

Journal of Applied Sciences

ISSN 1812-5654





ට OPEN ACCESS

Journal of Applied Sciences

ISSN 1812-5654 DOI: 10.3923/jas.2019.128.132



Research Article Effect of Chitosan and Nano-chitosan on *Saissetia oleae* (Hemiptera: Coccidae)

Magda Mahmoud Sabbour

Department of Pests and Plant, Agriculture Division, National Research Center, Egypt

Abstract

Background and Objective: Olive trees important economically attack by many harmful pests. *Saissetia oleae* (Hemiptera: Coccidae); among these pests, which causing a lot of damage to the trees. The objective of this study is to decrease the amount of insecticide using nano-materials. **Materials and Methods:** Chitosan (CS)-g-poly (acrylic acid) (PAA) nano-particles, which are well dispersed and stable in aqueous solution have been prepared by template polymerization of acrylic acid in chitosan solution which have an insecticidal effect on insect pests. The usage of chitosan and nano-chitosan test against *Saissetia oleae*. **Results:** Results showed that, the LC₅₀ obtained 128 and 37 ppm after *Saissetia oleae* treated with different concentrations of chitosan and nano-chitosan. Also, under field conditions when *S. oleae* treated with the chitosan and nano-chitosan, the number of eggs significantly 54 ± 1.1 and 5 ± 7.3 eggs/female as compared to 289 ± 8.9 eggs/female in the control. The percentage of egg hatching, larval mortality, malformed pupae and malformed adults significantly decreased in case of chitosan treatments and almost reduced after nano-chitosan treatments. The weight of olive fruits significantly increased to 2498 ± 66.91 and 2528 ± 51.98 kg/feddan as compared to 1779 ± 55.43 and 1210 ± 41.09 kg/feddan in the control during season 2017 and 2018, respectively. **Conclusion:** The usage of nano-chitosan decreased the infestation of *S. oleae* under laboratory and field conditions.

Key words: Nano-chitosan, Saissetia oleae, olive, control

Citation: Magda Mahmoud Sabbour, 2019. Effect of chitosan and nano-chitosan on Saissetia oleae (Hemiptera: Coccidae). J. Applied Sci., 19: 128-132.

Corresponding Author: Magda Mahmoud Sabbour, Department of Pests and Plant, Agriculture Division, National Research Center, Egypt

Copyright: © 2019 Magda Mahmoud Sabbour. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Competing Interest: The author has declared that no competing interest exists.

Data Availability: All relevant data are within the paper and its supporting information files.

INTRODUCTION

Saissetia oleae (Hemiptera: Coccidae) harmful insect attack olive trees. Mature adult *S. oleae* appear as sessile dark grey or brown-to-black lumps attached to leaf undersides and stems. The limbs of each insect are short and are hidden beneath the body and eyes are only visible in younger specimens with pale bodies. Black scales feed by attaching to the leaves and branches of their host plant and sucking the sap from inside the plant tissue. Depending on the severity of the scale infestation, the resulting damage to the plant may vary. As the scales feed, they exude a sticky, sugary substance, called honeydew, as a waste product. The honeydew falls from the feeding site and coats the leaves and fruit of the host plant or nearby surfaces, which encourages growth of sooty mold¹⁻².

Early instars are difficult to distinguish from those of other species of soft scale. First-instar crawlers (0.35 mm long) and intermediate immature instars are translucent light brown, with two black eyes placed anterolaterally. Adult females lack wings; they are 2-5 mm across, approximately circular in outline, fairly flat, yellow or grey and granular in appearance initially, becoming hemispherical and dark grey or brown to black and matt with age³. Adult females develop an egg-filled hollow under the body as they become increasingly convex in shape. The small, winged males are rare. It is considered one of the three main phytophagous parasites of *Olea europaea*.

Female black scales deposit eggs from April-September and like other species in the genus Saissetia, protect them beneath the body until they hatch. Each female can lay from a few hundred to over 2,500 eggs⁴. Chemical insecticides were used to control these insect pests but they were always causing a lot of pollution to the environment⁴. Thereafter microbial control agents were advocated to be used against such pests. Chitosan (CS)-g-poly (acrylic acid) (PAA) nano-particles, which are well dispersed and stable in aqueous solution have been prepared by template polymerization of acrylic acid in chitosan solution⁵. The prepared CS-PAA had a white powder shape and was insoluble in water and diluted acid. Chitosan nano-rod with minimum particle size of <100 nm was prepared by crosslinking low molecular weight chitosan with polyanion sodium tripolyphosphate and physicochemically characterized. Chitosan is a natural polysaccharide prepared by the N-deacetylation of chitin. It has been widely used in food and bioengineering industries, including the encapsulation of active food ingredients, in enzyme immobilization and as a carrier for controlled drug delivery, due to its significant biological and chemical properties such as biodegradability, biocompatibility, bioactivity and polycationicity⁶. The objective of this study to decrease the amount used of bioinsecticides by using the nanomethods with the biopesticides against the target pests under laboratory and field conditions. The aim of this work to evaluate the effectiveness of chitosan and nano-chitosan against *Saissetia oleae*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Laboratory studies: The insects of *Saissetia oleae* (Hemiptera: Coccidae) was reared under laboratory conditions $(26\pm2^{\circ}C \text{ and } 60\pm5\% \text{ RH})$ in cages $50\times50\times60 \text{ cm}$ per each. The third larval stage was used in the experimental work.

