

Journal of Biological Sciences

ISSN 1727-3048





Trends and Advances in Vaccines Against Protozoan Parasites of Veterinary Importance: A Review

¹A.K. Singh, ²A.K. Verma, ²Neha, ³Ruchi Tiwari, ⁴K. Karthik, ⁵Kuldeep Dhama and ⁶S.V. Singh ¹Department of Veterinary Parasitology,

²Department of Veterinary Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine,

³Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Uttar Pradesh Pandit Deen Dayal Upadhayay Pashu Chikitsa Vigyan Vishwavidyalaya Evam Go-Anusandhan Sansthan, 281001, Mathura (U.P.), India ⁴Division of Bacteriology and Mycology,

⁵Division of Pathology, Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, 243122, Bareilly (U.P.), India ⁶Microbiology Laboratory, Animal Health Division, Central Institute for Research on Goats, Mathura, India

Abstract: By 2050 to feed the estimated human population of around 9 billion, there is requirement of 50% increase the food production, which can only be fulfilled by clean, healthy and sustainable food animal production. Livestock industry is facing considerable economic losses due to infectious diseases. So an effective control strategy is need of today to control these infectious diseases and contribute in augmentation of livestock production. Parasitic diseases have a major impact on livestock production, reproduction and hence economy. Protozoan parasites are major causes of human and animal disease causing extensive morbidity and mortality, particularly parasitic disease in tropical and sub-tropical climatic regions. Many protozoal parasitic diseases are zoonotic. Limiting the impact of parasitism in both man and livestock relies almost exclusively on the use of antiparasitic drugs. Development of resistance towards chemotherapeutic agents has forced the scientist to discover some alternative for control of parasitic diseases. Recent advances in immunology and biotechnology have sensitized the scientists or researchers to develop the newer and safer vaccines for control of parasitic diseases. This review is intended to provide state-of-art information to the reader with an overview on the trends, advances and perspectives in vaccines and vaccinology against important parasitic diseases of livestock and poultry viz., coccidiosis, anaplasmosis, giardiosis, babesiosis, Neospora infection, toxoplasmosis, theleriosis, sarcocyst infestation, leishmaniasis, trypanosomiasis and trichomoniasis, which altogether play crucial role in the prevention of protozoan parasitic diseases of animals.

Key words: Animal, cattle, livestock, parasite, protozoa, vaccine

INTRODUCTION

According to an estimate, by 2050 to feed the estimated human population of around 9 billion, there is requirement of 50% increase the food production. This requirement can only be fulfilled by clean, healthy and sustainable food animal production (Mahima et al., 2012a; Fitzpatrick, 2013). In modern days, the livestock industry is facing considerable economic losses due to infectious diseases of viral, bacterial, fungal, parasitic origin (Rogers and Randolph, 2006; Jones et al., 2008; Cascio et al., 2011; Verma et al., 2008, 2012a, b; Kumar et al., 2011; Dhama et al., 2013a, b). So an effective control strategy is need of today to control these

infectious diseases and contribute in augmentation of livestock production (Innes et al., 2011; Dhama et al., 2013c, d). In past or till now, the limiting of impact of parasitism relies on use of chemotherapy like anthelminthic, antiprotozoal drugs etc (Vercruysse et al., 2004). Due to development of resistance towards these chemotherapeutic agents, scientists or researchers are now thinking towards the prevention of parasitic diseases through use of vaccines (Barriga, 1994; Vercruysse et al., 2004; Stern and Markel, 2005; Innes and Vermeulen, 2006; Paul-Pierre, 2009; Knox, 2010; Sharman et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2012; Dhama et al., 2008, 2013e). All these facts have forced the researchers to develop some alternatives for prevention and control measures like effective

vaccination, immunomodulators and novel therapeutic regimens (Meeusen et al., 2007; Vercruysse et al., 2007; Manzano-Roman et al., 2012; Dhama et al., 2013d; Jacob et al., 2013; Mahima et al., 2012b). Effective ways to combat parasites are very limited due to their complex nature and complicated relationship with the hosts. Vaccine is a substance used to stimulate the production of antibodies and provide immunity against one or more diseases. It is prepared from causative agent of disease, its products, treated to act as antigen without causing disease (Stern and Markel, 2005). With the development of immunology, a continuous flow of vaccines to the market is going on, but among them the percentage of parasitic vaccine is very low (Vercruysse et al., 2004). The development of vaccines against the parasitic diseases for domestic animals is most fascinating, promising and challenging field (Innes and Vermeulen, 2006). Majority of the problems are due to their complex life cycle and difficulty in their in vitro culture (Cornelissen and Schetters, 1996).

Parasitic diseases have a major impact on livestock production worldwide with infection arising from a range of helminth, protozoan and ecto-parasites and out of these, many parasitic diseases are zoonotic (Innes and Vermeulen, 2006; Cornelissen and Schetters, 1996; Paul-Pierre, 2009; Dhama et al., 2013a, b, c, f; Reichel et al., 2013). This review is intended to provide state-of-art information to the reader with an overview of vaccines available against parasitic diseases of livestock viz., coccidiosis, anaplasmosis, giardiosis, babesiosis, Neospora infection, toxoplasmosis, theleriosis. sarcocyst infestation, leishmaniasis, trypanosomiasis and trichomoniasis, which are very useful for prevention and control of parasitic diseases in animals.

PROTOZOAN DISEASES

Protozoa are unicellular, eukaryotic, microscopic organisms, belongs to subkingdom protozoa, having a distinct nucleus as well as endoplasmic reticulum, golgi apparatus, mitochondria in the cytoplasm. About 65,000 species of protozoa have so far been named of which a great majority are free-living, while only 7000 protozoan species are parasitic both in vertebrate and invertebrate amimals (Levine, 1985).

Metazoan and protozoan parasites are one of the major causes of disease causing extensive morbidity and mortality in animals (Knox, 2010). These parasites are major impediment to the introduction of high-productivity breeds in poorer or developing countries. Among these many of the parasites are zoonotic in nature that also increases their economic importance. At present, no

vaccine for human protozoal disease is available; however, several veterinary vaccines are available in the market (Meeusen *et al.*, 2007; Vercruysse *et al.*, 2007). Among vaccines against protozoan diseases of livestock, many are based on live organisms, but recently there is progress in development and commercialization of killed subunit vaccines (Lightowlers, 1994; Sharman *et al.*, 2010).

ANTIPROTOZOAN DRUGS- PROBLEMS IN THE NEAR FUTURE

Drugs against protozoan parasites are widely used to prevent the infection but protozoans are able to develop resistance against these drugs, which is a serious problem in human and veterinary (Vercruysse et al., 2007). Broiler industry is facing the crisis of antiprotozoan drug resistance against the common coccidiostats used in the farm (Stephan et al., 1997). This has lead to the shuttling of drugs used against Eimeria so as to keep resistance development under check. Resistances against antiprotozoan drugs are now reported in Trypanasoma (Geerts et al., 2001) and also in camine Babesiosis (Collett, 2000). Extreme use of antiprotozoan drugs has not only lead to the resistance against these drugs but also the residues in the animal products enter the food chain causing cross transfer of resistance (De Ruyck et al., 2000; Geary, 2002). Apart from these problems these drugs can also enter environment from the secretions and excretions of the animals, threatening the public further (Steel and Wardhaugh, 2002). Hence the current regime for the treatment several protozoan infections are not proper and the search for new antiprotozoan drugs has not yielded a fruitful result (Von Samson-Himmelstjerna and Blackhall, 2005). To solve these problems vaccination will be a good means to control the disease.

