



Journal of Biological Sciences

ISSN 1727-3048

science
alert

ANSI*net*
an open access publisher
<http://ansinet.com>

Enzyme Inhibition Effect and Polyphenolic Content of Medicinal Plant Extracts from Burkina Faso

¹Mindiédiba Jean Bangou, ¹Martin Kiendrebeogo, ¹Moussa Compaoré, ¹Ahmed Yacouba Coulibaly,

¹Nâg-Tiero Roland Meda, ²Norma Almaraz Abarca, ³Boukaré Zeba,

⁴Jeanne Millogo-Rasolodimby and ¹Odile Germaine Nacoulma

¹Laboratoire de Biochimie et de Chimie Appliquées (L.A.BIO.C.A), U.F.R/S.V.T,
Université de Ouagadougou, 09 BP 848 Ouagadougou 09, Burkina Faso

²Centro Interdisciplinario de Investigación para el Desarrollo Integral Regional, Instituto Politécnico Nacional,
Unidad Durango. Becaria COFAA; Sigma 119, Fraccionamiento 20 de Noviembre II,
Durango, Dgo., México

³Laboratoire d'Enzymologie de la Chimio-Résistance Bactérienne (L.E.CR.B)

U.F.R/S.V.T, Université de Ouagadougou, 09 BP 848 Ouagadougou 09, Burkina Faso,

⁴Laboratoire de Biologie et d'Ecologie Végétales, U.F.R/S.V.T, Université de Ouagadougou,
09 BP 848 Ouagadougou 09, Burkina Faso

Abstract: In the present study, 36 plant extracts, belonging to 6 families from Burkina Faso were used to evaluate their glutathione-S-transferase (GST), acetylcholinesterase (AChE), carboxylesterase (CES) and xanthine oxidase (XO) inhibitory activities and their phenolic, tannin and flavonoids contents by using spectrophotometrical methods. At 100 µg mL⁻¹, *Lippia chevalieri*, *Eclipta prostrata*, *Lantana camara* and *Indigofera pulchra* extracts showed the best percentage of inhibition by regulating GST, AChE, CES and XO activities, respectively. The phytochemical investigations showed that all plant extracts were rich in biological compounds, namely phenolic, tannin and flavonoids. Particularly *Cassia mimosoides* extract presented the best phenolic, tannin and flavonoid contents. This result indicated that phenolic from Caesalpiniaceae, flavonoids from Combretaceae and tannin from Verbenaceae contribute significantly to the inactivation of CES, AChE and GST, respectively. However, no significant correlation was found between polyphenolic compounds content and XO inhibitory activity. Present findings could partially justify the traditional uses of these plants in the treatment of mental disorders, gout, painful inflammations and cardiovascular diseases.

Key words: Medicinal plant, phenolic content, tannin content, flavonoid content, enzymes inhibition

INTRODUCTION

Glutathione-s-transferase (GST), Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) and Carboxylesterase (CES) are known for their participation on the development of Alzheimer's disease (AD), gout, cancer and cardiovascular diseases (Djeridane *et al.*, 2008; Hayeshi *et al.*, 2007). These diseases are becoming now-a-days a threat to public health (Orhan *et al.*, 2004). Some previous investigations showed that the inhibition of these enzymes was a good way for biological molecules research against those diseases (Orhan *et al.*, 2004; Trumbeckaite *et al.*, 2006; Wu and Ng, 2008; Havlik *et al.*, 2010). Furthermore, it is well demonstrated that medicinal plants were a promising way for obtaining biological molecules (Djeridane *et al.*, 2006). In Burkina Faso, some

ethnobotanical investigations showed the popular use of medicinal plants in the treatment of cardiovascular diseases, gout and cancer (Nacoulma, 1996). Table 1 shows the traditional uses of 36 well-known plants from Asteraceae, Caesalpiniaceae, Combretaceae, Fabaceae, Lamiaceae and Verbenaceae families.

Previous biological investigations showed that *E. prostrata* and *L. camara* extracts possess AChE inhibitory activities (Vinutha *et al.*, 2007). Nevertheless, the phytochemical studies demonstrated that flavonoids, tannins, polyphenolic were founds in *T. indica*, *Indigofera* species, *E. prostrata* and *O. americanum* extracts (Paarakh, 2010; Lamien-Meda *et al.*, 2008; Bakasso *et al.*, 2008; Gopiesh and Kannabiran, 2007; Vieira *et al.*, 2003). Other previous results have shown the secondary metabolite such as flavonoids and tannins

