

# Journal of Biological Sciences

ISSN 1727-3048





© Asian Network for Scientific Information 2001

# Replacement of Sesame Oil Meal by Coconut Oil Meal in Diets with or Without Fish Meal on the Performance of Laying Hen

M.H. Kawsar, M.A. Rashid and M. Eunos Ali Imam Training Academy, Dinajpur, Bangladesh

Abstract: 56 star cross brown-579 commercial layers of 43 weeks of age were taken into experiment and grouped into 4. It was found that  $D_4$  (20% coconut oil meal + 12.5% soybean oil meal) consumed highest feed (126.5 g/d) than  $D_1$  (125.1 g/d),  $D_3$  (124.2 g/d) and  $D_2$  (123.3 g/d). The hen lay egg production of  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$  and  $D_3$  dietary groups almost similar but of  $D_4$  was higher. The higher egg weight (64.4 g/egg) was observed in  $D_2$  dietary group and lowest in  $D_4$  group (61.5). The  $D_4$  dietary group showed lower feed efficiency (2.61) than  $D_1$  (2.6),  $D_3$  (2.57) and  $D_2$  (2.52) non-significantly. The live weight gain of the  $D_2$  and  $D_1$  dietary groups were non-significantly higher than those fed on  $D_3$  and  $D_4$  diets. The feed cost was lower for per kg egg production by the  $D_4$  (Tk. 23.01) dietary group due to the use of low cost (COM and SOM) vegetable protein based diet but there was no significant differences among the dietary groups. So there is economic feasibility of feeding the coconut oil meal with or without fish meal in layer diets.

Key words: Replacement, sesame oil meal, coconut oil meal, fish meal, laying hen

#### Introduction

Feed cost is the greatest expenditure in the production of egg. Profitability of poultry enterprise is dependent largely on the extent to which feed cost could be reduced. To increase the number of poultry feed ingredients and to reduce the feed cost many important unconventional feed ingredients may be included as dietary constituents of poultry feed. Poultry nutritionist are trying to identify locally available new or alternative source of ingredients for poultry to make diet more economic but qualitative. Different oil cakes being good sources of vegetable protein are produced abundantly in Bangladesh. Recently, sesame oil meal, a by-product of the oil is being considered as an ingredient for poultry feed formulation.

Coconut oil meal which is available in local market also contains all amino acids to some extent required for poultry. 40% level of coconut oil meal could be used very successfully for both broilers and layers as long as the amino acids were balanced by addition of methionine and lysine; and fat 15-20% coconut oil meal may be used in the ration of growing chicks as protein supplement (Fronda and Mallonga, 1985) and 20-25% for laying hen (Soldevila and Rojas-Daporta, 1978). Thomas and Scott (1962) reported that no growth depressing and toxic effect from coconut oil meal. Coconut oil meal used in poultry diets to minimize feed cost and converted into egg, meat etc. The project was undertaken to see the effect of diets containing coconut oil meal as substitute of sesame oil meal with or without fish meal on the performance of laying hen and to asses the economic feasibility of feeding coconut oil meal with or without fish meal in layer diets.

## **Materials and Methods**

Birds and diets: 56 commercial layers "star cross Brown-579" were selected randomly from a population of 400 birds at the age of 43 weeks. The birds were divided into 4 dietary groups with 14 layers in each group. Body weight of birds was adjusted in all treatment groups at the beginning of the experiment. They were supplied with 4 diets  $D_1$  with 20% sesame oil meal (SOM) + 8% fish meal (FM),  $D_2$  with 20% coconut oil meal (COM) +10% FM,  $D_3$  with 20% SeOM+10.% Soyabean oil meal (SOM),  $D_4$  with 20% COM+12.5% SOM, so that each group received any one diet. All mash dry feed was supplied to the experimental birds ad labium. The detail composition of the diets are shown in Table 1. All diets were made Iso-nitrogenous and Iso-caloric in composition and the nutrients were almost similar closely to

those suggested in 'star corss-579' commercial management guide. The body weight of laying hen of each group was recorded individually at the beginning of the experiment and at 2 weeks interval until the termination of the experiment. Feed consumption was recorded weekly and egg production was recorded daily.

Analytical methods and design of experiment: Proximate components of the representative samples of feed were determined following the methods of AOAC (1990) (Table 2). Starch content of coconut oil meal was determined by the method. Free sugar was estimated by calorimetric method (Dubois *et al.*, 1951) The design of experiment was followed the Completely Randomized Design (CRD) (Steel and Torrie, 1980). Duncan Multiple Range Test (Duncan, 1955) was performed to identify the difference between mean values.

#### **Results and Discussion**

The effect of replacing sesame oil cake by coconut oil meal at 20% inclusion level in the presence or absence of fish meal on the productive performance of laying hens is shown in Table 3. Live weight gain of  $D_2$  and  $D_1$  dietary groups of birds during 18 weeks (43-60 weeks) of experimental period was higher than those birds fed on  $D_3$  and  $D_4$  diets (Table 3). The birds fed on  $D_2$  diet gain weight slightly higher than the birds fed on  $D_1$  diet.

