



Journal of Biological Sciences

ISSN 1727-3048

science
alert

ANSI*net*
an open access publisher
<http://ansinet.com>

Sequence Analysis of a Comparative Anchored Tagged Sequence (Biglycan) and its Assignment to the X Chromosome of River Buffalo

Eman R. Mahfouz

Department of Cell Biology, Genetic Engineering Division, National Research Center, Dokki, Giza, Egypt

Abstract: Comparative Anchored Tagged Sequences (CATS) are considered to be a valuable tool for comparative mapping and the transfer of genomic information from the well developed maps as human and cattle to other species maps. In an attempt to augment the informational content of the river buffalo physical gene map, a primer pair representing one of the CATS, the Biglycan (BGN), was tested for reaction with river buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*, $2n = 50$) using Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) in order to assign the gene to buffalo chromosomes using a panel of 43 somatic cell hybrids. The segregation panel of BGN with markers representing all bovine synteny groups and the X chromosome revealed its cosegregation with U24 and to a lesser degree with U11 and the X chromosome. The sequence analysis of the buffalo PCR product (amplicon) and Blast searches with GenBank published sequences revealed sequence homology with *Bos taurus* chromosome X genomic BGN (95% identities) and *Homo sapiens* chromosome X genomic BGN (91% identities). The obtained results allow the assignment of BGN for the first time to river buffalo chromosome X, thus extending the river buffalo physical map and confirming the extensive genetic conservation between buffalo, cattle and human chromosomes especially for the X chromosome.

Key words: River buffalo, molecular markers, CATS

INTRODUCTION

Genomes of domestic animals are practically unknown when compared with those of both humans and mice. Cattle is considered to be the most studied bovid species, where 4,357 loci are mapped with 1,507 being genes (INRA bovmap database, July, 2006). They are mostly mapped to large chromosome regions. Another important bovid species is the river buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*, $2n = 50$, BBU), where more than 130 million of river buffaloes are raised all in the world for both meat and milk production. With its economic importance in many Asian and Mediterranean countries, the genetic improvement of river buffalo, especially in reproductive performance and quantity of meat and milk production, ranks high among agricultural research needs of these countries. Genome studies and a physical gene map of river buffalo is being developed and expanding.

Comparative mapping, mapping homologous genes in multiple species, provides more information about chromosomal evolution between distant mammalian species than is available from the best cytogenetic technologies currently available. In this respect, the rapid development of the human and mouse gene maps has stimulated the expansion of genetic maps for several additional mammalian species. A common observation, which became the basis for the field of comparative

genome mapping, is the remarkable conservation of linkage organization of homologous genes in species from diverse mammalian orders (Copeland and Jenkins, 1991; Womack and Kata, 1995; Johansson *et al.*, 1995; Nadeau, 1995).

Precise comparisons of mammalian gene maps require common anchor loci as landmarks for conserved chromosomal segments. In this respect, Lyons *et al.* (1997) designed a number of evolutionarily conserved primer pair sequences termed Comparative Anchor Tag Sequences (CATS), providing PCR-format gene markers that can be used to construct and connect gene maps of any mammalian species.

Studies on the use of CATS primers in river buffalo were initiated at the Department of Cell Biology, National Research Center, Cairo, Egypt and Department of Veterinary Pathobiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, Texas A and M University, USA, through a joint project (Bio-005-002). Biglycan (BGN) is defined to be an extracellular matrix molecule that is important for the maintenance of muscle cell integrity (Mercado *et al.*, 2006). In the present study, primer pair representing BGN and published as CATS by Lyons *et al.* (1997) was used to investigate its reactivity in river buffalo and to study its segregation in a panel of 43 somatic cell hybrids, obtained from the fusion of river buffalo blood lymphocytes and cells from Chinese hamster cell line

(wg3h) (de Hondt *et al.*, 1991), in an attempt for the assignment of BGN to river buffalo chromosomes. The obtained results necessitate sequencing of the amplified PCR product of buffalo DNA and Blast search of Genbank to verify the BGN assignment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The PCR primer pair used in this study for BGN was one of the CATS designed by Lyons *et al.* (1997). The sequences of the forward and reverse primers are: CTC CAA GAA CCA CCT GGT GTTC AAA GCC ACT GTT CTC CAG A panel of 43 somatic cell hybrids resulting from the fusion of river buffalo lymphocytes and Chinese hamster cell line wg3h (de Hondt *et al.*, 1991), was used to investigate the segregation pattern of BGN anchored locus by Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR).