Table 1: Traditional use, part used and herbarium numbers of plants

Family/species	Traditional use	Parts used	Herbarium numbers
Asteraceae			
<i>Acanthospermum hispidum</i> DC	Stem, stem leaves: nevralgy, stomach aches, colic, headaches, rheumatism	WP	BK-ah2796
<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i> L.	Leaves: anti-inflammatory, ulcer, snakes bites and headaches	WP	BK-ac2800
<i>Chrysanthellum americanum</i> L.		L	BK-cra2782
<i>Bidens engleri</i> O. E. Shulz	Stem leaves: antibiotic, fever, snakes bites, colic, skin inflammation	WP	BK-be2802
<i>Dicoma tomentosa</i> Cass	Stem leaves: anti-inflammatory, rheumatism	L	BK-dt2819
<i>Eclipta prostrata</i> L.		L	BK-ep2801
<i>Tridax procumbens</i> L.	Whole plant: icterus, arterial hypertension and hepatitis	L	BK-tp2789
<i>Vernonia colorata</i> (Willd) Drake	Leaves and flower: fever, diarrhoea, jaundice, antibiotic and anti-biotic	L	BK-vc2798
Caesalpinaceae			
<i>Cassia absus</i> L.		SL	BK-cab2809
<i>Cassia alata</i> L.	Leaves: mental disorder, headache, anti-inflammatory, rheumatism, arterial hypertension,	SL	BK-cal2807
<i>Cassia mimosoides</i> L.	Stem leaves: anti-inflammatory, stimulant	WP	BK-cam2812
<i>Cassia nigricans</i> Vahl	Stem leaves: uterus tumors	WP	BK-cn2780
<i>Cassia obtusifolia</i> L.	Stem leaves: headache, junction ache, hepatitis	SL	BK-co2792
<i>Cassia occidentalis</i> L.	Leaves: anti-rheumatism, diarrhoea, headaches,	SL	BK-coc2799
<i>Cassia singueana</i> Del	Skin ulcers, stomach aches,	SL	BK-case2808
<i>Cassia italica</i> (Mill)	Stem leaves, root: skin ulcers, teeth aches, venereal disease	SL	BK-it2813
<i>Tamarindus indica</i> L.	Bark: cardiac weakness, diabetes, hypotension	SL	BK-ti2804
Combretaceae			
<i>Combretum aculeatum</i> Vent	Stem leaves, root: arterial hypertension, fever, teeth aches	SL	BK-ca2779
<i>Combretum adenogonium</i> Stend	Leaves, stem: arterial hypertension, hepatitis, anti-inflammatory, urinary disease	L	BK-cad2820
<i>Combretum crotonoides</i> Hutch	Stem leaves, mistletoe: hepatitis, rheumatism	SL	BK-ccr2821
<i>Combretum micranthum</i> G. Don.	Leaves, mistletoe: hepatitis, fever, mental disorder, antibiotic	SL	BK-cm2778
<i>Combretum paniculatum</i> Vent	Flower, root: anti-inflammatory, antitumoral	SL	BK-cp2785
Fabaceae			
<i>Indigofera colutea</i> (Burn) Merr	Whole plant: stomach aches	SL	BK-ico2814
<i>Indigofera macrocalyx</i> L.	Whole plant, stem leaves: tiredness, stimulant	SL	BK-im2788
<i>Indigofera nigrifolia</i> Hook F.	Stem leaves: stomach aches, malaria, stimulant	SL	BK-in2815
<i>Indigofera pulchra</i> L.		SL	BK-ip2816
<i>Indigofera tinctoria</i> Var. A. Benth	Leaves: nervous system diseases, fever, sexual transmitted diseases, colic	SL	BK-it2790
Lamiaceae			
<i>Hyptis spicigera</i> Lam	Stem leaves/flower: fever, headaches, uterus diseases, cephalic	SL	BK-2811
<i>Hyptis suaveoleus</i> (L.) Poit	Stem leaves: insecticidal, icterus, antispasmodic and stimulant	WP	BK-hs2781
<i>Leucas martinicensis</i> Jacq R. Br.	Leaves: fever, headaches and stimulant; Flower: gout	SL	BK-lm2803
<i>Ocimum americanum</i> L.	Leaves: spleen inflammation, stomach tumor, malaria, stimulant	SL	BK-oca2783
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i> L.	leaves: fever, mental disorder and stimulant	SL	BK-oba2817
Verbenaceae			
<i>Lantana camara</i> L.	Stem-leaves, anti-rheumatic, and antidiabetic	SL	BK-lc2793
<i>Lantana rhodesiensis</i> Moldenke	Stem leaves: arterial hypertension, stimulant	SL	BK-lar2810
<i>Lippia chevalieri</i> Moldenke	Stem leaves/ stem leaves flower: liver pathologies (hepatitis, inflammation, jaundice)	WP	BK-la2775
<i>Lippia multiflora</i> Moldenke	Stem leaves/ stem leaves flower: liver pathologies, anti-inflammatory	WP	BK-lmu2818

L: Leaves, SL: Stem leaves, WP: Whole plant

presented some interesting enzyme inhibition activities (Senol *et al.*, 2010). Flavonoids for example are known to inhibit a number of enzymes such as xanthine oxidase, acetylcholinesterase, glutathione-s-transferase and carboxylesterase (Bonesi *et al.*, 2010; Iswantini *et al.*, 2009; Senol *et al.*, 2010; Soeksmanto *et al.*, 2010). But, according to present knowledge, there is little scientific information about the enzyme inhibition properties of most of these plants. In the present study, 36 medicinal plant extracts, according to their traditional utilizations, were used to assess: (1) their AChE, GST, CES and XO inhibitory capacities and (2) their phenolic, tannin and flavonoid content.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals: Reagents come from Sigma Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Germany: L-Glutathione reduced (GSH),

glutathione-S-transferase (GST) from rate liver, 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (CDNB), Albumin from bovine serum (BSA), potassium phosphate monobasic (KH_2PO_4) and dibasic (K_2HPO_4). Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) from electric eel, acetylcholine iodide (ATCI), 5,5'-dithiobis-2-nitrobenzoic acid (DTNB), tannic acid, gallic acid, quercetin were provided from Sigma-Germany. HCl and sodium carbonate were from Labosi-France. Folin-Ciocalteu reagent was from Sigma-USA. Carboxylesterase from pig liver, Xanthine oxidase, DMSO and Tween were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH (Germany). Aluminum trichloride (AlCl_3), Na_2HPO_4 and NaH_2PO_4 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemie (Steinheim, Germany).

Plant materials: Plant materials constituted of 36 medicinal plants from interior of Burkina Faso were collected at Ouagadougou in July 2006. The

plants were botanically identified by Professor Millogo-Rasolodimby from Ecology Laboratory of the University of Ouagadougou. Voucher specimens (Table 1) were deposited in the herbarium of the Laboratory of Biology and Vegetal Ecology, UFR/SVT of the University of Ouagadougou.

Preparation of plant extracts: Tissue samples (leaves, stems-leaves and whole plant) of each plant were dried at room temperature and ground to fine powder; using a grinder. The extraction was processed using ten gram of each sample in 3×100 mL by technical methanol steeping during one night. The extracts were filtered and evaporated until they dry.

