

Potassium Deficiency and Response of Rice to its Application in Hafizabad District

S. M. Mehdi, G. Hassan, M. Sarfraz, M. Sadiq, N. M. Hassan and G. Shabbir

Soil Salinity Research Institute, Pindi Bhattian, Pakistan

Abstract: Potassium deficiency symptoms were observed on rice 1999 in a number of fields throughout the Hafizabad district. Soil and plant samples from 98 rice fields showing K deficiency symptoms were collected. These soil and plant sample were analyzed for K contents. All the 98 plant samples had low K contents according to critical limits while soil samples were tested medium in ammonium acetate ($\text{CH}_3\text{-CO-O-NH}_4$) extractable K. In the same year K was applied to rice crop @ 62.5 Kg ha^{-1} showing K deficiency symptoms at 11 sites. The paddy and straw yields improved from 4.17 to 36.20 % and 2.94 to 40.0 % over control by the application of K, respectively. Similarly K concentration also increased in both paddy and straw over control, by applying K, from 18.75 to 36.59 % and 6.70 to 14.46 % respectively. Potassium uptake by paddy and straw yields and total K uptake also increased by applying K over control.

Key words: Potash deficiency, rice, K application

Introduction

There is a general consensus that most of the cultivated soils in Pakistan have sufficient supply of available K for optimum plant growth (Bhatti, 1978). The need for K is not as wide spread as that for N and P in Pakistan, most probably due to the dominance of hydrous mica in the clay fraction. Its use as fertilizer was introduced in 1966-67 (Saleem and Bertilsson, 1978). In term of usage per hectare cropped area, K consumption in 1985-86 was about 2.04 Kg ha^{-1} (Saleem *et al.*, 1989). While exploring the K status in Punjab (Pakistan), the analysis of the thousands of samples during 1973 to 1978 showed that K values of ammonium acetate ($\text{CH}_3\text{-CO-O-NH}_4$) extractable ranged from 142 to 160 ppm in the surface 0-15 cm depth (Bhatti, 1978). Accordingly, the soils of Punjab are classified adequate in available K status. Since no work has been done on critical limits of K, therefore, limits adopted by the Rapid Fertility Survey and Soil Testing Institute, Lahore are those of Tamhane and Subbiah (1960). According to these limits, soils containing less than 50 ppm K are categorized as poor, 50-125 ppm satisfactory and greater than 125 ppm as adequate. Soil analysis further revealed that continuous mining of K through crops significantly depleted the soil K with time. This trend showed a decline of $8.6 \text{ ppm K ha}^{-1}$ annually (Malik *et al.*, 1989). In another effort to characterize the K status of Pakistan soils the Fuji Fertilizers Corporation (Sadiq, 1985) analyzed 4,583 samples for K status. The results showed that nearly 90 % of the samples representing different areas in the four provinces contained more than 100 ppm K_2O , less than 1 % of the samples had less than 30 ppm K_2O and about 11 % with less than 100 ppm K_2O . The above K values, however, have not been correlated with crop response.

On soils where present K levels are considered adequate, the increased use of other fertilizers and production inputs can quickly shift the soil K status from one of the adequacy to that of deficiency. Intensive cropping with irrigation and improved varieties and higher application rates of N and P may hasten the removal of K from the reserve supply, thus requiring the use of K fertilizers to sustain economical crop production (Malik *et al.*, 1989). However Ahmad (1996) observed that plant growth parameter remained unaffected by K application. Since no K is applied particularly to rice which is a short duration crop and its requirement for K are more than long duration crops like wheat. Therefore it is prone to K deficiency the research work was undertaken to find out the:

- the K status of Hafizabad district.
- K contents of soil and plant showing K deficiency symptoms.
- Rice response to K application in K different fields.

