	<i>Alnu glu</i>
<i>Asplenium trichomanes</i> (L.)	<i>Aspe tri</i>	<i>Pterocarya fraxinifolia</i> (L.)	<i>Pter fra</i>
<i>Phyllitis scolopendrium</i> (L.)	<i>Phyl scd</i>	<i>Acer insign Boiss.</i>	<i>Acer ins</i>
<i>Pteridium aquilinum</i> (L.1)	<i>Pter aqu</i>	<i>Ficus carica</i> (L.)	<i>Ficu car</i>
<i>Hedra pustuchovii</i> (W.)	<i>Hedr pus</i>	<i>Ruscus hyrcanus</i> (L.)	<i>Ruscu hyr</i>
<i>Pteris dentate</i> (F.)	<i>Pter den</i>	Eigenvalue	Eign
<i>Circeae lutetiana</i> (L.)	<i>Circ lut</i>	Elevation (m)	Alt
<i>Oplismenus undulatifolius</i> (A.)	<i>Opli und</i>	Slope (%)	Slope
<i>Calystesia sepium</i> (L.)	<i>Caly sep</i>	Aspect	Aspect
<i>Hypericum androsaemus</i> (L.)	<i>Hype and</i>	Slope-Aspect	Sloasp
<i>Fragaria vesca</i> (L.)	<i>Frag ves</i>	pH (acidity)	PH
<i>Prunilla vulgaris</i> (L.)	<i>Prun vul</i>	Bulk density	w
<i>Euphorbia amygdaloides</i> (L.)	<i>Euph amy</i>	Saturation moisture (%)	Sp
<i>Tamus communis</i> (L.)	<i>Tamu com</i>	Electrical conductivity (ds m⁻¹)	Ec
<i>Sanicula europaea</i> (L.)	<i>Sani eur</i>	Organic carbon (%)	C
<i>Danae racemosa</i> (L.)	<i>Dana rac</i>	Total nitrogen (%)	N
<i>Solanum koeseritzkii</i> (C.)	<i>Sola kie</i>	Ration carbon to nitrogen of soil	C/N
<i>Festuca drymeia</i> M.	<i>Fest dry</i>	Cation exchangeable capacity (ppm)	CEC
<i>Dryopteris filix-mas</i> (L.)	<i>Dryo fil</i>	Extractable phosphorous (ppm)	P
<i>Microstegium vimenium</i> (T.)	<i>Micr vim</i>	Sand (%)	Sand
<i>Ophioglossum vulgatum</i> (L.)	<i>Ophi vulg</i>	Silt (%)	Silt
<i>Parietaria officinalis</i> (L.)	<i>Pari off</i>	Clay (%)	Clay
<i>Geum urbanum</i> (L.)	<i>Geum urb</i>	Lime (%)	L
<i>Menthe aquatica</i> (L.)	<i>Ment aqu</i>	No. Of earthworm	Ne
<i>Plantago major</i> (L.)	<i>Plan maj</i>	Biomass of earthworm (g)	Be
<i>Pimpinella affinis</i> (L.)	<i>Pimp aff</i>	Carbon of litter (%)	Clitt
<i>Oxalis corniculata</i> (L.)	<i>Oxal cor</i>	Nitrogen of litter (%)	Nlitt
<i>Lamium album</i> (L.)	<i>Lami alb</i>	Ration carbon to nitrogen of litter	C/N litt
<i>Mercurialis prennis</i> (L.)	<i>Merc pre</i>		
<i>Cardamin impatiens</i> (L.)	<i>Card imp</i>		
<i>Rubus caesius</i> (L.)	<i>Rubu cae</i>		
<i>Urtica dioica l.var.dioica.</i>	<i>Urti dio</i>		
<i>Carex acutiformis</i> (L.)	<i>Care acu</i>		

Code a is related to the soil characteristics were measured in the first layer (0-10 cm), Code b is related to the soil characteristics were measured in the second layer (10-20 cm), Code c is related to the soil characteristics were measured in the third layer (20-30 cm)

Also, soil texture and bulk density controls distribution of plant species by affecting moisture availability, ventilation and distribution of plant roots. Soil texture is the most fundamental soil physical property controlling water, nutrient and oxygen exchange an uptake (Schoenholtz *et al.*, 2000) and influences the growth and distribution of vegetation (Fisher and Binkley, 2000). Organic carbon and nitrogen are the effective factors in the differentiation of vegetation types (Zahedi Amiri and Mohammady Limayee, 2002; Salehi *et al.*, 2005). The role CEC and available phosphorous, as key elements in the distribution of plant species, was described by Zahedi Amiri and Mohammady Limayee (2002).