However the differences in the mean values of body weight gain among different groups were not statistically significant although the mean values for  $\mathsf{D}_4$  group was considerably lower than those of 3 groups. The reason could be the high SEM. The highest feed consumption (126.5 g/d) was observed in  $\mathsf{D}_4$  and it was probably due to more palatability of the ration. Though the feed consumption was high in  $\mathsf{D}_4$  dietary group but difference in the mean value of feed consumption among different groups were not statistically significant.

The hen-day egg production of  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$  and  $D_3$  dietary groups were almost similar but of  $D_4$  was higher than the other 3 dietary groups. This result agreed well with the previous report that fed coconut oil meal without fish meal in different dietary levels to laying hens and found highest egg production (72.9%) with the dietary groups containing 20% coconut oil meal. but the result of present study differed with the findings that economic egg production (62.8%) in laying hen by feeding 20% COM with 10% FM. supplemented with vitamin-mineral premix. The hen-day egg production was not

Table 1: Composition of experimental diets (Amount in kg/ 100kg)

Feed ingredients	$D_1$	$D_{2}$	$D_3$	$D_4$		
	D% sesame oil meal 8% fish meal	20% coconut oil meal + 10% fish meal	20% sesame oil meal + 10% soyabean oil meal	20% coconut meal + 12.5% soyabean oil mea		
Maize	47	50	49	48.5		
Rice polish	15.5	11	12	11		
Sesame oil meal	20	-	20	-		
Coconut oil meal	-	20	-	20		
Fish meal	8	10	-	-		
Soyabean oil meal	-	-	10	12.5		
Bone meal	2.75	2.75	2.75	1.75		
Oyster shell	6.5	6	6	6		
Common salt	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25		
Vitamin mineral prem	ix +	+	+	+		
Calculated composition	on					
ME (MJ/kg)	11.43	11.33	11.52	11.43		
Crude protein	17.17	16.26	17.40	16.95		
Crude fibre	2.608	3.925	3.098	4.597		
Calcium %	3.32	3.30	3.01	2.84		
Available P%	0.60	0.65	0.48	0.40		
Lysine %	0.61	0.48	0.73	0.66		
Methionine %	0.62	0.45	0.46	0.26		
Methionine + Cysteir	າ % 0.94	0.92	1.25	0.90		
Tryptophen%	0.22	0.13	0.25	0.17		

Table 2: Chemical composition of feed ingredients used for formulation of experimental diets and their cost Ingredients DM% MF CP% FF% CF% Ca% AP% Lys% Meth% Cyst%

Ingredients	DM%	ME CP% (kcal/kg)	EE%	CF%	Ca%	AP%	Lys%	Meth%	Cyst%	Tryp%	price (Tk/ kg)	(Tk/kg)
Maize	89.5	33.09b	9.3	3.5	2.2	0.02 <sup>b</sup>	0.10 <sup>b</sup>	0.20 <sup>b</sup>	0.18 <sup>b</sup>	0.18 <sup>b</sup>	o.16ª	9.00
Rice polish	88.15	2900 <sup>b</sup>	16.95	11.98	3.5	$0.04^{b}$	0.16 <sup>b</sup>	0.71 <sup>b</sup>	0.27 <sup>b</sup>	0.37 <sup>b</sup>	0.13 <sup>a</sup>	7.60
Sesame oil meal	94.39	2600 <sup>b</sup>	31.90	5.00	5.00	2.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.30 <sup>b</sup>	1.30 <sup>b</sup>	1.40 <sup>b</sup>	0.60 <sup>b</sup>	0.69 <sup>b</sup>	8.50
Coconut oil meal	90.89*	2370*	25.10 <sup>*</sup>	11.88*	12.00°	0.20 <sup>b</sup>	0.20 <sup>b</sup>	2.60 <sup>b</sup>	0.30 <sup>b</sup>	0.61 <sup>b</sup>	0.22 <sup>d</sup>	4.20
Fish meal	90.38	2650 <sup>b</sup>	48.0	1.00	0.40	3.80 <sup>b</sup>	0.50 <sup>b</sup>	1.80 <sup>b</sup>	2.70 <sup>b</sup>	0.80 <sup>b</sup>	$0.35^{d}$	22.50
Soyabean oil meal	90.90	2694 <sup>d</sup>	45.0	0.90	6.00	0.32	0.25	2.90	0.65	0.67	0.57 <sup>d</sup>	14.20
Bone meal	97.00	-	-	-	-	29°	13.3°	-	-	-	-	9.00
Oyster shell	99.00	-	-	-	-	37 <sup>b</sup>	.015⁵	-	-	-	-	6.00

DM, CP, EE and CF values are based on laboratory analysis

The values are based on laboratory analysis in Poultry Science Department in BAU.