Materials and Methods

Potassium deficiency symptoms were observed on rice crop 1999 in a number of fields all over the Hafizabad district. From these K deficient fields, soil and plant samples were collected from 98 selected sites. The plant samples were collected from 8 plants at each site by taking 4 leaves after leaving upper fresh 3 leaves from each plant. The soil samples were taken upto 0-15 cm depth from 4 places in the same fields where plant samples were collected to make a composite sample. These samples were collected in the months of July, August and September at an interval of 10 days. Moreover K deficiency symptoms were noted in the fields in which the rice was transplanted 25 to 45 days previously. The varieties of rice used by farmers were Super (15 plots), Basmati 385 (54 plots), IRR1 - 6 (16 plots), Basmati 386 (5 plots), Niab IRR1 - 9 (4 plots), Satthi (2 plots) and Shaheen Basmati (2 plots). These samples were taken to laboratories, first air-dried and then the plant samples were oven dried to constant weight at 65°C . K contents from soil and plant samples were determined.

During the same year K response trials at 11 selected sites were conducted on rice. Each plot was divided into two equal portions. To one portion K was applied as MOP @ $62.5 \text{ Kg K ha}^{-1}$ while other was kept as control. Soil analyses of all the sites were done, paddy and straw samples were analyzed for K contents. All the analysis were done according to methods described in hand book No.60 (U. S. Salinity Lab., 1954) except texture by Moodie *et al.* (1959).

Results and Discussion

Potash deficiency survey: The data of surveyed 98 soil and plant samples each, showed that four soil samples were saline sodic ($\text{EC} > 4 \text{ d S m}^{-1}$ and $\text{SAR} > 13 \text{ (m mol l}^{-1}\text{)}^{1/2}$) and 47 were sodic ($\text{EC} < 4 \text{ d S m}^{-1}$ and $\text{SAR} > 13 \text{ (m mol l}^{-1}\text{)}^{1/2}$) (Table 1). All the soil samples were medium in available K according to the standards used by Tamhane and Subbiah (1960). Texturally all soil samples were sandy loam to loamy sand with a few sandy clay loams. In case of all the 98 plant samples collected had low K contents according to limits prescribed by Bhargava and Raghupathi (1993).

The reason for low K contents in plants and medium supply of K by soil samples indicate that K deficiency occurred due to continuous mining of K from these soils. More over all soils are loose textured in which leaching of K can occurred during flooding a pre requisite of rice. Another important factor for K deficiency in this area is that K was never applied to soil upto conduct of survey. Malik *et al.* (1989) also gave similar

