



Journal of Applied Sciences

ISSN 1812-5654

science
alert

ANSI*net*
an open access publisher
<http://ansinet.com>

Adaptive Stabilization of Networked Control Systems

A.H. Tahoun and Fang Hua-Jing
School of Information Technology and Engineering,
Huazhong University of Science and Technology, 430074, Wuhan, China

Abstract: The insertion of data network in the feedback adaptive control loops makes the analysis and design of Networked Control Systems (NCS) more complex than traditional control systems. This study addresses the adaptive stabilization problem of linear time-invariant networked control systems. The case of state feedback is treated in which only an upper bound on the norm of matrix A is needed. The problem is to find an upper bound on the transmission period h that guarantees the stability of the overall adaptive networked control system under an ideal transmission process, i.e., no transmission delay or packet dropout. Rigorous mathematical proofs are established, that relies heavily on Lyapunov's stability criterion. Simulation results are given to illustrate the efficacy of our design approach.

Key words: Networked control systems, transmission period, adaptive control, Lyapunov's stability

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the discipline of networked control systems has become a highly active research field. An NCS is a control system in which a data network is used as feedback media. The use of networks as media to interconnect the different components in an industrial control system is rapidly increasing. For example in large scale plants and in geographically distributed systems, where the number and/or location of different subsystems to control make the use of single wires to interconnect the control system prohibitively expensive (Montestruque and Antsaklis, 2004). The primary advantages of an NCS are reduced system wiring, ease of system diagnosis and maintenance and increase system agility (Zhang, 2001).

The insertion of the data network in the feedback control loop makes the analysis and design of an NCS more and more complex, especially for adaptive systems in which systems parameters not completely known. Conventional control theories with many ideal assumptions, such as synchronized control and non-delayed sensing and actuation, must be reevaluated before they can be applied to NCSs. Specifically, the following issues need to be addressed. The first issue is the network induced delay (sensor-to-controller delay and controller-to-actuator delay) that occurs while exchanging data among devices connected to the shared medium. This delay, either constant (up to jitter) or time varying,

can degrade the performance of control systems designed without considering the delay and can even destabilize the system. Next, the network can be viewed as a web of unreliable transmission paths. Some packets not only suffer transmission delay but, even worse, can be lost during transmission (Zhang *et al.*, 2001).

The main challenge to be addressed when considering a networked control system is the stability of the overall NCSs. The stability analysis of NCSs is treated in Ye (2000) and Walsh *et al.* (1999), with completely known systems. The case of model-based NCS is studied in (Montestruque and Antsaklis, 2004) when the controller/actuator is updated with the sensor information at nonconstant time intervals but with completely known system parameters. A collection of results to determine the closed-loop stability of NCSs in the presence of network sampling, delays and packet dropouts are covered in Hespanha *et al.* (2007) and Baillieul and Antsaklis (2007) and references therein, but also for completely known systems. Many of the results presented rely on Lyapunov based techniques and only provide sufficient conditions for stability of the NCS. All these results deal with completely known systems.

In this study, we treat the stability analysis of networked control adaptive systems. Under an ideal transmission process, i.e., no transmission delay or packet dropout, we have derived a sufficient condition on the transmission period that guarantees the NCS will be stable.




Fig. 1: The block diagram of NCS

FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

Consider an NCS shown in Fig. 1, in which sensor is clock-driven and both controller and actuator are event driven.

In Fig. 1, a class of linear time-invariant plants is described as:

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + bu(t_k), t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}), k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (1)$$

where, $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a state vector, $u(t_k) \in \mathbb{R}$ is a control input vector, (A, b) is controllable, A is a constant matrix with unknown elements, b is a known constant vector.

We assume that the control is updated at the instant t_k and kept constant until next control update is received at time t_{k+1} . Let h be the transmission period between successive transmissions, that is, $h = t_{k+1} - t_k$. For this study, we assume that the transmission process is ideal, there are no delays, no data loss (packet loss) during the transmission. In future work, we will relax these assumptions.

Present objective is to design an adaptive stabilizer for the networked system and to find an upper bound on the time transmission period (sampling period) h such that the NCS is still stable.