Genomic DNA extraction: The isolation of DNA from buffalo leukocytes was performed according to Blin and Stafford (1976), while hamster cell line and somatic cell hybrids DNA was extracted according to Ausubel *et al.* (1990).

Buffalo blood was collected in syringes containing Ethylene Diamine Tetra Acetic Acid (EDTA). Ten mL blood were transferred to a 50 mL polypropylene tube on ice to which 25 mL of cold 2X sucrose-Triton (0.64M sucrose; 0.02 M Tris-base; 0.01M MgCl₂; 2% Triton X-100 pH 7.6) and 15 mL dd H₂O were added. The tube was inverted a few times and set on ice for 10 min with occasional inversion. The mixture was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C and the supernatant was discarded. The cultured cells of hamster and hybrid cell lines were scrapped, centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 15 min at room temperature and the supernatants were discarded.

The cell pellets from buffalo blood, hamster and hybrid cells were suspended in 3 m nuclei lysis buffer, in addition to 1/20 volume of 20% SDS and 1/20 volume of proteinase K(10 mg mL⁻¹). The samples were incubated overnight in a shaking water-bath at 37°C.

DNA from each sample was extracted once with TE-saturated phenol, then with phenol: chloroform: isoamyl alcohol (25: 24: 1) until there was no protein at the interface and finally by chloroform-isoamyl alcohol (24:1). For each extraction, the aqueous phase was mixed well with an equal volume of solvent, centrifuged for 10 min at 2000 rpm and the top layer carefully transferred to another falcon tube for the next extraction. To the final aqueous phase, 1/10 the volume of 2.5 M NaOAc (pH 5.5) and 2.5 volume of cold 95% ethanol were added, the tube was

agitated to mix. DNA was picked up with a heat-sealed Pasteur pipette and washed briefly in cold 70% ethanol and air dried, then dissolved in an appropriate volume of 1X TE buffer. DNA concentration was determined using UV spectrophotometer (Pharmacia LKB-Ultrospec) at 260 nm. A reading of 1.0 at 260 nm is equivalent to 50 µg mL⁻¹.

Polymerase chain reaction: PCR was performed in 25-100 µL reaction mixture consisting of 0.2 mM dNTPs, 10 mM Tris, 50 mM KCl, 1.0 to 2.0 mM MgCl₂, 1% Triton X-100, 2.5 units Taq polymerase and 1.0 µM forward and reverse primers, this was aliquoted into PCR tubes with 100 mg DNA of buffalo, hamster or hybrid cells. The reaction mixture was overlaid with sterile mineral oil and was cycled in the Techne Cyclogene PCR machine using 1 cycle (3 min) at 94°C, followed by 30 cycles (1 min at 94°C, 1 min at 64°C and 1 min at 72°C) and finally 1 cycle (10 min) at 72°C. Following the completion of the PCR cycles, 2 µL of tracking dye (20 mg bromophenol blue, 1 mL 0.2 M EDTA, 2 mL glycerol, sterile distilled water to 20 mL total volume) was added to each PCR tube. The reaction products and appropriate size marker were electrophoresed on 1.5% agarose in 1X-Tris acetate buffer (TAE) containing 0.8% µL of 10 mg mL⁻¹ ethidium bromide. After electrophoresis, the gel was examined with UV at a wave length of 312 nm and photographed using a Polaroid MP4⁺ camera.

Statistical analysis: The segregation pattern of the PCR product was compared with bovine synteny groups and X chromosome. Synteny was determined by calculating the correlation coefficient (ϕ) according to Chevalet and Corpet (1986). A ϕ value of more than 0.67 is indicative of synteny.

Sequence analysis: The PCR product of river buffalo DNA was purified and sequenced at the Center of Genetic Engineering, Ain Shams University/Cairo/Egypt. Sequence analysis and alignment were carried out using NCBI-BLASTN 2.2.14 version (Altschul *et al.*, 1997).