VACCINE AND ITS TYPES

Vaccines are dead or inactivated organisms or purified products derived from them. There are several types of vaccines in use (Table 1):

- Killed or inactivated
- Live attenuated
- Subunit
- DNA Vaccine
- Edible vaccine

Killed or inactivated: It is produced by killing the etiological agents of disease either by chemical (formaldehyde or beta-propiolactone)/heat/radiation and

Table 1: Types of protozoan vaccines

Vaccine				Disadvantages/	
type	Salient feature	Diseases/Pathogen	Advantages	Limitations	References
Killed or inactivated	Produced by killing the etiological agents of disease either by chemical (formaldehyde or beta-propiolactone) /heat/radiation	Giardia, Neospora, Leishmania, Tritrichomonas Foetus	Stable, constituents clearly defined, Unable to cause the infection	Need several dosages, Local reaction common, Short lasting immunity	Shkap <i>et al.</i> (2007)
Live attenuated	Prepared from attenuated strains that are almost or completely devoid of pathogenicity but having the immunogenicity	Coccidia, Anaplasma, Babesia, Toxoplasma, Theileria, Sarcocystis	Single dose is sufficient to produce long-lasting immunity, Strong immune response evoked, Local and systemic immunity produced	Requires refrigeration, Contraindicated in immunosupressed patient, Poor stability	Iunes et al. (2011)
Subunit vaccine	Contains only the essential antigens and not all the other molecules that make up of microbe	Leishmania, Canine babesiosis, Coccidiosis	Low adverse reactions Not infectious, so they can safely be given to immuno-suppressed animals	Do not stimulate immune system properly and requires boosters to have good immune response	Wallach <i>et al.</i> (1995) Wallach (1997) Ingolotti <i>et al.</i> (2010)
DNA vaccines	Small circular piece of bacterial DNA that has been genetically engineered to produce one or two specific protein	Toxoplasma gondi, L. infantum, Anaplasma mariginale	No risk of infection, Better stability, Cost effective, no risk	Risk of effective genes controlling cell growth, Chance of inducing mutation of reversion to virulence, Plasmid may get integrated into the cell and can lead to transfer of resistance gene	De Andrade et al. (2004) Dondji et al. (2005) Nielsen et al. (2006) Dhama et al. (2008) Kumaragurubaran and Kaliaperumal (2013)
Edible vaccine	Enters orally released in the intestinal tract elicits both humoral and mucosal immunity	Malarian parasite, Eimeria tenella	Eliminate the pain from injection, better immune response, free from contaminant microbes	Possibility of oral toleranceand side effects like allergy. Needs time and patience in expression	Sathish et al. (2011) Clemente and Corigliano (2012) Sathish et al. (2012) Dhama et al. (2013e)

such vaccines are more safe and stable than live vaccines. These are inactivated vaccines and easy to prepare. In this type of vaccine, the replicative function of etiological agent should be destroyed, while the outer coat of agent should be left intact. For its effectiveness, large amount of antigen is required in comparison to live vaccine. Excessive treatment can destroy immunogenicity whereas insufficient treatment can leave infectious agent capable of causing disease. The commercially available killed/subunit vaccines available against protozoan parasites are given in Table 2.

Advantages:

- Killed vaccines are stable
- Constituents clearly defined
- Unable to cause the infection

Disadvantages:

- Need several dosages
- Local reaction common
- Short lasting immunity (Shkap *et al.*, 2007)

Live attenuated: Live vaccines are prepared from attenuated strains that are almost or completely devoid of pathogenicity but having the immunogenicity therefore they are capable of inducing a protective immune response. They multiply in the host and provide continuous antigenic stimulation over a period of time. The commercially available live protozoal vaccine against animal diseases is given in Table 2.

Advantages:

- Single dose is sufficient to produce long-lasting immunity
- Strong immune response evoked
- Local and systemic immunity produced (Innes et al., 2011)

Disadvantages:

- It required refrigeration
- Contraindicated in immunosupressed patient
- Poor stability

Subunit vaccine: Instead of entire microbe, subunit vaccines include only that best antigen which stimulates the immune system. It contains only the essential antigens and not all the other molecules that make up of microbe. It is of three types: (a) Natural tissue purified protein, (b) Recombinant protein antigens and (c) Chemical small peptide vaccines. Two subunit vaccines are available in market for canine babesiosis caused by *B. canis*. These vaccines contain soluble antigens from

Table 2: Progress in protozoan vaccines and their commercial availability

D-41	Example/Commercially	T	Gallant Sections (Danielle	D - C
Pathogen	availability	Type	Salient features/Remarks	Reference
Coccidia	Coccivac®-B, Coccivac®-D,	Live, Sporulated oocyst	Provide protection against different	Chapman (1994), Johnson and
	Coccivac®-T, Nobilis®	vaccine	coccidian parasites viz.,	Reid (1970) and Mathis and
	COXATM, Eimeriavax		Eimeria acervulina, E. maxima,	McDougald (1989)
	-4 m, Immucox, Paracox®-8,		E. necatrix, E. hagani, E. praecox,	Vermeulen et al. (2001),
	Livacox, CoxAbic		E. tenella, E. brunetti, E. mivati,	Gore et al. (1983),
			E. dispersa, E. meleagrimitis,	Lee (2006) and Shirley <i>et al.</i> (2005),
			E. adenoides and	Shirley and Bedrnik (1997),
4 7		Te'11 1 '	E. gallopavonis etc.	Sharman et al. (2010)
Anaplasma	Anaplaz	Killed vaccine	Protect the animal from development of	Brock et al. (1964)
	A	3.6 diff of the opening	clinical disease	Di-ti- (1000)
	Anavac	Modified live vaccine	Animals become infected	Ristic (1960)
			with the vaccine strain of Anaplasma	
cu 1:	er. 1.		and are "immune carriers"	C1
Giardia	Giardiavax	Killed culture	Prevent the disease and shedding caused	Olson <i>et al.</i> (2001)
		trophozoite vaccine	by Giardia lamblia in dogs	
Babesia	Pirodog/Nobivac®Piro	Soluble Parasite Antigen	Provides immunity upto six months	Schetters (2005)
		(SPA) of supernatants		
	_ ".6	of in vitro cultures		
Neospora	Bovilis® Neoguard	Killed tachyzoite with	Reduces abortion in cattle by 50%	Meeusen et al. (2007)
		spur adjuvant	Gives protection upto 1 year	
	Live tachyzoite vaccine	Live vaccine	Vaccine protects the losses due to death	Williams et al. (2007)
. ,	~10 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		of foetus	0.0 11 . 7 (1000)
Toxoplasma	S48 strain (Toxovax)	Tachyzoite that loss	Prevent from spreading of oocyst in the	O'Connell <i>et al</i> . (1988)
		ability to form	body, Placenta as well as meat	
		bradyzoite or oocyst	contamination for 18 months	
	T263	Live vaccine containing	Reduce/prevent oocyst shedding in cats	Verma and Khanna (2012)
		bradizoites of mutant		
m,		T. gondii	and the second s	D
Theileria	Rakshavac-T	Cell culture attenuated	Provide protection against <i>Theileria</i>	Brown et al. (2006)
		vaccine	infection	D . T (2005)
Leishmania	Leishmune	Purified fraction	Block the transmission	Dantas-Torres (2006)
		(gp63 protein) of	of <i>Leishmania</i> parasites	
		L. donovani adjuvanted		
		in saponin		
	Leish111f	Recombinant vaccine	Vaccine efficacy is very high reaching	Coler et al. (2007)
			upto 99.6%	26 1
Sarcocystis	EPM vaccine	In vitro cultured	Gives protection against a neurological	Marsh et al. (2004)
		merozoites	disease in horses caused by infection	
			with Sarcocystis neurona	
	S. neurona SAG1	Subnnit vaccine	Better protection	Ellison and Witonsky (2009)
_	protein vaccine			-1
Trypanosoma	Beta-tubulin	Recombinant vaccine	Beta-tubulin gene of Trypanosoma evansi	Li <i>et al</i> . (2007)
			(STIB 806) cloned and expressed	
		TD3.7.4	in <i>Escherichia coli</i>	a:1
	TSA	DNA vaccine	4000	Silva et al. (2009)
	MAPp15	Recombinant vaccine	100% protection from lethal challenge	Rasooly and Balaban (2004)
			of a heterologous strain of	
			Trypanosoma brucei	
Tritrichomonas	Trichguard	Killed vaccine	Protect cattle from infection by	Baltzell et al. (2013)
Foetus			Tritrichomonas foetus	

the pathogen of canine babesiosis. NobivacPiro® is a newly marketed vaccine which contains soluble protein antigen of two pathogens namely *B. canis* and *Babesia rossi* that broadens the immunity. ABIC Veterinary Products, Israel markets a novel killed subunit vaccine for poultry coccidiosis (Wallach, 1997). The peculiarity of this vaccine is that it targets macrogametocyte stages of coccidia which results in formation of oocyst unlike live vaccines which target merozoite stages. Laying hens are immunized by this vaccine rather than chicks, transferring immunoglobulins to the yolk which is the added advantage of this vaccine

(Wallach *et al.*, 1995). A subunit vaccine comprising of antigens such as Gam82 and others purified from the Eimeria gametocytes has been reported to be safer and effective in preventing coccidiosis in birds (Vermeulen, 1998; Wallach *et al.*, 2008; Jang *et al.*, 2010).