The control input is of the form:

$$u(t) = k^T(t) x(t_k) \quad (2)$$

where, $k(t)$ is an n -dimensional control parameter vector, T denotes transpose. From Eq. 1 and 2, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) &= Ax(t) + bk^T(t_k)x(t_k) \\ &= \bar{A}x(t) - bk^{*T}x(t) + bk^T(t_k)x(t_k) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Where, $\bar{A} = A + bk^{*T}$ is Hurwitz matrix satisfying that $\bar{A}^T P + P\bar{A} = -Q$, P and Q are symmetric and positive-definite matrices and k^* is the true value of $k(t)$. Define $\phi(t) = k(t) - k^*$ as the control parameter error vector and $e(t) = x(t) - x(t_k)$ as the transmission error, Eq. 3 can be rewritten as:

$$\dot{x}(t) = \bar{A}x(t) + b\phi^T(t_k)x(t_k) - bk^{*T}e(t) \quad (4)$$

RESULTS

To prove the stability of the NCS, firstly, we will find an upper bound on the transmission error $e(t)$, a lower and an upper bound on the state $x(t)$ and finally, we will use these bounds in Lyapunov function to find a bound on the transmission period h to guarantee stability of the overall NCS.

Lemma 1: (Transmission Error Upper Bound) The transmission error $e(t)$ is bounded between two successive transmissions by:

$$\|e(t)\| \leq \gamma \|x(t_k)\| \quad (5)$$

where, $\gamma = \frac{A_{upp} + \|bk^T(t_k)\|}{A_{upp}} (e^{A_{upp}(t-t_k)} - 1)$, A_{upp} is an upper bound on A such that; $\|A\| \leq A_{upp}$.

Proof: From the definition of $e(t)$, for $t \in (t_k, t_{k+1})$, it can be found that:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{e}(t) &= \dot{x}(t) - \dot{x}(t_k) = Ax(t) + bk^T(t_k)x(t_k) \\ &= Ae(t) + Ax(t_k) + bk^T(t_k)x(t_k) \end{aligned}$$

Taking the integral on both sides and taking into account that $e(t_k) = 0$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} e(t) &= e(t_k) + \int_{t_k}^t Ae(s) + Ax(t_k) + bk^T(t_k)x(t_k) ds \\ &= [Ax(t_k) + bk^T(t_k)x(t_k)](t - t_k) + \int_{t_k}^t Ae(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|e(t)\| &\leq [\|A\| \|x(t_k)\| + \|bk^T(t_k)\| \|x(t_k)\|] (t - t_k) \\ &\quad + \int_{t_k}^t \|A\| \|e(s)\| ds \end{aligned}$$

If we know an upper bound of A that is; $\|A\| \leq A_{upp}$ and applying Bellman-Gronwall Lemma (Zhang, 2001), yields:

$$\|e(t)\| \leq \int_{t_k}^t [A_{upp} + \|bk^T(t_k)\|] \|x(t_k)\| \exp\left(\int_s^t A_{upp} dw\right) ds$$

Then

$$\|e(t)\| \leq \gamma \|x(t_k)\|$$

Lemma 2: The state of the NCS, $x(t)$, between successive transmissions is bounded by:

$$(1-\gamma)\|x(t_k)\| \leq \|x(t)\| \leq (1+\gamma)\|x(t_k)\| \quad (6)$$

Proof: As $e(t) = x(t) - x(t_k)$, then

$$\|x(t_k)\| - \|e(t)\| \leq \|x(t)\| \leq \|e(t)\| + \|x(t_k)\|$$

Using Eq. 5, it can be concluded that

$$(1-\gamma)\|x(t_k)\| \leq \|x(t)\| \leq (1+\gamma)\|x(t_k)\|$$

The main result of this study will be treated in the following theorem.

Theorem: Let an NCS with linear time-invariant plant (1), an adaptive stabilizer with control input (2) is globally stable if the adaptive control law takes the form (Tao, 2003):

$$\dot{\phi}(t) = -\alpha x(t_k) x^T(t_k) P b \quad (7)$$

and the transmission period satisfies $h < \min \{h_1, h_2, h_3\}$, where, α is an $n \times n$ symmetric positive-definite adaptation gain matrix and

$$h_1 = \frac{1}{A_{upp}} \ln \left(1 + \frac{A_{upp}}{A_{upp} + \|bk^T(t_k)\|} \right),$$

$$h_2 = \frac{1}{A_{upp}} \ln \left(1 + \frac{\beta \lambda_{min}(Q) A_{upp}}{\zeta} \right), \text{ where}$$

$$\zeta = 6 \|P\| (A_{upp} + \|bk^T(t_k)\|) (1 + \lambda_{min}(Q) + \|\bar{A}\| + A_{upp} + \|\alpha\| \|P\| \|b\|^2 \|x(t_k)\|^2 + \|bk^T(t)\|)$$

$$h_3 = \frac{1}{A_{upp}} \ln \left(1 + \frac{\left((1 - \frac{\beta}{3}) - \sqrt{(1 - \frac{\beta}{3})^2 - (1 - \beta)} \right) A_{upp}}{A_{upp} + \|bk^T(t_k)\|} \right)$$