RESULTS

In order to assign BGN marker, as one of the CATS, to river buffalo, the primer pair representing the marker under investigation was tested for reaction with both buffalo and hamster cell line DNAs, where it was found to react relatively with buffalo only and after optimization of the annealing temperature, an intensive PCR product of approximately 700 bp was obtained.

Table 1: Percent concordance and correlation coefficient (ϕ) of BGN marker with markers for bovine syntenic groups and the x chromosome

Syntenic group	Marker	(%) concordance	Correlation coefficient (ϕ)	Syntenic group	Marker	(%) concordance	Correlation coefficient (ϕ)
U1	HUJ614	40	0.19	U16	LGB	60	0.27
U2	CGA	55	0.14	U17	INHA	59	0.24
U3	IGF1	65	0.37	U18	CSSM4	43	-0.19
U4	RF131	61	0.29	U19	FSHB	51	0.22
U5	SRM6	58	0.41	U20	BOLAD	65	0.36
U6	CYM	52	0.23	U21	MAP2C	44	0.00
U7	LDHA	54	0.17	U22	LDLR	56	0.21
U8	ELN	57	0.32	U23	DU23S1	67	0.42
U9	TGLA22	60	0.31	U24	BSPN	81	0.67
U10	CD18	68	0.41	U25	ANT1	59	0.24
U11	OXT	72	0.56	U26	RM26	52	0.2
U12	CSSM6	66	0.39	U27	F10	64	0.39
U13	INHBA	51	0.05	U28	CSSM3	57	0.29
U14	GSR	43	0.25	U29	ETH112	64	0.44
U15	CSN3	41	0.03	X	G6PD	69	0.52

Score = 933 bits (505), Expect = 0.0 Identities = 567/596 (95%), Gaps = 8/596 (1%)
Strand=Plus/Plus

```

Amplicon 44      CATCTGTCCCCACACCCCTCGGGG-ATG-GGCTTCATAAGGGG-CCCCACTAAGCACCCAC 100
|||||
BTA BGN 298302  CATCTGTCCCCACACCCCTCGGGGCTGTGCTTCCCTAAGGGGCCCCACTAAGCACCCGC 298361

Amplicon 101    TCCCCATCTCCCCCTGAAAGCAGTGCCATTAATTTACTGGATGAGCTGTAAGCTCCCTTG 160
|
BTA BGN 298362  T---CCATCTCCCCCTGAAAGCAGTGCCACTAATTTACTGGACGAGCTGTAAGCTCCCTTG 298478

Amplicon 161    CTGTTGAACACCACGAACCTACCAAGGGTCTTGGGCCCTGGCATGGGCCCTTGGGGACAAGG 220
|||||
BTA BGN 298419  CTGTTGAACACCACGAACCTACCAAGGGTCTTGGGCCCTGGCATGGGCCCTTGGGGACAAGG 298478

Amplicon 221    GGAGCCCACCCAGCTTCTCCACCTGGCCCCCTGGCTGGCAGGCTGTGCCAGCTCTATACT 280
|||||
BTA BGN 298479  GGAGCCCACCCAGCTTCTCCACCTGGCCCCCTGGCTGGTAAGCTGTGCCAGCTCTATACT 298598

Amplicon 281    TGCCCTTTCCTTATGGGCCCTGGGTTTCTCTTCACCTGGCCTTGGCAGCTCTGGGGTGTGA 340
|||||
BTA BGN 298539  TGCCCTTTCCTTATGGGCCCTGGGTTTCTCTTCACCTGGCCTTGGCATCTCTGGGGTGTGA 298598

Amplicon 341    CCCTAGGCAAGCCTCCAGAACGTTCCGTGAGGCCAGCCAGCTTGTCCATGGCACCTGGCT 400
|||||
BTA BGN 298599  CCCTAGGCAAGCCTCCAGAACGTTCTGTGAGGCCAGCCGGCTTGTCCGTGGCACCTGGCT 298658

Amplicon 401    GCTCTGGCGGGCAGAGCCCCCTCCTTGAAGCTCTCCCCCTTCCCTGTCCACC-TCGCCACC 459
|||||
BTA BGN 298659  GCTCTGGTGGGCAGAGCCCCCTCCTTGAAGCTCTCCCCCTTCCCTGTCCACCCTCGCCACC 298718

Amplicon 460    ATGGGGGAGGGGTGAGGACCACCACAGGGCGGACCTGGCTCACCAATGCAGTTCATGTTG 519
|||||
BTA BGN 298719  ATGGGGGAGGGGTGAGGACCACCACAGGGCGGCGCTGGCTCACCAATGCAGTTCATGTTG 298778

Amplicon 520    CGAAGCCCATTGAACACGCCCTTGGGCACCTTGC GGATGCGGTTGTCATGGATGCGGAGC 579
|||||
BTA BGN 298779  CGAAGCCCATTGAACACGCCCTTGGGCACCTTGC GGATGCGGTTGTCATGGATGCGGAGC 298838

Amplicon 580    TCCACCAGGGAGCTGGTCAGGGTGGGAGGGATCTCCACCAGGTGGTTTCTTGAAGA 635
|||||
BTA BGN 298839  TCCACCAGGGAGCTGGGCAGGTGGGAGGGATCTCCACCAGGTGGTT-CTTGGAGA 298893
    
```