Table 3: Performance of laying hens fed COM containing diets with or without fish meal (43-60 weeks)

Variables	Diets									
	D <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>	$D_4$	level of significance					
	20% sesame oil meal + 8% fish meal	20% coconut oil meal + 10% fish meal	20% sesame oil meal + 10% soyaben oil meal	20% coconut meal + 12 % soyabean oil mea						
Initial body weight (g/bird)	1929.2	1916.8	1961.4	1968.3	NS					
Final body weight (g/bird)	1994.6	1984.1	2009.9	1979.8	NS					
Weight gain (g/bird)	65.4	67.3	48.5	11.5	NS					
Feed consumption (g/bird/day)	125.1	123.3	124.2	126.5	NS					
Hen-day egg production (%)	76.6	77.8	76.6	80.1	NS					
Egg weight (g/egg)	62.7 <sup>b</sup>	64.4ª	63.1 <sup>b</sup>	61.5°	*					
Feed efficiency	2.6	2.52	2.57	2.61	NS					
Egg mass output (g/bird/day)	48.1	50.8	48.9	50.2	NS					
Livability %	95	100	100	100	-					
Feed cost/kg mixed feed (Tk.)	10.07	9.92	9.58	8.85	-					
Feed cost/ kg egg production (Tk.	) 26.22	24.00	24.62	23.01	NS					

abc meanes bearing dissimilar superscripts differ significantly.

NS = Non significant. \* = p < 0.01

statistically significant among the groups.

The highest egg weight (64.4 g/d) was observed in  $D_2$  dietary group. The difference in egg weight between  $D_1$  and  $D_3$  was statistically non significant but both these groups differed significantly (p<0.01) from that of  $D_2$ . This variation was

completely due to fully replacement of SeOM by COM. It might be due to higher CF (12.00%), and EE (11.88%) value of COM than CF (5.0%) and EE (5.0%) value of SeOM and it also might be due to higher digestion and absorption of CP of COM than that of SeOM. Normally EE supplies (2.25 times)

## Kawsar et al.: Replacement of sesame oil meal

more energy than CP or starch.  $D_4$  dietary group also showed significantly lower (p<0.01) egg weight than other 3 treatment groups. This might be due to fully absence of fish meal The feed conversion ratio (FCR) of experimental birds of different dietary groups from Table 3 is clear that the  $D_4$  (2.61) dietary group showed lower feed efficiency due to lower egg weight and highest feed consumption but the different dietary group showed no statistically significant. Gerpacio *et al.* (1985) and Soldevila and Rajas-Daporta (1978) observed similar result in their experiment.

The calculated egg mass output of different dietary groups were close to each other, though slightly lower egg mass output was observed in D<sub>1</sub> and in D<sub>3</sub> but there is no significant differences among the four dietary groups. The result indicated that inclusion of COM up-to 20% level in poultry diets in presence or in absence of fishmeal had no deleterious effect on egg mass output. The feed cost per kg egg production by the birds of the treatment group D<sub>4</sub> (Tk. 23.01) was lower but the result of different dietary groups was not statistically significant. However when 20% SeOM was completely replaced by COM and FM was added the feed, cost of feed was reduced at Tk. 2.22 per kg egg production; This might be due to the low cost of COM than that of SeOM, where as in vegetables protein based diet D4; without animal protein feed cost was reduced at Tk. 3.37 per kg egg production. This might be due to the low cost of COM and SOM than that of SeOM and FM Respectively. This low cost of dietary group D<sub>4</sub> was due to completely used of low cost (COM and SOM) vegetable protein based diet. This result agreed with the previous study of Aletor et al. (1991). It may be concluded

that considering the low cost, availability and purity of protein supplement to the poultry ration, vegetable oil meal (20% COM) may be used as protein supplement in ration. Without any detrimental effect on the productive performance of laying hen except that egg weight depresses significantly when fish meal is not included and it is possible to make economic ration by using COM up-to 20% of the diet.

#### References

- AOAC., 1990. Official Methods of Analysis. 13th Edn., Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC), Washington, DC., USA.
- Aletor, V.A., F.A.O. Laseinde and D. Ogunyemia, 1991. Equiprotein replacement of fish meal with soyabean oil meal in the diets for broiler chickens: 1. The effect on performance characteristics, protein utilization and economics of production. Poult. Abestr., 17: 38-39.
- Duncan, D.B., 1955. Multiple range and multiple F tests. Biometrics, 11: 1-42.
- Fronda, F.M. and M.P. Mallonga, 1985. Protein supplements in poultry rations viz: Copra meal a supplement in rations for growing chicks. Philippine Agriculturist, 24: 326-336.
- Gerpacio, A.L., L.S. Castillo and P.I. Ibarra, 1985. Increased utilization of coconut by-products in broiler rations Philippines. Anim. Prod. Technol., 1: 32-33.
- Soldevila, M.M. and M. Rojas-Daporta, 1978. Effects or different levels of coconut meal on egg production. Poult. Abstr., 4: 274-275.
- Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie, 1980. Principles and Procedures of Statistics. 1st Edn., McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Thomas, O.A. and M.L. Scott, 1962. Coconut oil meal as a protein supplement in practical poultry diets. Poult. Sci., 41: 477-485.