Mehdi *et al.*: Potassium deficiency and rice response to K

Table 1: Potassium deficiency survey

| Name & address | Texture | pH | Ec (dSm ⁻¹) | SAR (m mol ⁻¹) ^½ | K in leaves (%) | Available K (mg Kg ⁻¹ soil) | Variety |
|--|-----------------|-----|----------------------------|--|--------------------|---|-------------|
| Noor Din, Thatta Karim Dad | Sandy loam | 8.8 | 2.2 | 17 | 1.02 | 96 | Super |
| Nazir S/O Mian Khan, Haveli Karim Dad | Sandy loam | 8.1 | 3.6 | 29 | 0.80 | 84 | Super |
| Muhammad Arshad, Haveli Karim Dad | Loamy sand | 8.7 | 3.1 | 26 | 0.60 | 79 | IRRI-6 |
| Ch.Abdul Ghafoor, Haveli Karim Dad | Sandy loam | 8.2 | 2.9 | 22 | 1.05 | 90 | Super |
| Hayat Muhammad, Hinduana | Sandy loam | 8.3 | 2.3 | 19 | 1.15 | 105 | Bas.385 |
| Mehr Mula Dad, Jhandwala | Sandy loam | 8.1 | 4.1 | 33 | 1.00 | 97 | Bas.385 |
| Ahmad Din, Hinduana | Sandy loam | 8.3 | 3.6 | 29 | 1.16 | 100 | Bas.385 |
| Muhammad Anwar S/O Malla, Hinduana | Loam | 8.1 | 3.1 | 33 | 1.05 | 86 | Bas.385 |
| Muhammad Salahat Boon S/O Shah Muhammad, Sharbagha | Sandy loam | 8.8 | 3.4 | 28 | 0.86 | 75 | Bas.385 |
| Muhammad Salahat Boon S/O Shah Muhammad, Sharbagha | Sandy loam | 8.1 | 2.1 | 19 | 0.90 | 77 | IRRI-6 |
| Bashir Ahmad, Kot Murad | Loamy sand | 8.1 | 1.9 | 14 | 0.98 | 82 | Bas.385 |
| Muhammad Ashiq, Kot Murad | Loamy sand | 8.1 | 1.8 | 11 | 1.05 | 89 | Bas.385 |
| Zafar Iqbal, Chah Anna | Sandy loam | 8.8 | 1.2 | 9 | 1.0 | 92 | 386 |
| Talib Gujjar, Kot Nakhah | Loam | 8.0 | 1.1 | 13 | 0.95 | 87 | Bas.385 |
| Muhammad Riaz, Bohopra | Sandy loam | 8.9 | 3.9 | 21 | 1.20 | 103 | Niab IRRI-9 |
| Muhammad Iqbal Manika, Nothain | Sandy loam | 8.1 | 2.1 | 17 | 0.80 | 88 | 386 |
| Malik Muhammad Rasib, Nothain | Loamy sand | 8.2 | 2.3 | 20 | 1.0 | 91 | 386 |
| Nawaz Arain, Addoke | Loam | 8.4 | 3.4 | 26 | 1.26 | 114 | Niab IRRI-9 |
| Majeed Ali Haider, Thatta Manika | Sandy loam | 8.9 | 3.6 | 25 | 0.88 | 79 | Bas.385 |
| Sadi Ahmad, Kalika Village | Sandy loam | 8.8 | 3.1 | 29 | 0.85 | 84 | Super |
| Muhammad Ali Number Dar, Nahrianwala | Sandy loam | 8.1 | 3.1 | 30 | 0.96 | 90 | Bas.385 |
| Ahmad Ali, Tibbi Gorla-Batianwala | Loamy sand | 8.1 | 2.1 | 16 | 1.15 | 94 | Super |
| Ch.Amin Nawaz Tarar, Rasul Pur Tarar | Loamy sand | 8.3 | 1.0 | 14 | 0.80 | 79 | Super |
| Abdul Rasool Jat, Jharianwala | Sandy loam | 8.3 | 1.2 | 9 | 0.88 | 82 | IRRI-6 |
| Hafeez Ahmad, Winge | Sandy loam | 8.9 | 3.6 | 27 | 0.90 | 81 | Bas.385 |
| Asgar S/O Maula Dad, Channi Hanjran | Sandy clay loam | 8.0 | 2.1 | 21 | 0.90 | 81 | IRRI-6 |
| Malik Muhammad Adris, Winge | Loamy sand | 8.1 | 2.1 | 16 | 1.05 | 89 | Bas.385 |
| Ghulam Rasool Tarar, Dheerinke | Loam | 8.6 | 2.6 | 14 | 1.16 | 105 | IRRI-6 |
| Muhammad Afzal Number Dar, Beriawala | Loamy sand | 8.8 | 2.8 | 11 | 0.65 | 70 | Bas.385 |
| Mumtaz S/O Fateh Muhammad, Bukkan | Loamy sand | 8.1 | 4.1 | 29 | 0.90 | 75 | IRRI-6 |
| Nazir Ahmad S/O Muhammad Khan, Koot Ishaq | Sandy loam | 8.1 | 1.0 | 8 | 1.18 | 100 | IRRI-6 |