Preparation of nano-chitosan: Chitosan nano-particles were synthesized by hydrolyzing titanium tetra isopropoxide in a mixture of 1:1 anhydrous ethanol and water. About 9 mL of titanium tetra isopropoxide is mixed with 41mL of anhydrous ethanol (A). 1:1 ethanol and water mixture is prepared. (B) Solution A is added in drop wise to solute ion B and stirred vigorously for 2 h. At room temperature hydrolysis and condensation are performed, using 1 M sulphuric acid and stirred for 2 h. Then the ageing was undertaken for 12 h. The gel was transferred into an autoclave and tightly closed and the mixture was subjected to hydrothermal treatment at 353 K for 24 h. After filtration the solid residue was washed thoroughly with water and ethanol mixture, dried at 373 K in an oven and calcined at 773 K.

Nano-encapsulation: The nano-encapsulation is a process through which a chemical is slowly but efficiently released to the particular host for insect pests control. "Release mechanisms include dissolution, biodegradation, diffusion and osmotic pressure⁷ with specific pH. Encapsulated of the Chitosan nano-emulsion is prepared by high-pressure homogenization of 2.5% surfactant and 100% glycerol, to create stable droplets which that increase the retention of the oil and cause a slow release of the nano-materials. The release rate depends upon the protection time, consequently a decrease in release rate can prolong insect pests protection time⁸.

Efficacy of chitosan against the target: The insecticide chitosan and nano-chitosan were tested at the 6 concentrations: 6, 5, 4, 3, 2 and 1 ppm. The insecticide, prepared 6 concentrations. Percentages of mortality were calculated according to Abbott's formula, while the LC_{50} values were calculated throughout probit analysis⁹. The experiment was carried out under laboratory conditions at 26 ± 2 °C and 60-70% RH.

Field experiments: The field experiments were executed, at national research Centre far in El-Nobaryia (Ibn Malek farm) starting from the first of July to end of August. Three random patches of olive trees were selected; each consisted of 12 trees for chitosan application, 12 trees for nano-chitosan application and 12 trees for control. Both chitosan and nano-chitosan were applied at the rate of 2.00 and 0.12 mg L⁻¹, respectively. Three applications were made at 1 week interval at the commencement of the experiment. Treatments were performed at sunset using a ten liter sprayer. Percentage of infestation/sample was calculated after 20, 50, 90 and 120 days of application. Each treatment was replicated four times. Four plots were treated with water and used as control. Random samples of olive leaves and fruits were weekly collected from each treatment and transferred to laboratory for examination. The infestation percentage of S. oleae was estimated in each case. After harvesting olive fruits, the yield of each treatment was weighed and expressed as kg/Feddan.

Statistical analysis: Data were statistically analyzed by F-test; LSD value was estimated using SPSS statistical program software.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows that the LC_{50} obtained 128 and 37 ppm after *S. oleae* treated with different concentrations of chitosan and nano chitosan.

When *S. oleae* treated with the chitosan and nano-chitosan, the number of eggs are significantly decreased to 54 ± 1.1 and 5 ± 7.3 eggs/female as compared to 289 ± 8.9 eggs/female in the control. The percentage of egg hatching, larval mortality, malformed pupae and malformed adults significantly decreased in case of chitosan treatments and almost reduced after nano-chitosan treatments (Table 2).

The weight of olive fruits significantly increased to 2498±66.91 and 2528±51.98 kg/feddan in plots treated

Treatments

with nano-chitosan as compared to 1779 ± 55.43 and 1210 ± 41.09 kg/feddan in the control during season 2017 and 2018, respectively (Table 3). Figure 1 shows the nano-particles re coded by scanning electron microscopy.

DISCUSSION

Our findings meet with Sabbour and Nayera¹⁰, who found that the bioinsecticides control the percentage of the sugar beet pests significantly decreased during both two successive season 2012 and 2013 after fungi treatments¹¹. The bioinsecticide decrease *C. vaitta* under laboratory and field conditions. It found that the nano-chitosan have an insecticidal effect against *Aphis gossypii* under laboratory and field conditions⁵.