Advantage:

- Low adverse reactions
- Not infectious, so they can safely be given to immuno-suppressed animals

Disadvantage:

- As native structure of antigens is difficult to retain, so antibodies produced after immunization may not recognize the same protein on the pathogen surface
- Do not stimulate immune system properly and requires boosters to have good immune response (Ingolotti et al., 2010)

DNA vaccines: These vaccines are the third generation vaccines, in which DNA responsible for particular protein is directly injected into host to produce the desired immune response. At present this technology is proving helpful in control of protozoan infections (Dumonteil, 2007; Liu *et al.*, 2006). DNA vaccines are developed for a handful of protozoan infections of animals and their studies on laboratory animals showed good results that these vaccines can be adopted for animals (Da'dara and Harn, 2005; Dhanna *et al.*, 2008; Carvalho *et al.*, 2010; Kumaragurubaran and Kaliaperumal, 2013).

DNA vaccine for Toxoplasma gondi targeting the bradyzoite stage antigens BAG1 and MAG1 reduced cyst burden in mice when challenged with infection (Nielsen et al., 2006). Recombinant plasmid using T. gondii surface antigen 1 (SAG1) and 14-3-3 protein has been found to induce protective immunity in BALB/c mice (Meng et al., 2012). Recently, a DNA vaccine expressing eukaryotic translation initiation factor (eIF4A) protein of T. gondii has been reported to be promising in inducing protective immunity against acute toxoplasmosis in mice (Chen et al., 2013). Development of DNA vaccines has been tried against protozoan parasites, Leishmania and Trypanosoma cruzi also which affects human being. Leishmaniasis, a major public health zoonoses, is a complex disease caused by at least 18 species of genus Leishmania transmitted by hematophagous sandflies while T. cruzi is the causative agent of Chagas disease. Cross-protection studies showed that infection by one species of Leishmania may or may not protect from subsequent infection by another species of same genera (Xu and Liew, 1994, 1995). In dogs, therapeutic DNA vaccine has also been developed against Trypanosoma cruzi infection (Quijano-Hernandez et al., 2008). Another DNA vaccine targeting the Leishmania infantum acidic ribosomal protein P0 (LiPO), Leishmania major DNA vaccine encoding PSA-2 antigen, Cysteine Proteinase (CP) a and b DNA vaccines also had good immune response studies in mice (Dondji et al., 2005). Another DNA vaccine encoding L. donovani nucleoside hydrolase NH36 is therapeutically active against murine visceral leishmaniasis produced by

L. chagasi (Handman et al., 2000; Aguilar-Be et al., 2005; Gamboa-Leon et al., 2006). Nucleoside hydrolase DNA vaccine (Leishmune®'s) has been found effective for immunotherapy of canine visceral leishmaniasis (Borja-Cabrera et al., 2012). DNA vaccine for Anaplasma mariginale targeting the major surface protein namely MSP1b yielded good antibody response and fair protection was noticed in challenge studies in cattle (De Andrade et al., 2004). Development of DNA vaccine targeting babesiosis disease in canines has been attempted with protein p50 gene coding the Babesia canis, which generated protective immunity, while the p36/LACK antigen gene and L. major GP63 antigen encoding gene inducing Th1 immune response has been utilized for Leishmaniosis (Walker et al., 1998; Fukumoto et al., 2007). DNA vaccines have also been developed against coccidiosis in birds, employing the 3-1E and EtMIC2 genes (Min et al., 2001; Ding et al., 2005).

Advantages:

- Safe as do not contain any form of pathogen
- No risk of infection
- Administration is easy by either I/M or I/D routes
- Manufacture of multivalent vaccines by combining multiple DNA
- Stability of the vaccine for storage and shipping especially in tropical zones
- As compared to live attenuated or recombinant vaccines DNA vaccines are more stable
- Cost effective, hence ideally affordable
- Produces both humoral and cellular immune response
- Unlike live attenuated vaccine there is no risk of reversion to virulence

Disadvantages:

- · Risk of effective genes controlling cell growth
- Possibility of including antibody production against DNA
- Chance of inducing mutation
- Complexity in vaccine formulation
- Plasmid may get integrated into the cell and can lead to transfer of resistance gene (Kumaragurubaran and Kaliaperumal, 2013)

Edible vaccine: Recent advances in sciences has made it possible that vaccine can be administered orally as edible vaccines hence eliminating the problem of pain which is associated with the needle pricks during vaccination (Dhama *et al.*, 2013e). Though the concept of producing

edible vaccine started in 1990's but it had rapid strides and all sorts of plants are being exploited in the recent years so as to get good expression of the required gene of interest so that good immunity may be elicited against a particular organism (Curtiss and Cardineau, 1990). Edible vaccine which enters orally released in the intestinal tract elicits both humoral and mucosal immunity. More work has been carried out the malarian parasite which of importance in human aspect (Clemente and Corigliano, 2012). Tomato has been used as an expression plant so that it can be easily consumed by human (Chowdhury and Bagasra, 2007). In livestock sector edible vaccines has been developed against coccidiosis in poultry which is an important disease in poultry industry, caused by Eimeria tenella. Microneme protein EtMIC2 of this deadly pathogen was expressed in tobacco leaves (Sathish et al., 2011). Feeding trails of this tobacco leaves with the microneme protein resulted in good antibody synthesis and there was low oocyst shed down in the droppings. The combination of EtMIC2 and EtMIC1 yielded good antibody response along with increase in weight gain (Sathish et al., 2012).

Advantages:

- Plant cell wall act as a barrier protecting the antigen of interest to reach the target place safely (Streatfield, 2006; Hayden et al., 2012)
- Plants contain some phytochemicals which act with co-ordination with the antigen so that it provides good immune response even in the absence of adjuvants (Pasquevich et al., 2011)
- Secondary plant metabolites like lectins, saponins, alkaloids, phenolic compounds and flavonoids act as an immune stimulant (Kostrzak et al., 2009)
- Edible vaccines are free from bacteria, fungi and viruses because plant pathogens are not deleterious to animals
- Needle prick is eliminated and hence there is no pain and no damage to muscles
- As the administration of oral vaccine is much easier than injection, it can be applied for mass vaccine and time can be saved
- Production can be done in large scale with available plants locally and can be stored for longer period (Dhama et al., 2013e)

Disadvantages:

 Negative impact on public because of the use of edible plants for production of vaccine

- Possibility of inducing oral tolerance and side effects like allergy
- To produce highly expressive plants it needs time and patience (Jacob *et al.*, 2013)

VACCINES AVAILABLE AGAINST SOME OF THE PROTOZOAN PARASITES

Vaccines against coccidia

Coccivac®-B: It is a live, sporulated oocyst vaccine produced from isolates that were collected in the late 1940's, before the current anticoccidial products were introduced. This vaccine is prepared from anticoccidial-sensitive strains of Eimeria acervulina, E. mivati, E. maxima and E. tenella, unlike present-day field oocysts, these isolates had never been subjected to selection pressure by anticoccidials resulting in resistance. Coccivac-B vaccine is a valuable tool to restore the performance of existing anticoccidials (Chapman, 1994). In experimental study on birds, this vaccine produced variable degrees of protection with the five different strains of E. tenella (Awad et al., 2013).

Coccivac®-D: It is a live, sporulated oocyst vaccine containing different species of *Eimeria* viz., *Eimeria acervulina*, *E. maxima*, *E. necatrix*, *E. hagani*, *E. praecox*, *E. tenella*, *E. brunetti* and *E. mivati*. To induce complete immunity, the original dose of coccidial oocysts must complete at least four life cycles in the flock (Johnson and Reid, 1970).

Coccivac®-T: It is a live coccidiosis vaccine containing sporulated oocysts of *Eimeria dispersa*, *E. meleagrimitis*, *E. adenoides* and *E. gallopavonis* that is administered to day old turkey poults via spray cabinet. The strains of *Eimeria* in Coccivac-T were isolated prior to common use of modern ionophore and chemical anticoccidial products and are highly sensitive to all in-feed anticoccidials (Mathis and McDougald, 1989).

Nobilis® COXATM: This vaccine is a live vaccine having a special property of being active even in presence of ionophore compounds. The vaccine contains strains of *E. acervulina*, *E. tenella and E. maxima* which are tolerant to ionophore compound. The benefit of this vaccine is that apart from production of immunity the vaccine allows the use of ionophore compounds during the 3-4 weeks of age when the immunity is poorly developed (Vermeulen *et al.*, 2001).