BIOLOGICAL ACTIVITY

Acetylcholinesterase activity: The AChE inhibition was conducted according to the protocol described by Lopez *et al.* (2002) with some modifications. Briefly described, the assay mixture consisted of 200 µL of Tris-HCl (50 mM pH8), 0.1% BSA buffer, 100 µL of extracts solution (final concentration: 100 µg mL⁻¹) and 100 µL of AChE (0.22 U mL⁻¹). The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 2 min before adding 500 µL of DTNB (3 mM) and 100 µL of substrate (ATCI 15 mM). The developing yellow color was measured at 405 nm after 4 min (Cecil CE 2041, England). Galanthamine was used as a positive control at a final concentration of 100 µg mL⁻¹ in the assay mixture. AChE inhibitory activity was expressed as percent inhibition of AChE, calculated as:

$$\text{Inhibition percentage of AChE} = ((A - B) \times 100) / A$$

where, A is the change in absorbance of the assay without the plant extract and B is the change in absorbance of the assay with the plant extract.

Inhibition of glutathione-S-transferase: GST inhibitory assays were conducted as Habdous *et al.* (2002).

Assay of xanthine oxidase activity: The XO inhibitory activities were measured spectrophotometrically by using Filha *et al.* (2006) procedure with some modifications. The extracts were directly dissolved in phosphate buffer-MeOH (1%) and screened for XO inhibitory activity at final concentration of 100 µg mL⁻¹. The assay mixture consisted of 100 µL of extracts, 300 µL of phosphate buffer (0.2 M pH9) and 100 µL enzyme solution (0.28 U mL⁻¹ in phosphate buffer). The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 2 min. Then, the reaction was initiated by adding 500 µL of xanthine solution (0.15 mM in phosphate buffer) and the change in absorbance was recorded at 295 nm for 2 min at room

temperature. Allopurinol was used as a positive control at a final concentration of 100 µg mL⁻¹. The results were expressed as percent inhibition of xanthine oxidase, calculated as:

$$\text{Inhibition percentage of XO} = ((A - B) \times 100) / A$$

where, A is the change in absorbance of the assay without the plant extract and B is the change in absorbance of the assay with the plant extract.

Assay of carboxylesterase activity: The method of Djeridane *et al.* (2008) was used with some modifications. Test solution contained 400 µL of Tris-HCl (50 mM pH8) buffer, 100 µL of plant extract at final concentration of 100 µg mL⁻¹. One hundred of enzyme solution (0.027 U mL⁻¹) and 400 µL of 4-nitrophenyl (1 mM) was added after incubation at 3 min. The absorbance was read at 414 nm. Ascorbic acid (50 µg mL⁻¹) was used as reference. The results were expressed as percent inhibition of CES, calculated as:

$$\text{Inhibition percentage of CES} = \frac{A - B}{A} \times 100$$

where, A is the change in absorbance of the assay without the plant extract and B is the change in absorbance of the assay with the plant extract.

Determination of polyphenolics compounds

- **Determination of total phenolic content:** The total phenolics of plant extract were determined by the Folin-Ciocalteu method (Lamien-Meda *et al.*, 2008)
- **Determination of tannins content:** Tannins content was determined according to the European Commission (2000)
- **Determination of flavonoids contents:** The total flavonoids were estimated according to the Dowd method as adapted by Lamien-Meda *et al.* (2008)

Statistical analysis: The data are expressed as the Means±Standard Deviation (SD) of three determinations. Statistical analysis (ANOVA with a statistical significance level set at p< 0.05 and linear regression) was carried out with XLSTAT 7.1.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Biological investigations

AChE inhibitory activity: The highest inhibition activities were obtained with *L. chevalieri*, *C. mimosoides*, *C. nigricans* and *C. singueana* extracts. The lowest inhibitory effects were obtained with *C. absus*,

Table 2: Acetylcholinesterase (AChE), glutathione-S-transferase (GST), carboxylesterase (CES) and xanthine oxidase (XO) Percentage Inhibition Activities