Totally, each plant species has specific relations with environmental variables. These relations are because of habitat condition and plant ecological needs. In plain and lowland forests, changes of vegetation was related to soil properties, completely. But effective factor in changes of

vegetation didn't show soil properties alone in the high forests. Other factors such as elevation, aspect and slope were effective in during and presence of plant ecological species, too (Salehi *et al.*, 2005; Zarin Kafsh and Rezayee Kalantary, 2006). Understanding the indicator of environmental factors of a given site leads us to recommend adaptable species for reclamation and improvement of that site and similar sites. Since these methods have high accuracy and have different abilities. They could be used for habitat analysis and determination of effective ecological factors. Analyzing ecological data using ordination methods makes simpler understanding of the complex relationship between plants and environmental gradients. In addition, these methods prevent presence of ineffective factors and data complexity from affecting ecological models.

Various disturbances are serious limiting factors to the use of vegetation in species groups for land

classification. This is especially true in lowland forests of Iran, where logging, agriculture, fire, fire exclusion and grazing often have altered in the existing vegetation. Opening the canopy usually results in the invasion of intolerant species that are not representative of site quality. Therefore, soil and physiographic factors must be emphasized in any attempt to classify local ecosystems or evaluate site quality. In present study, multivariate analysis has showed noticeable variations of soil properties in the study site. There exists a close relationship between variations in soil characteristics and plant populations in plain forest areas. In the mountainous forest areas, however, geographical characteristics such as elevation, slope, direction and terrain are complementary to the variations in soil characteristics in determining the changes of ecological systems.

REFERENCES

- Abbadi, G. and M. El-Sheikh, 2002. Vegetation analysis of Failaka Island (Kuwait). *J. Arid Environ.*, 50: 153-165.
- Ajbilou, R., T. Maranon and J. Arroyo, 2006. Ecological and biogeographical analysis of mediterranean forests of northern Morocco. *Acta Oecol.*, 29: 104-113.
- Black, C.A., 1979. Methods of soil analysis. *Am. Soc. Agron.*, 2: 771-1572.
- Coker, P.D., 2000. Vegetation Mapping: From Patch to Planet. Alexander, R. and A.C. Millington (Eds.), John Wiley and Sons Publication, pp: 135-158.
- Corney, P.M., M.G. Leduc, S.M. Smart, K.J. Kirby, R.G.H. Bunce and R.H. Marrs, 2006. Relationship between the species composition of forest field-layer vegetation and environmental drivers assessed using a national scale survey. *J. Ecol.*, 94: 383-401.
- Crowley, W., S.S.C. Harrison, M. Coroi and V.M. Sacle, 2003. An ecological assessment of the plant communities at Port Ban nature reserve in south-western Ireland. *Bio. Environ. Proc. Royal Irish Academy*, 1038: 69-82.
- Duvigneaud, P., 1946. La variabilité des associations végétales. *Bull. Soc. R. Bot. Belg.*, 78: 107-134.
- Ewald, J., 1999. Soziologie und standortbindung subalpiner fichtenwälder in den bayerischen Alpen. *Tuexenia*, 19: 107-125.
- Ewald, J., 2000. The Influence of Coniferous Canopies on Understorey Vegetation and Soils in Mountain Forests of the Northern Calcareous Alps. *Applied Veg. Sci.*, 3: 123-134.
- Fisher, R.F. and D. Binkley, 2000. Ecology and Management of Forest Soils. 3rd Edn., John Wiley and Sons, INC, NY., pp: 489.
- Grant, C.D. and W.A. Loneragan, 2001. The effects of burning on the under story composition of rehabilitated bauxite mines in Western Australia: Community changes vegetation succession. *For. Ecol. Manage.*, 145: 255-277.
- Habibi, H., 1974. Investigation of influence of soil texture on Beech trees growth rate in Iran. *J. Iranian Nat. Resour.*, 31: 61-69 (In Persian).
- Hassanzad Navroodi, H., M. Namiranian and Gh. Zahedi Amiri, 2004. An evaluation of relationship between quantitative and qualitative characteristics and site factors in the natural beech (*Fagus orientalis*) stands in Asalem. *Iranian J. Nat. Resour.*, 57: 235-248 (In Persian).
- Hedman, C.W., S.L. Grace and S.E. Ling, 2000. Vegetation composition and structure of southern coastal plain pine forests: An ecological comparison. *For. Ecol. Manage.*, 134: 233-247.
- Jackson, M.L., 1967. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice-Hall of India, New Dehli, pp: 498.
- Jenny, H., 1980. The Soil Resource Origin and Behavior. Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, pp: 279-286.
- Jongman, R.H.G., Ter. C.J.F. Break and O.F.R. Van Tongeren, 1995. Data Analysis in Community and Landscape Ecology. Center Fire Agricultural Publishing and Documentation, Wageningen.
- Kent, M. and P. Coker, 1996. Vegetation Description and Analysis, a Practical Approaches. John Wiley and Sons, pp: 650.
- Lyon, J. and N. Gross, 2005. Patterns of plant diversity and plant-environmental relationships three riparian corridors. *For. Ecol. Manage.*, 204: 267-278.
- Mahmoodi, J., Gh. Zahedi Amiri, E. Adeli and R. Rahmani, 2005. An acquaintance with the relationship between plant ecological groups and the soil characteristics in a Kelarabad Plain Forests (In north of Iran). *Iranian J. Nat. Resour.*, 58: 351-362 (In Persian).
- McCune, B. and M. Mefford, 1999. Multivariate Analysis of Ecological Data Version 4.17. M.J.M Software. Glenden Beach, Oregon, USA., pp: 233.
- Mesdaghi, M., 2001. Vegetation description and Analysis: A practical approach. Jihad Daneshgahi of Mashhad, Mashhad, pp: 161-179 (In Persian).
- Mesdaghi, M., 2005. Plant Ecology. Jihad Daneshgahi of Mashhad, Mashhad, pp: 184 (In Persian).
- Monier, M. and G. Abd, 2000. Floristic and environmental relations in two extreme desert zones of western Egypt. *Global Ecol. Biogeogr.*, 9: 499-516.
- Muller-Dombois, D. and H. Ellenberg, 1974. Aims and Method of Vegetation Ecology. Wiley, New York, pp: 547.