| Muhammad Rafiq Chatha, Mehdiabad | Sandy loam | 8.7 | 3.6 | 19 | 1.10 | 101 | Bas.385 |
| Muhammad Ahsa, Mehdiabad | Sandy loam | 8.1 | 2.1 | 14 | 0.80 | 77 | Bas.385 |
| Allah Dad Khokhar, Pindi Sadrana | Loamy sand | 8.0 | 4.1 | 30 | 0.75 | 75 | IRRI-6 |
| Sana-Ullah, Jogi Jamke | Loamy sand | 8.1 | 1.0 | 9 | 0.78 | 77 | Satthi |
| Mian Iqbal Hussain, Tibba Shah Behlol | Loam | 8.9 | 1.6 | 19 | 0.96 | 90 | IRRI-6 |
| Mian Ifzal Hussain, Shah Behlol | Sandy loam | 8.8 | 3.6 | 26 | 1.14 | 100 | Bas.385 |
| Sher Muhammad, Shah Behlol | Loamy sand | 8.6 | 2.9 | 19 | 1.0 | 91 | Satthi |
| Murtaza S/O Shamir, Shah Behlol | Loamy sand | 8.8 | 3.4 | 21 | 0.80 | 78 | IRRI-6 |
| Tufail S/O Mirza, Sahuka | Sandy clay loam | 8.3 | 2.1 | 15 | 0.78 | 70 | Bas.385 |
| Gehangir S/O Saring, Bharke Sargana | Loamy sand | 8.1 | 1.6 | 14 | 0.80 | 76 | IRRI-6 |
| Karm-Ullah, Kanda Bhattian | Sandy loam | 7.9 | 1.1 | 7 | 0.86 | 90 | Bas.385 |
| Malla, Kanda Bhattian | Sandy loam | 8.3 | 1.6 | 11 | 0.74 | 79 | IRRI-6 |
| Haji Churagh, Kanda Bhattian | Loamy sand | 8.2 | 2.3 | 17 | 1.15 | 100 | Bas.385 |
| Abdul Chishti, Kanda Bhattian | Loamy sand | 8.4 | 3.1 | 21 | 1.20 | 104 | Bas.385 |
| Muhammad Ashraf Lohar, Pindi Bhattian | Sandy loam | 8.3 | 2.6 | 15 | 0.86 | 80 | Bas.385 |
| Nujam-UI-Hassan Bhatti | | | | | | | |
| (Master Ahmad Contractor), Pindi Bhattian | Sandy loam | 8.1 | 1.3 | 9 | 0.80 | 75 | IRRI-6 |
| Mian Intisar Hussain Bhatti, Pindi Bhattian | Sandy loam | 8.2 | 1.1 | 7 | 0.78 | 76 | Bas.385 |
| Ghulam Shabbir Lohar, Behlolpur | Sandy loam | 8.1 | 1.4 | 10 | 0.80 | 75 | Bas.385 |
| Mirza Muhammad Ashraf, Bahlolpur | Loamy sand | 8.4 | 2.1 | 17 | 1.15 | 103 | Bas.385 |
| Khan Muhammad, Paim Kot (Pippli) | Sandy loam | 8.2 | 1.9 | 13 | 1.25 | 106 | 386 |
| Muhammad Nawaz, Mulo | Sandy loam | 8.1 | 1.0 | 7 | 0.60 | 70 | Bas.385 |
| Noor Muhammad, Mulo | Loamy sand | 7.9 | 1.2 | 11 | 1.0 | 104 | Bas.385 |
| Muhammad Akram, Kolo Tarrar | Loamy sand | 8.0 | 1.5 | 14 | 1.02 | 97 | 386 |
| Muhammad Nazir, Kolo Tarrar | Loam | 8.1 | 1.6 | 20 | 1.0 | 95 | Niab IRRI-9 |
| Muhammad Sharif, Kolo Tarrar | Loamy sand | 8.2 | 1.1 | 8 | 0.86 | 81 | Bas.385 |
| Niaz S/O Chanda, Kot Chian | Sandy loam | 8.1 | 1.0 | 7 | 0.80 | 85 | IRRI-6 |
| Sheikh Raisar Iqbal, Dauluwala | Sandy loam | 8.0 | 1.3 | 9 | 0.90 | 84 | Bas.385 |
| Bashir Charera, Jhottianwala | Sandy loam | 8.2 | 1.4 | 10 | 0.80 | 77 | Bas.385 |
| Mansib Ali, Machehonikka | Loam | 8.1 | 1.6 | 11 | 0.80 | 82 | Super |
| Nawaz S/O Maula Dad, Machehonikka | Sandy loam | 8.1 | 1.0 | 7 | 1.15 | 101 | IRRI-6 |
| Tahir Abbas, Barshi (Badar Ali) | Loamy sand | 8.2 | 1.7 | 10 | 1.15 | 103 | Bas.385 |
| Zafar Iqbal, Chukarian | Sandy loam | 8.4 | 3.2 | 21 | 1.0 | 95 | Bas.385 |
| Khan Muhammad, Thabal | Sandy loam | 8.7 | 4.1 | 30 | 1.25 | 104 | Bas.385 |
| Muhammad Yousaf, Bagh Kohna | Sandy loam | 8.5 | 4.3 | 31 | 1.05 | 100 | Bas.385 |
| Muhammad Iqbal, Bagh Kohna | Loamy sand | 8.6 | 2.2 | 16 | 0.40 | 65 | Bas.385 |