Eimeriavax -4 m: This vaccine contains viable oocysts of Eimeria acervulina Strain RA, E. maxima Strain MCK₊₁₀, E. necatrix Strain mednec₃₊₈ and E. tenella Strain Rt ₃₊₁₅ suspended in phosphate buffered saline (PBS). Each dose comprises a minimum of E. acervulina 50 oocysts, E. maxima 100 oocysts, E. necatrix 100 oocysts and E. tenella 150 oocysts, with a minimum predicted titre of 1.6×10⁴ oocysts mL⁻¹ at the end of the shelf-life (Gore et al., 1983). The advantages of Eimeria vax 4 m are:

- Simple, single dose, eye-drop or oral application
- Safe in chickens from day-old
- Immunity induced within 10 days against the four major species of Eimeria (E. acervulina, E. maxima, E. necatrix and E. tenella)
- Effective in broiler breeders, broilers, free range and layer flocks
- Supplied ready to use
- Anticoccidial medications are not required
- Provides productivity improvements (McDonald and Shirley, 2009)

Immucox: Oral coccidiosis vaccine of live oocysts of *Eimeria* spp. designed to help healthy Chicken Broilers and Roasters to develop immunity to coccidiosis. This is a one-time vaccination that delivers protective immunity through the productive life of the bird. It is a vaccine approved for water or gel delivery in the hatchery (Lee, 2006).

Paracox®-8: It is a live attenuated oral vaccine consisting of translucent, suspension of oocysts derived from eight precocious lines of coccidian, used for the active immunization of chickens against different Eimeria spp. viz., Eimeria acervulina, E. tenella, E. brunetti, E. mitis, E. necatrix, E. praecox and E. maxima (Shirley et al., 2005). The dose of vaccine is 0.1 mL per chicken, which can be administered orally either by spray on feed or in drinking water or by hatchery spray.

Livacox: It is live attenuated coccidiosis vaccines for domestic poultry (*Gallus domesticus*). Its range consists of LIVACOX® T for broilers and LIVACOX® Q for breeder and layer pullets (Shirley and Bedrnik, 1997).

CoxAbic: It is first commercially available subunit vaccine for poultry and contains purified antigens isolated from the macrogametocyte (sexual stage) of *Eimeria maxima* (Belli *et al.*, 2004). Vaccination using gametocyte antigen through the breast muscle will guide to production of antibody response (Sharman *et al.*, 2010).

Vaccines for anaplasma

Anaplaz: It is the first anaplasmosis vaccine manufactured for cattle in the United States by Fort Dodge. More

recently, Mallinkrodt (later Schering-Plough) marketed a vaccine called Plazvax®. Both of these vaccines protect against Anaplasmosis by similar mechanisms. The vaccines contain killed *Anaplasma marginale*, harvested from infected cattle (Brock *et al.*, 1964). The vaccines do not prevent the animal against infection by the *Anaplasma* organism, but protect the animal from development of clinical disease. They are "immune carriers". That is to say, they are "immune" to becoming sick from the agent but are carriers of the agent. Dose rate of 1 mL by sec cycle⁻¹ route and repeated after 3-4 week and revaccinate annually by single dose, 1 mL.

Anavac: It is a modified live vaccine, which is safe and effective when given to young cattle. They become infected with the vaccine strain of *Anaplasma* and are "immune carriers". Dose rate of 2 mL i/v and is given at 6-12 month of age. Booster doses are recommended every 1-2 years depending on herd history (Ristic, 1960).

Vaccines against giardia

Giardia Vax: It is a killed culture trophozoite vaccine for dogs and prevent the disease and shedding of Giardia lamblia. The vaccine is derived from G. duodenalis isolated from sheep. Dose of vaccine is 1ml by subcutaneous route. First dose at 8 weeks of age and second after 2-4 weeks and then repeated annually. Dogs which had failed to be cured of giardiasis following chemotherapeutic measures were treated with a Giardia vaccine (2-3 injections). After immunization, the clinical signs diminish within 16-42 days, therefore it is a good method for treating giardiasis in dogs.

Vaccines against babesia: It is live attenuated vaccine, developed by *in-vitro* culture (Levy and Ristic, 1980). Cattle were vaccinated with exo-antigen from culture (MASP) elicit humoral and cell mediated immunity (Alexis *et al.*, 1993).

Pirodog/Nobivac® Piro: It is a Soluble Parasite Antigen (SPA) of supernatants of *in vitro* cultures (*B. canis* and *B. rossi*) gives 80% protection and immunity last for about 6 months (Schetters, 2005). It is given at 6 months of age and booster vaccination is required 3 to 6 weeks after the initial vaccination and thereafter revaccination every 6 months by intramuscular route. Both the vaccine produces some local reaction at the site of injection but this reaction is more in case of Nobivac Piro vaccine (Freyburger *et al.*, 2011).

Vaccine against neospora: An ideal vaccine have to provide protection against both infection and the clinical

signs, so there is requirement of vaccine that can induce a non-foetopathic cell mediated immune response (Goodswen et al., 2013). Recently, a Neospora caninum killed tachyzoite based vaccine has been reported to be efficacious in preventing abortion in dairy cattle (Williams et al., 2007; Weston et al., 2012). Proteins, which have important role in adhesion/invasion or other parasite-host-cell interaction processes can provide protection against Neospora infection and can be targets for the development of an effective vaccine against this important protozoan parasite (Hemphill et al., 2013).

Bovilis® Neoguard: It is prepared by killed tachyzoite of Neospora caninum with spur adjuvant which reduces abortion in cattle by more than 50% (Meeusen et al., 2007; Weston et al., 2012) but may increase the early embryonic death, if used in pregnancy (Weston et al., 2012). The vaccination induce antibody at a high level which give protection upto 1 year, so booster vaccination after 1 year is required. It is administered in two doses of 5 ml at one month apart, the first dose given between day 75 and 90 of gestation, booster in 3-4 weeks with 2 annual boosters 3-4 weeks apart by subcutaneous route.

Live tachyzoite vaccine: Tachyzoites were maintained under conditions in a continuous passage of vero cell-lines at 37°C and 5% CO₂ in air and in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 2% horse serum and penicillin-streptomycin (100 IU mL⁻¹ 100 g mL⁻¹). This vaccine protects the losses due to death of foetus (Williams *et al.*, 2007; Hecker *et al.*, 2012; Goodswen *et al.*, 2013).

Vaccines against toxoplasma: Effective vaccines against *Toxoplasma gondii*, a protozoan parasite infecting both animals and humans, are very helpful in preventing and controlling toxoplasmosis (Innes *et al.*, 2009; Liu *et al.*, 2012). Invasion factors of *T. gondii* viz., microneme protein 6 and 8 (MIC6, MIC 8) have also been proposed to be a useful vaccine contender for toxoplasmosis (Peng *et al.*, 2009; Liu *et al.*, 2010). Some experimental trials have been going on the protective efficacy of recombinant *T. gondii* PDI (rTgPDI) as a vaccine candidate for combating toxoplasmosis (Wang *et al.*, 2013).

S48 strain (Toxovax): It is a live vaccine containing originally isolated tachyzoite from aborted placenta and maintain in laboratory by repeated passage in mice. It was initially developed for sheep but in cats it inhibits sexual development of *T. gondii* (Verma and Khanna, 2012). Loss ability to form bradyzoites or oocysts and eliminated within 14 days by host immune response (INF-gamma).

The S48 strain when ingested by cat after voided by cat does not cause production of oocyst. It prevent from spreading in the body; placenta as well as meat contamination for 18 months. It is given 4 weeks before mating by intramuscular injection on the neck (O'Connell *et al.*, 1988). It should be given @ 2 mL intramuscularly. Basic vaccination should be given as single dose at least 3 weeks prior to mating. Re-vaccination after 2 years with a single dose atleast 3 weeks prior to mating is recommended.

T263: It is a bradizoite of live mutant *T. gondii* that does not formed an oocyst. The administration of T263 yield leads to reduction/prevention of oocyst shedding in cats (Verma and Khanna, 2012).

Vaccine against Theileria: The process of development of Theileria annulata cultures involves cultivation of the organism for a prolonged time (Pipano and Tsur, 1966). The organisms are collected from the infected animal either by Lymph node biopsy or whole blood. The in vitro culture yields agamogenic strain of the organism. This cell culture attenuated vaccine (Rakshavac-T) has an efficacy approaching 95-100% (Brown et al., 2006). The site for inoculation is mid-neck region[®] 3 mL. Calves of 2 months age and above only should be vaccinated. This vaccine should not be used in advanced stage of pregnancy. Attenuated schizont vaccine are effective in prevention of theileriosis in cattle (Barriga, 1994; Pipano and Shkap, 2000) however more safer and effective vaccines need to be developed utilizing advances in molecular tools and techniques for control of theileriosis in bovines and ovines (Yin et al., 2008). Vaccines against Bovine theleriosis caused by Theileria parva should be mixture of several antigens derived from both sporozoite and strong schizont stages, leading immumty (Morzaria et al., 2000).

Vaccine against *Leishmania*: Different types of vaccines for prevention of leishmaniasis have been developed (Brodskyn *et al.*, 2003; Coler *et al.*, 2007; Nagill and Kaur, 2011).

Leishmanization: It is inoculation of exudates from the active lesion of an infected person to non-infected susceptible person to give an immumization. It has been use from older times but the use is now limited.