Fig. 2a: Sequence alignments between BGN amplicon and *Bos Taurus* (BTA) chromosome X genomic BGN

```

Score = 170 bits (86), Expect = 4e-39
Identities = 119/130 (91%), Gaps = 0/130 (0%)
Strand=Plus/Minus

Amplicon 497      GCTCACCAATGCAGTTCATGTTGCGAAGCCCATGAACACGCCCTTGGGCACCTTGCGGA 556
||||||| |||||||||||||||| || |||||| | ||||||| |||||||||||||||||||
HSA BGN 110819    GCTCACCGATGCAGTTCATGTTCCGGAGCCCGCTGAACACTCCCTTGGGCACCTTGCGGA 110760

Amplicon 557      TCGGTTGTCATGGATGCGGAGCTCCACCAGGGAGCTGGTCAGGGTGGGAGGGATCTCCA 616
||||||| |||||||||||||||| ||||||| ||| |||| | |||||||
HSA BGN 110759    TCGGTTGTCATGGATGCGGAGCTCCACCAGGGAGCTGGTAGGTTGGGCGGGATCTCCA 110700

Amplicon 617      CCAGGTGGTT 626
|||||||
HSA BGN 110699    CCAGGTGGTT 110690
    
```

Fig. 2b: Sequence alignments between BGN amplicon and *Homo sapiens* (HSA) chromosome X genomic BGN (segment 1)

```

Score = 170 bits (86), Expect = 4e-39
Identities = 119/130 (91%), Gaps = 0/130 (0%)
Strand=Plus/Minus

Amplicon 497      GCTCACCAATGCAGTTCATGTTGCGAAGCCCATGAACACGCCCTTGGGCACCTTGCGGA 556
||||||| |||||||||||||||| || |||||| | ||||||| |||||||||||||||||||
HSA BGN 65467     GCTCACCGATGCAGTTCATGTTCCGGAGCCCGCTGAACACTCCCTTGGGCACCTTGCGGA 65408

Amplicon 557      TCGGTTGTCATGGATGCGGAGCTCCACCAGGGAGCTGGTCAGGGTGGGAGGGATCTCCA 616
||||||| |||||||||||||||| ||||||| ||| |||| | |||||||
HSA BGN 65407     TCGGTTGTCATGGATGCGGAGCTCCACCAGGGAGCTGGTAGGTTGGGCGGGATCTCCA 65348

Amplicon 617      CCAGGTGGTT 626
|||||||
HSA BGN 65347     CCAGGTGGTT 65338
    
```

Fig. 2c: Sequence alignments between BGN amplicon and *Homo sapiens* (HSA) chromosome X genomic BGN (segment 2)

DISCUSSION

Effective comparative mapping inference utilizing developing gene maps of animal species requires the inclusion of anchored reference loci that are homologous to genes mapped in the more gene-dense mouse and human maps. Nominated anchor loci, termed comparative anchor tagged sequences (CATS), have been designed by Lyons *et al.* (1997) to facilitate direct comparisons between divergent species gene maps, where they represent landmarks for conserved chromosomal segments and providing PCR-format gene markers that can be used to construct and connect gene maps of virtually any mammalian species.