- Oland, J.M., L. Loidi, G. Ander and A. Escudero, 1998. Relating variation in the understory of beech forests to ecological factors. *Folia Geobot.*, 33: 77-86.
- Phillips, P.D., I. Yasman, T.E. Brash and Var P.R. Gardingen, 2002. Grouping tree species for analysis of forest data in Kalimantan (Indonesian Borneo). *For. Ecol. Manage.*, 157: 205-216.
- Salehi, A., 2004. Investigation of physical and chemical soil properties variations in relation to trees composition and topographic factors in Nam-Khaneh district of educational-Experimental forest of Tehran University (Kheirood-Kenar forest). Ph.D Thesis, Tehran University, Tehran, pp: 187 (In Persian).
- Salehi, A., M. Zarinkafsh, Gh. Zahedi Amiri and M. Marvi Mohajer, 2005. A study of soil physical and chemical properties in relation tree ecological groups in Nam-Khaneh district of Kheirod-Kenar forest. *Iran. J. Nat. Resour.*, 58: 567-577 (In Persian).
- Schoenholtz, S.H., H. Van Miegroet and J.A. Burger, 2000. A review of chemical and physical properties as indicators of forest soil quality: Challenges and properties. *For. Ecol. Manage.*, 138: 335-356.
- Spurr, S.H. and B.V. Barnes, 1980. *Forest Ecology*. 3rd Edn., John Wiley and Sons, New York, pp: 687.
- Stendahl, J., S. Snall, T. Ollson and P. Holmgren, 2002. Influence of soil mineralogy and chemistry on site quality within geological regions in Sweden. *For. Ecol. Manage.*, 170: 75-88.
- Taleshi, H., 2004. Phytosociology of lowland forests in eastern nowshahr (North of Iran). M.Sc. Thesis, Tarbiat Modares University, pp: 86 (In Persian).
- Van-der-Marel, E., 1979. Transformation of cover-abundance values in phytosociology, its effects on community similarity. *Vegetatio*, 39: 97-114.
- Vanderschaaf, L., J. Moore and J. Kingery, 2004. The effect of multi-nutrient fertilization on under story vegetation nutrient concentrations in inland Northwest conifer stands. *For. Ecol. Manage.*, 190: 201-218.
- Vandvik, V. and H. John, 2002. Partitioning floristic variance in Norwegian upland grasslands into within-site and between-site components: Is the pattern determined by environment or by land-use? *Plant Ecol.*, 162: 233-245.
- Verlinden, A. and B. Dayot, 2005. A comparison between indigenous environmental knowledge and a conventional vegetation analysis in north central Namibia. *J. Arid Environ.*, 62: 143-175.
- Wards, J.H., 1963. Hierarchical grouping to optimize an objective function. *Am. Stat. Assoc. J.*, 58: 236-244.
- White, D.A. and C.S. Hood, 2004. Vegetation patterns and environmental gradients in tropical dry forests of the northern Yucatan Peninsula. *J. Veg. Sci.*, 15: 151-160.
- Wilson, S.McG., D.G. Pyatt, D.C. Malcolm and T. Connolly, 2001. The use of ground vegetation and humus type as indicators of soil nutrient regime for an ecological site classification of British forests. *For. Ecol. Manage.*, 140: 101-116.
- Zahedi Amiri, Gh., 1998. Relation between ground vegetation and soil characteristics in a mixed hardwood stand. Ph.D Thesis, University of Ghent, Begum Academic Press, pp: 319.
- Zahedi Amiri, Gh. and J. Mohammadi Limayee, 2002. Relationship between plant ecological in herbal layer and forest stand factors (Case study: Neka Forest, Iran). *Iran. J. Nat. Resour.*, 55: 341-353 (In Persian).
- Zarinkafsh, M. and A. Rezaee Kalantari, 2006. A study on soil characteristics of Hyrcanian Forest associated with plants communities. *Iran. J. Nat. Resour.*, 1: 15-28.