Killed vaccine: The killed promastigote are use for immunization with or without BCG. *Leishmania braziliensis* promastigote killed by autoclaving give good results.

Leishmune: It blocks the transmission of canine visceral leishmaniasis (Nogueira *et al.*, 2005). It has gp63 protein of *L. donovani* that is adjuvanted in saponin (Parra *et al.*, 2007). This vaccine has 76-80% efficacy. It is transmission blocking because:

- The number of Leishmania protozoa in the skin of a dog is reduced in number. Thus the Phlebotomine flies does not readily get the the protozoa during blood feeding
- The antibody produced does not allow the development of an infective promastigote inside the fly vector

Leish111f: One of the most promising finding is the recombinant protein called Leish 111f along with an adjuvant MPL-SE (Monophosphoryl Lipid-stable emulsion) shown by Coler *et al.* (2007). It is a recombinant protein of:

LeIF: L. braziliensis initiation and elongation factor

TSA: Thiol-specific antioxidant

LmSTI1: L. major stress inducible protein

The Leish 111f is having an added advantage like the vaccine efficacy is very high reaching upto 99.6%. Another is that it gives cross protection i.e., Leishmania donovani, which can be also used as a therapy especially trial in man. In mucocuteneos Leishmaniosis caused by L. briziliensis and other cuteneous Leishmaniosis. But the treatment schedule takes a very long time.

Vaccines for Sarcocystis:

EPM vaccine: It consists of *in vitro* cultured merozoites, obtained from the spinal cord of horse, which are chemically inactivated and mixed with suitable adjuvants (Marsh *et al.*, 2004). It gives protection against a neurological disease in horses caused by infection with *Sarcocystis neurona*, equine protozoal myeloencephalitis. It is given[®] 1 mL intramuscularly and booster vaccination 3 to 6 weeks after the first dose, then revaccination annually.

S. neurona SAG1 protein vaccine: It is subunit vaccine prepared from major Surface Antigen Gene 1 (SAG1), which is conserved among members of Sarcocystidae (Elsheikha and Mansfield, 2004). Horses were vaccinated on days 0 and 21 with 1ml adjuvanted rSnSAG1 (50 µg) or 1 mL adjuvant alone by intramuscular injection in the left side of the neck (Ellison and Witonsky, 2009). Booster vaccination is required after 3 to 6 weeks of the first dose and then revaccination annually.

Vaccine against Trypanosoma:

Beta-tubulin: The beta-tubulin gene of *Trypanosoma evansi* (STIB 806) was cloned and expressed in *Escherichia coli* (Li *et al.*, 2007). Beta-tubulin is important for cellular structure and physical functions. Recombinant beta-tubulin was expressed as inclusion bodies in *E. coli*.

TSA (*T. brucei* DNA vaccine encoding TSA Protein): The DNA vaccination process was able to protect 60% of mice submitted to a challenge assay with the infective form of *T. brucei brucei* parasites (Silva *et al.*, 2009).

MAPp15 (Microtubule associated protein): In an experimental study, vaccination of mice with p15 (native or recombinant) provides complete protection from *Trypanosoma brucei* suggesting it as an effective vaccine (Rasooly and Balaban, 2004).

Vaccine against Tritrichomonas Foetus

Trichguard: It is killed protozoan vaccine for cattle for prevention of infection by *Tritrichomonas foetus*. It is given to cattle @ 1-2 mL subcutaneously, booster vaccination 2-4 weeks after first dose and then revaccination annually. The last injection should proceed the breeding season by 4 weeks.

COBWEB FOR VACCINE DEVELOPMENT AGAINST PROTOZOAN INFECTION

There are lot many factors which causes the pitfall for development of a successful protozoan vaccine which is of the supreme importance to keep infection at the gate way. The important factors are pathogen associated, vaccine market associated or funding (Vercruysse et al., 2007). Among all the factors pathogen associated problems needs to be dealt in a more scientific and clever way as some protozoa are clever enough to escape the immune system. One of the most important protozoa of this type is the Trypanasoma spp. which causes a serious problem in case of animals as well as humans (Vanhamme et al., 2001; La Greca and Magez, 2011). One of the noteworthy features of this protozoa is that it can change its antigenic surface protein in such a rapid manner so that the immune system of host cannot trace it (Pays et al., 2004). Many protozoa also have a complex life cycle during their survival in the host. Two stages of life cycle namely sexual and asexual exist in the life pattern of many protozoa and hence selecting a vaccine candidate becomes a difficult task. Certain situation occurs when a single host cell have protozoa at different stages of development giving a feel to the host immune system that there a number protozoa in a single cell of (Vercruysse et al., 2007).

CONCLUSION

Drugs remain central to alleviating clinical disease and for larger scale disease control programmes. However, available drugs have often been in use for decades and drug resistance in the target parasites is now prevalent and, particularly in the case of livestock, threatening sustainable control. Control is threatened by the widespread appearance of drug-resistant parasites in animals. Development of drug resistance could limit the use of anti-parasitic drugs which will remain important for a long time. Drug residues found in the food animal, development of drug resistance and lack of development of new drug are all major problems or reasons which diverts the scientist to make efforts on vaccine development related research. Many vaccines are included in the control of parasitic diseases programs, as these approaches may lead to a substantial reduction in the use of chemical drugs for prevention and control of parasitic diseases. The need of hour is to apply the immunization results of murine/lab animal model in veterinary science and for humankind. Vaccination may also aim to improve public health, so there is a need of cheap and effective vaccine to be developed and reach with success in the market. Development of vaccine against protozoan parasite is cumbersome process because of their complex life cycle, many developmental stages and sub clinical form of disease. Efforts for making economically feasible and effective protozoan vaccine, strategies to discover new antigen to act as novel vaccine candidates are still in continuation.

REFERENCES

- Aguilar-Be, I., R. da Silva Zardo, E. Paraguai de Souza, G.P. Borja-Cabrera and M. Rosado-Vallado *et al.*, 2005. Cross-protective efficacy of a prophylactic *Leishmania donovani* DNA vaccine against visceral and cutaneous murine leishmaniasis. Infect. Immunity., 73: 812-819.
- Alexis, V., E. Precigout, M. L'hostis, B. Carcy, A. Gorenflot and J. Schrevel, 1993. Cellular and humoral immune responses induced in cattle by vaccination with *Babesia divergens* culture-derived exoantigens correlate with protection. Infect. Immun., 61: 734-741.
- Awad, A.M., A.F. El-Nahas and S.S. Abu-Akkada, 2013. Evaluation of the protective efficacy of the anticoccidial vaccine Coccivac-B in broilers, when challenged with Egyptian field isolates of *E. tenella*. Parasitol. Res., 112: 113-121.
- Baltzell, P., H. Newton and A.M. O'Connor, 2013. A critical review and meta-analysis of the efficacy of whole-cell killed *Tritrichomonas foetus* vaccines in beef cattle. J. Vet. Inter. Med., 27: 760-770.

- Barriga, O.O., 1994. A review on vaccination against protozoa and arthropods of veterinary importance. Vet. Parasitol., 55: 29-55.
- Belli, S.I., K. Mai, C.D. Skene, M.T. Gleeson and D.M. Witcombe *et al.*, 2004. Characterisation of the antigenic and immunogenic properties of bacterially expressed, sexual stage antigens of the coccidian parasite, *Eimeria maxima*. Vaccine, 22: 4316-4325.
- Borja-Cabrera, G.P., F.B. Santos, D. Nico, A.E. Gravino, L. Manna, M. Palatnik, C.B. Palatnik-de-Sousa, 2012. The Leishmune s nucleoside hydrolase DNA vaccine as an aid in immunotherapy of canine visceral leishmaniasis. Proc. Vaccinol., 6: 64-73.
- Brock, W.E., I.O. Kliewer and C.C. Perason, 1964. A vaccine for anaplasmosis. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc., 147: 948-951.
- Brodskyn, C., C.I. de Oliveira, A. Barral and M. Barral-Netto, 2003. Vaccines in leishmaniasis: Advances in the last five years. Expert Rev. Vaccines, 2: 705-717.
- Brown, W.C., J. Norimine, W.L. Goff, C.E. Suarez and T.F. Mcelwain, 2006. Prospects for recombinant vaccines against *Babesia bovis* and related parasites. Parasite Immunol., 28: 315-327.
- Carvalho, J.A., J. Rodgers, J. Atouguia, D.M.F. Prazeres and G.A. Monteiro, 2010. DNA vaccines: A rational design against parasitic diseases. Exp. Rev. Vaccines, 9: 175-191.
- Cascio, A., M. Bosilkovski, A.J. Rodriguez-Morales and G. Pappas, 2011. The socio-ecology of zoonotic infections. Clin. Microbiol. Infect., 17: 336-342.
- Chapman, H.D., 1994. Vaccination with Coccivac[®]-B renews sensitivity of coccidial population to salinomycin university of Arkansas. Poultry Sci., 73: 476-478.
- Chen, J., S.Y. Huang, Z.Y. Li, Z.G. Yuan and D.H. Zhou *et al.*, 2013. Protective immunity induced by a DNA vaccine expressing eIF4A of *Toxoplasma gondii* against acute toxoplasmosis in mice. Vaccine, 31: 1734-1739.
- Chowdhury, K. and O. Bagasra, 2007. An edible vaccine for malaria using transgenic tomatoes of varying sizes, shapes and colors to carry different antigens. Med. Hypotheses, 68: 22-30.
- Clemente, M. and M.G. Corigliano, 2012. Overview of plant-made vaccine antigens against malaria. J. Bio. Biotechnol., Vol. 2012. 10.1155/2012/206918
- Coler, R.N., Y. Goto, L. Bogatzki, V. Raman and S. Reed, 2007. Leish-111f, a recombinant polyprotein vaccine that protects against visceral Leishmaniasis by elicitation of CD4+T cells. Infect. Immun., 75: 4648-4654.