Proof: Consider a positive-definite Lyapunov function $V(t)$ of the form:

$$V(t) = x^T(t) P x(t) + \phi^T(t) \alpha^{-1} \phi(t) \quad (8)$$

Differentiating $V(t)$ with respect to t , we have

$$\dot{V}(t) = \dot{x}^T(t) P x(t) + x^T(t) P \dot{x}(t) + \dot{\phi}^T(t) \alpha^{-1} \phi(t) + \phi^T(t) \alpha^{-1} \dot{\phi}(t) \quad (9)$$

Substituting for $\dot{x}(t)$ and $\dot{\phi}(t)$ from Eq. 4 and 7, there results:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(t) = & x^T(t) \bar{A} P x(t) + x^T(t_k) \phi(t_k) b^T P x(t) \\ & - e^T(t) k^* b^T P x(t) + x^T(t) P \bar{A} x(t) \\ & + x^T(t) P b \phi^T(t_k) x(t_k) \\ & - x^T(t) P b k^* e(t) \\ & - b^T P x(t_k) x^T(t_k) \phi(t) \\ & - \phi^T(t) x(t_k) x^T(t_k) P b \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Rearranging Eq. 10, yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(t) = & -x^T(t) Q x(t) + 2x^T(t) P b \phi^T(t_k) x(t_k) \\ & - 2x^T(t) P b k^* e(t) \\ & - 2x^T(t_k) P b \phi^T(t) x(t_k) \\ & + 2x^T(t) P b \phi^T(t) x(t_k) \\ & - 2x^T(t) P b \phi^T(t) x(t_k) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$\dot{V}(t)$ becomes bounded from above as:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(t) \leq & -\lambda_{min}(Q) \|x(t)\|^2 \\ & + 2 \|P\| \|b \phi^T(t)\| \|e(t)\| \|x(t_k)\| \\ & + 2 \|P\| \|b k^* e(t)\| \|x(t)\| \|e(t)\| \\ & + 2 \|P\| \|b k^T(t_k) - b k^T(t)\| \|x(t)\| \|x(t_k)\| \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

From Eq. 5 and 6, then:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(t) \leq & -\lambda_{min}(Q) \|x(t)\|^2 \\ & + \frac{2\gamma}{(1-\gamma)} \|P\| \|b \phi^T(t)\| \|x(t)\| \|x(t_k)\| \\ & + 2\gamma \|P\| \|b k^* e(t)\| \|x(t)\| \|x(t_k)\| \\ & + 2 \|P\| \|b k^T(t_k) - b k^T(t)\| \|x(t)\| \|x(t_k)\| \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Using (6) and rearranging,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(t) \leq & \frac{1}{(1-\gamma)} \|x(t)\| \|x(t_k)\| (-(1-\gamma)^2 \lambda_{min}(Q) \\ & + 2\gamma \|P\| \|b k^T(t) - b k^* e(t)\| \\ & + 2\gamma (1-\gamma) \|P\| \|b k^* e(t)\| \\ & + 2(1-\gamma) \|P\| \|b k^T(t_k) - b k^T(t)\|) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

By choosing $\gamma < 1$, we have $h < h_1$, where:

$$h_1 = \frac{1}{A_{upp}} \ln \left(1 + \frac{A_{upp}}{A_{upp} + \|bk^T(t_k)\|} \right) \quad (15)$$

From Eq. 7, it can be found that:

$$\|bk^T(t) - bk^T(t_k)\| \leq \|\alpha\| \|b\|^2 \|x(t_k)\|^2 \|P\| h$$

Then $\dot{V}(t)$ becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(t) \leq & \frac{1}{(1-\gamma)} \|x(t)\| \|x(t_k)\| \left(-(1-\gamma)^2 \lambda_{\min}(Q) \right. \\ & + 2\gamma \|P\| \left\{ \|bk^T(t)\| + \|\bar{A}\| + A_{upp} \right\} \\ & + 2\gamma(1-\gamma) \|P\| \left\{ \|\bar{A}\| + A_{upp} \right\} \\ & \left. + 2\gamma(1-\gamma) \|P\|^2 \|\alpha\| \|b\|^2 \|x(t_k)\|^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Again, by choosing

$$\gamma < \frac{\beta \lambda_{\min}(Q)}{\zeta}$$

and $0 < \beta < 1$, we have $h < h_2$, where:

$$h_2 = \frac{1}{A_{upp}} \ln \left(1 + \frac{\beta \lambda_{\min}(Q) A_{upp}}{\zeta} \right) \quad (17)$$