Preliminary genetic maps for several mammalian species have been developed, primarily utilizing high resolution polymorphic microsatellite markers (Type II markers); however, these have little

comparative value because they are seldom conserved outside the mammalian order of the studied species (Lyons *et al.*, 1997). The best markers for such anchor loci would be expressed genes (termed Type I markers) as their DNA sequence can be used to establish homology between divergent species (O'Brien, 1991).

The assignment of molecular markers, especially type I markers, to domestic animal chromosomes represents an important goal for their genetic improvement. Relatively few loci have been mapped in river buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*, 2n = 50) in comparison with those mapped in cattle (BovBase). However, considering the high degree of chromosome band homologies and loci conservation existing between cattle and river buffalo (CSKBB, 1994; De Hondt *et al.*, 1997; De Hondt and El Nahas, 2001; Di Meo *et al.*, 2000; El Nahas *et al.*, 1993, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2001; El Nahta *et al.*, 1994; Iannuzzi *et al.*, 2000a, b,

Mahfouz, 2000; Oraby *et al.*, 1998; Othman and El Nahas, 1999; Othman *et al.*, 2003; Othman and Bibars, 2004), data on the bovine physical map should help to extend those of related species, in particular river buffalo.

In the context of comparative mapping studies, a number of CATS consensus primers, from loci mapped to human chromosomes 9, 10, 20 and 22, have been used to amplify homologous loci in pigs. Ten loci were physically mapped where map locations were consistent with human/pig Zoo-FISH (Lee *et al.*, 2001). Whereas, Hassan (2004) tested the reaction of one of the CATS (LDHB) on buffalo DNA and used a panel of Buffalo/hamster hybrid cells for gene assignment, where synteny of LDHB with two syntenic groups, U3 and U29, was reported earlier (El Nahas *et al.*, 1999) which were assigned to the long and short arms of BBU4, respectively. The sequence analysis, conducted by Hassan (2004), of the buffalo amplicon revealed the assignment of an LDHB pseudogene to the X chromosome of river buffalo.

In this respect, some genes can be used to expand the physical map of river buffalo, by verifying the assignment of genes to chromosomes and by assigning new ones. This is the case in the present study, where BGN has not yet been assigned to river buffalo chromosomes. The primer pair for BGN gene reacted positively with river buffalo DNA producing a buffalo PCR product of approximately 700 bp. The same band size was also shown by Lyons *et al.* (1997), using the same primer pair and other mammalian DNAs.

The results in this study indicate syntenic relation of the investigated BGN marker and markers representing U24 ($\phi = 0.67$). Synteny with U11 and the X chromosome had ϕ values of 0.56 and 0.52, respectively. It is to be noted that some unexpected chromosomal loss or rearrangements may occur in somatic cell hybrids resulting into misleading or confusing informations; this drawback was overcome by sequence analysis of the amplified PCR product of buffalo DNA and Blast searches of GenBank, since the presence of unexpected non-homologous amplification in different species is possible. Sequence analysis revealed sequence homology between the buffalo amplicon and sequence of *Bos taurus* chromosome X genomic BGN with 95% identities (NW001030256.1/BTX WGA42622) and with two segments of *Homo sapiens* chromosome X genomic BGN with 91% identities each (gi|22773406|gb|U82695.3| and gi|22773273|gb|AF274858.3|).

Lyons *et al.* (1997) also reported the homology of the feline PCR product sequence of BGN with both sequences of *Bos taurus* and *Homo sapiens*.