Mehdi *et al.*: Potassium deficiency and rice response to K

| | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|------|-----|----|------|-----|---------|
| Nusrullah, Thatta Asalatka | Loamy sand | 8.4 | 2.1 | 14 | 0.50 | 69 | Bas.385 |
| Muhammad Arif, Thatta Asalatka | Loam | 8.1 | 1.4 | 7 | 1.15 | 100 | Bas.385 |
| Dr.Yaqub, Thatta Asalatka | Sandy loam | 8.2 | 1.2 | 6 | 1.02 | 96 | IRRI-6 |
| Allah Ditta S/O Slam, Dhalkan Kala | Sandy loam | 8.3 | 3.1 | 21 | 0.75 | 76 | Bas.385 |
| Muhammad Anwar, Tahli Gorla | Sandy loam | 8.6 | 3.2 | 24 | 0.90 | 97 | Bas.385 |
| Muhammad Nazir Ahmad, Tahli Gorla | Sandy loam | 8.4 | 2.6 | 16 | 0.85 | 89 | Bas.385 |
| Amir Ahmad, Thatta Mona Slubat | Loamy sand | 8.4 | 3.5 | 19 | 0.85 | 80 | Bas.385 |
| Nazir Ahmad, Thatta Mona Slubat | Loamy sand | 8.1 | 2.7 | 13 | 1.0 | 92 | IRRI-6 |
| Mian Ehsan, Pindi Bhattian | Sandy loam | 8.2 | 1.9 | 9 | 0.60 | 70 | Bas.385 |
| Jehangir Khan, Bagh Nao | Sandy clay loam | 8.1 | 1.0 | 6 | 0.80 | 71 | Super |
| Muhammad Zulfqar, Kot Dilawar Bas.385 | Loam | 8.1 | 1.2 | 8 | 0.85 | 79 | |
| Sultan & Ashraf, Kot Dilawar | Loam | 8.0 | 1.1 | 7 | 0.60 | 70 | Super |
| Muhammad Sarwar, Kot Hussain | Sandy loam | 8.2 | 2.3 | 14 | 0.78 | 84 | Bas.385 |
| Bahawal, Dilu Khurd | Sandy loam | 8.4 | 3.2 | 20 | 1.20 | 103 | Super |
| Yaqub, Kot Nizam | Sandy loam | 8.6 | 3.6 | 17 | 0.54 | 66 | Bas.385 |
| Abdus-Sattar, Kot Nizam | Sandy loam | 8.0 | 1.0 | 6 | 1.05 | 100 | Bas.385 |
| Mehmood S/O Ahmed, Kot Baila Bas.385 | Sandy loam | 8.1 | 1.1 | 7 | 1.0 | 91 | |
| Muhammad Boota, Lundawala | Sandy loam | 8.1 | 1.0 | 6 | 0.96 | 95 | Bas.385 |
| Ghous Muhammad, Lundawala | Loamy sand | 8.15 | 1.3 | 7 | 1.0 | 93 | Bas.385 |
| Ghulam Miran, Thatta Karim Dad | Sandy loam | 8.2 | 1.2 | 7 | 0.88 | 80 | Bas.385 |
| Zahoor Ahmad, Thatta Karim Dad | Sandy loam | 8.0 | 1.1 | 6 | 1.25 | 104 | Super |
| Falak Sher, Thatta Karim Dad | Sandy loam | 8.4 | 2.1 | 10 | 1.15 | 100 | Bas.385 |
| Muhammad Nawaz, Thatta Karim Dad | Sandy loam | 8.2 | 1.7 | 9 | 0.95 | 91 | Super |
| Muhammad Sharif, Makamwala | Sandy loam | 8.1 | 1.3 | 9 | 0.87 | 82 | Shaheen |
| Sultan Ali, Makamwala | Sandy loam | 8.1 | 1.2 | 7 | 0.68 | 74 | Bas.385 |
| Khizur Hayat, Makamwala | Loam | 8.2 | 1.6 | 9 | 0.96 | 85 | Shaheen |
| Mehnda Khan, Makamwala | Sandy loam | 8.1 | 1.9 | 7 | 1.0 | 92 | Super |
| Bashir Ahmad, Makamwala | Sandy loam | 8.2 | 1.1 | 6 | 1.20 | 109 | Super |
| Muhammad Jamil, Haveli Karim Dad | Sandy loam | 8.1 | 1.0 | 5 | 0.86 | 77 | Bas.385 |
| Mian Ehsan, Pindi Bhattian | Sandy loam | 8.1 | 1.6 | 8 | 1.0 | 94 | Bas.385 |
| Muhammad Sultan, Kot Dilawar | Sandy loam | 8.0 | 0.7 | 4 | 1.02 | 94 | Super |
| Muhammad Nazir, Dhalka Khurd | Sandy loam | 8.2 | 1.4 | 8 | 0.96 | 90 | Bas.385 |