- Collett, M.G., 2000. Survey of canine babesiosis in South Africa. J. S. Afr. Vet. Assoc., 71: 180-186.
- Cornelissen, A.W.C.A. and T.P.M. Schetters, 1996. Vaccines against protozoal diseases of veterinary importance. FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol., 15: 61-72.
- Curtiss, R.I. and C.A. Cardineau, 1990. Oral immunization by transgenic plants. World Patent Application, WO 90/02484.
- Dantas-Torres, F., 2006. Leishmune vaccine: the newest tool for prevention and control of canine visceral leishmaniosis and its potential as a transmission-blocking vaccine. Vet. Parasitol., 141: 1-8.
- Da'dara, A.A. and D.A. Harn, 2005. DNA vaccines against tropical parasitic diseases. Exp. Rev. Vaccines, 4: 575-589.
- De Andrade, G.M., R.Z. Machado, M.C. Vidotto and O. Vidotto, 2004. Immunization of bovines using a DNA vaccine (pcDNA3.1/MSP1b) prepared from the jaboticabal strain of *Anaplasma marginale*. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., 1026: 257-266.
- De Ruyck, H., R. Van Renterghem, H. De Ridder and D. De Brabander, 2000. Determination of anthelmintic residues in milk by high performance liquid chromatography. Food Control, 11: 165-173.
- Dhama, K., M. Mahendran, P.K. Gupta and A. Rai, 2008. DNA vaccines and their applications in veterinary practice: Current perspectives. Vet. Res. Commun., 32: 341-356.
- Dhama, K., A.K. Verma, R. Tiwari, S. Chakraborty and K. Vora et al., 2013a. A perspective on applications of Geographical Information System (GIS): An advanced tracking tool for disease surveillance and monitoring in veterinary epidemiology. Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci., 1: 14-24.
- Dhama, K., M.Y. Wani, R. Deb, K. Karthik and R. Tiwari et al., 2013b. Plant based oral vaccines for human and animal pathogens-a new era of prophylaxis: Current and future prospective. J. Exp. Biol. Agric. Sci.
- Dhama, K., R. Tiwari, S. Chakraborty, A. Kumar, M. Karikalan, R. Singh and R.B. Rai, 2013c. Global warming and emerging infectious diseases of animals and humans: current scenario, challenges, solutions and future perspectives-a review. Int. J. Curr. Res., 5: 1942-1958.
- Dhama, K., S. Chakraborty, Mahima, M.Y. Wami and A.K. Verma et al., 2013d. Novel and emerging therapies safeguarding health of humans and their companion animals: A review. Pak. J. Biol. Sci., 16: 101-111.

- Dhama, K., S. Chakraborty, S. Kapoor, R. Tiwari and A. Kumar *et al.*, 2013e. One world, one health-veterinary perspectives. Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci., 1: 5-13.
- Dhama, K., S. Rajagunalan, S. Chakraborty, A.K. Verma and A. Kumar *et al.*, 2013f. Food-borne pathogens of amimal origin-diagnosis, prevention, control and their zoonotic significance: A review. Pak. J. Biol. Sci., 16: 1076-1085.
- Ding, X., H.S. Lillehoj, R.A. Dalloul, W. Min, T. Sato, A. Yasuda and E.P. Lillehoj, 2005. *In ovo* vaccination with the *Eimeria tenella* EtMIC2 gene induces protective immunity against coccidiosis. Vaccine, 23: 3733-3740.
- Dondji, B., E. Perez-Jimenez, K. Goldsmith-Pestana, M. Esteban and D. McMahon-Pratt, 2005. Heterologous prime-boost vaccination with the LACK antigen protects against murine visceral leishmaniasis. Infect. Immun., 73: 5286-5289.
- Dunionteil, E., 2007. DNA vaccines against protozoan parasites: Advances and challenges. J. Bio. Biotechnol., Vol. 2007. 10.1155/2007/90520
- Ellison, S. and S. Witonsky, 2009. Evidence that antibodies against recombinant SnSAG1 of Sarcocystis neurona merozoites are involved in infection and immunity in equine protozoal myeloencephalitis. Can. J. Vet. Res., 73: 176-183.
- Elsheikha, H.M. and L.S. Mansfield, 2004. Sarcocystis neurona major Surface Antigen Gene 1 (SAG1) shows evidence of having evolved under positive selection pressure. Parasitol Res., 94: 452-459.
- Fitzpatrick, J.L., 2013. Global food security: The impact of veterinary parasites and parasitologists. Vet. Parasitol., 195: 233-248.
- Freyburger, L., L. Lemaitre, C. Medaille, F. Oberli, L. Fanchon and P. Bergamo, 2011. Comparative safety study of two commercialised vaccines against canine babesiosis induced by *Babesia canis*. Parasite, 18: 311-318.
- Fukumoto, S., Y. Tamaki, M. Okamura, H. Bannai and N. Yokoyama et al., 2007. Prime-boost immunization with DNA followed by a recombinant Vaccinia virus expressing P50 induced protective immunity against Babesia gibsoni infection in dogs. Vaccine, 25: 1334-1341.
- Gamboa-Leon, R., E.P. de Souza, G.P. Borja-Cabrera, F.N. Santos and L.M. Myashiro et al., 2006. Immunotherapy against visceral leishmaniasis with the nucleoside hydrolase-DNA vaccine of Leishmania donovani. Vaccine, 24: 4863-4873.
- Geary, T.G., 2002. Macrocyclic Lactones as Antiparasitic Agents in the Future. In: Macrocyclic Lactones in Antiparasitic Therapy, Vercruysse, J. and R.S. Rew (Eds.). CABI Publishing, Wallingford, United Kingdom, pp: 413-423.

- Geerts, S., P.H. Holmes, M.C. Eisler and O. Diall, 2001.
 African bovine trypanosomiasis: The problem of drug resistance. Trends Parasitol., 17: 25-28.
- Goodswen, S.J., P.J. Kennedy and J.T. Ellis, 2013. A review of the infection, genetics and evolution of *Neospora caninum*: From the past to the present. Infection Genet. Evol., 13: 133-150.
- Gore, T.C., P.L. Long, M. Kogut and J. Johnson, 1983. Attenuation of MEimeria necatrix and E. tenella of U. S. origin by serial embryo passage. Avian Dis., 27: 569-576.
- Handman, E., A.H. Noormohammadi, J.M. Curtis, T. Baldwin and A. Sjolander, 2000. Therapy of murine cutaneous leishmaniasis by DNA vaccination. Vaccine, 18: 3011-3017.
- Hayden, C.A., S.J. Streatfield, B.J. Lamphear, G.M. Fake and T.K. Keener *et al.*, 2012. Bioencapsulation of the hepatitis B surface antigen and its use as an effective oral immunogen. Vaccine, 30: 2937-2942.
- Hecker, Y.P., M.C. Venturini, C.M. Campero, A.C. Odeon and D.P. Moore, 2012. Advances in the development of vaccines for bovine neosporosis. Rev. Argent. Microbiol., 44: 216-230.
- Hemphill, A., K. Debache, T. Monney, M. Schorer and C. Guionaud *et al.*, 2013. Proteins mediating the Neospora caminum-host cell interaction as targets for vaccination. Front Biosci. (Elite Ed), 5: 23-36.
- Ingolotti, M., O. Kawalekar, D.J. Shedlock, K. Muthumani and D.B. Weiner, 2010. DNA vaccines for targeting bacterial infections. Exp. Rev. Vaccines, 9: 747-763.
- Innes, E.A. and A.N. Vermeulen, 2006. Vaccination as a control strategy against the coccidial parasites Eimeria, Toxoplasma and Neospora. Parasitology, 133: S145-S168.
- Innes, E.A., P.M. Bartley, S. Maley, F. Katzer and D. Buxton, 2009. Veterinary vaccines against *Toxoplasma gondii*. Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz., 104: 246-251.
- Innes, E.A., P.M. Bartley, M. Rocchi, J.B. Silvan and A. Burrells *et al.*, 2011. Developing vaccines to control protozoan parasites in ruminants: Dead or alive? Vet. Parasitol., 180: 155-163.
- Jacob, S.S., S. Cherian, T.G. Sumithra, O.K. Raina and M. Sankar, 2013. Edible vaccines against veterinary parasitic diseases-Current status and future prospects. Vaccine, 31: 1879-1885.
- Jang, S.I., H.S. Lillehoj, S.H. Lee, K.W. Lee and M.S. Park et al., 2010. Eimeria maxima recombinant Gam82 gametocyte antigen vaccine protects against coccidiosis and augments humoral and cell-mediated immunity. Vaccine, 28: 2980-2985.