Species	AChE	GST	CES	XO
Asteraceae				
<i>A. conyzoides</i>	24.71±1.44 ^{bc}	4.96±3.04 ^{mno}	21.97±1.93 ^{ij}	27.69±5.75 ^{gh}
<i>A. hispidum</i>	12.55±3.85 ^{figh}	19.34±5.07 ^{gh}	16.29±3.75 ^{klm}	20.00±2.51 ^{kl}
<i>B. engleri</i>	4.70±1.04 ^{ij}	17.68±5.42 ^{hi}	15.89±1.45 ^{klmn}	ND
<i>C. americanum</i>	22.00±2.80 ^{cd}	15.76±3.84 ^{hij}	25.97±0.54 ^{figh}	30.82±0.88 ^{gh}
<i>D. tomentosa</i>	8.04±2.64 ^{hij}	34.73±3.00 ^{bc}	19.77±0.94 ^{ijk}	67.93±3.08 ^e
<i>E. prostrata</i>	9.22±2.21 ^{hi}	61.89±5.71 ^a	27.27±0.92 ^{figh}	26.72±3.23 ^{hij}
<i>T. procumbens</i>	19.22±1.26 ^{de}	10.92±2.74 ^{kl}	9.09±1.60 ^{opq}	7.88±1.71 ^{mno}
<i>V. colorata</i>	4.12±2.09 ⁱ	38.46±1.49 ^{abc}	15.91±2.78 ^{klm}	8.65±0.95 ^{mno}
Caesalpiniaceae				
<i>C. absus</i>	1.76±2.99 ^k	22.46±1.52 ^{def}	11.83±2.01 ^{mno}	72.11±2.54 ^{bc}
<i>C. alata</i>	17.59±5.40 ^{fg}	15.39±3.23 ^{hij}	24.73±4.02 ^{gh}	35.50±0.61 ^{fg}
<i>C. italica</i>	27.21±6.54 ^{bcd}	3.33±0.80 ^{no}	18.99±1.97 ^{jk}	56.60±1.54 ^d
<i>C. mimosoides</i>	39.12±1.42 ^b	20.04±3.30 ^{gh}	49.76±2.98 ^{ab}	41.56±4.24 ^e
<i>C. nigricans</i>	37.42±4.33 ^b	27.60±2.12 ^{cd}	15.05±2.74 ^{lmn}	18.18±1.83 ^{kl}
<i>C. obtusifolia</i>	14.90±4.7 ^{fg}	7.46±1.23 ^{lm}	9.14±0.76 ^{opq}	16.02±3.06 ^{lm}
<i>C. occidentalis</i>	ND	20.98±2.64 ^{gh}	9.18±1.81 ^{opq}	25.97±1.06 ^{ji}
<i>C. singuana</i>	35.30±2.99 ^{bc}	8.86±1.61 ^{lm}	48.93±2.01 ^{ab}	34.63±2.20 ^{gh}
<i>T. indica</i>	14.51±1.43 ^{fg}	0.66±0.08 ^o	40.58±1.18 ^{cd}	7.33±3.30 ^{mno}
Combretaceae				
<i>C. paniculatum</i>	3.33±1.00 ^{jk}	26.11±6.25 ^{cd}	2.27±0.92 ^m	13.08±2.60 ^{lm}
<i>C. aculeatum</i>	12.47±2.52 ^{figh}	23.94±0.75 ^{def}	2.27±1.60 ^m	3.82±1.64 ^p
<i>C. adenogonium</i>	10.39±0.27 ^{figh}	28.16±1.87 ^{cd}	6.06±2.14 ^{qr}	3.56±1.29 ^p
<i>C. crotonoides</i>	22.45±3.45 ^{cd}	16.21±1.14 ^{hij}	47.35±1.93 ^{bc}	64.82±4.53 ^{cd}
<i>C. micranthum</i>	19.22±4.66 ^{de}	4.92±1.97 ^{mno}	ND	6.33±1.79 ^{no}
Fabaceae				
<i>I. colutea</i>	10.78±4.73 ^{gh}	5.40±2.60 ^{mn}	10.45±1.21 ^{nop}	37.95±1.91 ^{ef}
<i>I. macrocalyx</i>	20.39±1.46 ^{de}	4.88±3.10 ^{mno}	21.39±3.06 ^{ji}	72.82±1.45 ^{bc}
<i>I. nigritana</i>	6.47±3.36 ^{ijk}	11.86±6.69 ^{jk}	11.94±2.43 ^{mno}	36.41±3.83 ^{efg}
<i>I. pulchra</i>	17.06±5.41 ^{ef}	21.01±1.13 ^{ef}	10.49±2.43 ^{nop}	77.44±0.72 ^b
<i>I. tinctoria</i>	20.78±12.37 ^{cd}	5.28±3.10 ^{lmn}	8.46±2.81 ^{pq}	31.80±0.72 ^{gh}
Lamiaceae				
<i>H. spicigera</i>	7.25±1.00 ^{hij}	27.41±0.96 ^{cd}	0.48±1.81 ^s	ND
<i>H. suaveoleus</i>	21.32±4.17 ^{cd}	33.31±3.72 ^{bc}	11.11±1.81 ^{no}	3.58±1.01 ^o
<i>L. martinicensis</i>	12.70±6.63 ^{figh}	11.78±3.88 ^{jk}	9.66±0.68 ^{op}	ND
<i>O. americanum</i>	9.62±2.32 ^h	24.15±3.21 ^{de}	ND	ND
<i>O. basilicum</i>	5.88±6.30 ^{ij}	22.46±5.11 ^{def}	17.83±0.55 ^{klm}	25.85±0.96 ^{ji}
Verbenaceae				
<i>L. camara</i>	18.59±5.25 ^{def}	21.83±1.62 ^{ef}	56.20±3.05 ^a	20.76±2.67 ^{kl}
<i>L. chevalieri</i>	39.26±2.18 ^b	42.99±3.03 ^{ab}	37.68±1.18 ^{de}	14.00±2.16 ^{lm}
<i>L. multiflora</i>	17.59±2.29 ^{ef}	21.03±0.36 ^{ef}	33.82±0.68 ^{def}	14.67±0.47 ^{lm}
<i>L. rhodesiensis</i>	17.65±6.35 ^{ef}	39.76±2.41 ^{ab}	32.37±1.81 ^{efg}	ND
Reference compound				
Galanthamine	50.76±0.68 ^a			
Ascorbic acid			56.72±0.85 ^a	
Allopurinol				96.38±0.59 ^a

ND: not determined; Result within each column with different letters (a-s) differs significantly (p< 0.05).

C. paniculatum, *V. colorata* and *B. engleri* extracts. All extracts of AChE inhibitory activities were less than Galanthamine inhibitory effect. Previous studies showed *E. prostrata* and *L. camara* extracts AChE inhibitory activity (Vinutha *et al.*, 2007). According to the cholinergic hypothesis memory impairment in patients suffering from Alzheimer's disease is a result of decreased levels of the neurotransmitter acetylcholine (ACh) in the cortex. In the healthy brain AChE is the most important enzyme regulating the ACh level (Ahmad *et al.*, 2003; Adersen *et al.*, 2007). In this way, it would be relevant to search for substance capable of inhibiting AChE activity in Alzheimer's disease patients to increase their ACh levels such as Galanthamine that is used in this treatment.

Table 2 showed the 36 extracts AChE inhibitory activities that were compared with Galanthamine activity. Present result could probably justify the plant traditional uses in cancer treatment. Among the five species, which inhibited AChE at more than 25%, four are included in Caesalpiniaceae family. In this way, Caesalpiniaceae is most indicated to search acetylcholinesterase inhibitors.