BGN was previously reported to be assigned to *Bos taurus* chromosome X (BTA X) by Wegrowski *et al.* (1995), Amaral and Womack (2000) and Rubes *et al.* (2005). On the other hand, the localization of BGN gene to human chromosome X (HSA Xq13-qter) was reported by Mc Bride *et al.* (1990) and more precisely, fine mapping of BGN within the human Xq28 region was performed by Traupe *et al.* (1992) employing a hybrid cell panel. Whereas, genetic and physical mapping of BGN to the mouse X chromosome (MMU X) was accomplished by Chatterjee *et al.* (1993). BGN was also mapped to the X chromosome of the horse (*Equus caballus*), wallaby (*Macropus eugenii*), platypus (*Ornithorhynchus anatinus*), planigale (*Planigale maculate sinualis*) and rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) as referenced in the Horsemap database for LIFE for BGN. These reported assignments of BGN to different species chromosome X are in agreement with the fact that genes on the X chromosome have been conserved in many species, where human and mouse X chromosomes contain a minimum of eight conserved segments (Blair *et al.*, 1994). The X chromosome is also conserved in human and cattle (Amaral *et al.*, 2002). Markers of the X chromosome, previously reported to be assigned to river buffalo are conserved on human and cattle X chromosomes as is the case with G6PD (Mahfouz, 2000; Othman *et al.*, 2003), PGK1 (Iannuzzi, 1998; Iannuzzi *et al.*, 1999; Iannuzzi *et al.*, 2000a) and F9 (Othman *et al.*, 2003).

Despite the close relation and the strikingly similar banding patterns between buffalo and cattle, since they both belong to subfamily *Bovinae* (CSKBB, 1994), there is no doubt that some differences do exist between the two species; this is slightly observed in this study, where the alignment of the buffalo amplicon with *Bos Taurus* chromosome X BGN revealed 95% identities with 8 gaps observed. This difference in the nucleotide sequence between both species indicates the necessity of a new series of investigations to be conducted in order to reveal the differences between buffalo and cattle since almost all the searches made so far were concentrating on the close relation and the similarity between both species.

In conclusion, comparative mapping predicts the conservation of the X chromosome in different mammalian species, but some internal chromosome rearrangements may exist among them, therefore the results presented here allow the assignment of BGN gene to BBU X for the first time, based on comparative mapping predictions and the subsequent investigations in this study, thus extending the river buffalo physical map and confirming the extensive genetic conservation between buffalo,

cattle and human chromosomes especially for the X chromosome.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to acknowledge professor S.M. El Nahas, Cell Biology Unit, National Research Center, for her sincere help and careful examination of the manuscript. This study was in part supported by the joint research project (Bio-005-002).