Sabbour¹² reported that Imidacloprid and nano-Imidacloprid reduced the rate of infestation by



95% Confidence limits

Fig 1. Scanning electron microscopy of chitosan

V

Table 1: Evaluation of tested chitosan and nano-chitosan on Saissetia oleae under laboratory conditions

LC₅₀ ppm

Chitosan	tosan 128 no-chitosan 37		0.2 1.1		1.1		88-163 20-149	
Nano-chitosan					1.3			
Table 2: Effect of the	e against the target ins	ects <i>S. oleae</i> biology						
	No. of eggs	Egg	Larval	Malformed	Malformed	Emerged	Malformed	
Treatments	laid/female	hatching (%)	mortality (%)	larvae (%)	pupae (%)	adults (%)	adults (%)	
Chitosan	54±1.1 ^b	19	65	71	79	12	70	
Nano-chitosan	5±7.3°	0	91	97	94	0	0	
Control	289±8.9ª	100	-	-	-	100	-	
F-value	30.4	2	5	5	22	20	21	
LSD 5%	10.1	1	3	3	10	10	9	

Table 3: Assessments of damage caused after treatment with the chitosan nano-chitosan

	Season 2017	Season 2018		
Target pest	Wt. of olive (kg/feddan)	Wt. of olive (kg/feddan)		
Chitosan	2228±54.60	2567±67.99		
Nano-chitosan	2498±66.91	2528±51.98		
Control	1779±55.43	1210±41.09		

C. capitata and *P. oleae* in olive trees. Sabbour¹³ recorded decreased infestation rate by potato tuber moth, *Phthorimaea operculella*, in plants treated with nano-fungi *Isaria fumosorosea* and *Metarhizium flavoviride*. Similar findings were also attained by Sabbour¹⁴ against *B. oleae*, *C. capitata* and *P. oleae* in olive trees treated with spinosad, nano-materials used for controlling *S. olae* by nano-materials¹⁵.

These results are in consistence with those obtained by Sabbour and Shaurub¹⁶ for olive trees treated with Imidacloprid and nano-Imidacloprid and infested by C. capitata and P. oleae. Also, treatment of potato plants, infested by P. operculella, with nano-fungi I. fumosorosea and *M. flavoviride* increased the yield¹³. Similar results were obtained by Sabbour¹² for spinosad-treated olive trees that were infested by B. oleae, C. capitata and P. oleae. The olive weight increased after bioinsecticide applications¹⁷. The nano-biopesticides application increase the productivity of the olive fruits under field conditions¹⁷. Sabbour and Solieman¹⁸⁻²⁰ control Tuta absoluta by nano chitosan and results showed a reduction in the infestation numbers. The nano-chitosan against Schistocerca gergaria and found a loss of the pests number after treatments under laboratory and field conditions²¹. The same obtained by Sabbour and Abd El-Aziz²², Shaurub and Sabbour²³, Sabbour and Abd El-Aziz^{24,25}.

CONCLUSION

Nano-formulation of chitosan was more effective than chitosan in controlling *Saissetia oleae*. These results encourage the extension in the use of nano-technology for insect pest control.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We acknowledge National research center supported project.

REFERENCES

1. Applebaum, S.W. and D. Rosen, 1964. Ecological studies on the olive scale, *Parlatoria oleae*, in Israel. J. Econ. Entomol., 57: 847-850.