- Johnson, J. and W.M. Reid, 1970. Anticoccidial drugs: Lesion scoring techniques in battery and floor-pen experiments with chick. Exp. Parasitol., 28: 30-36.
- Jones, K.E., N.G. Patel, M.A. Levy, A. Storeygard, D. Balk, J.L. Gittleman and P. Daszak, 2008. Global trends in emerging infectious diseases. Nature, 451: 990-993.
- Knox, D.P., 2010. Parasite vaccines: Recent progress in and problems associated with their development. Open Infect. Dis. J., 4: 63-75.
- Kostrzak, A., M.C. Gonzalez, D. Guetard, D. Guetard and D.B. Nagaraju et al., 2009. Oral administration of low doses of plant-based HbsAg induced antigen-specific IgAs and IgGs in mice, without increasing levels of regulatory T cells. Vaccine, 27: 4798-4807.
- Kumar, A., A.K. Verma and A. Rahal, 2011. *Mycoplasma bovis*, a multi disease producing pathogen: An overview. Asian J. Anim. Vet. Adv., 6: 537-546.
- Kumaragurubaran, K. and K. Kaliaperumal, 2013. DNA Vaccine: The miniature miracle. Vet. World, 6: 228-232.
- La Greca, F. and S. Magez, 2011. Vaccination against trypanosomiasis: Can it be done or is the trypanosome truly the ultimate immune destroyer and escape artist? Hum Vaccin, 7: 1225-1233.
- Lee, E.H., 2006. Combination of vaccination and medication in the same crop for the control of coccidiosis in chickens and Turkeys. Int. Poult. Prod., 14: 23-23.
- Levine, N.D., 1985. Veterinary Protozoology. Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa, ISBN-13: 9780813818610, Pages: 414.
- Levy, M.G. and M. Ristic, 1980. *Babesia bovis*: Continuous cultivation in a microaerophilous stationary phase culture. Science, 207: 1218-1220.
- Li, S.Q., M.C. Fung, S.A. Reid, N. Inoue and Z.R. Lun, 2007. Immunization with recombinant α-tubulin from Trypanosoma evansi induced protection against T. evansi, T. equiperdum and T. b. brucei infection in mice. Parasite Immunol., 29: 191-199.
- Lightowlers, M.W., 1994. Vaccination against animal parasites. Vet. Parasitol., 54: 177-204.
- Liu, M.A., B. Wahren and G.B.K. Hedestam, 2006. DNA vaccines: Recent developments and future possibilities. Hum. Gene Ther., 17: 1051-1061.
- Liu, M.M., Z.G. Yuan, G.H. Peng, D.H. Zhou and X.H. He *et al.*, 2010. Toxoplasma gondii microneme protein 8 (MIC8) is a potential vaccine candidate against toxoplasmosis. Parasitol. Res., 106: 1079-1084.

- Liu, Q., L.D. Singla and H. Zhou, 2012. Vaccines against Toxoplasma gondii: Status, challenges and future directions. Hum. Vaccines Immunother., 8: 1305-1308.
- Mahima, A. Rahal, R. Deb, S.K. Latheef and H.A. Samad *et al.*, 2012a. Immunomodulatory and therapeutic potentials of herbal, traditional/indigenous and ethnoveterinary medicines. Pak. J. Biol. Sci., 15: 754-774.
- Mahima, A.K. Verma, A. Kumar, A. Rahal and V. Kumar, 2012b. Veterinarian for sustainable development of humanity. Asian J. Anim. Vet. Adv., 7: 752-753.
- Manzano-Roman, R., A. Oleaga, R. Perez-Sanchez and M. Siles-Lucas, 2012. Gene silencing in parasites: Current status and future prospects. Adv. Parasitol., 78: 1-55.
- Marsh, A.E., J. Lakritz, P.J. Johnson, M.A. Miller and H.J. Chu, 2004. Evaluation of immune responses in horses immunized using a killed *Sarcocystis neurona* vaccine. Vet. Ther., 5: 34-42.
- Mathis, G.F. and L.R. McDougald, 1989. Restoration of Drug Sensitivity on Turkey Farms after Introduction of Sensitive *Coccidia* during Controlled-Exposure Immunization. In: Coccidia and Intestinal Coccidiomorphs, Yvove, P. (Ed.). INRA, Paris, France, ISBN: 9782738001641, pp. 339-343.
- McDonald, V. and M.W. Shirley, 2009. Past and future: Vaccination against *Eimeria*. Parasitology, 136: 1477-1489.
- Meeusen, E.N.T., J. Walker, A. Peters, P.P. Pastoret and G. Jungersen, 2007. Current status of veterinary vaccines. Clin. Microbiol. Rev., 20: 489-510.
- Meng, M., S. He, G. Zhao, Y. Bai, H. Zhou, H. Cong, G. Lu, Q. Zhao and X.Q. Zhu, 2012. Evaluation of protective immune responses induced by DNA vaccines encoding *Toxoplasma gondii* surface antigen 1 (SAG1) and 14-3-3 protein in BALB/c mice. Parasit. Vectors, 5: 273-273.
- Min, W., H.S. Lillehoj, J. Burnside, K.C. Weining, P. Staeheli and J.J. Zhu, 2001. Adjuvant effects of IL-1β, IL-2, IL-8, IL-15, IFN-α, IFN-ãTGF-β4 and lymphotactin on DNA vaccination against Eimeria acervulina. Vaccine, 20: 267-274.
- Morzaria, S., V. Nene, R. Bishop and A. Musoke, 2000. Vaccines against *Theileria parva*. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., 916: 464-473.
- Nagill, R. and S. Kaur, 2011. Vaccine candidates for leishmaniasis: A review. Int. Immunopharmacol., 11: 1464-1488.
- Nielsen, H.V., M. Di Cristina, E. Beghetto, A. Spadoni, E. Petersen and N. Gargano, 2006. *Toxoplasma gondii*: DNA vaccination with bradyzoite antigens induces protective immumity in mice against oral infection with parasite c ysts. Exp. Parasitol., 112: 274-279.