SAR = Sodium adsorption ratio EC = Electrical conductivity of saturation extract Bas. = Basmati K = Potassium

Table 2: Response of rice to K application

| Name of site | pH | EC (dS m ⁻¹) | SAR (m mol l ⁻¹) | Extractable (K mg kg ⁻¹) | Paddy (t ha ⁻¹) | | | Straw (t ha ⁻¹) | | |
|--------------------------------|-----|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|
| | | | | | Control | K Applied | % increase over control | Control | K Applied | % increase over control |
| | | | | | Ghulam Miran, Haveli Karim Dad | 8.2 | 1.2 | 7.0 | 80 | 4.7 |
| Zahoor Ahmad, Haveli Karim Dad | 8.0 | 1.1 | 6.0 | 104 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 7.77 | 12.0 | 13.5 | 12.50 |
| Falik Sher, Haveli Karim Dad | 8.4 | 2.1 | 10.0 | 100 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 8.11 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 10.00 |
| M. Nawaz, Haveli Karim Dad | 8.2 | 1.7 | 9.0 | 91 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 4.17 | 6.8 | 7.0 | 2.94 |
| M. Sharif, Makam Wala | 8.1 | 1.3 | 9.0 | 82 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 10.26 | 11.0 | 12.9 | 17.27 |
| Sultan Ali, Makam Wala | 8.1 | 1.2 | 7.0 | 74 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 6.67 | 12.7 | 13.7 | 7.45 |
| Khizar Hayat, Makam Wala 40.00 | 8.2 | 1.6 | 9.0 | 85 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 37.5 | | 4.5 | 6.3 |
| Mehnda Khan, Makam Wala | 8.1 | 1.9 | 7.0 | 92 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 17.85 | 8.0 | 9.4 | 17.50 |
| Bashir Ahmad, Makam Wala | 8.2 | 1.1 | 6.0 | 109 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 12.00 | 7.5 | 8.1 | 8.00 |
| M. Jamil, Haveli Karim Dad | 8.1 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 77 | 2.9 | 3.9 | 36.20 | 8.2 | 9.8 | 19.51 |
| Mian M.Ehsan, Pindi Bhattian. | 8.1 | 1.6 | 8.0 | 94 | 2.7 | 3.6 | 35.19 | 7.9 | 8.8 | 11.39 |

Table 3: K concentration, K uptake by paddy and straw and total K uptake by rice plants.