Substituting for γ in (16), we get

$$\dot{V}(t) \leq \frac{\lambda_{\min}(Q)}{(1-\gamma)} \|x(t)\| \left(-(1-\gamma)^2 + \beta - \frac{2\beta}{3} \gamma \right) \|x(t_k)\| \quad (18)$$

Finally, by choosing

$$\gamma < \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{3}\right) - \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{3}\right)^2 - (1-\beta)}$$

we have $h < h_3$, where:

$$h_3 = \frac{1}{A_{upp}} \ln \left(1 + \frac{\left(\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{3}\right) - \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{3}\right)^2 - (1-\beta)} \right) A_{upp}}{A_{upp} + \|bk^T(t_k)\|} \right) \quad (19)$$

and we can conclude that $\dot{V}(t) < 0$, if h satisfies $h < \min\{h_1, h_2, h_3\}$ defined in Eq. 15, 17 and 19,

respectively. Therefore, $x(t)$, $\phi(t)$ and $V(t)$ are bounded for all $t \geq t_0$ and the over all system is globally stable.

SIMULATION RESULTS

Now, we demonstrate the applicability of our approach through the following example. Consider the plant parameters

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Assume the desired plant parameters

$$\bar{A} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Assume A is unknown but only A_{upp} is known (take $A_{upp} = 3$).

Figure 2 shows the simulation results for the networked control system with $x(0) = [1 \ 1]^T$, $\alpha = I$ (identity matrix), $\beta = 0.9$, $k(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$, it is found that $h_1 < 0.1865$, $h_2 < 0.0082$ and $h_3 < 0.0241$ sec. Before starting simulation we know that, $h < 0.0082$, but with simulation proceeds, h can be found on-line as shown in Fig. 3 (we take $h = 0.002$ sec). Figure 4 shows the simulation results for the networked control system with $x(0) = [1 \ 1]^T$, $\alpha = I$, $\beta = 0.9$, $k(0) = [11]^T$, it is found that $h_1 < 0.1567$, $h_2 < 0.0049$ and




Fig. 2: NCS states $x(t)$




Fig. 3: Transmission period (h)




Fig. 4: NCS states $x(t)$




Fig. 5: Transmission period (h)

$h_3 < 0.0194$ sec. Before starting simulation we know that, $h < 0.0049$ (we take $h = 0.001$ sec), also with simulation proceeds, h can be found on-line as shown in Fig. 5.

CONCLUSIONS

The study addresses the adaptive stabilization problem of linear time-invariant networked control systems. The case of state feedback is in which only an upper bound on the norm of matrix A is required. As shown in theorem 1, the priori knowledge of upper bound on norm A is not required in constructing the controller but it is required only to determine an upper bound on the transmission period h that guarantees the stability of the overall adaptive networked control system under an ideal transmission process, i.e., no transmission delay or packet dropout. In future work we will try to relax these assumptions. Rigorous mathematical proofs are established relies heavily on Lyapunov's stability criterion. Simulation results are given to illustrate the efficacy of our design approach. It is verified that, if the sampling period of the network is less than the upper bound on h , the control parameters of the adaptive controller are bounded and that the NCS states converge to zero as time tends to infinity value as time evolves.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant No. 60574088 and 60274014.

REFERENCES

- Baillieul, J. and P.J. Antsaklis, 2007. Control and communication challenges in networked control systems. *IEEE Control Syst. Mag.*, 95: 9-28.
- Hespanha, J.P., P.N. Naghshtabrizi and Y. Xu, 2007. A survey of recent results in networked control systems. *IEEE Control Syst. Mag.*, 95: 138-162.
- Montestruque, L.A. and P. Antsaklis, 2004. Stability of model-based networked control systems with time-varying transmission times. *IEEE. Trans. Automat. Cont.*, 49: 1562-1572.
- Tao, G., 2003. *Adaptive Control Design and Analysis*. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New Jersey.
- Walsh, G.C., H. Ye and L. Bushnell, 1999. Stability Analysis of Networked Control Systems. *Proc. Am. Control Conf.*, San Diego, CA., pp: 2876-2880.
- Ye, H., 2000. Research on networked control systems. Ph.D Thesis, University of Maryland.
- Zhang, W., 2001. Stability analysis of networked control systems. Ph.D Thesis, Case Western Reserve University.
- Zhang, W., M.S. Branicky and S.M. Phillips, 2001. Stability of networked control systems. *IEEE Control Syst. Mag.*, 21: 84-99.