GST inhibitory activity: Table 2 showed 36 extracts GST inhibitory activities. The best activities were obtained with *E. prostrata*, *L. chevalieri*, *L. rhodesiensis* and *V. colorata* extracts. The lowest inhibition were obtained with *T. indica*, *C. italica* and *C. macrocalyx* extracts. Previous investigations showed that GST was implicated

Table 3: Result of polyphenolic quantification

Species	TP (mgGAE/100mg)	TF (mgQE/100mg)	TN (mgTAE/100mg)
Asteraceae			
<i>A. conyzoides</i>	21.10±1.17 ^{gh}	6.50±0.27 ^k	2.90±0.22 ^{no}
<i>A. hispidum</i>	12.18±0.37 ^{op}	2.78±0.15 ^{no}	2.27±0.22 ^{op}
<i>B. engleri</i>	15.83±0.60 ^{kl}	6.55±0.07 ^k	9.08±0.06 ^g
<i>C. americanum</i>	10.15±0.37 ^{pa}	6.31±0.10 ^k	4.38±0.14 ^{km}
<i>D. tomentosa</i>	12.08±0.82 ^{op}	9.14±0.10 ^{gh}	5.57±0.16 ^{jk}
<i>E. prostrata</i>	27.90±0.70 ^{ab}	18.93±0.13 ^b	14.45±0.18 ^d
<i>T. procumbens</i>	17.40±0.85 ^{jk}	0.29±0.01 ^p	1.27±0.10 ^{pa}
<i>V. colorata</i>	13.62±0.43 ^{no}	1.81±0.32 ^a	5.15±0.31 ^{kl}
Cesalpiniaceae			
<i>C. absus</i>	17.23±0.19 ^{jk}	15.88±0.53 ^c	4.47±0.17 ^{km}
<i>C. alata</i>	14.68±0.93 ^{mno}	11.23±0.25 ^e	6.37±0.51 ^j
<i>C. italica</i>	15.48±0.67 ^{lmn}	9.37±0.09 ^{gh}	4.68±0.29 ^{km}
<i>C. mimosoides</i>	51.3±0.49 ^a	30.43±0.28 ^a	33.60±0.57 ^a
<i>C. nigricans</i>	23.82±0.98 ^{gh}	9.03±0.08 ^{hi}	20.73±0.53 ^b
<i>C. obtusifolia</i>	14.52±1.41 ^{mno}	10.66±0.04 ^f	3.78±0.09 ^{mn}
<i>C. occidentalis</i>	13.58±1.02 ^{no}	11.29±0.15 ^e	1.25±0.07 ^{pa}
<i>C. singueana</i>	43.68±1.30 ^g	11.15±0.37 ^f	15.67±0.93 ^c
<i>T. indica</i>	36.33±1.47 ^c	3.41±0.05 ⁿ	17.30±0.41 ^b
Combretaceae			
<i>C. aculeatum</i>	11.68±0.08 ^{op}	5.75±0.56 ^{kl}	4.73±0.06 ^{km}
<i>C. adenogonium</i>	25.08±2.79 ^{def}	6.62±0.06 ^k	12.30±0.21 ^e
<i>C. micranthum</i>	45.50±0.30 ^g	5.09±0.13 ^j	2.08±0.02 ^{op}
<i>C. crotonoides</i>	37.28±0.23 ^c	4.64±0.03 ^{lm}	2.29±0.06 ^{op}
<i>C. paniculatum</i>	20.67±2.4 ^{gh}	8.74±0.15 ^{hi}	8.88±0.38 ^{gh}
Fabaceae			
<i>I. colutea</i>	19.27±0.56 ^{ijk}	3.66±0.16 ^{mn}	11.95±0.12 ^{ef}
<i>I. macrocalyx</i>	30.05±2.76 ^d	13.39±0.09 ^d	5.17±0.13 ^{kl}
<i>I. nigritana</i>	29.70±2.94 ^d	11.53±0.24 ^e	12.12±0.02 ^e
<i>I. pulchra</i>	19.17±0.5 ^{ijk}	18.88±0.82 ^b	4.73±0.18 ^{km}
<i>I. tinctoria</i>	21.63±3.02 ^{gh}	1.76±0.09 ^p	4.18±0.05 ^{kl}
Lamiaceae			
<i>H. spicigera</i>	14.98±0.12 ^{mno}	7.91±0.22 ^{ij}	9.13±0.37 ^g
<i>H. suaveoleus</i>	12.17±0.90 ^{op}	11.15±0.28 ^f	4.48±0.27 ^{km}
<i>L. martinicensis</i>	12.68±0.26 ^{no}	2.72±0.25 ^{no}	2.72±0.15 ^{no}
<i>O. americanum</i>	12.72±0.94 ^{no}	10.61±0.34 ^f	5.55±0.12 ^{jk}
<i>O. basilicum</i>	17.15±0.79 ^{jk}	7.77±0.68 ⁱ	7.73±0.33 ^{hi}
Verbenaceae			
<i>L. camara</i>	10.03±0.75 ^{pa}	8.28±0.77 ^{hi}	0.48±0.09 ^q
<i>L. chevalieri</i>	17.88±0.90 ^{jk}	3.19±0.15 ⁿ	7.62±0.37 ^j
<i>L. multiflora</i>	20.20±0.75 ^{gh}	10.90±0.11 ^f	10.9±0.09 ^f
<i>L. rhodensis</i>	21.55±0.75 ^{gh}	5.09±0.19 ^g	14.52±0.27 ^{cd}

TP: Total phenolic content TF: Total flavonoid content TN: Tannins content. Result within each column with different letters differs significantly (p<0.05)

Table 4: Correlative study between polyphenolics compounds and the enzymes inhibition

Enzymes	Phenolic			Tannin			Flavonoid		
	R ²	p-value	Families	R ²	p-value	Families	R ²	p-value	Families
AchE				0.89	0.015	Fabaceae	0.79	0.041	Lamiaceae
				0.61	0.037	Caesalpiniaceae	0.92	0.008	Combretaceae
CES	0.99	0.0008	Verbenaceae	0.91	0.044	Verbenaceae	0.60	0.022	Asteraceae
	0.87	0.0009	Caesalpiniaceae	0.54	0.036	Caesalpiniaceae			
GST				0.62	0.02	Asteraceae	0.98	0.006	Verbenaceae

in tumor cell resistance to antitumoral drug treatment (Hayeshi *et al.*, 2007). So, the inhibition of GST activity become a promising way to develop antitumoral drugs, particularly, drugs from medicinal plant. Present results demonstrated that these extracts contained some compounds with GST inhibitory activities singularly in *E. prostrata* extract. These observations could be partially supported by the plant traditional use in cancer treatment indicated in Nacoulma (1996) ethnobotanical

investigations. Between the six families which were studied Fabaceae species have not presented any inhibition for the enzymes.