| K in Paddy (%) | | | K in straw (%) | | | K uptake by paddy (Kg ha ⁻¹) | | K uptake by straw (Kg ha ⁻¹) | | Total K uptake (Kg ha ⁻¹) | |
|----------------|-----------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------|-------------------------|--|-----------|--|-----------|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| Control | K Applied | % increase over control | Control | K Applied | % Increase over control | Control | K Applied | Control | K Applied | Control | K Applied |
| 0.50 | 0.61 | 22.00 | 1.60 | 1.82 | 13.75 | 23.5 | 31.11 | 216.00 | 273.00 | 239.5 | 304.11 |
| 0.52 | 0.68 | 30.76 | 1.70 | 1.85 | 8.82 | 23.4 | 32.98 | 204.00 | 249.75 | 227.4 | 282.73 |
| 0.47 | 0.60 | 27.66 | 1.65 | 1.78 | 7.88 | 8.70 | 12.00 | 82.50 | 97.90 | 91.2 | 109.9 |
| 0.49 | 0.62 | 26.53 | 1.64 | 1.75 | 6.70 | 11.76 | 15.50 | 111.52 | 122.50 | 123.28 | 138.00 |
| 0.44 | 0.58 | 31.82 | 1.59 | 1.70 | 6.92 | 17.16 | 24.94 | 174.90 | 219.30 | 192.06 | 244.24 |
| 0.41 | 0.56 | 36.59 | 1.56 | 1.69 | 8.33 | 18.45 | 26.88 | 198.90 | 231.53 | 217.35 | 258.41 |
| 0.48 | 0.57 | 18.75 | 1.59 | 1.78 | 11.95 | 7.68 | 12.54 | 71.55 | 112.14 | 79.23 | 124.68 |
| 0.50 | 0.63 | 26.00 | 1.67 | 1.89 | 13.17 | 14.00 | 20.79 | 133.6 | 177.66 | 147.6 | 198.45 |
| 0.54 | 0.69 | 27.77 | 1.66 | 1.90 | 14.46 | 13.50 | 19.32 | 124.5 | 153.9 | 138.0 | 173.22 |
| 0.41 | 0.57 | 31.71 | 1.59 | 1.76 | 10.69 | 11.89 | 22.51 | 130.38 | 172.48 | 142.27 | 194.99 |
| 0.48 | 0.59 | 22.92 | 1.62 | 1.84 | 13.58 | 12.96 | 21.54 | 127.98 | 161.92 | 140.94 | 183.44 |

Mehdi *et al.*: Potassium deficiency and rice response to K

reasons for K fertilization in Punjab soils.

The correlation between extractable K and K in plants was also calculated (Steel and Torrie, 1986) which was highly significant having value of $r = 0.73$ at 5 % level of probability. The regression equation was computed for these data, which is:

$$Y = 0.079 + 0.0113 X$$

Where Y = K concentration in plant
X = K in soil (Extractable)

This equation is helpful in determining K fertilizer requirement of particular rice field if its extractable K contents are known e.g. soil extractable K = 80 mg Kg⁻¹ soil.

The K requirement will be determined as

$$Y = 0.079 + 0.0113 X$$

$$Y = 0.079 + 0.0113 \times 80$$

$$Y = 0.983$$

It means plants will have K = 0.983 % if the plant is not suffering from K deficiency and if it will have K contents e.g., = 2.0 %

$$\text{Difference} = 2.0 - 0.983 \\ = 1.017$$

$$Y = 0.079 + 0.0113 X$$

$$1.017 = 0.079 + 0.0113 X$$

$$1.017 - 0.079 = 0.0113 X$$

$$0.932 = 0.0113 X$$

$$X = 0.932/0.0113 = 83 \text{ mg Kg}^{-1} \text{ soil.}$$

One hundred and sixty six Kg ha⁻¹ has to be applied to obtain the targeted yield in these types of soils (Coarse textured).

Response of rice to application of K.

Paddy and straw yield of rice: Response of rice to K applied was studied at 11 sites. The data indicated that all the fields were free from salinity/sodicity hazard, having extractable K 74 to 109 mg Kg⁻¹ soil "medium supply of K" (Table 2). The paddy and straw yield was improved at all the sites by applying 62.5 Kg K ha⁻¹. The increase in paddy yield was from 4.17 to 36.20 % over control. While in case of straw it was 2.94 to 40 % over control. The increase in paddy and straw yield by applying K was also reported by Malik *et al.* (1989) and Mehdi *et al.* (2001). The data further revealed that yield of paddy and straw was not dependent on the original level of K, rather depend on total fertility status of soil.