- Nogueira, F.S., M.A. Moreira, G.P. Borja-Cabrera, F.N. Santos and I. Menz et al., 2005. Leishmune vaccine blocks the transmission of canine visceral leishmaniasis: Absence of *Leishmania parasites* in blood, skin and lymph nodes of vaccinated exposed dogs. Vaccine, 23: 4805-4810.
- O'Connell, E., M.F. Wilkins and W.A. te Punga, 1988. Toxoplasmosis in sheep. II. The ability of a live vaccine to prevent lamb losses after an intravenous challenge with *Toxoplasma gondii*. N. Z. Vet. J., 36: 1-4.
- Parra, L.E., G.P. Borja-Cabrera, F.N. Santos, L.O. Souza, C.B. Palatnik-de-Sousa and I. Menz, 2007. Safety trial using the Leishmune vaccine against canine visceral leishmaniasis in Brazil. Vaccine, 25: 2180-2186.
- Pasquevich, K.A., A.E. Ibanez, L.M. Coria, C. Garcia Samartino and S.M. Estein *et al.*, 2011. An oral vaccine based on U-Omp19 induces protection against *B. abortus* mucosal challenge by inducing an adaptive IL-17 immune response in mice. PLoS ONE, Vol. 6. 10.1371/journal.pone.0016203
- Paul-Pierre, P., 2009. Emerging diseases, zoonoses and vaccines to control them. Vaccine, 27: 6435-6438.
- Pays, E., L. Vanhamme and D. Perez-Morga, 2004. Antigenic variation in *Trypanosoma brucei*: Facts, challenges and mysteries. Curr. Opin. Microbiol., 7: 369-374.
- Peng, G.H., Z.G. Yuan, D.H. Zhou, X.H. He and M.M. Liu *et al.*, 2009. *Toxoplasma gondii* microneme protein 6 (MIC6) is a potential vaccine candidate againsttoxoplasmosis inmice. Vaccine, 27: 6570-6574.
- Pipano, E. and I. Tsur, 1966. Experimental immumization against *Theileria annulata* with a tissue culture vaccine. Refuah Vet., 23: 186-194.
- Pipano, E. and V. Shkap, 2000. Vaccination against tropical theileriosis. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., 916: 484-500.
- Quijano-Hernandez, I.A., M.E. Bolio-Gonzalez, J.C. Rodriguez-Buenfil, M.J. Ramirez-Sierra and E. Dumonteil, 2008. Therapeutic DNA vaccine against *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection in dogs. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., 1149: 343-346.
- Rasooly, R. and N. Balaban, 2004. Trypanosome microtubule-associated protein pl 5 as a vaccine for the prevention of African sleeping sickness. Vaccine, 22: 1007-1015.
- Reichel, M.P., M.A. Ayanegui-Alcerreca, L.F. Gondim and J.T. Ellis, 2013. What is the global economic impact of *Neospora caninum* in cattle-the billion dollar question. Int. J. Parasitol., 43: 133-142.
- Ristic, M., 1960. Anaplasmosis. Adv. Vet. Sci., 6: 111-192. Rogers, D.J. and S.E. Randolph, 2006. Climate change and vector-borne diseases. Adv. Parasitol., 62: 345-381.

- Sathish, K., R. Sriraman, B.M. Subramanian, N.H. Rao, K. Balaji, M.L. Narasu and V.A. Srinivasan, 2011. Plant expressed EtMIC2 is an effective immunogen in conferring protection against chicken coccidiosis. Vaccine, 29: 9201-9208.
- Sathish, K., R. Sriraman, B.M. Subramanian, N.H. Rao and B. Kasa et al., 2012. Plant expressed Coccidial antigens as potential vaccine candidates in protecting chicken against Coccidiosis. Vaccine, 30: 4460-4464.
- Schetters, T., 2005. Vaccination against canine babesiosis. Trends. Parasitol., 21: 179-184.
- Sharman, P.A., N.C. Smith, M.G. Wallach and M. Katrib, 2010. Chasing the golden egg: Vaccination against poultry coccidiosis. Parasite Immunol., 32: 590-598.
- Shirley, M.W. and P. Bedrnik, 1997. Live attenuated vaccines against avian coccidiosis: Success with precocious and egg-adapted lines of *Eimeria*. Parasitol. Today, 13: 481-484.
- Shirley, M.W., A.L. Smith and F.M. Tomley, 2005. The biology of avian *Eimeria* with an emphasis on their control by vaccination. Adv. Parasitol., 60: 285-330.
- Shkap, V., A.J. de Vos, E. Zweygarth and F. Jongejan, 2007. Attenuated vaccines for tropical theileriosis, babesiosis and heartwater: The continuing necessity. Trends. Parasitol., 23: 420-426.
- Silva, M.S., D.M. Prazeres, A. Lanca, J. Atouguia and G.A. Monteiro, 2009. Trans-sialidase from *Trypanosoma brucei* as a potential target for DNA vaccine development against African trypanosomiasis. Parasitol. Res., 105: 1223-1229.
- Steel, J.W. and K.G. Wardhaugh, 2002. Ecological Impact of Macrocyclic Lactones on Dung Fauna. In: *Macrocyclic lactones* in Antiparasitic Therapy, Vercruysse, J. and R.S. Rew (Eds.). CABI Publishing, Wallingford, United Kingdom, pp. 141-162.
- Stephan, B., M. Rommel, A. Daugschies and A. Haberkorn, 1997. Studies of resistance to anticoccidials in *Eimeria tenella* field isolates and pure *Eimeria* strains. Vet. Parasitol., 69: 19-29.
- Stern, A.M. and H. Markel, 2005. The history of vaccines and immunization: Familiar patterns, new challenges. Health Affairs, 24: 611-621.
- Streatfield, S.J., 2006. Mucosal immunization using recombinant plant-based oral vaccines. Methods, 38: 150-157.
- Vanhamme, L., E. Pays, R. McCulloch and J.D. Barry, 2001. An update on antigenic variation in African trypanosomes. Trends. Parasitol., 17: 338-343.
- Vercruysse, J., D.P. Knox, T.P. Schetters and P. Willadsen, 2004. Veterinary parasitic vaccines: Pitfalls and future directions. Trends Parasitol., 20: 488-492.

- Vercruysse, J., T.P. Schetters, D.P. Knox, P. Willadsen and E. Claerebout, 2007. Control of parasitic disease using vaccines: An answer to drug resistance? Rev. Sci. Tech., 26: 105-115.
- Verma, A.K., B.C. Pal, C.P. Singh, U. Jain, S.K. Yadav and Mahima, 2008. Studies of the outbreaks of foot-andmouth disease in Uttar Pradesh, India, between 2000 and 2006. Asian J. Epidemiol., 1: 40-46.
- Verma, A.K., A. Kumar, K. Dhama, R. Deb, A. Rahal, Mhima and S. Chakraborty, 2012a. Leptospirosis-Persistence of a dilemma: An overview with particular emphasis on trends and recent advances in vaccines and vaccination strategies. Pak. J. Biol. Sci., 15: 954-963.
- Verma, A.K., A. Kumar, Mahima and Sahzad, 2012b. Epidemiology and diagnosis of foot-and-mouth disease: A review. Indian J. Anim. Sci., 82: 543-551.
- Verma, R. and P. Khanna, 2012c. Development of Toxoplasma gondii vaccine: A global challenge. Hum Vaccin Immunother, Vol. 9.
- Vermeulen, A.N., 1998. Progress in recombinant vaccine development against coccidiosis A review and prospects into the next millennium. Int. J. Parasitol., 28: 1121-1130.
- Vermeulen, A.N., D.C. Schaap and T.P.M. Schetters, 2001. Control of coccidiosis in chickens by vaccination. Vet. Parasitol., 100: 13-20.
- Von Samson-Himmelstjerna, G. and W. Blackhall, 2005. Will technology provide solutions for drug resistance in veterinary helminthes. Vet. Parasitol., 132: 223-239.
- Walker, P.S., T. Scharton-Kersten, E.D. Rowton, U. Hengge, A. Bouloc, M.C. Udey and J.C. Vogel, 1998. Genetic immunization with glycoprotein 63 cDNA results in a helper T cell type 1 immune response and protection in a murine model of leishmamasis. Human Gene. Ther., 9: 1899-1907.
- Wallach, M., N.C. Smith, M. Petracca, C.M. Miller, J. Eckert and R. Braun, 1995. Eimeria maxima gametocyte antigens: Potential use in a subunit maternal vaccine against coccidiosis in chickens. Vaccine, 13: 347-354.
- Wallach, M., 1997. The Importance of transmission-blocking immunity in the control of infections by apicomplexan parasites. Inter. J. Parasitol., 27: 1159-1167.
- Wallach, M.G., U. Ashash, A. Michael and N.C. Smith, 2008. Field application of a subunit vaccine against an enteric protozoan disease. PLoS ONE, Vol. 3.
- Wang, H.L., Y.Q. Li, L.T. Yin, X.L. Meng and M. Guo *et al.*, 2013. *Toxoplasma gondii* Protein Disulfide Isomerase (TgPDI) is a novel vaccine candidate against toxoplasmosis. PLoS One, Vol. 8.

- Weston, J.F., C. Heuer and N.B. Williamson, 2012. Efficacy of a *Neospora caninum* killed tachyzoite vaccine in preventing abortion and vertical transmission in dairy cattle. Prev. Vet. Med., 103: 136-144.
- Williams, D.J., C.S. Guy, R.F. Smith, J. Ellis, C. Bjorkman, M.P. Reichel and A.J. Trees, 2007. Immunization of cattle with live tachyzoites of *Neospora caninum* confers protection against fetal death. Infect. Immune., 75: 1343-1348.
- Xu, D. and F.Y. Liew, 1994. Genetic vaccination against leishmaniasis. Vaccine, 12: 1534-1536.
- Xu, D. and F.Y. Liew, 1995. Protection against leishmaniasis by injection of DNA encoding a major surface glycoprotein, gp63, of *L. major*. Immunology, 84: 173-178.
- Yin, H., J. Luo and W. Lu, 2008. Control of tropical theileriosis with attenuated schizont vaccine in China. Vaccine, Vol. 26.