REFERENCES

- Altschul, S.F., F. Stephen, T.L. Maden, A.A. Schaffer, J. Zhang, Z. Zhang, W. Miller and D.J. Lipman, 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 25: 3389-3402.
- Amaral, M.E. and J.E. Womack, 2000. High resolution comparative map of the bovine X chromosome by analysis of radiation hybrid cell panel. Plant and Animal Genome VIII Conference, San Diego, CA.
- Amaral, M.E., S.R. Kata and J.E. Womack, 2002. A radiation hybrid map of bovine X chromosome (BTA X). *Mamm. Genome*, 13: 268-271.
- Ausubel, F.M., R. Brent, R.E. Kingston, D.D. Moore, J.A. Seidman and K. Struhl, 1990. *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*. Chapter 2. Green Publishing and Wiley-Interscience, New York.
- Barendse, W., S.M. Armitage, L.M. Kossarek, A. Shalom and B.W. Kirkpatrick, 1994. A genetic map of the bovine genome. *Nature Genet.*, 6: 227-235.
- Blair, H.J., V. Reed, S.H. Laval and Y. Boyd, 1994. New insights into the man-mouse comparative map of the chromosome. *Genomics*, 19: 215-220.
- Blin, N. and D.W. Stafford, 1976. A general method for isolation of high molecular weight DNA from eukaryotes. *Nucleic Acid Res.*, 3: 2303-2308.
- Chatterjee, A., C.J. Faust and G.E. Herman, 1993. Genetic and physical mapping of the biglycan gene on the mouse X chromosome. *Mamm. Genome*, 4: 33-38.
- Chevalet, C. and F. Corpet, 1986. Statistical decision rules concerning synteny or independence between markers. *Cytogenet. Cell Genet.*, 43: 132-139.
- Copeland, N.G. and N.A. Jenkins, 1991. Development and applications of a molecular genetic linkage map of the mouse genome. *Trends Genet.*, 7: 113-118.
- CSKBB., 1994. Standard karyotype of the river buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis* L., 2n = 50). Report of the committee for the standardization of banded karyotypes of the river buffalo (*Iannuzzi* L., coordinator). *Cytogenet. Cell Genet.*, 67: 102-113.
- De Hondt, H.A., A.A. Bosma, M. den Bieman, N.A. de Haan and L.F.M. van Zutphen, 1991. Gene mapping in the river buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis* L.). *Genet. Sel. Evol.*, 23: 104-108.
- De Hondt, H.A., D. de Gallagher, H. Oraby, O.E. Othman, A.A. Bosma, J.E. Womack and S.M. El Nahas, 1997. Gene mapping in the river buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis* L.): Five syntenic groups. *J. Anim. Breed. Genet.*, 114: 79-85.
- De Hondt and El Nahas, 2001. Genetics and Genomic mapping of the water buffalo, *Bubalus bubalis* L. *Egypt. J. Vet. Sci.*, 35: 1-26.
- Di Meo, G.P., A. Perucatti and L. Schibler *et al.*, 2000. Thirteen type I loci from HSA 4q, HSA 6p, HSA 7q and HSA 12q were comparatively FISH-mapped in four river buffalo and sheep chromosomes. *Cytogenet. Cell Genet.*, 90: 102-105.
- El Nahas, S.M., H.A. Hondt, O.S. de Othman, A.A. Bosma and N.A. de Haan, 1993. Assignment of genes to chromosome 4 of the river buffalo with a panel of buffalo-hamster hybrid cells. *J. Anim. Breed. Genet.*, 110: 182-185.
- El Nahta, S., C. Zijlstra, H.A. de Hondt, N.A. de Haan, A.A. Bosma and S.M. El Nahas, 1994. Evaluation of buffalo-hamster somatic cell hybrids using chromosome painting. *Proceedings 11th European Colloquium on Cytogenetic of Domestic Animals*. Frederiksberg, Denmark, August, pp: 25-29.
- El Nahas, S.M., H.A. Oraby, H.A. Hondt, A.M. de Medhat, M.M. Zahran, E.R. Mahfouz and A.M. Karim, 1996. Synteny mapping in river buffalo. *Mammalian Genome*, 7: 831-834.
- El Nahas, S.M., H.O. Oraby, O.E. Othman, H.A. Hondt, A.A. de Bosma and J.E. Womack, 1997. Use of molecular markers for the identification of river buffalo chromosomes: Chromosome one. *J. Anim. Breed. Genet.*, 114: 451-455.
- El Nahas, S.M., F.M. Abdel-Tawab, M.M. Zahran, F.S. Soussa, M.A. Rashed and S.M. Ali, 1998. Gene mapping of river buffalo by somatic cell hybridization. *Egypt. J. Genet. Cytol.*, 27: 171-179.
- El Nahas, S.M., H.A. Hondt, S.F. de Soussa, S.F. Hassan and A.A. El Ghor, 1999. Assignment of new loci to river buffalo chromosomes confirms the nature of chromosome 4 and 5. *J. Anim. Breed. Genet.*, 116: 21-28.
- El Nahas, S.M., H.A. de Hondt and J.E. Womack, 2001. Current status of the river buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis* L.) gene map. *J. Heredity*, 92: 221-225.
- Hassan, A.A., 2004. Assignment of LDHB pseudogene to the X chromosome of the Egyptian river buffalo. *Egypt. J. Biotechnol.*, 16: 425-436.