- 2. Rosen, D., I. Harpaz and M. Samish, 1971. Two species of *Saissetia* (Homoptera: Coccidae) injurious to olive in Israel and their natural enemies. Israel J. Entomol., 6: 35-53.
- 3. Gill, R.J., 1988. The scale insects of California. Part 1. The soft scales (Homoptera: Coccoidea: Coccidae). Technical Services in Agricultural Biosystematics and Plant Pathology. California Department of Food and Agriculture, Vol. 1, pp:1-132.
- 4. Tena, A., A. Soto, R. Vercher and F. Garcia-Mari, 2007. Density and structure of *Saissetia oleae* (Hemiptera: Coccidae) populations on citrus and olives: Relative importance of the two annual generations. Environ. Entomol., 36: 700-706.
- Sahab, A.F., A.I. Waly, M.M. Sabbour and S.N. Lubna, 2015. Synthesis, antifungal and insecticidal potential of chitosan (CS)-g-poly (acrylic acid) (PAA) nanoparticles against some seed borne fungi and insects of soybean. Int. J. ChemTech Res., 8: 589-598.
- Goodwin, B., T. Babb, S. Kaffka and L. Godfrey, 2007. Biorational management of beet armyworms in sugar beets in the Central Valley, Larry Godfrey and Tom Babb. UC DAVIS, University of California. http://sugarbeet.Ucdavis.edu.2007
- Vidhyalakshmi, R., R. Bhakyaraj and R.S. Subhasree, 2009. Encapsulation the future of probiotics: A review. Adv. Biol. Res., 3: 96-103.
- Nuruzzaman, M., M.M. Rahman, Y. Liu and R. Naidu, 2016. Nanoencapsulation, nano-guard for pesticides: A new window for safe application. J. Agric. Food Chem., 64: 1447-1483.
- 9. Abbott, W.S., 1925. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. J. Econ. Entomol., 18: 265-267.
- 10. Sabbour, M.M. and Y.S. Nayera, 2014. Determinations of the entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria brongniartii* on three sugar beet pests. Eur. J. Acad. Essays, 2: 23-28.
- 11. Sabbour, M.M. and A. Abdel-Rahman, 2007. Evaluations of some terpenes and entomopathogenic fungi on three sugar beet insect pests. J. Boil. Pest. Cont., 17: 22-29.
- Sabbour, M.M., 2015. Nano-imidaclorprid against three olive pests under laboratory and field conditions. Open Sci. J. Biosci. Bioeng., 2: 45-49.
- 13. Sabbour, M.M., 2015. The toxicity effect of nano fungi isaria fumosorosea and metarhizium flavoviride against the potato tuber moth, phthorimaea operculella (zeller). Am. J. Biol. Life Sci., 3: 155-160.
- 14. Sabbour, M.M., 2013. Evaluating toxicity of extracted destruxin from *Metarhizium anisopliae* against the grasshopper *Hetiracris littoralis* in Egypt. J. Egypt. Acad. Environ. Dev., 14: 29-34.
- Pereira, J.A.C., 2004. Bioecologia da Cochonilha negra Saissetia oleae (Olivier), Naoliveira, em Tras-os-Montes. Ph.D. Thesis, Unversidade de Tras-os-Montes ealto Douro, Vila Real, Portugal.

- Sabbour, M.M. and E.S.H. Shaurub, 2018. Toxicity effect of imidacloprid and nano-imidacloprid particles in controlling *Bactrocera oleae* (Rossi) (Diptera: Tephritidae) under laboratory and field conditions. Biosci. Res., 1815: 2494-2501.
- Sabbour, M.M. and E.S.H. Shaurub, 2018. Evaluations of *Metarhizium anisopliae* and two Destruxin against cotton leaf worm *Spodoptera littoralis* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) under laboratory and field conditions. Biosci. Res., 15: 1028-1033.
- Sabbour, M.M. and N.Y. Solieman, 2016. The efficacy effect of using chitosan and nano-chitosan against *Tuta absoluta* (lepidoptera: gelechiidae). J. Chem. Pharm. Res., 8: 548-554.
- 19. Sabbour, M.M. and N.Y. Solieman, 2017. Pathogenicity effect of biopesticides and its nano against *Prays oleae* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae. Bull. NRC., 41: 177-188.
- Sabbour, M.M. and N.Y. Solieman, 2018. The effect of beauvericin comparing with nano beauvericin against *Palpita unionalis* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). Biosci. Res., 15: 2151-2158.

- 21. Sabbour, M.M., 2016. Observations of the effect of chitosan and its nano compositions against the locust *Schistocerca gregaria* (orthoptera: acrididae). Int. J. ChemTech Res., 9: 270-276.
- 22. Sabbour, M.M. and S.E. Abd-El-Aziz, 2010. Efficacy of some bioinsecticides against *Bruchidius incarnates* (Boh.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) infestation during storage. J. Plant Prot. Res., 50: 28-34.
- 23. Shaurub, E.S.H. and M.M. Sabbour, 2017. Impacts of pyriproxyfen, flufenoxuron and acetone extract of *Melia azedarach* fruits on the hemolymph picture of the black cutworm, *Agrotis ipsilon* (Hufnagel)(Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Adv. Agric. Sci., Vol. 5.
- 24. Sabbour, M.M. and S.E.S. Abd El-Aziz, 2018. The combined effect of *Metarhizium anisopliae* and some natural oils against *Ephestia kuehniella* and *Ephestia cutella* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) under laboratory and store conditions. Biosci. Res., 15: 3480-3489.
- 25. Sabbour, M.M. and S. El-Sayed Abd El-Aziz, 2017. Screening effect of three *natural* oils and their Nano against *Ephestia Kehniella* (Lepidoptera-Pyralidae)under laboratory and store conditions. Biosci. Res., 14: 408-416.