CES inhibitory activity: The CES inhibitory effect of extracts were shown in Table 2. The best inhibition activity was found with *C. mimosoides*, *C. crotonoides*, *C. singueana* and *L. camara* extracts. Interestingly, ascorbic acid and *L. camara* extract have shown similar

CES inhibition activities. Verbenaceae was the most CES inhibitor among the families. CES are enzymes omnipresent (high levels in a large array of animal tissues) responsible of the detoxication to numerous endogen and xenobiotic. Now-a-days, their biological role are not clearly delimited. For example CES also hydrolyse aspirin and some anti-cancerous such as chemotherapeutic agents (Djeridane *et al.*, 2008). In this way, their inhibition can contribute to strengthen these drug effects (Crow *et al.*, 2008; Rodinbo *et al.*, 2003). Present results indicated that different extracts contain some molecules which were able to inhibit this enzyme, particularly in *L. camara* extract, while species from Lamiaceae and Fabaceae seem to be poor in CES compound inhibitor. Plant extract CES inhibitory properties evaluated in the first time could partially justify the traditional uses found in Burkina Faso (Nacoulma, 1996). In the CES inhibition, some extracts of plants show that they possess interesting activities as compared to ascorbic acid (56.72 ± 0.85). *L. camara* (Verbenaceae) which has the best inhibition activity, inhibited CES at 56.20%.

XO inhibitory activities: The extracts XO inhibitory activities compared to allopurinol effect were shown in Table 2. Sixteen extracts inhibited XO at a level higher than 25%. The highest inhibitions were obtained with *C. absus*, *I. macrocalyx* and *I. pulchra* extracts (72.11 ± 2.55 , 72.82 ± 1.45 and $77.44 \pm 0.73\%$, respectively) and the lowest are obtained with *C. adenogonium*, *H. suaveolens*, *C. aculeatum*. The Indigofera family presented a greater XO inhibitory effect than other families. But, all extracts have not reached the reference compound in XO inhibitory activity, i.e., allopurinol with $96.38 \pm 0.58\%$. The role of xanthine oxidase is to catalyze the oxidation of hypoxanthine to xanthine and generates uric acid, hydrogen peroxide and superoxide anion (Wu and Ng, 2008). Clinical reports have shown that uric acid is the key factor of risk of gout and cardiovascular disorders, nephrolithiasis and diabetes (Havlik *et al.*, 2010; Iswantini *et al.*, 2009; Gagliardi *et al.*, 2009). Thus, xanthine oxidase inhibition is useful in the prevention and/or treatment of hepatic diseases and gout, and also for the reduction of harmful hydrogen peroxide and superoxide anion productions. Present results suggest that respective extracts contained XO inhibitors compounds. These observations could justify the traditional use of particularly *I. pulchra* (cellulites), *C. crotonoides* and *D. tomentosa* (rheumatism). No species of Verbenaceae family inhibited XO but all species of Fabaceae family inhibited at more than 30%.

Phytochemical analysis: The total tannin and phenolic content of the extracts were determined spectrophotometrically (Table 3), because polyphenols

present in vegetable and fruit are responsible for many biological activities (Arct and Pytkowska, 2008; Soobrattee *et al.*, 2005). Total phenolics of extracts were ranging from 51.3 ± 0.49 to 10.03 ± 0.75 mg GAE/100 mg. The highest values of total phenolics were in the following order: *C. mimosoides* > *C. micranthum* = *C. singueana*. The lowest ones were found in *L. camara* and *C. americanum* with 10.03 ± 0.75 to 10.15 ± 0.37 mg GAE/100 mg extract plant, respectively. Among the 36 extracts of plants analyzed, *C. mimosoides* (33.60 ± 0.57 mg TAE/100 mg extract) and *C. nigricans* (20.73 ± 0.53 mg TAE/100 mg extract) showed the highest tannin contents. The lowest values were found in *L. camara* and *C. occidentalis* extracts. Previously, the polyphenolic contents were evaluated in Fabaceae family extracts (Bakasso *et al.*, 2008), *T. indica* extracts (Lamien-Meda *et al.*, 2008) and the tannin content in *E. prostrata* extract (Gopiesh and Kannabiran, 2007).

Many flavonoids possess anti-tumor activity against various human cancer cell lines and xenograft systems of human tumors, suggesting that they may be promising anticancer agents (Zeng *et al.*, 2009; Paarakh, 2010; Soeksmanto *et al.*, 2010). In this way, flavonoids contents were estimate in 36 plant extracts (Table 3). Total flavonoid contents varied from 30.43 ± 0.28 to 0.29 ± 0.01 mg QE/100 mg plant extract. The best flavonoids contents were obtained with *I. pulchra*, *E. prostrata*, *C. mimosoides* extracts and the lowest contents with *A. hispidum*, *L. martinicensis*, *V. colorata*, *T. procumbens* and extracts. In previous phytochemical investigations, Bakasso *et al.* (2008), Lamien-Meda *et al.* (2008), Gopiesh and Kannabiran (2007) and Vieira *et al.* (2003) have found the flavonoids in *Indigofera* species, *T. indica*, *O. americanum* and *E. prostrata* extracts, respectively.