- Iannuzzi, L., 1998. A genetic physical map in river buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*, 2n = 50). *Caryologia*, 51: 311-318.
- Iannuzzi, L., D.S. Gallagher and G.P. Di Meo, 1999. Comparative FISH-mapping of six expressed gene loci to river buffalo and sheep. *Cytogenetic Cell Genet.*, 84: 161-163.
- Iannuzzi, L., G.P. Di Meo and A. Perucatti *et al.*, 2000a. Comparative FISH-mapping of bovid X chromosomes reveals homologies and divergences between the subfamilies *Bovinae* and *Caprinae*. *Cytogenet. Cell Genet.*, 89: 171-176.
- Iannuzzi, L., G.P. Di Meo and A. Perucatti, 2000b. Sixteen type I loci from six chromosomes were comparatively fluorescent in situ mapped to river buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis* L.) and sheep (*Ovis aries*) chromosomes. *Chromosome Res.*, 8: 447-450.
- Johansson, M., H. Ellegren and L. Andersson, 1995. Comparative mapping reveals extensive linkage conservation-but with gene order rearrangements-between the pig and human genomes. *Genomics*, 25: 682-690.
- Lee, J.H., W. Zhang and C. Moran, 2001. Comparative porcine gene mapping relative to human chromosomes 9, 10, 20 and 22. *Anim. Genetics*, 32: 313.
- Lyons, L.A., T.F. Laughlin, N.G. Copeland, N.A. Jenkins, J.E. Womack and S.J. O'Brien, 1997. Comparative Anchor Tagged Sequences (CATS) for integrative mapping of mammalian genomes. *Nature Genetics*, 15: 47-56.
- Mahfouz, E.R., 2000. Physical gene mapping in Egyptian river buffalo using PCR primers. Ph.D Thesis, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.
- McBride, O.W., L.W. Fisher and M.F. Young, 1990. Localization of PGI (biglycan, BGN) and PGII (decorin, DCN, PG-40) genes on human chromosomes Xq13-qter and 12q, respectively. *Genomics*, 6: 219-243.
- Mercado, M.L., A.R. Amenta, H. Hagiwara, M.S. Rafia, B.E. Lechner, R.T. Owens, D.J. Maquillan, S.C. Frehner and J.R. Fallon, 2006. Biglycan regulates the expression and sarcolemmal localization of dystrobrevin, syntrophin and nNos. *FASEB J.*, 20: 1724-1726.
- Nadeau, J.H., 1995. A Rosetta stone of mammalian genetics. *Nature*, 373: 363-365.
- O'Brien, S.J., 1991. Mammalian genome mapping: Lessons and prospects. *Curr. Opin. Gene. Develop.*, 1: 105-111.
- Oraby, H.A., S.M. El Nahas, H.A. de Hondt and M.F. Abdel Samad, 1998. Assignment of PCR markers to river buffalo chromosomes. *Genet. Selec. Evol.*, 30: 71-73.
- Othman, O.E. and S.M. El Nahas, 1999. Synteny Assignment of four genes and two microsatellite markers in river buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis* L.). *J. Anim. Breed. Genet.*, 116: 161-168.
- Othman, E.O., M.F. Abdel Samad and S.M. El Nahas, 2003. Synteny assignment of nine molecular markers to river buffalo chromosomes. *Buffalo J.*, 3: 299-310.
- Othman, E.O. and M.A. Bibars, 2004. Mapping of twelve bovine microsatellites on river buffalo chromosomes and homology with cattle chromosomes. *Buffalo J.*, 2: 139-152.
- Rubes, J., S. Kubickova, P. Musilova, M. Amaral, R. Brunner and T. Goldammer, 2005. Assignment of chromosome rearrangements between X chromosomes of human and cattle by laser microdissection and Zoo-FISH. *Chromosome Res.*, 13: 569-574.
- Traupe, H., A.M. Van den Ouweland, B.A. Van Oost, W. Vogel, U. Vetter, S.T. Warren, M. Rocchi, M.G. Darlison and H.H. Ropers, 1992. Fine mapping of the human biglycan (BGN) gene within the Xq28 region employing a hybrid cell panel. *Genomics*, 13: 481-483.
- Wegrowski, Y., J. Pillarisetti, K.G. Danielson, S. Suzuki, and R.V. Iozzo, 1995. The murine biglycan: Complete cDNA cloning, genomic organization, promoter function and expression. *Genomics*, 30: 8-17.
- Womack, J.E. and S.R. Kata, 1995. Bovine genome mapping: Evolutionary inference and the power of comparative genomics. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Develop.*, 5: 725-733.