Potassium concentration and its uptake by paddy and straw of rice: Potassium concentration in paddy and straw of rice increased by applying K at all the 11 sites (Table 3). The K concentration in paddy increased by 8.75 to 36.59 % over control while in straw this increased from 6.70 to 14.46 %. The K percentage increased in paddy was more than straw. Many workers also have reported that an increase in K contents by the application of K (Shakir, 1984; Mehdi *et al.*, 2001). These workers noted that increase in K contents upto 75 mg K Kg⁻¹ soil in some instance. Potassium uptake was also calculated by multiplying percentage of K with yield and dividing by 100. The data showed that there was an increase in K uptake by both paddy and straw over control when K was added. Total K uptake by rice plant was also computed by adding K uptake by paddy and straw, which also

showed an increase in total K uptake by the application of K over control. The difference between K uptake by control plants and K applied plants did not exceed the K application rate. Similar findings were reported by Mengel (1982) and Mehdi *et al.* (2001). These results of K deficiency survey and response of rice to K application lead to do further investigations on K application rate required in these soils for optimum paddy and straw yield of rice. The computed value of K by regression equation may also be tested.

References

- Ahmad, A., 1996. Relative efficiency of MOP and SOP for wheat production. M. Sc. Thesis, Dept. Soil Sci., Uni. Agric., Faisalabad, Pakistan.
- Bhargava, B. S. and H. B. Raghupathi, 1993. Analysis of plant materials for macro and micronutrients. In (Tandon HLS Edt.) Methods of analyses of soils, plants, waters and fertilizers. Fertilizer development and consultation organization 204 - 204a Bhanot corner, 1 - 2 Pamposh inclave, New Delhi, India.
- Bhatti, H. M., 1978. Review paper on potash, micronutrients and rhizobium. Tech. Bull. Agric. Res. Council, Pakistan, pp: 1 - 56.
- Malik, D. M., R. A. Chaudhry and G. Hassan, 1989. Crop response to potash application in the Punjab. pp: 71 - 93. In Proc. of workshop on the "Role of potassium in improving fertilizer use efficiency Feb. 1987; Islamabad, Pakistan.
- Mehdi, S. M., C. Din, G. Hassan, M. Sarfraz, M. Sadiq and A. Iqbal, 2001. Comparative efficiency of potassium application methods on rice production under saline conditions. Sci. Int. (Lahore), 13:171-177.
- Mengel, K., 1982. Factors and Processes affecting potassium requirements of crops. Potash Review Sub. 16, 90th Suite, 9:1-12.
- Moodie, C. D., H. W. Smith and R. A. Mc. Creery, 1959. Laboratory manual for soil fertility. State college Washington Mimeograph, 31-39.
- Sadiq, M., 1985. Potash status of soils in Pakistan. Proc. XII Int. Forum on Soil Taxonomy and Agro-technology Transfer. Soil Survey of Pakistan, pp: 113 - 118.
- Saleem, M. T. and G. O. B. Bertilsson, 1978. Current status and research needs concerning potassium requirements of crops in Pakistan. N F D C., Islamabad.
- Saleem, M. T., J. G. Davide, H. Nabhan and A. Hamid, 1989. Soil fertility and fertilizer use in Pakistan with special reference to potash. pp: 19 - 39. In Proc. of workshop on the "Role of potassium in improving fertilizer use efficiency Feb. 1987; Islamabad, Pakistan.
- Shakir, M. A., 1984. Potassium dynamics in soils. M. Sc. Thesis, Dept. Soil Sci. Uni. Agric., Faisalabad, Pakistan.
- Steel, R. G. D. and G. H. Torrie, 1980. Principles and procedures of statistics. McGraw Hill Book Co. Inc., New York, USA.
- Tamhane, R. V. and B. V. Subbiah, 1960. Correlation between soil analysis and response to fertilizers with special reference to rice crop in India. I R C Newsletter, 9: 1 - 10.
- U. S. Salinity Lab. Staff, 1954. Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkali soils. U. S. D. A. Handbook No. 60.