Relationship between enzyme activities, total phenolic, tannin and flavonoids contents: In the present study we were interested by the evaluation of the phenolic, flavonoid and tannin of extracts capacities to inhibit the different enzymes (Table 4). Tannin from Fabaceae, Caesalpiniaceae, Verbenaceae and Asteraceae families extracts contributed significantly to inhibit AChE, CES and GST, respectively. The same observation was notified concerning the flavonoids from Lamiaceae, Combretaceae, Asteraceae and Verbenaceae on these enzyme inhibition activities. Only the phenolic from Caesalpiniaceae and Verbenaceae families extracts presented a significant correlation to CES inhibitory activities. Nevertheless any correlation was found concerning the XO activity and the metabolites from different family extracts, although this enzyme was inhibited, interestingly, by *indigofera* species (Fabaceae) extracts. These results indicated the enzyme inhibition did not only depend on the metabolite

quantities. Consequently, *L. camara* extract presented little phenolic as tannin contents (10.03 mg GAE/100 mg and 0.48 mgTA/100mg) with interesting AChE, GST and CES inhibitory activities. Also *C. absus* extract was a good XO inhibitor with little phenolic and flavonoids contents.

These are several reasons to explain the ambiguous relationship between the inhibitory potency and the phenolic, tannins and flavonoids. The total phenolics content did not include all the possible inhibitors; the synergism among the inhibitors in the mixture accounted for the inhibition but was not only dependent of the concentration of individual inhibitors but also on the structure and interaction among them. Previous studies showed the structure relationship of flavonoids in CES (Stocker *et al.*, 2004), GST (Van Zanden *et al.*, 2004), AChE (Ji and Zhang, 2006) and XO (Nagao *et al.*, 1999) inhibitory activities. On the other hand, the method used to quantify the flavonoids was limited to flavone and flavonol (Meda *et al.*, 2004). A detailed examination of phenolic of different plant extracts is necessary for a comprehensive assessment of the individual compounds enzyme inhibitory ability.

CONCLUSION

This study has showed that the methanolic extracts of 28 on 36 species are potential inhibitors of AChE, GST, CES and XO which could support the traditional uses of these plants in the treatment of mental disorders, gout, painful inflammations and cardiovascular diseases. Fabaceae family is particularly important as a source of natural XO inhibitors. Considering the correlation analysis, Combretaceae and Caesalpinaceae could also be relevant sources of inhibitors. Some plant extracts were effective inhibitors for three of the four enzymes at 25% such as *E. prostrata*, *L. chevalieri*, *C. nigricans* and *C. mimosoides*. Those activities seem to be partially correlated to the flavonoid and tannin contents. Those plant species were indicated for new molecules to relieve the diseases, which involved these enzymes. Future studies aim to isolate and identify these active constituents that exhibit significant AChE, GST, CES and XO inhibitory activity through bioassay-guided fractionation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project was supported by the International Fondation of Sciences through the grant F3979-1 allowed to Dr. Martin Kiendrebeogo. The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support by the Conseil

Interuniversitaire de la Communauté française de Belgique/ Commission Universitaire pour le Développement (CIUF/CUD).

REFERENCES

- Adersen, A., A. Kjolbye, A. Dall and A.K. Jager, 2007. Acetylcholinesterase and butyrylcholinesterase inhibitory compounds from *Corydalis cava* Schweigg and Kort. J. Ethnopharmacol., 113: 179-182.
- Ahmad, W., B. Ahmad, M. Ahmad, Z. Iqbal, M. Nisar and M. Ahmad, 2003. *In vitro* Inhibition of acetylcholinesterase, butyrylcholinesterase and lipoxygenase by crude extract of *Myricaria elegans* royle. J. Biol. Sci., 3: 1046-1049.
- Arct, J. and K. Pytkowska, 2008. Flavonoids as components of biologically active cosmeceuticals. Clin. Dermatol., 26: 347-357.
- Bakasso, S., A. Lamien-Meda, C.E. Lamien, M. Kiendrebeogo, J. Millogo, A.G. Ouedraogo and O.G. Nacoulma, 2008. Polyphenol content and antioxidant activities of five *Indigofera* species (Fabaceae) from Burkina Faso. Pak. J. Biol. Sci., 11: 1429-1435.
- Bonesi, M., F. Menichini, R. Tundis, M.R. Loizzo and F. Conforti *et al.*, 2010. Acetylcholinesterase and butyrylcholinesterase inhibitory activity of *Pinus* species essential oils and their constituents. J. Enzym. Inhib. Med. Chem., 25: 622-628.
- Crow, J.A., B.L. Middleton, A. Borazjani, M.J. Hatfield, P.M. Potter and M.K. Ross, 2008. Inhibition of carboxylesterase 1 is associated with cholesteryl ester retention in human THP-1 monocyte/macrophages. Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 1781: 643-654.
- Djeridane, A., M. Yousfi, B. Nadjemi, D. Boutassouna, P. Stocker and N. Vidal, 2006. Antioxidant activity of some Algerian medicinal plants extracts containing phenolic compounds. Food Chem., 97: 654-660.
- Djeridane, A., J.M. Brunel, N. Vidal, M. Yousfi, E.H. Ajandouz and P. Stocker, 2008. Inhibition of porcine liver carboxylesterase by a new flavones glucoside isolated from *Deverra scoparia*. Chemico-Biological Interactions, 172: 22-26.
- European Commission, 2000. Reference method for the tannins quantification. JOCE, L197: 18-20.
- Filha, F.Z.S., I.F. Vitolo, L.G. Fietto, J.A. Lombardi and D.A. Saude-Guimaraes, 2006. Xanthine oxidase inhibitory activity of *Lychnophora* species from Brazil (Arnica). J. Ethnopharmacol., 107: 79-82.
- Gagliardi, A.C.M., M.H. Miname and R.D. Santos, 2009. Uric acid: A marker of increased cardiovascular risk. Atherosclerosis, 202: 11-17.

- Gopiesh, K.V. and K. Kannabiran, 2007. Larvicidal effect of *Hemidesmus indicus*, *Gymnema sylvestre* and *Eclipta prostrata* against *Culex quinquefasciatus* mosquito larvae. *African J. Biotechnol.*, 6: 307-311.
- Habdous, M., M. Vincent-Viry, S. Visvikis and G. Siest, 2002. Rapid spectrophotometric method for serum glutathione S-transferases activity. *Clinica Chimica Acta*, 326: 131-142.
- Havlik, J., R.G. Huebra, K. Hejtmankova, J. Fernandez and J. Simonova *et al.*, 2010. Xanthine oxidase inhibitory properties of Czech medicinal plants. *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 132: 461-465.
- Hayeshi, R., I. Mutingwende, W. Mavengere, V. Masiyamise and S. Mukanganyama, 2007. The inhibition of human glutathione S-transferases activity by plant polyphenolics compounds ellagic acid and curcumin. *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, 45: 286-295.
- Iswantini, D., L.K. Darusman and R. Hidayat, 2009. Indonesian *Sidaguri* (*Sida rhombifolia* L.) as antigout and inhibition kinetics of flavonoids crude extract on the activity of xanthine oxidase. *J. Biol. Sci.*, 9: 504-508.
- Ji, H.F. and H.Y. Zhang, 2006. Theoretical evaluation of flavonoids as multipotent agents to combat Alzheimer's disease. *J. Mol. Struct. THEOCHEM.*, 767: 3-9.
- Lamien-Meda, A., C.E. Lamien, M.M.Y. Compaore, R.N.T. Meda and M. Kiendrebeogo *et al.*, 2008. Polyphenol content and antioxidant activity of fourteen wild edible fruits from Burkina Faso. *Molecules*, 13: 581-594.
- Lopez, S., J. Bastida, F. Viladomat and Carles, 2002. Acetylcholinesterase inhibitory activity of some Amaryllidaceae alkaloids and *Narcissus* extracts. *Life Sci.*, 71: 2521-2529.
- Meda, A., C.E. Lamien, J. Millogo, M. Romito and O.G. Nacoulma, 2004. Therapeutic uses of honey and honeybee larvae in central Burkina Faso. *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 95: 103-107.
- Nacoulma, O.G., 1996. Medicinal plants and their traditional uses in Burkina Faso. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Ouagadougou, pp: 328
- Nagao, A., M. Seki and H. Kobayashi, 1999. Inhibition of xanthine oxidase by flavonoids. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.*, 63: 1787-1790.
- Orhan, I., B. Sener, M.I. Choudhary and A. Khalid, 2004. Acetylcholinesterase and butyrylcholinesterase inhibitory activity of some Turkish medicinal plants. *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 91: 57-60.
- Paarakh, P.M., 2010. *Terminalia arjuna* (Roxb) Wt. and Arn.: A review. *Int. J. Pharmacol.*, 6: 515-534.
- Rodinbo, M.R., S. Bencharit and P.M. Potter, 2003. Human carboxylesterase 1: From drug metabolism to drug discovery. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.*, 31: 620-624.
- Senol, F.S., I. Orhan, F. Celep, A. Kahraman, M. Dogan, G. Yilmaz and B.B. Sener, 2010. Survey of 55 Turkish salvia taxa for their acetylcholinesterase inhibitory and antioxidant activities. *Food Chem.*, 120: 34-43.
- Soeksmanto, A., M.A. Subroto, H. Wijaya and P. Simanjuntak, 2010. Anticancer activity test for extracts of sarang semut plant (*Myrmecodya pendens*) to HeLa and MCM-B2 cells. *Pak. J. Biol. Sci.*, 13: 148-151.
- Soobrattee, M.A., V.S. Neergheen, A. Luximon-Ramma, O.I. Aruoma and T. Baborun, 2005. Phenolics as potential antioxidant therapeutic agents: Mechanism and actions. *Mutation Res.*, 579: 200-213.
- Stocker, P., M. Yousfi, O. Djerridane, J. Perrier, R. Amziani, S. El-Boustani and A. Moulin, 2004. Effect of flavonoids from various Mediterranean plants on enzymatic activity of intestinal carboxylesterase. *Biochimie*, 86: 919-925.
- Trumbeckaite, S., J. Bernatoniene, D. Majiene, V. Jakstas, A. Savickas and A. Toleikis, 2006. The effect of flavonoids on rat heart mitochondrial function. *Biomed. Pharmacother.*, 60: 245-248.
- Van Zanden, J.J., L. Geraets, H.M. Wortelboer, P.J. van Bladeren, I.M.C.M. Rietjens and N.H.P. Cnubben, 2004. Structure requirements for the flavonoid-mediated modulation of glutathione S-transferase P1-1 and GS-X pump activity in MCF7 breast cancers cells. *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, 67: 1607-1617.
- Vieira, R.F., R.J. Grayer and A.J. Paton, 2003. Chemical profiling of *Ocimum americanum* using external flavonoids. *Phytochem.*, 63: 555-567.
- Vinutha, B., D. Prashanth, K. Salma, S.L. Sreeja and D. Pratiti *et al.*, 2007. Screening of selected Indian medicinal plants for acetylcholinesterase inhibitory activity. *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 109: 359-363.
- Wu, S.J. and L.T. Ng, 2008. Antioxidant and free radical scavenging activities of wild bitter melon (*Momordica charantia* Linn. var. *abbreviata* Ser.) in Taiwan. *LWT*, 41: 323-330.
- Zeng, S., W. Liu, F. Nie, Q. Zhao and J. Rong *et al.*, 2009. LYG-202, a new flavonoid with a piperazine substitution, shows antitumor effects *in vivo* and *in vitro*. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.*, 385: 551-556.